IJMMS 2004:26, 1363–1377
PII. S0161171204303406
http://ijmms.hindawi.com

CONVERGENCY OF THE FUZZY VECTORS IN THE PSEUDO-FUZZY VECTOR SPACE OVER $F_p^1(1)$

KWEIMEI WU

Received 24 March 2003

In 2003, we considered the pseudo-fuzzy vector space SFR over $F_p^1(1)$. Here, we further discuss the convergency of the fuzzy vectors in SFR.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 08A72.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we discuss the convergency of the fuzzy space over $F_p^1(1)$ (see [4]). In [4, Section 2], we stated the pseudo-fuzzy vector space SFR over $F_p^1(1)$ as follows: for two points $P=(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})$ and $Q=(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)})$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{PQ}=(y^{(1)}-x^{(1)},y^{(2)}-x^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)}-x^{(n)})$ in a pseudo-fuzzy vector space $F_p^n(1)=\{(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})_1\,\forall(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$.

There is a one-to-one onto mapping $P=(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\leftrightarrow\widetilde{P}=(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_1$. Therefore, for the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{PQ}$, we can define the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\widetilde{P}\widetilde{Q}}=(y^{(1)}-x^{(1)},y^{(2)}-x^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)}-x^{(n)})_1=\widetilde{Q}\ominus\widetilde{P}$.

Let the family of the fuzzy sets on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the definitions of *convex* and *normal* be F_c. Obviously, $F_p^n(1)\subset F_c$. Next, we extend the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\widetilde{P}\widetilde{Q}}=\widetilde{Q}\ominus\widetilde{P}$ to F_c, and $\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y}\in F_c$, and define the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{Y}}=\widetilde{Y}\ominus\widetilde{X}$. Let SFR $=\{\overrightarrow{\widetilde{X}\widetilde{Y}}\,\forall\widetilde{X},\widetilde{Y}\in F_c\}$. Then we have the pseudo-fuzzy vector space over $F_p^n(1)$ $(=a_1\,\forall a\in\mathbb{R})$. In Section 3, we will discuss the convergency of the fuzzy vectors in SFR.

2. Preparation. In [4], we discussed the pseudo-fuzzy vector space SFR over $F_p^1(1)$. In order to discuss the convergence of the fuzzy vectors in SFR, we need to know some definitions.

Definition 2.1. (1°) The fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty,\infty)$ is convex if and only if every ordinary set $A(\alpha)=\{x\mid\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x)\ge\alpha\ \forall\alpha\in[0,1]\}$ is convex, and hence $A(\alpha)$ is a closed interval of $\mathbb{R}$.

(2°) The fuzzy set $\widetilde{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is normal if and only if $\bigvee_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x)=1$.

Next, we extend this definition to $\mathbb{R}^n$ by saying that the membership function of the fuzzy set $\widetilde{D}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is $\mu_{\widetilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in[0,1]$ for all $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.2. The α-cut $(0\le\alpha\le1)$ of the fuzzy set $\widetilde{D}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $D(\alpha)=\{(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\mid\mu_{\widetilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\ge\alpha\}$.

Definition 2.3. (1°) The fuzzy set $\widetilde{D}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if and only if every ordinary set $D(\alpha)=\{(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\mid\mu_{\widetilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\ge\alpha\ \forall\alpha\in[0,1]\}$ is a convex closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

(2°) The fuzzy set $\tilde{D}$ is normal if and only if $\bigvee_{(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mu_{\tilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=1$.

Let the family of the fuzzy sets on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying Definition 2.3 (1°), (2°) be F_c.

Definition 2.4 (Pu and Liu [3])**.** The fuzzy set a_α $(0\le\alpha\le 1)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is called a level α fuzzy point on $\mathbb{R}$ if its membership function $\mu_{a_\alpha}(x)$ is

$$\mu_{a_\alpha}(x)=\begin{cases}\alpha, & x=a,\\ 0, & x\ne a.\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Let the family of all level α fuzzy points on $\mathbb{R}$ be $F_p^{(1)}(\alpha)=\{a_\alpha\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{R}\}$, $0\le\alpha\le 1$.

Definition 2.5. The fuzzy set $(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})_\alpha$ $(0\le\alpha\le 1)$ is called a level α fuzzy point on $\mathbb{R}^n$ if its membership function is

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu_{(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\\&\quad=\begin{cases}\alpha, & \text{if } (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)}),\\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let the family of all level α fuzzy points on $\mathbb{R}^n$ be

$$\begin{aligned}F_p^{(n)}(\alpha)&=\{(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})_\alpha\forall(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n\},\quad 0\le\alpha\le 1,\\ F_p^{(n)}&=\bigcup_{0\le\alpha\le 1}F_p^{(n)}(\alpha).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For each $a_\alpha\in F_p^1(\alpha)$, regard $a_\alpha=(a,a,\dots,a)_\alpha$ as a special level α fuzzy point on $\mathbb{R}^n$ degenerated from a level α fuzzy point $(a^{(1)},a^{(2)},\dots,a^{(n)})$ with $a^{(1)}=a^{(2)}=\cdots=a^{(n)}=a$. Hence, we have the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{(a,a,\dots,a)_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})&=\begin{cases}\alpha, & (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=(a,a,\dots,a),\\ 0, & (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\ne(a,a,\dots,a),\end{cases}\\&=\mu_{a_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.6. For $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, call D_α, $0\le\alpha\le 1$, a level α fuzzy domain on $\mathbb{R}^n$ if its membership function is

$$\mu_{D_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=\begin{cases}\alpha, & \text{if } (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in D,\\ 0, & \text{if } (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\notin D.\end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Let the family of all the level α fuzzy domains on $\mathbb{R}^n$ be $FD^*=\{E_\alpha\forall E\subset\mathbb{R}^n\}$, and let the family of all subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ be $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\{E\forall E\subset\mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Then there is a one-to-one mapping η between $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and FD^*:

$$E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longleftrightarrow \eta(E) = E_\alpha \in FD^*, \qquad \eta^{(-1)}(E_\alpha) = E, \quad \alpha \in [0,1]. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\widetilde{D} \in F_c$, the α-cut $D(\alpha)$ $(0 \le \alpha \le 1)$ of $\widetilde{D}$ can be mapped to $D(\alpha)_\alpha$.

Thus, we have the following decomposition principle:

$$\forall \widetilde{D} \in F_c, \quad \widetilde{D} = \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D(\alpha)_\alpha. \tag{2.7}$$

From Kaufmann and Gupta [2], we have for $D, E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$D(+)E = \{(x^{(1)} + y^{(1)}, x^{(2)} + y^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)} + y^{(n)}) \quad \forall (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) \in D,\ (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(n)}) \in E\}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$D(-)E = \{(x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}, x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)} - y^{(n)}) \quad \forall (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) \in D,\ (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(n)}) \in E\}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$k(\cdot)D = \{(kx^{(1)}, kx^{(2)}, \dots, kx^{(n)}) \forall (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) \in D\}. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), and the definition of the α-cut, we have that

(i) the α-cut of $\widetilde{D}(+)\widetilde{E}$ is $D(\alpha) + E(\alpha)$,

$$\widetilde{D} \oplus \widetilde{E} = \bigcup_{0\le\alpha\le1} (D(\alpha)(+)E(\alpha))_\alpha, \tag{2.11}$$

(ii) the α-cut of $\widetilde{D}(-)\widetilde{E}$ is $D(\alpha) - E(\alpha)$,

$$\widetilde{D} \ominus \widetilde{E} = \bigcup_{0\le\alpha\le1} (D(\alpha)(-)E(\alpha))_\alpha, \tag{2.12}$$

(iii) the α-cut of $k_1 \odot wtD$ is $k(\cdot)D(\alpha)$,

$$k_1 \odot \widetilde{D} = \bigcup_{0\le\alpha\le1} (k(\cdot)D(\alpha))_\alpha. \tag{2.13}$$

In the crisp case on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can consider the n-dimensional vector space E^n over $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $P = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(n)})$, $Q = (q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)})$, $A = (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(n)})$, $B = (b^{(1)}, b^{(2)}, \dots, b^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the crisp vectors $\overrightarrow{PQ}$, $\overrightarrow{AB} + \overrightarrow{PQ}$, and $k \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ}$ as follows:

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = (q^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, q^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)} - p^{(n)}) = Q(-)P, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\overrightarrow{AB} + \overrightarrow{PQ} = (b^{(1)} + q^{(1)} - a^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, b^{(2)} + q^{(2)} - a^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, b^{(n)} + q^{(n)} - a^{(n)} - p^{(n)}), \tag{2.15}$$

$$k \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ} = (kq^{(1)} - kp^{(1)}, kq^{(2)} - kp^{(2)}, \dots, kq^{(n)} - kp^{(n)}). \tag{2.16}$$

Let $O = (0,0,\dots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\overrightarrow{OP} = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(n)})$, $\overrightarrow{OO} = (0,0,\dots,0)$, and let $E^n = \{\overrightarrow{PQ} = (q^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, q^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)} - p^{(n)})\, \forall P,Q \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. This is an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. There is a one-to-one onto mapping between the point $(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the level 1 fuzzy point $(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^n)_1$ on $F_p^n(1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n &\longleftrightarrow \rho(a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(n)}) \\ &= (a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(n)})_1 \in F_p^n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Let $\tilde{P} = (p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(n)})_1$, $\tilde{Q} = (q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)})_1 \in F_p^n(1)$. From (2.14) and (2.17), we have the following definition:

$$\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}} = (q^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, q^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)} - p^{(n)})_1 = \tilde{Q} \ominus \tilde{P}. \tag{2.18}$$

Let $FE^n = \{\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}\, \forall \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in F_p^n(1)\}$. From (2.14) and (2.18), we have the one-to-one onto mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{PQ} &= (q^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, q^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)} - p^{(n)}) \\ &\longleftrightarrow \rho(\overrightarrow{PQ}) = (q^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, q^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(n)} - p^{(n)})_1 \\ &= \overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}} \in FE^n, \\ \overrightarrow{AB} + \overrightarrow{PQ} &= (b^{(1)} + q^{(1)} - a^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, b^{(2)} + q^{(2)} - a^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \\ &\qquad \dots, b^{(n)} + q^{(n)} - a^{(n)} - p^{(n)}) \\ &\longleftrightarrow (b^{(1)} + q^{(1)} - a^{(1)} - p^{(1)}, b^{(2)} + q^{(2)} - a^{(2)} - p^{(2)}, \\ &\qquad \dots, b^{(n)} + q^{(n)} - a^{(n)} - p^{(n)})_1 \\ &= \overrightarrow{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}, \\ k \cdot \overrightarrow{PQ} &= (kq^{(1)} - kp^{(1)}, kq^{(2)} - kp^{(2)}, \dots, kq^{(n)} - kp^{(n)}) \\ &\longleftrightarrow (kq^{(1)} - kp^{(1)}, kq^{(2)} - kp^{(2)}, \dots, kq^{(n)} - kp^{(n)})_1 \\ &= k_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, $FE^n = \{\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}}\, \forall \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in F_p^n(1)\}$ is a vector space over $F_p^n(1)$ in fuzzy sense.

In [4], we further extend FE^n as follows. For $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in F_c$, define $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} = \tilde{Y} \ominus \tilde{X}$ and call $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}$ a fuzzy vector. Let SFR $= \{\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}\, \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in F_c\}$. In [4], we proved that the following properties hold.

Property 2.7. For $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} \in$ SFR,

$$\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} \Longleftrightarrow \tilde{Y} \ominus \tilde{X} = \tilde{Z} \ominus \tilde{W}. \tag{2.20}$$

Property 2.8. For $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} \in$ SFR, $k \in \mathbb{R}$,
(1°) $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}$, where $\tilde{A} = \tilde{X} \oplus \tilde{W}$, $\tilde{B} = \tilde{Y} \oplus \tilde{Z}$;
(2°) $k_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}}$, where $\tilde{C} = k_1 \odot \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{D} = k_1 \odot \tilde{Y}$.

Property 2.9. For $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}}, \overrightarrow{\tilde{U}\tilde{V}} \in$ SFR, $k, t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(1°) $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}$;
(2°) $(\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}}) \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{U}\tilde{V}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus (\overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{U}\tilde{V}})$;
(3°) $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{O}\tilde{O}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}$, where $\overrightarrow{\tilde{O}\tilde{O}} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)_1$;
(4°) $k_1 \odot (t_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}) = (kt)_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}$;
(5°) $k_1 \odot (\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}}) = (k_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}) \oplus (k_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}})$;
(6°) $1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}$.

In SFR, the following do not hold.
(7°) For $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \in$ SFR and $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \neq \overrightarrow{\tilde{O}\tilde{O}}$, there exists $\overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}}$ $(\neq \overrightarrow{\tilde{O}\tilde{O}}) \in$ SFR such that $\overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} \oplus \overrightarrow{\tilde{W}\tilde{Z}} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{O}\tilde{O}}$;
(8°) $(k+t)_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}} = (k_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}}) \oplus (t_1 \odot \overrightarrow{\tilde{X}\tilde{Y}})$.

From Property 2.9, we know that SFR satisfies all the conditions that the vector space required, except (7°) and (8°). Therefore, in [4], we called SFR a pseudo-fuzzy vector space over $F_p^1(1)$.

Example 2.10 (a moving station carrying a missile on it)**.** This car left from point $P = (2, 5)$ passing through point $Q = (4, 6)$, arrived at $R = (8, 9)$, and aiming at the target $Z = (100, 200)$. As we can see, the missile usually falls in the vicinity of Z, say $\tilde{Z}$, instead of hitting at Z exactly.

Let the membership function of $\tilde{Z}$ be

$$\mu_{\tilde{Z}}(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{25}\left(25 - (x^{(1)} - 100)^2 - (x^{(2)} - 200)^2\right), \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } (x^{(1)} - 100)^2 + (x^{(2)} - 200)^2) \leq 25, \\ 0, \qquad\qquad \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{2.21}$$

Consider the level 1 fuzzy points $\tilde{P} = (2, 5)_1$, $\tilde{Q} = (4, 6)_1$, and $\tilde{R} = (8, 9)_1$. We have the fuzzy routes

$$\tilde{P} \longrightarrow \tilde{Q} \longrightarrow \tilde{R} \longrightarrow \tilde{Z} \tag{2.22}$$

and hence the fuzzy vectors $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}} = (2,1)_1$, $\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{R}} = (4,3)_1$, $\overrightarrow{\tilde{R}\tilde{Z}} = \tilde{Z} \ominus \tilde{R}$, and $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}} = \tilde{Z} \ominus \tilde{P}$. By extension theory, the membership function of $\overrightarrow{\tilde{R}\tilde{Z}} = \tilde{Z} \ominus \tilde{R}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{R}\tilde{Z}}}(z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}) &= \sup_{z^{(j)} = v^{(j)} - u^{(j)}, j=1,2} \mu_{\tilde{R}}(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}) \wedge \mu_{\tilde{Z}}(v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}) \\ &= \mu_{\tilde{Z}}(z^{(1)} + 8, z^{(2)} + 9) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{25}\left(25 - (z^{(1)} - 92)^2 - (z^{(2)} - 191)^2\right), \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } (z^{(1)} - 92)^2 + (z^{(2)} - 191)^2 \leq 25, \\ 0, \qquad\quad \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Similarly,

$$\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}}(z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{25}\left(25 - (z^{(1)} - 98)^2 - (z^{(2)} - 195)^2\right), \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } (z^{(1)} - 98)^2 + (z^{(2)} - 195)^2 \leq 25, \\ 0, \qquad\quad \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

Let $S = (98, 202)$. It is clear that $(98, 202)$ is within the circle of center $(100, 200)$ and radius 5. The crisp vector which starts with the point $P = (2, 5)$ and ends at $S = (98, 202)$ is $\overrightarrow{PS} = (96, 197)$. Its grade of membership in $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}$ from (2.23) is $\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}}(96, 197) = (1/25)(25 - 2^2 - 2^2) = 0.68$, that is, the grade of membership of the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}$ for the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{PS}$ is 0.68. Let the aim be $T = (100, 200)$. The crisp vector beginning at $P = (2, 5)$ and aiming at $T = (100, 200)$ is $\overrightarrow{PT} = (98, 195)$. Its grade of membership in $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}$, again from (2.23), is $\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}}(98, 195) = (1/25)(25 - 0^2 - 0^2) = 1$, that is, the grade of membership of the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}$ for the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{PT}$ is 1.

Example 2.11. In a shooting practice, let $C((10, 30), 1 + 1/m) = \{(x, y) \mid (x - 10)^2 + (y - 30)^2 \leq (1 + 1/m)^2\}$, always shooting at $(1, 2)$ and aiming at $Z = (10, 30)$. At the first time, the bullet was falling in $C((10, 30), 2(= 1 + 1))$. At the second time, it was falling in $C((10, 30), 1 + 1/2)$. At the mth time, it was falling in $C((10, 30), 1 + 1/m)$. In other words, the bullet was more and more closer to $C((10, 30), 1)$, that is, more and more accurate.

Let the fuzzy aim be $\tilde{Z}_m$, its membership function is

$$\mu_{\tilde{Z}_m} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{(1 + 1/m)^2}\left[\left(1 + \dfrac{1}{m}\right)^2 - (x - 10)^2 - (y - 30)^2\right], \\ \qquad\qquad \text{if } (x - 10)^2 + (y - 30)^2 \leq \left(1 + \dfrac{1}{m}\right)^2, \\ 0, \qquad\quad \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

Thus, we have the mth fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}_m}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $\tilde{Q} = (1, 2)_1$. In the next section, we will discuss the convergency of the fuzzy vectors in SFR and find out the limit fuzzy vector $\lim_{n \to \infty} \overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}_m}$.

3. The convergency of the vectors in SFR**.** Before we try to investigate the convergency of the fuzzy vectors in SFR, we first define the following open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and discuss some properties (Properties 3.4, 3.7, 3.10, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, and 3.17). Let

$$\begin{aligned} &O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad = \left\{\left(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}\right) \mid a^{(j,1)} < x^{(j)} < a^{(j,2)},\ j=1,2,\dots,n\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

From (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}\right)\right)(+)O\left(\left(b^{(1,1)},b^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(b^{(n,1)},b^{(n,2)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad = \left\{\left(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}\right) \mid z^{(j)} = x^{(j)}+y^{(j)},\ a^{(j,1)} < x^{(j)} < a^{(j,2)},\right. \\ &\qquad \left. b^{(j,1)} < y^{(j)} < b^{(j,2)},\ j=1,2,\dots,n\right\} \\ &\quad = O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)}+b^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}+b^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)}+b^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}+b^{(n,2)}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}\right)\right)(-)O\left(\left(b^{(1,1)},b^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(b^{(n,1)},b^{(n,2)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad = \left\{\left(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}\right) \mid z^{(j)} = x^{(j)}-y^{(j)},\ a^{(j,1)} < x^{(j)} < a^{(j,2)},\right. \\ &\qquad \left. b^{(j,1)} < y^{(j)} < b^{(j,2)},\ j=1,2,\dots,n\right\} \\ &\quad = O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)}-b^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}-b^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)}-b^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}-b^{(n,2)}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

If $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &k(\cdot)O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad = \left\{\left(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}\right) \mid z^{(j)} = kx^{(j)}, a^{(j,1)} < x^{(j)} < a^{(j,2)},\ j=1,2,\dots,n\right\} \\ &\quad = O\left(\left(ka^{(1,1)},ka^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(ka^{(n,1)},ka^{(n,2)}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If $k < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &k(\cdot)O\left(\left(a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}\right),\dots,\left(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad = \left\{\left(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}\right) \mid z^{(j)} = kx^{(j)},\ a^{(j,1)} < x^{(j)} < a^{(j,2)},\ j=1,2,\dots,n\right\} \\ &\quad = O\left(\left(ka^{(1,2)},ka^{(1,1)}\right),\dots,\left(ka^{(n,2)},ka^{(n,1)}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\dots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))_\alpha \forall a^{(j,1)} < a^{(j,2)},\ a^{(j,1)},a^{(j,2)} \in \mathbb{R},\ j = 1,2,\dots,n;\ 0 \le \alpha \le 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^*$ be the family of fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{B}$ or any arbitrary unions of these fuzzy sets.

Remark 3.1. Any intersection of two fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{B}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$, and when two fuzzy sets in $\mathcal{B}$ have no intersection, we call their intersection $\varnothing$.

From (2.3), let $F = F_p^n \cup F_c \cup \mathcal{B}^*$. In order to consider the problem of convergency, we first consider the topology for F.

Definition 3.2. $\tilde{Q} \in F$ is an open fuzzy set if and only if for each $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{Q}$, there exists $\tilde{O} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{O} \subset \tilde{Q}$.

Let T_F be the family of all open fuzzy sets satisfying Definition 3.2. Obviously, $\mathcal{B}^* \subset T_F$.

Definition 3.3 (Chang [1]). T is a family of fuzzy sets in the space X satisfying the following:
(1°) $\emptyset, X \in T$,
(2°) $\tilde{A},\tilde{B} \in T$, then $\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B} \in T$,
(3°) $\tilde{A}_j \in T$, $j \in I$ (any index set), then $\bigcup_{j\in I} \tilde{A}_j \in T$.
T is called a fuzzy topology for X and (X,T) is called a fuzzy topological space (abbreviated as FTS).

Property 3.4. T_F is a fuzzy topology for $\mathbb{R}^n$, $(\mathbb{R}^n,T_F)$ are fuzzy topological sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ that are restricted in F.

Proof. (1°) It is obvious that $\mathbb{R}^n \in T_F$. Definition 3.3(1°) is fulfilled.

(2°) For $\tilde{D},\tilde{E} \in T_F$ and $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{D} \cap \tilde{E}$, we have $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{D}$ and $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{E}$. From Definition 3.2, there exist $\tilde{I},\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{I} \subset \tilde{D}$ and $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{J} \subset \tilde{E}$. Therefore, $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{I} \cap \tilde{J}$. Hence, $\tilde{I} \cap \tilde{J} \subset \tilde{D} \cap \tilde{E}$. Thus, $\tilde{D} \cap \tilde{E} \in T_F$. Definition 3.3(2°) is fulfilled.

(3°) For $\tilde{D}_j \in T_F$, $j \in I$, and each $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{j\in I} \tilde{D}_j$, there exists $m \in I$ such that $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{D}_m$. By Definition 3.2, there is a $\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})_\alpha \subset \tilde{J} \subset \tilde{D}_m \subset \bigcup_{j\in I} \tilde{D}_j \subset T_F$. Thus, Definition 3.3(3°) is fulfilled. □

Hence, from Definition 3.3, T_F is a fuzzy topology for $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n,T_F)$ is a fuzzy topological space, that is, if we set $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $T = T_F$ in Definition 3.3, then the definition holds. Therefore, Definitions 3.5, 3.6 and Property 3.7 can all be applied.

Definition 3.5 (Chang [1, Definition 2.3]). A fuzzy set $\tilde{U}$ in an FTS (X,T) is a neighborhood of a fuzzy set $\tilde{A}$ if and only if there exists a fuzzy set $\tilde{O} \in T$ such that $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{O} \subset \tilde{U}$.

Definition 3.6 (Chang [1, Definition 3]). If a sequence of fuzzy sets $\{\tilde{A}_n,\ n = 1,2,\dots\}$ is in an FTS (X,T), then this sequence converges to a fuzzy set $\tilde{A}$ if and only if it is eventually contained in each neighborhood of $\tilde{A}$ (i.e., if $\tilde{B}$ is any neighborhood of $\tilde{A}$, there is a positive integer m such that whenever $n \ge m$, $\tilde{A}_n \subset \tilde{B}$).

Property 3.7. $\{\tilde{A}_n\}$ are increasing fuzzy sets, $\tilde{A}_1 \subset \tilde{A}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \tilde{A}$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_{\tilde{A}_n}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) = \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the sequence $\{\tilde{A}_n,\ n = 1,2,\dots\}$ converges to $\tilde{A}$, denoted by $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{A}_n = \tilde{A}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Definition 3.6 easily. □

Definition 3.8. $\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} O((a^{(1,1)}(\alpha),a^{(1,2)}(\alpha)),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}(\alpha),a^{(n,2)}(\alpha)))_\alpha (\in T_F)$ is a neighborhood of $\widetilde{D}=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D(\alpha)_\alpha \in F_c$ if and only if for each $\alpha\in[0,1]$, there exists $O((a^{(1,1)}(\alpha),a^{(1,2)}(\alpha)),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}(\alpha),a^{(n,2)}(\alpha)))_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $D(\alpha)_\alpha \subset O((a^{(1,1)}(\alpha), a^{(1,2)}(\alpha)),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}(\alpha),a^{(n,2)}(\alpha)))_\alpha$.

Definition 3.9. In F_c, the sequence of fuzzy sets $\widetilde{D}_k=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha$, $k=1,2,\ldots$, converges to $\widetilde{D}=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D(\alpha)_\alpha$, $k=1,2,\ldots$ $(\in F_\alpha)$ if and only if for each neighborhood $\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} O((a^{(1,1)}(\alpha),a^{(1,2)}(\alpha)),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}(\alpha),a^{(n,2)}(\alpha)))_\alpha$ of $\widetilde{D}$, there exists a natural number m such that whenever $k\ge m$, $D_k(\alpha)_\alpha \subset O((a^{(1,1)}(\alpha),a^{(1,2)}(\alpha)),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}(\alpha), a^{(n,2)}(\alpha)))_\alpha$, denoted by $\lim_{k\to\infty}\widetilde{D}_k=\widetilde{D}$.

Since $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and D_α $(\in FD^*)$ is a one-to-one onto mapping, from Definition 3.9, we can get the following property.

Property 3.10. In F_c, the sequence of fuzzy sets $\widetilde{D}_k=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha, k=1,2,\ldots$, converges to $\widetilde{D}=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} D(\alpha)_\alpha$ if and only if for each $\alpha\in[0,1]$ and every neighborhood $O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))_\alpha$ of $D(\alpha)_\alpha$, there exists a natural number m such that whenever $k\ge m$, $D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\subset O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))$ if and only if for each $\alpha\in[0,1]$ and every neighborhood $O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))_\alpha$ of $D(\alpha)$, there exists m such that whenever $k\ge m$, $D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\subset O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\ldots,(a^{(n,1)}, a^{(n,2)}))$.

The convergency of fuzzy vectors needs the following property.

Property 3.11. For each $\alpha\in[0,1]$, the α-cuts $D_k(\alpha)$, $E_k(\alpha)$, $k=1,2,\ldots,m$, of $\widetilde{D}_k$, $\widetilde{E}_k$ in F_c satisfy the following:

(1°) $(D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha = D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha$,

(2°) $(D_k(\alpha)(-)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha = D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\ominus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha$,

(3°) each α-cut of $\bigcup_{k=1}^m[\widetilde{D}_k\oplus\widetilde{E}_k]$ is $\bigcup_{k=1}^m[\widetilde{D}_k(\alpha)(+)\widetilde{E}_k(\alpha)]$,

(3°-1) $(\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha)))_\alpha=\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha=\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha)= (\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)\oplus(\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)$,

(3°-2) $\bigcup_{k=1}^m(\widetilde{D}_k\oplus\widetilde{E}_k)=(\bigcup_{k=1}^m\widetilde{D}_k)\oplus(\bigcup_{k=1}^m\widetilde{E}_k)$,

(4°) the α-cut of $\bigcup_{k=1}^m(\widetilde{D}_k\ominus\widetilde{E}_k)$ is $\bigcup_{k=1}^m[D_k(\alpha)(-)E_k(\alpha)]$,

(4°-1) $(\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)(-)E_k(\alpha)))_\alpha=\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)(-)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha=\bigcup_{k=1}^m(D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\ominus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha)= (\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)\ominus(\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)$,

(4°-2) $\bigcup_{k=1}^m(\widetilde{D}_k\ominus\widetilde{E}_k)=(\bigcup_{k=1}^m D_k)\ominus(\bigcup_{k=1}^m E_k)$.

Proof. By extension principle (1°)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\ldots,z^{(n)})\\
&\quad=\sup_{\substack{z^{(j)}=x^{(j)}+y^{(j)}\\ j=1,2,\ldots,n}}\mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\ldots,x^{(n)})\\
&\qquad\wedge\mu_{E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\ldots,y^{(n)})\\
&\quad=\sup_{(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\ldots,x^{(n)})}\mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\ldots,x^{(n)})\\
&\qquad\wedge\mu_{E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)}-x^{(1)},z^{(2)}-x^{(2)},\ldots,z^{(n)}-x^{(n)})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha, \quad \text{if } (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \in D_k(\alpha),\\
&\qquad\qquad (z^{(1)}-x^{(1)},z^{(2)}-x^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}-x^{(n)}) \in E_k(\alpha),\\
&= \alpha, \quad \text{if } (z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \in D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha),\\
&= \mu_{(D_k(\alpha)+E_k(\alpha))_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \quad \forall (x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

(2°) The proof is similar to that of (1°).

(3°) Let $\tilde{S}_k = \tilde{D}_k \oplus \tilde{E}_k$; from (2.11), we have

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{S}_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha. \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, the α-cut of $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k \oplus \tilde{E}_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{S}_k$ is $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))$.

(3°-1) For each $\alpha \in [0,1]$, the subset $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ corresponds to the fuzzy set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha$. We first prove

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)\right)_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)_\alpha. \tag{3.9}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)})\\
&\quad = \bigvee_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{S_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)})\\
&\quad = \alpha, \quad \text{if } (z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \in S_k(\alpha) \text{ for some } k \in \{1,2,\dots,m\},\\
&\quad = \alpha, \quad \text{if } (z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha),\\
&\quad = \mu_{(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha))_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \quad \forall (z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, $(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha))_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} S_k(\alpha)_\alpha$. Hence

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))\right)_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha. \tag{3.11}$$

For each $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and each k, (1°) holds. Therefore,

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)_\alpha \oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha). \tag{3.12}$$

Finally, we will prove

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)_\alpha \oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)_\alpha) \oplus \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (E_k(\alpha)_\alpha). \tag{3.13}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(D_k(\alpha)_\alpha \oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha)}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \\
&\quad= \bigvee_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha \oplus E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \\
&\quad= \bigvee_{k=1}^{m} \sup_{\substack{z^{(j)}=x^{(j)}+y^{(j)} \\ j=1,2,\dots,n}} \mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \\
&\qquad \wedge \mu_{E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)}) \\
&\quad= \bigvee_{k=1}^{m} \bigvee_{(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)})} [\mu_{D_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)}-y^{(1)},z^{(2)}-y^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}-y^{(n)}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \wedge \mu_{E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)})] \\
&\quad= \bigvee_{(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)})} [\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(z^{(1)}-y^{(1)},z^{(2)}-y^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}-y^{(n)}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \wedge \mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k(\alpha)_\alpha}(y^{(1)},y^{(2)},\dots,y^{(n)})] \\
&\quad= \mu_{(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)\oplus(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k(\alpha)_\alpha)}(z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)}) \quad \forall (z^{(1)},z^{(2)},\dots,z^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

(3°-2) By decomposition theorem and (3°-1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (\widetilde{D}_k \oplus \widetilde{E}_k) &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))_\alpha \\
&= \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} (D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha))\alpha \\
&= \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \left[\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right) \oplus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Let $\widetilde{A} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \widetilde{D}_k$, $\widetilde{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \widetilde{E}_k$. From (3.9),

$$A(\alpha)_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \widetilde{D}_k(\alpha)_\alpha, \quad B(\alpha)_\alpha = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \widetilde{E}_k(\alpha)_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0,1], \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{A} \oplus \widetilde{B} &= \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} [A(\alpha)(+)B(\alpha)]_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} [A(\alpha)_\alpha \oplus B(\alpha)_\alpha] \\
&= \bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \left[\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right) \oplus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.15), (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k\oplus\tilde{E}_k)&=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}\left[\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}D_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right)\oplus\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}E_k(\alpha)_\alpha\right)\right]\\&=\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k\right)\oplus\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Properties (4°), (4°-1), and (4°-2) can be proved similarly as (3°), (3°-1), and (3°-2). □

Property 3.12. $\tilde{D}_k\in F_c$, $k=1,2,\dots,m$, and $q\neq 0$; then
(1°) the α-cut of $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(q_1\odot\tilde{D}_k)$ is $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(q(\cdot)D_k(\alpha))$,
(2°) $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(q(\odot)D_k(\alpha))_\alpha=q_1\odot(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}D_k(\alpha)_\alpha)$,
(3°) $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(q_1\odot\tilde{D}_k)=q_1\odot(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k)$.

Proof. The proof goes on the lines of the proof of Property 3.11. □

Property 3.13. $\tilde{D}_m,\tilde{E}_m,\tilde{D},\tilde{E}\in F_c$, $m=1,2,\dots$, and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_m=\tilde{D}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{E}_m=\tilde{E}$, then
(1°) $\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{D}_m\oplus\tilde{E}_m)=\tilde{D}\oplus\tilde{E}=\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{D}_m)\oplus\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{E}_m)$,
(2°) $\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{D}_m\ominus\tilde{E}_m)=\tilde{D}\ominus\tilde{E}=\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{D}_m)\ominus\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{E}_m)$,
(3°) $\lim_{m\to\infty}(k_1\odot\tilde{D}_m)=k_1\odot\tilde{D}=k_1\odot(\lim_{m\to\infty}(\tilde{D}_m))$, $k\neq 0$.

Proof. (1°) Since $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_m=\tilde{D}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{E}_m=\tilde{E}$, by Property 3.10, for each $\alpha\in[0,1]$ and every neighborhood $O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\dots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))$ of $D(\alpha)$, there exists a natural number $m^{(1)}$ such that when $k\geq m^{(1)}$, $D_k(\alpha)\subset O((a^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}),\dots,(a^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}))$. Also, for every neighborhood $O((b^{(1,1)},b^{(1,2)}),\dots,(b^{(n,1)},b^{(n,2)}))$ of $E(\alpha)$, there exists a natural number $m^{(2)}$ such that when $k\geq m^{(2)}$, $E_k(\alpha)\subset O((b^{(1,1)},b^{(1,2)}),\dots,(b^{(n,1)},b^{(n,2)}))$.

Let $m=\max(m^{(1)},m^{(2)})$. Then, for each $\alpha\in[0,1]$, when $k\geq m$, by (3.2), we have $D_k(\alpha)(+)E_k(\alpha)\subset O((a^{(1,1)}+b^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}+b^{(1,2)}),\dots,(a^{(n,1)}+b^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}+b^{(n,2)}))$ ($\in T_F$), and $O((a^{(1,1)}+b^{(1,1)},a^{(1,2)}+b^{(1,2)}),\dots,(a^{(n,1)}+b^{(n,1)},a^{(n,2)}+b^{(n,2)}))$ is the neighborhood of $D(\alpha)(+)E(\alpha)$. By decomposition theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{D}_k\oplus\tilde{E}_k&=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}[D_k(\alpha)+E_k(\alpha)]_\alpha,\\\tilde{D}\oplus\tilde{E}&=\bigcup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}[D(\alpha)+E(\alpha)]_\alpha.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Hence, by Property 3.10, we have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_m\oplus\tilde{E}_m=\tilde{D}\oplus\tilde{E}$.

Properties (2°) and (3°) can be proved the same way as (1°). □

Property 3.14. $\tilde{D}_k,\tilde{E}_k,\tilde{D},\tilde{E}\in F_c$, $k=1,2,\dots$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=\mu_{\tilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}),\\&\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\\&\qquad=\mu_{\tilde{E}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\quad\forall(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n,\\&\qquad\qquad\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k}\subset\tilde{D},\quad\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k}\subset\tilde{E},\quad\forall m=1,2,\dots,\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

then

(1°) $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k\oplus\tilde{E}_k)=\tilde{D}\oplus\tilde{E}=(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k)\oplus(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k)$,

(2°) $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k\ominus\tilde{E}_k)=\tilde{D}\ominus\tilde{E}=(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k)\ominus(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k)$,

(3°) when $q\neq 0$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(q_1\odot\tilde{D}_k)=q_1\odot\tilde{D}$.

Proof. (1°) Since $\tilde{D}_1\subset\tilde{D}_1\cup\tilde{D}_2\subset\cdots\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k\subset\cdots\subseteq\tilde{D}$ and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots x^{(n)})=\mu_{\tilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)}) \tag{3.21}$$

for all $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n$, hence, by Property 3.7, we have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k=\tilde{D}$. Similarly, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k=\tilde{E}$. By Property 3.11(3°-2),

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k\oplus\tilde{E}_k)=\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k\right)\oplus\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k\right). \tag{3.22}$$

From Property 3.13(1°),

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k\oplus\tilde{E}_k)=\left(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{D}_k)\right)\oplus\left(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}(\tilde{E}_k)\right)=\tilde{D}\oplus\tilde{E}, \tag{3.23}$$

and (2°), (3°) can be proved as (1°). □

Next, we will discuss the convergency of the fuzzy vectors in SFR.

Property 3.15. For $\tilde{D}_m,\tilde{E}_m,\tilde{D},\tilde{E}\in F_c$, $m=1,2,\dots$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_m=\tilde{D}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{E}_m=\tilde{E}$, then the fuzzy vectors $\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_m\tilde{D}_m}$, $m=1,2,\dots$, converge to the fuzzy vectors $\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}\tilde{D}}$.

Proof. Since $\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_m\tilde{D}_m}=\tilde{D}_m\ominus\tilde{E}_m$, $\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}\tilde{D}}=\tilde{D}\ominus\tilde{E}$, then, by Property 3.13(2°),

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_m\tilde{D}_m}=\tilde{D}\ominus\tilde{E}=\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}\tilde{D}}. \tag{3.24}$$

□

Property 3.16. $\tilde{D}_k,\tilde{E}_k,\tilde{D},\tilde{E}\in F_c$, $k=1,2\dots$; let $\tilde{Q}_m=\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k$, $\tilde{S}_m=\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k$, and let $\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\tilde{Q}_m}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=\mu_{\tilde{D}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\tilde{S}_m}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})=\mu_{\tilde{E}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})$ for all $(x^{(1)},x^{(2)},\dots,x^{(n)})\in\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\tilde{Q}_m\subset\tilde{D}$, $\tilde{S}_m\subset\tilde{E}$. Then the sequence of fuzzy vectors $\overrightarrow{\tilde{S}_m\tilde{Q}_m}$, $m=1,2,\dots$, converges to the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}\tilde{D}}$.

Proof. Similar to Property 3.14, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k=\tilde{D}$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k=\tilde{E}$. By Property 3.13(2°), $\lim_{m\to\infty}\overrightarrow{\tilde{S}_m\tilde{Q}_m}=(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{D}_k)\ominus(\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\tilde{E}_k)=\tilde{D}\ominus\tilde{E}=\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}\tilde{D}}$.

For convenience, we denote $(q_1^{(1)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_1\tilde{D}_1})\oplus(q_1^{(2)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_2\tilde{D}_2})\oplus\cdots\oplus(q_1^{(r)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_r\tilde{D}_r})$ by $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_k\tilde{D}_k})$. □

Property 3.17. $\tilde{D}_{m,k},\tilde{E}_{m,k},\tilde{D}_k,\tilde{E}_k\in F_c$, $m=1,2,\dots$, $k=1,2,\dots,r$, and for each $k\in\{1,2,\dots,r\}$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_{k,m}=\tilde{D}_k$, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_{k,m}=\tilde{D}_k$, $q^k\neq 0$. The sequence of the fuzzy vectors $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_{m,k}\tilde{D}_{m,k}})$, $m=1,2,\dots$, converges to the fuzzy vector $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_k\tilde{D}_k})$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_{m,k}\tilde{D}_{m,k}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot(\tilde{D}_{m,k}\ominus\tilde{E}_{m,k}))$, $m=1,2,\dots$, for each k, by Property 3.13(2°), $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{D}_{m,k}\ominus\tilde{E}_{m,k} = \tilde{D}_k\ominus\tilde{E}_k$. By Property 3.13(1°), (3°), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{m\to\infty}&\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot(\tilde{D}_{m,k}\ominus\tilde{E}_{m,k}))\\ &=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot(\tilde{D}_k\ominus\tilde{E}_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{r}\oplus(q_1^{(k)}\odot\overrightarrow{\tilde{E}_k\tilde{D}_k}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

□

Example 3.18. Consider the fuzzy vectors $\lim_{m\to\infty}\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}_m}$ in Example 2.11. Let

$$\mu_{\tilde{Z}}(x,y) = \begin{cases}1-(x-10)^2-(y-30)^2, & \text{if } (x-10)^2+(y-30)^2\le 1,\\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

We will prove $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{Z}_m = \tilde{Z}$. Since $C((10,30),1+1/m)\subset C((10,30),1+1/(m-1))$ and for any $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(1+1/m)^2}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^2-(x-10)^2-(y-30)^2\right]\\ &\quad\le\frac{1}{(1+1/(m-1))^2}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{m-1}\right)^2-(x-10)^2-(y-30)^2\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

therefore, $\mu_{\tilde{Z}_m}(x,y)\le\mu_{\tilde{Z}_{m-1}}(x,y)$ for all $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$, and hence $\tilde{Z}_1\supset\tilde{Z}_2\supset\cdots\supset\tilde{Z}_m\supset\cdots\supset\tilde{Z}$, and obviously, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\tilde{Z}_m}(x,y)=\mu_{\tilde{Z}}(x,y)$ for all $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\tilde{Z}'_m$, $\tilde{Z}'$ be the complement fuzzy sets of $\tilde{Z}_m$, $\tilde{Z}$, respectively. We have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\tilde{Z}'_m}(x,y) = \mu_{\tilde{Z}'}(x,y)$ for all $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\tilde{Z}'_1\subset\tilde{Z}'_2\subset\cdots\subset\tilde{Z}'_m\subset\cdots\subset\tilde{Z}'$. By Property 3.7, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{Z}+m' = \tilde{Z}'$. Thus, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\tilde{Z}_m=\tilde{Z}$. Therefore, from Property 3.15, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}_m} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}}$. Thus, the membership function of $\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}}}(x,y)&=\mu_{\tilde{Z}\ominus\tilde{Q}}(x,y)\\ &=\sup_{\substack{x=x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}\\ y=x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}}}\mu_{\tilde{Z}}(x^{(1)},x^{(2)})\wedge\mu_{\tilde{Q}}(y^{(1)},y^{(2)})\\ &=\mu_{\tilde{Z}}(x+1,y+2)\\ &=\begin{cases}1-(x-9)^2-(y-28)^2, & \text{if } (x-9)^2-(y-28)^2\le 1,\\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

In the crisp case, starting from $Q=(1,2)$, aiming at $Z=(10,30)$, we could have the vector $\overrightarrow{QZ}=(9,28)$. The grade of membership of $\overrightarrow{QZ}$ which belongs to the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}}$ is $\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{Z}}}(9,28)=1$, that is, the grade of membership function of the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{Z}}$ for the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{PS}$ is 1, and the point $R=(9.5,29.5)$ is in the circle of center $(9,28)$ and radius 1. The crisp vector of Q to $\mathbb{R}$ is $\overrightarrow{QR}=(8.5,27.5)$. The grade of membership function of $\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{\tilde{Z}}}$ is $\mu_{\overrightarrow{\tilde{Q}\tilde{\tilde{Z}}}}(8.5, 27.5) = 0.5$, that is, the grade of membership function of the fuzzy vector $\overrightarrow{\tilde{P}\tilde{\tilde{Z}}}$ for the crisp vector $\overrightarrow{QR}$ is 0.5.

References

[1] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182–190.

[2] A. Kaufmann and M. M. Gupta, *Introduction to Fuzzy Arithmetic. Theory and Applications*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1991.

[3] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, *Fuzzy topology. I. Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **76** (1980), no. 2, 571–599.

[4] K. Wu, *Extension of n-dimensional Euclidean vector space E^n over $\mathbb{R}$ to pseudo-fuzzy vector space over $F_p^1(1)$*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2003** (2003), no. 37, 2349–2373.

Kweimei Wu: Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru