IJMMS 2004:2, 65–76
PII. S0161171204211280
http://ijmms.hindawi.com

ON EMBEDDING PROPERTIES OF SD-GROUPS

VAHAGN H. MIKAELIAN

Received 19 November 2002

To the memory of Professor Alexey Ivanovich Kostrikin

Continuing our recent research on embedding properties of generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups, we study some embedding properties of SD-groups. We show that every countable SD-group G can be subnormally embedded into a two-generator SD-group H. This embedding can have additional properties: if the group G is fully ordered, then the group H can be chosen to be also fully ordered. For any nontrivial word set V, this embedding can be constructed so that the image of G under the embedding lies in the verbal subgroup $V(H)$ of H. The main argument of the proof is used to build continuum examples of SD-groups which are not locally soluble.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F14, 20F19, 20E10, 20E15, 20F60.

1. Results and background information

1.1. Background information and the main result. In [7] Kovács and Neumann considered some embedding properties of SN^*- and SI^*-groups (see definitions and references below). They, in particular, showed that every countable SN^*- or SI^*-group is embeddable into a two-generator SN^*- or SI^*-group, respectively. The consideration of such embeddings of generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups was very natural after a series of results on embeddings into two-generator groups for soluble and nilpotent groups (see [1, 18, 19, 20, 23] and the references therein). And, in general, interest in embeddings of countable groups into two-generator groups (with some additional properties or conditions) is explained by the famous theorem of Higman, B. H. Neumann, and H. Neumann about the embeddability of an arbitrary countable group into a two-generator group [5].

In [13, 15] we considered the embedding properties of a few other classes of generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups. In particular, we saw that (a) every countable SN-, SI-, SN^c-, or SI^c-group is embeddable into a two-generator SN-, SI-, SN^c-, or SI^c-group, respectively; but (b) *not* every countable ZA- or N-group is embeddable into a two-generator ZA- or N-group, respectively.

The first aim of the present paper is to consider similar problems for another popular class of generalized soluble groups: for SD-groups; that is, for groups in which the series of commutator subgroups,

$$G = G^{(0)} \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \cdots \geq G^{(\sigma)} \geq \cdots, \tag{1.1}$$

reaches unity: $G^{(\rho)} = \{1\}$ for some finite or infinite ordinal ρ. As we will see, for any countable SD-group, such an embedding into a two-generator SD-group is possible.

Moreover—and this is the second aim of this paper—the mentioned embedding can satisfy a few additional properties: the embedding can be *subnormal*, *verbal*, and *fully ordered*. To have our statements in a precise form, we first state our main theorem, and then turn to the background information about each of these three properties.

Theorem 1.1. (A) *Every countable SD-group G is subnormally embeddable into a two-generator SD-group H: there exists $\gamma : G \to H$ such that $G \cong \gamma(G)$, $\gamma(G) \lhd\lhd H$.*

(B) *For every nontrivial word set $V \subseteq F_\infty$, the two-generator SD-group H and the embedding γ can be chosen so that $\gamma(G)$ lies in the verbal subgroup $V(H)$ of the group H.*

(C) *Moreover, if the group G is fully ordered, then the group H can be chosen to be also fully ordered such that G is order-isomorphic to its image $\gamma(G)$. Also, if the group G is torsion-free, the group H can be chosen to be torsion-free.*

1.2. The additional properties for embeddings. That the embedding of a general countable group into a two-generator group can be subnormal is proved by Dark in [1] (see also the paper of Hall [4]). In [14, 15, 16] we combined the subnormality of the embeddings of countable groups with other properties (see below).

The consideration of the verbality of embeddings (of countable groups into two-generator groups) was initiated by B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann in [20], where they proved that every countable group G can be embedded not only into a two-generator group H but also into the second commutator subgroup $H^{(2)} = H''$ of the latter (the commutator subgroups are simply the special cases for verbal subgroups). In fact, here the second commutator subgroup can be replaced by any verbal subgroup $V(H)$ [14]. If the group G is an SN-, SI-, SN^*-, or SI^*-group, then the group H can be constructed to belong to the same class as G [15]. And as Theorem 1.1 shows now, the analog of this fact is also true for the class of SD-groups. Moreover, all these embeddings can be subnormal.

The problem whether a fully ordered countable group can be embedded into a fully ordered two-generator group was posed by Neumann, and he solved it in [18]. In [16] this property was combined with subnormality and verbality of embeddings. Moreover, if the fully ordered countable group G is an SN-, SI-, SN^*-, or SI^*-group, then the fully ordered group H can be chosen in the same class [15]. Again, Theorem 1.1 shows that the analog of this is true for the class of SD-groups.

1.3. An application of the argument. In [13] we used the embedding construction of [15] to build sets consisting of continuum of not locally soluble SI^*-groups. The reason why we devoted a paper to that topic was that so far in the literature there was only one example of the mentioned type. To be exact, there were two examples (independently built by Hall [3] and by Kovács and Neumann [7]) presenting the *same* group. The examples built in [13] were not only pairwise distinct groups but, moreover, groups generating pairwise distinct varieties of groups.

Turning back to SD-groups, there is no lack of examples of SD-groups and, in particular, of not locally soluble SD-groups (consider, e.g., any absolutely free group of rank greater than 1). However, we include here a scaled-down version of the construction of

[13] as an illustration of what can be obtained by means of the verbal embeddings of groups.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a continuum of torsion-free, not locally soluble two-generator SD-groups which generate pairwise different varieties of groups.*

1.4. References to the basic literature. An SN^*-group or an SI^*-group is a group possessing a soluble ascending subnormal or normal series, respectively. In analogy with this, an $SN^\wedge$ or $SI^\wedge$-group is a group possessing a soluble descending subnormal or normal series respectively [12]. More generally, an SN- or SI-group is a group possessing a soluble subnormal or normal (not necessarily well ordered) system, respectively. A ZA-group is a group with central ascending series. Finally, an N-group is a group in which every subgroup can be included in an ascending subnormal series. For information on the theory of generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups, we refer to the original articles of Kurosh and Chernikov [9] and of Plotkin [24, 25], as well as to the books of Robinson [26, 27] and of Kurosh [8]. For general information on varieties of groups, we refer to the basic book of Neumann [21]. Information on linearly (or fully) ordered groups can be found in the book of Fuchs [2] or in the papers of Levi [10, 11] and of Neumann [17, 18].

2. The main embedding constructions

2.1. The subnormal embedding into a two-generator group. We begin with a simple but still very useful construction (see, e.g., [3, 20]). Assume the given group G to be countable and its elements to be indexed by the set of nonnegative integers:

$$G = \{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then consider in the Cartesian wreath product $G\mathrm{Wr}\langle f\rangle$ of the group G and of the infinite cyclic group generated by the element f the following element ω of the base subgroup $G^{\langle f\rangle}$:

$$\omega(f^i) = \begin{cases} g_k, & \text{if } i = 2^k,\ k = 0,1,2,\dots, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{2^k \mid k = 0,1,2,\dots\}. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, the element f acts on the base subgroup as a "right-shift" operator. In particular, for arbitrary g_n, we have $\omega^{f^{-2^n}}(1) = g_n$. Thus, for each pair $g_n, g_m \in G$, we have

$$\left[\omega^{f^{-2^n}}, \omega^{f^{-2^m}}\right](1) = [g_n, g_m]. \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, for arbitrary $j \neq 0$,

$$\left[\omega^{f^{-2^n}}, \omega^{f^{-2^m}}\right](f^j) = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Define $H = H(G) = \langle \omega, f\rangle$. Using this construction, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H = H(G)$ be the above-constructed two-generator group. Then there is an isomorphic embedding $\alpha : G' \to H$ of the commutator subgroup G' into H such that $\alpha(G')$ is subnormal in H. Moreover, if the group G has any one of the properties*

(1) *G is an SD-group,*
(2) *G is a fully ordered group,*
(3) *G is a torsion-free group,*

then the group H also has the same properties (and if the group G is fully ordered, then G' is order-isomorphic to $\alpha(G')$).

Proof. For understandable reasons, we can omit the case when G is a trivial group. For any pair $g_n, g_m \in G$, the embedding α can be defined as

$$\alpha([g_n, g_m]) = \left[\omega^{f^{-2^n}}, \omega^{f^{-2^m}}\right] \in H. \tag{2.5}$$

Equalities (2.3) and (2.4) show that this can be continued to an injection.

(1) Assume that G is an SD-group: $G^{(\delta)} = \{1\}$. Evidently, H' lies in the normal closure $T = \langle\omega\rangle^H$ of $\langle\omega\rangle$ in H. As it is known (and can be calculated based on equalities (2.3) and (2.4)), the commutator T' is equal to the *direct* power $\overline{G'}^{\langle f\rangle}$ of the commutator G'. The direct power T' is an SD-group of length $\delta - 1$. Thus (taking into account the fact that the subgroups of SD-groups are SD-groups) we get that H is an SD-group of length $2 + \delta - 1 = \delta + 1$.

(2) Assume that the full-order relation "$\prec$" is defined on G, and "lift" it to the group H. It is easy to see that for any element $f^i\tau \in H$, where $f^i \in \langle f\rangle$ and $\tau \in H \cap G^{\langle f\rangle}$, there necessarily exists a corresponding maximal index $z_0(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau(f^i) = 1$ for any $i \le z_0(\tau)$. Thus we can compare any two distinct elements of H,

$$f^{i_1}\tau_1 \prec f^{i_2}\tau_2, \tag{2.6}$$

if and only if $i_1 < i_2$, or if $i_1 = i_2$ and $\tau_1(f^{z_0+1}) \prec \tau_2(f^{z_0+1})$, where z_0 is the minimum of $z_0(\tau_1)$ and $z_0(\tau_2)$.

(3) If the group G is torsion-free, then the group H is also torsion-free. □

2.2. The verbal subnormal embedding. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the variety of all abelian groups and let $\mathfrak{V}$ be any variety different from the variety of all groups $\mathfrak{G}$ (for now the properties of this variety are immaterial, but later it will be replaced by special varieties).

Lemma 2.2. *For any variety $\mathfrak{V} \ne \mathfrak{G}$, there exists a group N with the following properties:*

(1) *N is a torsion-free SD-group;*
(2) *N generates a product variety $\mathfrak{V}_1\mathfrak{A}$, where $\mathfrak{V}_1 \ne \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{V}_1$ is not properly contained in $\mathfrak{V}$ (in particular, $N \notin \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{A}$);*
(3) *N can be fully ordered.*

Proof. There are many methods to construct such a group. We outline one of them. Consider the relatively free nilpotent group $S = F_k(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ of some rank k and class $c \le k$, such that $S \notin \mathfrak{V}$ (we are always able to find such a group because the set of all nilpotent groups, and even the set of all finite p-groups, generates $\mathfrak{G}$). The group N we need can be constructed for S in a way rather similar to the construction of the group $H(G)$

for the group G in Section 2.1. Consider in the infinite Cartesian power $S^{\langle z\rangle}$, that is, in the base subgroup of the Cartesian wreath product $S\,\mathrm{Wr}\langle z\rangle$ (of the group S and of the infinite cyclic group $\langle z\rangle$) an element λ_s for each $s \in S$:

$$\lambda_s(z^i) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } i \geq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } i < 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

and define $N = \langle \lambda_s, z \mid s \in S\rangle$. The group N contains the first copy S_0 of S in $S^{\langle z\rangle}$. In the sequel, we identify S with S_0 and use the same notations for their elements if no misunderstanding arises.

(1) The group N is clearly a torsion-free soluble group.

(2) The group N belongs to $\mathfrak{V}_1\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A}$, and N contains a subgroup isomorphic to the direct wreath product $S\,\mathrm{wr}\langle z\rangle$. Thus, $\mathrm{var}(N) = \mathfrak{V}_1\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A}$.

(3) Since the verbal subgroup $V(S)$ is not trivial (V is the word set corresponding to the variety $\mathfrak{V}$), we can choose an element $a \in V(S)$ of infinite order. On the free nilpotent group S, a full-order relation "$\prec$" can be defined as in [10, 11, 17]. Moreover, since in any group the full order can be replaced by its converse full order "$\prec^{-1}$" ($x \prec^{-1} y$ if and only if $y \prec x$), we can, without loss of generality, assume that the element a is positive: $1 \prec a$. Then, according to the definition of full order, all the powers $a^2, a^3, \ldots, a^n, \ldots$ will also be positive elements. (This element a will be used later.) We "lift" the full-order relation "$\prec$" of S to the group N. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, for any element $z^i\tau \in N$, where $z^i \in \langle z\rangle$ and $\tau \in N \cap S^{\langle z\rangle}$, there necessarily exists a maximal index $z_0(\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau(z^i) = 1$ for any $i \leq z_0(\tau)$. Thus, for any two distinct elements of N, we can put

$$z^{i_1}\tau_1 \prec z^{i_2}\tau_2 \tag{2.8}$$

if and only if $i_1 < i_2$ or if $i_1 = i_2$ and $\tau_1(z^{z_0+1}) \prec \tau_2(z^{z_0+1})$, where z_0 is the minimum of $z_0(\tau_1)$ and $z_0(\tau_2)$. □

Now take a group G, a nontrivial word set V, and the group N constructed as above for the given V. Consider the Cartesian wreath product $G\,\mathrm{Wr}\,N$ and select the following elements, χ_g, in the base group G^N of this wreath product:

$$\chi_g(n) = \begin{cases} g, & \text{if } n = a^i, \text{ for some positive integer } i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \notin \{a^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where a is the element chosen above. Denote by $K = K(G,V)$ the following subgroup of $G\,\mathrm{Wr}\,N$:

$$K = \langle \chi_g, N \mid g \in G\rangle. \tag{2.10}$$

Denote by U the word set corresponding to the variety $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{A}$. In these notations, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $K = K(G,V)$ be the above-constructed group for the group G and for the nontrivial word set V. Then there is an isomorphic embedding $\beta : G \to K$ of the*

group G into K such that $\beta(G)$ is subnormal in K and lies in the verbal subgroup $U(K)$. Moreover, if the group G has any one of the properties

(1) *G is an SD-group,*

(2) *G is a fully ordered group,*

(3) *G is a torsion-free group,*

then the group K also has the same properties (and if the group G is fully ordered, then it is order-isomorphic to $\beta(G)$).

PROOF. Let π_g be the element of the first copy of G in G^N corresponding to $g \in G$:

$$\pi_g(n) = \begin{cases} g, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \in N \setminus \{1\}. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

The embedding β can be defined as

$$\beta(g) = \pi_g, \quad \forall g \in G. \tag{2.12}$$

Then $(\chi_g^{-1})^a \chi_g = \pi_g$ because it is easy to calculate that

$$\left[(\chi_g^{-1})^a \chi_g\right](n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in N \setminus \{a^i \mid i = 0,1,2,\dots\}, \\ g, & \text{if } n = 1 = a^0, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = a, a^2, a^3, \dots. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Since

$$a \in V(S) \subseteq U(N) \subseteq U(K), \tag{2.14}$$

we have $\pi_g = a^{-1} a^{\chi_g} \in U(K)$. Thus the mapping $g \to \pi_g$ defines an isomorphic embedding of G onto the first copy $\beta(G)$ of G in G^N and in $U(K)$. Clearly, $\beta(G)$ is subnormal in K (and, in fact, even in $G \operatorname{Wr} N$).

(1) If G is an SD-group: $G^{(\nu)} = \{1\}$, then $K^{(\nu+c+1)} = \{1\}$, where c is the nilpotency class of S (in fact, c could be replaced by a smaller integer, but it is immaterial for our purposes) for, clearly, $K' \subseteq \langle G^N, S^{\langle z \rangle} \rangle$, $K^{(c+1)} \subseteq G^N$, and $(G^N)^{(\nu)} \leq (G^{(\nu)})^N = \{1\}$. Notice that we could use this argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1(1). However, there we used a somewhat different argument to stress that in that case we deal with a direct (not Cartesian) product.

(2) Assume that a full-order relation "$\prec$" is defined on G. From the definition of the elements χ_g (and of the operation of elements of N on χ_g), it is clear that for any nontrivial element $n\theta \in K$, where $n \in N$ and $\theta \in K \cap G^N$, there necessarily exists an element $n_0(\theta) \in N$ with the following property:

$$\theta(n) = 1, \quad \forall n \prec n_0(\theta),\ \theta(n_0(\theta)) \neq 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Now the full orders available on the groups G and N can be "continued" to the group K. Let $n_1\theta_1$ and $n_2\theta_2$ be any two distinct elements of K. Then

$$n_1\theta_1 \prec n_2\theta_2 \tag{2.16}$$

if and only if $n_1 \prec n_2$ or if $n_1 = n_2$ and $\theta_1(n_0) \prec \theta_2(n_0)$, where n_0 is the minimum of $n_0(\theta_1)$ and $n_0(\theta_2)$.

(3) It is easy to see that if the group G is torsion-free, then the group $G \operatorname{Wr} N$ and its subgroup K also are torsion-free. □

2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 already allow us to prove the statements of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of statement (A). Assume that $G = G_0$ is a countable SD-group and $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{E}$ is the trivial variety consisting of the group $\{1\}$ only. By Lemma 2.3, the group G can be subnormally embedded into an SD-group G_1 such that $\beta(G) \subseteq U(G_1) = G_1'$, where this time the word set U corresponds to the variety of the abelian groups $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}$. It is easy to see that the group G_1 is also countable. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, the commutator subgroup G_1' can be subnormally embedded into a two-generator SD-group $G_2 = H(G_1)$, $\alpha : G_1' \to G_2$. The subnormal embedding we are looking for can be defined as the composition $\beta \cdot \alpha$. □

Proof of statement (B). Assume that $V \subseteq F_\infty$ is any nontrivial word set corresponding to the variety $\mathfrak{V}$. Again, by Lemma 2.3, the group G can be subnormally embedded into an SD-group $G_1 = K(G, V)$ such that $\beta(G) \subseteq U(G_1)$, where U corresponds to $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{A}$. By Lemma 2.1, the commutator subgroup G_1' can be subnormally embedded into some two-generator SD-group $G_2 = H(G_1)$, $\alpha : G_1' \to G_2$.

If we now show that

$$\beta(G) \subseteq V(G_1'), \tag{2.17}$$

the statement will be proved because

(a) $\alpha(\beta(G))$ is subnormal in G_2 (for $\beta(G)$ is subnormal in G_1', and the latter is subnormal in G_2);

(b) $\alpha(\beta(G))$ lies in $V(G_2)$ (for $\alpha(\beta(G)) \subseteq \alpha(V(G_1')) \subseteq V(G_2)$).

To prove (2.17), we first notice that

$$S_0 \subseteq N' \,(\subseteq G_1'), \tag{2.18}$$

where under S_0 we understand the first copy of S in $S^{\langle z \rangle}$. Indeed, we should simply apply the argument of the proof of Lemma 2.3 to see that S_0 lies in N'. We have

$$a \in V(S_0) \subseteq V(G_1'). \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, for any $g \in G$,

$$\pi_g = \beta(g) = a^{-1} a^{\chi_g} \in V(G_1'), \tag{2.20}$$

and we can again take $\gamma = \beta\alpha$. □

Proof of statement (C). This statement follows directly from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and from the fact that a subgroup—in this case the commutator subgroup G_1'—of an SD-group (of a fully ordered group) is an SD-group (a fully ordered group). Also, if G is torsion-free, evidently, the groups G_1 and G_2 also have that property. Theorem 1.1 is then proved. □

3. Continuum two-generator SD-groups

3.1. Bisections. We need a special set of groups constructed by Ol'shanskii in [22]. Namely, let $\{L_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set of finite groups with the following properties:

(1) $L_n \in \mathfrak{L}$, where $\mathfrak{L} \neq \mathfrak{O}$ is a soluble variety of finite exponent;

(2) $L_n \notin \operatorname{var}(L_1, \dots, L_{n-1}, L_{n+1}, \dots)$ for an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In fact, as variety $\mathfrak{L}$, one can take the variety $\mathfrak{G}_5 \cap \mathfrak{B}_{8qr}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\mathfrak{G}_5$ is the variety of soluble groups of length at most 5; q, r are distinct primes; and $\mathfrak{B}_{8qr}$ is the Burnside variety of groups of exponents dividing $8qr$ [22].

We define a *bisection* (B) as follows. The set $\mathbb{N}$ of positive integers can be split as

(B) $\mathbb{N}' \cup \mathbb{N}'' = \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}' \cap \mathbb{N}'' = \varnothing$ and $\mathbb{N}', \mathbb{N}'' \neq \varnothing$.

Then denote the group $L_{(B)}$ to be the direct product of the groups L_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}'$, the variety $\mathfrak{V}_{(B)}$ to be the variety generated by the groups L_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}'' = \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}'$, and the word set $V_{(B)}$ to be that corresponding to $\mathfrak{V}_{(B)}$.

3.2. Construction of SD-groups with bisections (B). Take any torsion-free insoluble SD-group G not generating the variety $\mathfrak{O}$ and put

$$G_{(B)} = F_\infty\left(\operatorname{var}(G) \operatorname{var}\left(L_{(B)}\right)\right). \tag{3.1}$$

It is easy to see that $G_{(B)}$ is an SD-group. Also, it is a torsion-free group by the theorem of Kovács about torsion-free relatively free groups of product varieties [6]. Since $V_{(B)}$ is clearly a nontrivial word set, we are in a position to apply Theorem 1.1 to subnormally embed $G_{(B)}$ into an appropriate two-generator SD-group

$$H_{(B)} = H\left(G_{(B)}, V_{(B)}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Since the set of all the bisections (B) is of continuum cardinality, to prove Theorem 1.2, it is sufficient to find many continuum bisections which determine groups $H_{(B)}$ generating pairwise distinct varieties of groups.

Consider another bisection,

($\tilde{\text{B}}$) $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}' \cup \tilde{\mathbb{N}}'' = \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}' \cap \tilde{\mathbb{N}}'' = \varnothing$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}', \tilde{\mathbb{N}}'' \neq \varnothing$,

different from (B) and consider the groups $G_{(\tilde{B})}$ and $H_{(\tilde{B})} = H(G_{(\tilde{B})}, V_{(\tilde{B})})$ corresponding to this bisection ($\tilde{\text{B}}$). Here the inequality (B) $\neq$ ($\tilde{\text{B}}$), of course, simply means that $\mathbb{N}' \neq \tilde{\mathbb{N}}'$ (or, equivalently, $\mathbb{N}'' \neq \tilde{\mathbb{N}}''$). Clearly, $\operatorname{var}(L_{(B)}) \neq \operatorname{var}(L_{(\tilde{B})})$. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If (B) $\neq$ ($\tilde{\text{B}}$), then* $\operatorname{var}(G_{(B)}) \neq \operatorname{var}(G_{(\tilde{B})})$.

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})}) &= \operatorname{var}(G)\operatorname{var}(L_{(\mathrm{B})}), \\ \operatorname{var}(G_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})}) &= \operatorname{var}(G)\operatorname{var}(L_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then, by [21, Theorem 23.23], if $\operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})}) = \operatorname{var}(G_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})})$, we have $\operatorname{var}(L_{(\mathrm{B})}) = \operatorname{var}(L_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})})$. This contradicts the fact that $(\mathrm{B}) \neq (\tilde{\mathrm{B}})$ and the selection of the group set $\{L_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. □

The next step of our construction is the group $K_{(\mathrm{B})} = K(G_{(\mathrm{B})}, V_{(\mathrm{B})})$ that we built for the group $G_{(\mathrm{B})}$ and the word set $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$. The set of all possible word sets (as well as the set of all varieties of groups) is of continuum cardinality. In fact, the continuum of distinct bisections (B) already provide us with continuum of distinct word sets $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$. This, however, does not mean that building the groups $K_{(\mathrm{B})}$ for continuum distinct word sets $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$, we will get *continuum* examples of distinct *SD*-groups $H_{(\mathrm{B})}$. The point is that the role of the word set V in K (and, thus, in H) is in the determination of the class c and of the rank k of the free nilpotent group $S = F_k(\mathfrak{N}_c)$, which we are using to build the appropriate group N. The set of such integers is *countable*. This means that there exist many (in fact, continuum) word sets $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$ for which the same nilpotent group $S_{(\mathrm{B})}$ should be chosen. This also means that the construction, that we have at our disposal at the current moment, does not yet allow us to build a continuum of *SD*-groups using the fact about continuum set of words $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$ only.

But this observation also allows us to modify and shorten one of the segments of our proof. Namely, we restrict ourselves to such a set (of continuum cardinality) of word sets $V_{(\mathrm{B})}$ which correspond to a fixed pair of integers c and k. It will be sufficient to prove that this set can already give rise to continuum two-generator (torsion-free) *SD*-groups.

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that (B) and (B̃) are two distinct bisections of the type mentioned:* $S_{(\mathrm{B})} = S_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})}$. *If* $\operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})}) \neq \operatorname{var}(G_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})})$, *then* $\operatorname{var}(K_{(\mathrm{B})}) \neq \operatorname{var}(K_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})})$.

PROOF. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 2.3, for the given bisection (B), the group $K_{(\mathrm{B})}$ contains the first copy of $G_{(\mathrm{B})}$. That copy, together with $N_{(\mathrm{B})}$, generates the direct wreath product $G_{(\mathrm{B})} \operatorname{wr} N_{(\mathrm{B})}$. Since the group $N_{(\mathrm{B})}$ discriminates the variety $\mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A}$, the group $G_{(\mathrm{B})} \operatorname{wr} N_{(\mathrm{B})}$ generates $\operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})})\operatorname{var}(N_{(\mathrm{B})}) = \operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})})\mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A} = \operatorname{var}(K_{(\mathrm{B})})$. Thus, taking another bisection $(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})$, we would get $\operatorname{var}(K_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})}) = \operatorname{var}(G_{(\tilde{\mathrm{B}})})\mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A}$ (recall that according to the remark proceeding this lemma, we can use the same variety $\mathfrak{N}_c$ for both bisections). The latter is distinct from $\operatorname{var}(G_{(\mathrm{B})})\mathfrak{N}_c\mathfrak{A}$ whenever the bisections are distinct. □

The final step of our argument is the construction of the two-generator group $H_{(\mathrm{B})} = H(K_{(\mathrm{B})})$.

LEMMA 3.3. *If* $\operatorname{var}(K_{(B)}) \neq \operatorname{var}(K_{(\tilde{B})})$, *then* $\operatorname{var}(H_{(B)}) \neq \operatorname{var}(H_{(\tilde{B})})$.

PROOF. The proof immediately follows from the fact that

$$\operatorname{var}(H_{(\mathrm{B})}) = \operatorname{var}(K_{(\mathrm{B})} \operatorname{wr} \langle f \rangle) = \operatorname{var}(K_{(\mathrm{B})})\mathfrak{A}. \tag{3.4}$$

We take any nonidentity $w = w(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ for the variety $\mathrm{var}(K_{(B)})\mathfrak{A}$ and show that w can be falsified on some elements of $H_{(B)}$, as well. This will prove the point because $H_{(B)}$ evidently belongs to $\mathrm{var}(K_{(B)})\mathfrak{A}$. Take $c_1,\dots,c_n \in K_{(B)}\mathrm{wr}\langle f\rangle$ such that $w(c_1,\dots,c_n) = 1$. Clearly, $c_i = f^{m_i}\rho_i$, where ρ_i belongs to the base subgroup $K_{(B)}^{\langle f\rangle}$; $i = 1,\dots,n$. Finitely many elements ρ_i in this direct wreath product have only finitely many nontrivial "coordinates" $\rho_i(f^j)$, $i = 1,\dots,n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that there is a big enough positive integer n^* such that if we replace (trivial) "coordinates" $\rho_i(f^j)$, $|j| > n^*$ of each ρ_i, by arbitrarily chosen values from the group $K_{(B)}$, and denote these new strings by ρ_i' correspondingly, then we will still have

$$w(f^{m_1}\rho_1',\dots,f^{m_n}\rho_n',) \neq 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Since all the powers $f^{m_1},\dots,f^{m_n}$ already belong to $H(K_{(B)})$, the proof will be completed if we show the following rather more general fact: for arbitrary positive integer n_0 and arbitrary pregiven values $d_j \in K_{(B)}$, $j = -n_0,\dots,n_0$, the group $H(K_{(B)})$ contains such an element $\rho'' \in H(K_{(B)}) \cap K_{(B)}^{\langle f\rangle}$ for which $\rho''(f^j) = d_j$, $j = -n_0,\dots,n_0$.

Taking into account the "shifting" effect of the element f, it will be sufficient to show that for any pregiven $d \in K_{(B)}$, there is an element $\rho_d''' \in H(K_{(B)}) \cap K_{(B)}^{\langle f\rangle}$ such that

$$\rho_d'''(f^j) = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } -2n_0 \le j \le 2n_0,\ j \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

(Notice that we did not put any requirements on $\rho'''(f^j)$ for $j > 2n_0$ or for $j < -2n_0$.) The elements ρ'' will then be products of elements of type ρ_d''' (for various d's) and of their conjugates by powers of f. It remains to construct elements ρ_d''' (for any d and n_0) by means of two generators $\omega_{(B)}$ and f. We have

$$\omega_{(B)}(f^i) = \begin{cases} g_k, & \text{if } i = 2^k,\ k = 0,1,2,\dots, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{2^k \mid k = 0,1,2,\dots\}, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

where this time the countable group $K_{(B)}$ is presented as $K_{(B)} = \{g_0, g_1, \dots\}$. The element d can be presented as a product $g_i \cdot g_j$ for infinitely many pairs $g_i, g_j \in K_{(B)}$. On the other hand, the number of all possible pairs g_i, g_j with a common upper bound on $|i|$ and $|j|$ is clearly finite. Thus, there necessarily exists a pair g_i, g_j such that $d = g_i \cdot g_j$ and $2^i, 2^j > 2n_0$. Then

$$\rho_d''' = \omega^{f^{-2^i}}\omega^{f^{-2^j}}. \tag{3.8}$$

□

Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 prove Theorem 1.2 because the continuum of two-generator torsion-free SD-groups we constructed do generate pairwise distinct varieties of groups.

And none of these groups is locally soluble because it would be then a soluble group, and, thus, it could not contain the initial group $G_{(B)}$ that was chosen to be insoluble.

Acknowledgments. The author is very much thankful to Prof. Alexander Yu. Ol'shanskii, Moscow State University and Vanderbilt University, for an advice (included in the paper as Lemma 3.3) that allowed him to shorten the initial proof in Section 3, as well as to the referee for very valuable comments to the manuscript.

References

[1] R. S. Dark, *On subnormal embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 387–390.
[2] L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963, Russian translation by I. V. Streletski under edition of A. G. Kurosh, Mir, Moscow, 1965.
[3] P. Hall, *The Frattini subgroups of finitely generated groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **11** (1961), 327–352.
[4] ———, *On the embedding of a group in a join of given groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **17** (1974), 434–495.
[5] G. Higman, B. H. Neumann, and H. Neumann, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** (1949), 247–254.
[6] L. G. Kovács, *The thirty-nine varieties*, Math. Sci. **4** (1979), no. 2, 113–128.
[7] L. G. Kovács and B. H. Neumann, *An embedding theorem for some countable groups*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **26** (1965), 139–142.
[8] A. G. Kurosh, *The Theory of Groups*, 3rd ed., Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (Russian), English translation of the 2nd ed. by K. A. Hirsch, Chelsea Publishing, New York, 1960.
[9] A. G. Kurosh and S. N. Chernikov, *Soluble and nilpotent groups*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **2** (1947), 18–59 (Russian).
[10] F. W. Levi, *Ordered groups*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A **16** (1942), 256–263.
[11] ———, *Contributions to the theory of ordered groups*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A **17** (1943), 199–201.
[12] O. V. Mel'nikov, V. N. Remeslennikov, V. A. Roman'kov, L. A. Skornyakov, and I. P. Shestakov, *General Algebra. Vol. 1*, Mathematical Reference Library, Nauka, Moscow, 1990 (Russian).
[13] V. H. Mikaelian, *Infinitely many not locally soluble SI^*-groups*, to appear in Ricerche Mat.
[14] ———, *Subnormal embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), no. 2, 398–406.
[15] ———, *On embeddings of countable generalized soluble groups into two-generated groups*, J. Algebra **250** (2002), no. 1, 1–17.
[16] ———, *An embedding construction for ordered groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **74** (2003), no. 3, 379–392.
[17] B. H. Neumann, *On ordered groups*, Amer. J. Math. **71** (1949), 1–18.
[18] ———, *Embedding theorems for ordered groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 503–512.
[19] ———, *Embedding theorems for groups*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **16** (1968), 73–78.
[20] B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **34** (1959), 465–479.
[21] H. Neumann, *Varieties of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
[22] A. Yu. Ol'shanskiĭ, *The finite basis problem for identities in groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 376–384 (Russian).
[23] ———, *Efficient embeddings of countable groups*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1989), no. 2, 28–34 (Russian).
[24] B. I. Plotkin, *Generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. **13** (1958), no. 4, 89–172 (Russian).

[25] _____, *Generalized soluble and generalized nilpotent groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 **17** (1961), 29–115.
[26] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups. Part 1*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 62, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
[27] _____, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups. Part 2*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 63, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

Vahagn H. Mikaelian: Department of Computer Science, Yerevan State University, 375025 Yerevan, Armenia
E-mail address: mikaelian@e-math.ams.org

Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences

Special Issue on
Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods**: artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning
- **Application fields**: asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects**: decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk