

FUZZY MULTIPLY POSITIVE IMPLICATIVE HYPER BCK-IDEALS OF HYPER BCK-ALGEBRAS

JIANMING ZHAN, DAJING XIANG, and ZHISONG TAN

Received 23 June 2003

We consider the fuzzification of the notion of fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of BCK-algebras and then some related results are obtained. Using the concept of level subsets, we give a characterization of a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal. We state a relation between a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal and a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal. Moreover, we introduce the notions of Noetherian hyper BCK-algebras and hyper homomorphisms of hyper BCK-algebras and investigate some related properties. Finally, we introduce the concept of hyper normalization of hyper BCK-algebras and discuss related properties.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 20N20.

1. Introduction. The hyper structure theory (also called multialgebras) was introduced in 1934 by Marty [6] at the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. Around the 1940s, several authors worked on hypergroups, especially in France and in the United States, but also in Italy, Poland, and Japan. Over the following decades, many important results appeared, but above all since the 1970s onwards the most luxuriant flourishing of hyper structures has been hyper structures with many applications to several sections of both pure and applied sciences. Jun et al. [5] applied the hyper structures to BCK-algebras, introduced the concept of a hyper BCK-algebra which is a generalization of a BCK-algebra, and investigated some related properties. In [1], Dudek and Jun obtained some properties of (maximal) normal fuzzy ideals in BCC-algebra. In [2], Dudek et al. described the properties of fuzzy BCC-ideals in BCC-algebras and its images and also gave an extension of a fuzzy BCC-ideal μ of a given BCC-subalgebra S of X to a fuzzy BCC-ideal $\bar{\mu}$ of X such that μ and $\bar{\mu}$ have the same image. In this paper, we introduce the concept of fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras and obtain some related properties.

2. Preliminaries. Let H be a nonempty set endowed with a hyperoperation “ \circ ,” that is, \circ is a function from $H \times H$ to $P^*(H) = P(H) - \{\emptyset\}$. For two subsets A and B of H , denote by $A \circ B$ the set $\bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b$.

By a hyper BCK-algebra we mean a nonempty set H endowed with a hyperoperation “ \circ ” and constant 0 satisfying the following axioms:

(HK1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \leq x \circ y$;

(HK2) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$;

(HK3) $x \circ H \ll \{x\}$;

(HK4) $x \ll y$ and $y \ll x$ imply $x = y$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x \ll y$ is denoted by $0 \in x \circ y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$. $A \ll B$ is defined by, for all $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \ll b$. In this case, we call “ \ll ” the hyperorder in H .

In any hyper BCK-algebra H , the following hold:

- (1) $x \circ 0 \ll \{x\}$, $0 \circ x \ll \{x\}$, and $0 \circ 0 \ll \{0\}$;
- (2) $(A \circ B) \circ C = (A \circ C) \circ B$, $A \circ B \ll A$, and $0 \circ A \ll \{0\}$;
- (3) $0 \circ 0 \ll x$;
- (4) $0 \ll x$;
- (5) $x \circ x$;
- (6) $A \ll A$;
- (7) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A \ll B$;
- (8) $0 \circ x = \{0\}$;
- (9) $0 \circ A = \{0\}$;
- (10) $A \ll \{0\}$ implies $A = \{0\}$;
- (11) $x \in x \circ 0$;
- (12) $x \circ 0 \ll \{y\}$ implies $x \ll y$;
- (13) $y \ll z$ implies $x \circ z \ll x \circ y$;
- (14) $y \circ y = \{0\}$ implies $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) = \{0\}$ and $x \circ z \ll y \circ z$;
- (15) $A \circ \{0\} = \{0\}$ implies $A = \{0\}$,

for all $x, y, z \in H$ and for all nonempty subsets A, B , and C of H .

PROPOSITION 2.1 [5]. *In a hyper BCK-algebra, the condition (HK3) is equivalent to the condition (HK5) $x \circ y \ll \{x\}$ for all $x, y \in H$.*

DEFINITION 2.2 [5]. A nonempty subset I of a hyper BCK-algebra H is called a hyper BCK-ideal of H if

(HI1) $0 \in I$;

(HI2) $x \circ y \ll I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$,

for all $x, y \in H$.

By a fuzzy set μ in H we mean a function $\mu : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For a fuzzy set μ in H and $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\mu; t) = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called a level subset of μ .

DEFINITION 2.3 [4]. A fuzzy set μ in a hyper BCK-algebra H is called a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H if

(FHI1) $x \ll y$ implies $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$;

(FHI2) $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\inf_{a \in x \circ y} \mu(a), \mu(y)\}$,

for all $x, y \in H$.

THEOREM 2.4 [4]. *Let μ be a fuzzy set in a hyper BCK-algebra H . Then μ is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H if and only if $U(\mu; t)$ is a hyper BCK-ideal of H whenever $U(\mu; t) \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

3. Multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals. For any $x, y \in H$, $x \circ y^n$ denotes $(\dots((x \circ y) \circ y) \circ y) \circ \dots \circ y$ in which y occurs n times.

TABLE 3.1

\circ	0	1	2
0	{0}	{0}	{0}
1	{1}	{0}	{0}
2	{2}	{2}	{0,2}

TABLE 3.2

\circ	0	1	2
0	{0}	{0}	{0}
1	{1}	{0,1}	{0}
2	{2}	{2}	{0,2}

DEFINITION 3.1. A nonempty subset I of a hyper BCK-algebra H is called a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) $0 \in I$;
- (II) for every $x, y, z \in H$, there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll I$ whenever $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll I$ and $y \circ z^m \ll I$ for any natural numbers m and n .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then Table 3.1 shows a hyper BCK-algebra structure on H .

It is easy to check that $A = \{0, 2\}$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

DEFINITION 3.3. Let H be a hyper BCK-algebra. Then H is called multiply positive implicative if, for any $x, y \in H$, there exists a positive integer $n = n(x, y)$ such that $x \circ y^{n+1} = x \circ y^n$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Consider Table 3.2.

Then $(H, \circ, 0)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-algebra.

THEOREM 3.5. Let H be a hyper BCK-algebra, then H is multiply positive if and only if, for any $x, y, z \in H$, there exists a natural number $n = n(x, y, z)$ such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n = (x \circ z^n) \circ (y \circ z^n)$.

PROOF. Assume that for any $x, y, z \in H$, there exists a natural number $n = n(x, y, z)$ such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n = (x \circ z^n) \circ (y \circ z^n)$. Putting $z = y$, then $x \circ y^{n+1} = (x \circ y) \circ y^n = (x \circ y^n) \circ (y \circ y^n) = (x \circ y^n) \circ 0 = x \circ y^n$. Hence H is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-algebra. Conversely, let H be a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-algebra. Let $x, z \in H$, then there exists a natural number $n = n(x, z)$, such that $x \circ z^n = x \circ z^{n+1}$, and so $x \circ z^n = x \circ z^{2n}$. Hence $(x \circ z^n) \circ (y \circ z^n) = (x \circ z^{2n}) \circ (y \circ z^n) = ((x \circ z^{2n-1}) \circ z) \circ ((y \circ z^{n-1}) \circ z) \ll (x \circ z^{2n-1}) \ll \dots \ll (x \circ z^n) \circ y = (x \circ y) \circ z^n$. On the other hand, $y \circ z^n \ll y$. Therefore $(x \circ y) \circ z^n = (x \circ z^n) \circ y \ll (x \circ z^n) \circ (y \circ z^n)$. Hence $(x \circ y) \circ z^n = (x \circ z^n) \circ (y \circ z^n)$. This completes the proof. \square

TABLE 4.1

\circ	0	a	b
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{0, a, b\}$

THEOREM 3.6. *Let H be a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) A is a hyper BCK-ideal;
- (ii) A is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x, y, z \in H$. Assume that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A$ and $y \circ z^m \ll A$. Then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^k = (x \circ z^k) \circ (y \circ z^k)$. Putting $l = \max\{n, m, k\}$, then we have $(x \circ y) \circ z^l \ll (x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A$, $y \circ z^l \ll y \circ z^m \ll A$, and $(x \circ y) \circ z^l = (x \circ z^l) \circ (x \circ z^l) \circ (y \circ z^l)$. Since A is a hyper BCK-ideal, then $x \circ z^l \ll A$. Hence A is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $x \in A$, $y \circ x \ll A$. Putting $z = 0$, then $y \circ x = (y \circ x) \circ z \ll A$, $x = x \circ z \ll A$. Since A is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal, then there exists a natural number m , such that $y \circ z^m \ll A$, and thus $y \in A$. Therefore A is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H . \square

4. Fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals

DEFINITION 4.1. A fuzzy set μ in a hyper BCK-algebra H is called a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $x \ll y$ implies $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$;
- (ii) for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \quad \forall x, y, z \in H. \quad (4.1)$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. Consider a hyper BCK-algebra $H = \{0, a, b\}$ with Cayley table (Table 4.1)

Define a fuzzy set μ in H by $\mu(0) = \mu(a) = 0.8$ and $\mu(b) = 0.4$. It is routine to verify that μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

THEOREM 4.3. *Let μ be a fuzzy set in a hyper BCK-algebra H . Then μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H if and only if all nonempty $U(\mu; t)$ are multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H .*

PROOF. Let μ be a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H and assume $U(\mu; t) \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then there exists $a \in U(\mu; t)$ and hence $\mu(a) \geq t$. Therefore $\mu(0) \geq \mu(a) \geq t$ and so $0 \in U(\mu; t)$. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll U(\mu; t)$ and $y \circ z^m \ll U(\mu; t)$. Thus, for any $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n$ and $b \in y \circ z^m$, there exist $a_0 \in U(\mu; t)$ and $b_0 \in U(\mu; t)$, such that $a \ll a_0$ and $b \ll b_0$, hence $\mu(a_0) \leq \mu(a)$ and $\mu(b_0) \leq \mu(b)$. Then $\mu(a) \geq t$ and $\mu(b) \geq t$ for all $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n$ and $b \in y \circ z^m$.

It follows that $\inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a) \geq t$ and $\inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \geq t$. By Definition 4.1, there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \geq t \quad (4.2)$$

and $x \circ z^k \ll U(\mu; t)$. Therefore $U(\mu; t)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

Conversely, assume that for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $U(\mu; t) (\neq \emptyset)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Let $x \ll y$ and $t = \mu(y)$. Then $y \in U(\mu; t)$ and thus $x \in U(\mu; t)$. It follows that $\mu(x) \geq t = \mu(y)$. Let $x, y, z \in H$, and put

$$t = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, for each $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n$ and $b \in y \circ z^m$, we have

$$\mu(a) \geq \inf_{a_0 \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a_0) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a_0 \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a_0), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} = t. \quad (4.4)$$

Thus $a \in U(\mu; t)$ and so $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \subseteq U(\mu; t)$. Hence $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll U(\mu; t)$. Similarly, $y \circ z^m \ll U(\mu; t)$. Since $U(\mu; t)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll U(\mu; t)$, and thus

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq t = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . \square

THEOREM 4.4. For any subset I of H , let μ_I be a fuzzy set in H defined by

$$\mu_I(x) = \begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ t_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x \in H$, where $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 > t_2$. Then I is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H if and only if μ_I is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

PROOF. Assume that I is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Note that

$$U(\mu_I; t) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } t_1 < t \leq 1, \\ I & \text{if } t_2 < t \leq t_1, \\ H & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_2, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

which is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that μ_I is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Conversely, if μ_I is a fuzzy multiply positive hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $U(\mu_I; t) = I$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . \square

TABLE 4.2

\circ	0	a	b
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
a	$\{a\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
b	$\{b\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{0, a\}$

THEOREM 4.5. *Every fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal.*

PROOF. Let μ be a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x) &= \mu(x \circ 0^k) \geq \inf_{c \in x \circ 0^k} \mu(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ 0^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ 0^m} \mu(b) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in x \circ y} \mu(a), \mu(y) \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Hence μ is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H . \square

The converse of [Theorem 4.5](#) may not be true as seen in Cayley table ([Table 4.2](#)).

Define a fuzzy set μ in H by $\mu(0) = t_1$ and $\mu(a) = \mu(b) = t_2$ for every $t_1 > t_2$ in $[0, 1]$. It can be easily checked that μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H since

$$\inf_{l \in b \circ a} \mu(l) = t_2 < t_1 = \min \left\{ \inf_{m \in (b \circ a) \circ a} \mu(m), \inf_{n \in a \circ a} \mu(n) \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Now we give a condition for a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal to be a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let H be a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-algebra, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) μ is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal;
- (ii) μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that μ is a fuzzy hyper BCK-ideal of H . By [Theorem 2.4](#), we have $U(\mu; t)$ is a hyper BCK-ideal of H for any $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $U(\mu; t)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H by [Theorem 3.6](#). It follows from [Theorem 4.3](#) that μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). It is obtained by [Theorem 4.5](#). \square

COROLLARY 4.7. *If μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , the set $I = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .*

PROOF. Clearly $0 \in I$. Let $x, y, z \in H$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll I$ and $y \circ z^m \ll I$. Then for $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n$ and $b \in y \circ z^m$, there exist $a_0 \in I$ and $b_0 \in I$ such that $a \ll a_0$

and $b \ll b_0$. Then $\mu(a) \geq \mu(a_0) = \mu(0)$ and $\mu(b) \geq \mu(b_0) = \mu(0)$. It follows that

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} = \mu(0) \quad (4.10)$$

and that $\mu(c) = \mu(0)$. Hence $x \circ z^k \ll I$, as desired. \square

THEOREM 4.8. *If μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $\mu(x) = \sup\{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid x \in U(\mu; t)\}$ for all $x \in H$.*

PROOF. Let $\beta = \sup\{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid x \in U(\mu; t)\}$ and consider an arbitrary natural number ϵ . Then there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $x \in U(\mu; \alpha)$ and $\beta - \epsilon < \alpha$. It follows that $\mu(x) \geq \alpha > \beta - \epsilon$, so that $\mu(x) \geq \beta$ since ϵ is arbitrary. Now, let $\mu(x) = \gamma$. Then $x \in U(\mu; \gamma)$ and so $\gamma \in \{\alpha \in [0, 1] \mid x \in U(\mu; \alpha)\} = \beta$. Therefore $\mu(x) = \beta$, as desired. \square

Now, we state the converse of [Theorem 4.8](#).

THEOREM 4.9. *Let Λ be a nonempty subset of $[0, 1]$ and let $\{I_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H such that $H = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_\alpha$ and, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, $\beta > \alpha$ if and only if $I_\beta \subset I_\alpha$. Define a fuzzy set μ in H by $\mu(x) = \sup\{\alpha \in \Lambda \mid x \in I_\alpha\}$ for all $x \in H$. Then μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .*

PROOF. Using [Theorem 4.3](#), it is sufficient to show that the nonempty level set $U(\mu; \alpha)$ of μ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H for every $\delta \in [0, 1]$. We should consider two cases as follows:

- (i) $\delta = \sup\{\alpha \in \Lambda \mid \alpha < \delta\}$,
- (ii) $\delta \neq \sup\{\alpha \in \Lambda \mid \alpha < \delta\}$.

For the case (i), we have $x \in U(\mu; \delta) \Leftrightarrow x \in I_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \delta \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \delta} I_\alpha$ whence $U(\mu; \delta) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \delta} I_\alpha$, which is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Case (ii) implies that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(\delta - \epsilon, \delta) \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$. If $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \delta} I_\alpha$, then $x \in I_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \geq \delta$. It follows that $\mu(x) \geq \alpha \geq \delta$, so that $x \in U(\mu; \delta)$. This proves that $\bigcup_{\alpha \geq \delta} I_\alpha \subseteq U(\mu; \delta)$. Assume that $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \delta} I_\alpha$. Then $x \notin I_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \geq \delta$, which implies that $x \notin I_\alpha$ for all $\alpha > \delta - \epsilon$, that is, if $x \in I_\alpha$, then $\alpha \leq \delta - \epsilon$. Thus $\mu(x) \leq \delta - \epsilon < \delta$ and so $x \notin U(\mu; \delta)$. Therefore $U(\mu; \delta) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \delta} I_\alpha$, and consequently $U(\mu; \delta) = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \delta} I_\alpha$, which is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . \square

5. Noetherian hyper BCK-algebras

DEFINITION 5.1. A hyper BCK-algebra H is said to satisfy the ascending (resp., descending) chain condition (briefly, ACC (resp., DCC)) if, for every ascending (resp., descending) sequence $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ (resp., $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$) of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H , there exists a natural number n such that $A_n = A_k$ for all $n \geq k$.

DEFINITION 5.2. A hyper BCK-algebra H is said to be Noetherian if H satisfies the ACC for multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals.

Let μ be a fuzzy set in H . We note that $\text{Im}\mu$ is a bounded subset of $[0, 1]$. Hence, we can consider a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}\mu$ which is either increasing or decreasing.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let H be a hyper BCK-algebra satisfying DCC and let μ be a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H . If a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}\mu$ is strictly increasing, then μ has a finite number of values.*

PROOF. Let $\{t_n\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of elements of $\text{Im}\mu$. Then $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots \leq 1$. Define $\mu_r = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) \geq t_r\}$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then μ_r is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal by Theorem 4.3. Let $x \in \mu_r$, then $\mu(x) \geq t_r > t_{r-1}$, which implies that $x \in \mu_{r-1}$. Hence $\mu_r \subseteq \mu_{r-1}$. Since $t_{r-1} \in \text{Im}\mu$, there exists $x_{r-1} \in H$, such that $\mu(x_{r-1}) = t_{r-1}$. It follows that $x_{r-1} \in \mu_{r-1}$, but $x_{r-1} \notin \mu_r$. Thus $\mu_r \subsetneq \mu_{r-1}$, and so we obtain a strictly descending sequence $\mu_1 \supsetneq \mu_2 \supsetneq \mu_3 \supsetneq \dots$ of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H which is not terminating. This contradicts the assumption that H satisfies DCC, completing the proof. \square

Now we consider the converse of Theorem 5.3.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let H be a hyper BCK-algebra. If every fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H has a finite number of values, then H satisfies DCC.*

PROOF. Suppose H does not satisfy DCC, then there exists a strictly descending chain $A_0 \supsetneq A_1 \supsetneq A_2 \supsetneq \dots$ of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H . Define a fuzzy set ν in H by

$$\nu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{i+1} & \text{if } x \in A_i - A_{i-1}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where A_0 stands for H . We prove that ν is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$. If $y \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$, then $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$, and thus $\nu(x) = 1 = \nu(y)$. If $y \in A_i - A_{i-1}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $x \in A_i$, and thus $\nu(x) \geq i/(i+1) = \nu(y)$. Let $x, y, z \in H$. Assume that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_i - A_{i-1}$ and $y \circ z^m \in A_j - A_{j+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i \leq j$. Then clearly $y \circ z^m \ll A_i$. Since A_i is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_i$. Hence

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \nu(c) \geq \frac{i}{i+1} = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \nu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \nu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

If $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ and $y \circ z^m \ll \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$. Thus

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \nu(c) = 1 = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \nu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \nu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

If $(x \circ y) \circ z^n$ does not belong to $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_n$ and $y \circ z^m \ll \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^k \ll A_t - A_{t+1}$. It follows that $x \circ z^k \ll A_t$ so that

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} v(c) \geq \frac{t}{t+1} = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} v(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} v(b) \right\}. \quad (5.4)$$

Finally assume that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$ and $y \circ z^m$ does not belong to $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$, then $y \circ z^k \in A_r - A_{r+1}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_r$, and thus

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} v(c) \geq \frac{r}{r+1} = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} v(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} v(b) \right\}. \quad (5.5)$$

Consequently we find that v is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal and v has an infinite number of different values. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 5.5. *For any hyper BCK-algebra H , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) H is Noetherian;
- (ii) the set of values of any fuzzy multiply positive hyper BCK-ideal on H is a well-ordered subset of $[0, 1]$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal whose set of values is not a well-ordered subset of $[0, 1]$. Then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $\mu(x_n) = t_n$. Let $B_n = \{x \in H \mid \mu(x) \geq t_n\}$, then $B_1 \subsetneq B_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is a strictly ascending chain of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H , contradicting the assumption that H is Noetherian.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that condition (ii) is satisfied and H is not Noetherian. Then there exists a strictly ascending chain

$$A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq A_3 \subsetneq \dots \quad (5.6)$$

of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals of H . Suppose $A = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} A_r$. Then A is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Define a fuzzy set v in H by

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin A_r, \\ \frac{1}{r} & r = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in A_r\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

We claim that v is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$. If $y \in A_r - A_{r-1}$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$, then $x \in A_r$. Hence $v(x) \geq 1/r = v(y)$. If $y \in A_r$, then $x \in A_r$. Hence $v(x) \geq 1/r \geq v(y)$. Now let $x, y, z \in H$. If $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_r - A_{r-1}$ and $y \ll A_r - A_{r-1}$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_r$. It follows that

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} v(c) \geq \frac{1}{r} = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} v(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} v(b) \right\}. \quad (5.8)$$

Assume that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_r$ and $y \circ z^m \in A_r - A_s$ for $s < r$. Since A_r is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$

such that $x \circ z^k \in A_r$. Hence

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} v(c) \geq \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{s+1} \geq \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} v(b) \quad (5.9)$$

and so

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} v(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} v(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} v(b) \right\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Similarly for the case $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_r - A_s$ and $y \circ z^m \ll A_r$, there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that (5.10) holds: thus v is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . Since chain (5.6) is not terminating, v has a strictly descending sequence of values. This contradicts the assumption that the value set of any fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal is well ordered. Hence H is Noetherian. \square

We note that a set is well ordered if and only if it does not contain any infinite descending sequence.

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots\} \cup \{0\}$, where $\{t_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence $(0, 1)$. Then a hyper BCK-algebra is Noetherian if and only if, for each fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , $\text{Im } \mu \subseteq S$ implies that there exists a positive integer r_0 such that $\text{Im } \mu \subseteq \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r_0}\} \cup \{0\}$.*

PROOF. Assume that H is a Noetherian hyper BCK-algebra and let μ be an ideal of H . Then by Theorem 5.5, $\text{Im } \mu$ is a well-ordered subset of $[0, 1]$, and so the condition is necessary.

Conversely, assume that the condition is satisfied. Suppose H is not Noetherian. Then there exists a strictly ascending chain of multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals

$$A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq A_3 \subsetneq \dots \quad (5.11)$$

Define a fuzzy set μ in H by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} t_1 & \text{if } x \in A_1, \\ t_r & \text{if } x \in A_r - A_{r-1}, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in H - \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r. \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$. If $y \in H - \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r$, then $x \in H - \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r$, and thus $\mu(x) = 0 = \mu(y)$. If $y \in A_r - A_{r-1}$, then $x \in A_r$, and thus $\mu(x) \geq t_r = \mu(y)$. If $y \in A_1$, then $x \in A_1$, and thus $\mu(x) = t_1 = \mu(y)$. Now let $x, y, z \in H$. If either $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll H - \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r$ or $y \circ z^m \ll H - \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} A_r$, then either $\inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a)$ or $\inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b)$ is equal to 0 and hence

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

If $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_1$ and $y \circ z^m \ll A_1$, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_1$, and thus

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) = t_1 = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.14)$$

If $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_r - A_{r-1}$ and $y \circ z^m \in A_r - A_{r-1}$, then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_r$. Hence

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq t_r = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.15)$$

Assume that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_1$ and $y \circ z^m \in A_r - A_{r-1}$ for $r = 2, 3, \dots$. Then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $x \circ z^k \ll A_r$, and therefore

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq t_r = \min \{t_1, t_2\} = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.16)$$

Similarly, for $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll A_r - A_{r-1}$ and $y \circ z^m \ll A_1$, $r = 2, 3, \dots$, we obtain

$$\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq t_r = \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\}. \quad (5.17)$$

Consequently, μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal. This contradicts our assumption. Hence H is Noetherian. \square

6. Hyper homomorphism of hyper BCK-algebras

DEFINITION 6.1 [3]. A mapping $f : G \rightarrow H$ of hyper BCK-algebras is called a hyper homomorphism if

- (I) $f(0) = 0$;
- (II) $f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

LEMMA 6.2 [3]. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a hyper homomorphism of hyper BCK-algebras. If $x \ll y$ in G , then $f(x) \ll f(y)$ in H .

THEOREM 6.3. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a hyper homomorphism of hyper BCK-algebras. If I is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $f^{-1}(I)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of G .

PROOF. Clearly, $0 \in f^{-1}(I)$. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that $(x \circ y) \circ z^n \ll f^{-1}(I)$ and $y \circ z^m \ll f^{-1}(I)$. Then for every $a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n$ and $b \in y \circ z^m$, there exist $a_0 \in f^{-1}(I)$ and $b_0 \in f^{-1}(I)$ such that $a \ll a_0$ and $b \ll b_0$, that is, $0 \in a \circ a_0$ and $0 \in b \circ b_0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(0) \in f(a \circ a_0) = f(a) \circ f(a_0) \subseteq f((x \circ y) \circ z^n) \circ I = ((f(x) \circ f(y)) \circ f(z)^n) \circ I, \\ 0 &= f(0) \in f(b \circ b_0) = f(b) \circ f(b_0) \subseteq f(y \circ z^m) \circ I = (f(y) \circ f(z)^m) \circ I. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Hence $(f(x) \circ f(y)) \circ f(z)^n \ll I$ and $f(y) \circ f(z)^m \ll I$. Since I is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then there exists a natural number $k = k(x, y, z)$ such that $f(x) \circ f(z)^k \ll I$, that is, $f(x \circ z^k) \ll I$, so that $x \circ z^k \ll f^{-1}(I)$. Hence $f^{-1}(I)$ is a multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of G . \square

Now we put forward the following open question.

QUESTION 1. Is it true of the converse of [Theorem 6.3](#)?

THEOREM 6.4. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be an onto hyper homomorphism of hyper BCK-algebras. If ν is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then the hyper homomorphic preimage μ of ν under f , that is, the fuzzy set μ in G defined by $\mu(x) = \nu(f(x))$ for all $x \in G$, is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of G .

PROOF. Let $x, y \in G$ be such that $x \ll y$. Then $0 \in x \circ y$, and so $0 = f(0) \in f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y)$. Therefore, $f(x) \ll f(y)$ in H . Thus $\mu(x) = \nu(f(x)) \geq \nu(f(y)) = \mu(y)$ for any $x, y, z \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) &\geq \inf_{f(c) \in f(x \circ z^k)} \nu(f(c)) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \inf_{f(a) \in f((x \circ y) \circ z^n)} \nu(f(a)), \inf_{f(b) \in f(y \circ z^m)} \nu(f(b)) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

for all $a, b, c \in H$. Therefore μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of G . \square

Now we put forward another open question.

QUESTION 2. Is it true of the converse of [Theorem 6.4](#)?

7. Hyper normalization of hyper BCK-algebras

DEFINITION 7.1. A fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal μ of H is said to be hypernormal if there exists $x \in H$ such that $\mu(x) = 1$.

EXAMPLE 7.2. Let $H = \{0, a, b\}$ in which the hyperoperation “ \circ ” is given by [Table 4.1](#).

Then H is a hyper BCK-algebra. Define $\mu : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = \mu(a) = 1$, $\mu(b) = 0.5$ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H .

Note that if μ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $\mu(0) = 1$, and hence μ is hypernormal if and only if $\mu(0) = 1$.

THEOREM 7.3. Given a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , let μ^+ be a fuzzy set in H , defined by $\mu^+(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0)$ for all $x \in H$. Then μ^+ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H which contains μ , that is, $\mu^+(x) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in H$.

PROOF. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$, then $\mu^+(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0) \geq \mu(y) + 1 - \mu(0) = \mu^+(y)$. For any $x, y, z \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu^+(c) &= \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} (\mu(c) + 1 - \mu(0)) = 1 - \mu(0) + \inf_{c \in z^k} \mu(c) \\
 &\geq 1 - \mu(0) + \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^k} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a) + 1 - \mu(0), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) + 1 - \mu(0) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu^+(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu^+(b) \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Hence μ^+ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal, and obviously $\mu \leq \mu^+$. \square

THEOREM 7.4. Let μ be a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H and let μ^* be a fuzzy set in H defined by $\mu^*(x) = (1/\mu(0))\mu(x)$ for all $x \in H$, where $\mu(0) \neq 0$. Then μ^* is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H containing μ .

PROOF. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$, then $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$, and thus $\mu^*(x) = (1/\mu(0))\mu(x) \geq (1/\mu(0))\mu(y) = \mu^*(y)$. Now for $x, y, z \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu^*(c) &= \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \mu(c) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) \geq \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \frac{1}{\mu(0)} \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} \\
 &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu^*(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu^*(b) \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

and $\mu^*(x) = (1/\mu(0))\mu(x) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in H$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7.5. If μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H satisfying $\mu^*(x) = 0$ for some $x \in H$, then $\mu(x) = 0$.

COROLLARY 7.6. A fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal μ of H is hypernormal if and only if $\mu^* = \mu$.

COROLLARY 7.7. If μ is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $(\mu^*)^* = \mu^*$.

COROLLARY 7.8. If μ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , then $(\mu^*)^* = \mu$.

THEOREM 7.9. *Let μ be a nonconstant hypernormal multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H , which is maximal in the poset of hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideals under inclusion. Then μ takes only the values 0 and 1.*

PROOF. Since μ is hypernormal, we have $\mu(0) = 1$. Let $x \in H$ be such that $\mu(x) \neq 1$. It is sufficient to show that $\mu(x) = 0$. If not, then there exists $w \in H$ such that $0 < \mu(w) < 1$. Define a fuzzy set ν in H by $\nu(x) = (1/2)(\mu(x) + \mu(w))$ for all $x \in H$. Clearly, ν is well defined. Let $x, y \in H$ be such that $x \ll y$, then $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$, and thus

$$\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x) + \mu(w)) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\mu(y) + \mu(w)) = \nu(y). \quad (7.3)$$

For any $x, y, z \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \nu(c) &= \inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \frac{1}{2}(\mu(c) + \mu(w)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\inf_{c \in x \circ z^k} \mu(c) + \mu(w) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) \right\} + \mu(w) \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \mu(a) + \mu(w) \right), \frac{1}{2} \left(\inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \mu(b) + \mu(w) \right) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \inf_{a \in (x \circ y) \circ z^n} \nu(a), \inf_{b \in y \circ z^m} \nu(b) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Hence ν is a fuzzy multiply positive implicative hyper BCK-ideal of H . By [Theorem 7.3](#), ν^+ is a hypernormal fuzzy multiply positive hyper BCK-ideal of H , where ν^+ is defined by $\nu^+(x) = \nu(x) + 1 - \nu(0)$ for all $x \in H$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^+(w) &= \nu(w) + 1 - \nu(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(w) + \mu(w)) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu(0) + \mu(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu(w) + 1) > \mu(w) \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

and $\nu^+(w) < 1 = \nu^+(0)$. It follows that ν^+ is nonconstant and μ is not maximal. This is a contradiction, ending the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, *Normalizations of fuzzy BCC-ideals in BCC-algebras*, Math. Morav. **3** (1999), 17–24.
- [2] W. A. Dudek, Y. B. Jun, and Z. Stojaković, *On fuzzy ideals in BCC-algebras*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **123** (2001), no. 2, 251–258.
- [3] Y. B. Jun and W. H. Shim, *Fuzzy implicative hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **29** (2002), no. 2, 63–70.
- [4] Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, *Fuzzy hyper BCK-ideals of hyper BCK-algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **53** (2001), no. 2, 353–360.
- [5] Y. B. Jun, M. M. Zahedi, X. L. Xin, and R. A. Borzoei, *On hyper BCK-algebras*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2000), 127–136.

- [6] F. Marty, *Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe*, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves (Stockholm, 1934), pp. 45–49.

Jianming Zhan: Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Hubei Province, Enshi 445000, China

E-mail address: zhanjianming@hotmail.com

Dajing Xiang: Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Hubei Province, Enshi 445000, China

E-mail address: xdj303@sohu.com

Zhisong Tan: Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Hubei Province, Enshi 445000, China

E-mail address: es-tzs@263.net

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk