

STEČKIN INEQUALITIES FOR SUMMABILITY METHODS

JIA-DING CAO

Department of Mathematics
 Fudan University

Shanghai, 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

(Received April 30, 1992 and in revised form June 16, 1995)

ABSTRACT. Stečkin proved an inequality on Fejér means of Fourier series. He said, "Proving similar inequality for other summability method is an interesting problem." We generalize Stečkin's inequality and give various applications to summability methods.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Stečkin inequality, M. F. Timan inequality, Zygmund typical means, various summability methods.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 41A17, 41A45, 42A24, 42A10, 41A05, 41A40, 41A10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C_{2\pi}$ be space of 2π -periodic continuous functions, $\|f\| := \max_{0 \leq x \leq 2\pi} |f(x)|$. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$, its Fourier series is given by

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i \cos ix + b_i \sin ix).$$

Denote Π_n to be the set of trigonometric polynomials of order at most n , and

$$E_n(f) := E_n(f)_{C_{2\pi}} := \min_{t_n \in \Pi_n} \|f - t_n\|.$$

For a triangular matrix $\Lambda := \{\lambda_{i,m(n)}\}$ with $\lambda_{0,m(n)} = 1 (n = 0, 1, \dots)$ we consider the linear summability method

$$\begin{aligned} U_{m(n)}(f, x) &:= \frac{a_0}{2} \lambda_{0,m(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_{i,m(n)} (a_i \cos ix + b_i \sin ix), \\ &=: \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_{i,m(n)} A_i(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

If $\lambda_{i,n} = 1 - \frac{i}{n+1} (0 \leq i \leq n)$ we obtain Fejér means σ_n .

By M_i and C_i we denote positive constants independent of n , and f .

S. B. Stečkin proved in [1].

THEOREM A. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then we have

$$\|f - \sigma_n(f)\| \leq \frac{M_1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n E_i(f). \quad (1.2)$$

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers.

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{i,n} = 1 - \left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)^k (1 \leq i \leq n)$, we obtain Zygmund typical means Z_n^k

The following generalization is obtained by M. F. Timan (see [2]).

THEOREM B. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then we have

$$\|f - Z_n^k(f)\| \leq \frac{M_2}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} E_i(f). \tag{1.3}$$

In §2 we establish lemmas of comparison of summability methods with Zygmund typical means Z_n^k . The generalization of Stečkin's inequality is proved in §3. Using the results of §2 and §3 some applications on various summability methods are given in §4-§5.

2. LEMMA OF COMPARISON

Favard and Trigub [3] investigated comparison of linear summability methods of Fourier series. Butzer, Nessel, and Trebels investigated comparison of summability methods in Banach spaces.

Let A be a linear operator mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$ and $\|A\|$ be its norm.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that A_n is a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$ with $\|A_n\| = O(1)$, and B_n is a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to Γ_n with $\|B_n\| = O(1)$. In order that for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq M_3 \cdot \|f - B_n f\|, \tag{2.1}$$

it is sufficient and necessary that for any $t \in \Gamma_n$

$$\|t_n - A_n(t_n)\| \leq M_4 \cdot \|t_n - B_n(t_n)\|. \tag{2.2}$$

PROOF. Necessity. Obviously from (2.1) we obtain (2.2).

Sufficiency. Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and t_n^* be polynomial of n th best approximation, i.e., $\|f - t_n^*\| = E_n(f)$. Then by the boundness of $\|A_n\|$ and $\|B_n\|$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - A_n f\| &\leq \|f - t_n^*\| + \|t_n^* - A_n(t_n^*)\| + \|A_n(t_n^* - f)\| \\ &\leq E_n(f) + M_4 \cdot \|t_n^* - B_n(t_n^*)\| + M_5 \cdot E_n(f) \\ &\leq (1 + M_5) \cdot E_n(f) + M_4 \cdot \|t_n^* - f\| + M_4 \cdot \|f - B_n f\| + M_4 \cdot \|B_n(f - t_n^*)\| \\ &\leq (1 + M_5) \cdot E_n(f) + M_4 \cdot E_n(f) + M_4 \cdot \|f - B_n f\| + M_4 \cdot M_6 \cdot E_n(f) \\ &\leq (1 + M_5 + M_4 + M_4 \cdot M_6) \cdot E_n(f) + M_4 \cdot \|f - B_n f\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if A_n are also mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to Γ_n , then converse inequality holds, this is

COROLLARY 1. In Lemma 1 if in addition: A_n is a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to Γ_n , then for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$M_7 \cdot \|f - B_n f\| \leq \|f - A_n f\| \leq M_8 \cdot \|f - B_n f\|.$$

Corollary 1 (case in (2.2): $A_n(t_n) = B_n(t_n), \forall t_n \in \Gamma_n$) is also obtained by Berman in [4].

LEMMA 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and A_n be a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$, in order that for every $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq M_9 \cdot \|f - Z_n^k(f)\|, \tag{2.3}$$

it is sufficient and necessary that

- (i) $\|A_n\| = O(1)$,
- (ii) A_n satisfies (b_k) (if k is even) and (\tilde{b}_k) (if k is odd), here

Condition (b_k) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{10}}{(n+1)^k} \|f^{(k)}\|, \quad \forall f, \quad f^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}.$$

Condition (\tilde{b}_k) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{11}}{(n+1)^k} \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\|, \quad \forall f, \quad \tilde{f}^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}.$$

Here $\tilde{f}(x)$ is a conjugate function of $f(x) \in C_{2\pi}$

Necessity It is evident (see [5]), $\|Z_n^k\| = O(1)$, hence by (2.3) we have $\|A_n\| = O(1)$ The statement (ii) follows from the following Zygmund's inequalities (see [5]) in Chap. VIII, § 8.7, problem 27:

(iii) For $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $f^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - Z_n^k(f)\| \leq \frac{M_{12}}{(n+1)^k} \|f^{(k)}\|, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \tag{2.4}$$

(iv) For $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - Z_n^k(f)\| \leq \frac{M_{13}}{(n+1)^k} \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\|, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \tag{2.5}$$

Sufficiency We note that for $t_n \in \Pi_n$ we have

$$\frac{\|t_n^{(k)}\|}{(n+1)^k} = \|t_n - Z_n^k(t_n)\|, \quad (k \text{ even}) \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{\|\tilde{t}_n^{(k)}\|}{(n+1)^k} = \|t_n - Z_n^k(t_n)\|, \quad (k \text{ odd}) \tag{2.7}$$

Combining these with (ii) we get

$$\|t_n - A_n(t_n)\| \leq M_{14} \|t_n - Z_n^k(t_n)\|, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the inequality (2.3) follows from this estimate and Lemma 1

3. STEČKIN'S PROBLEM

THEOREM 1. Suppose that A_n is a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$, A_n satisfies $\|A_n\| = O(1)$ and condition (\tilde{b}_k) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$ we have

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{15}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f). \tag{3.1}$$

PROOF. If k is odd, then (3.1) follows from Lemma 2 and Theorem B. If k is even, and we choose $T_n \in \Pi_n$, such that $\|f - T_n\| = E_n(f)$, since (\tilde{b}_k) and (2.7) ($Z_n^1 = \sigma_n$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n - A_n(T_n)\| &\leq \frac{M_{16}}{(n+1)^k} \|\tilde{T}_n^{(k)}\| = \frac{M_{16}}{(n+1)^k} \|(\tilde{T}_n^{(k-1)})'\| \\ &= \frac{M_{16}}{(n+1)^k} \left\| \widetilde{(T_n^{(k-1)})'} \right\| = \frac{M_{16}}{(n+1)^{k-1}} \|T_n^{(k-1)} - \sigma_n(T_n^{(k-1)})\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

we have by Theorem A

$$\|T_n^{(k-1)} - \sigma_n(T_n^{(k-1)})\| \leq \frac{M_1}{(n+1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^n E_\nu(T_n^{(k-1)}), \quad (3.3)$$

to estimate the sum of (3.3), we apply the inequality (see [5]) in Chap V §5 6 36 If $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} \cdot E_i(g) < \infty$, then $g^{(r)} \in C_{2\pi}$ and

$$E_\nu(g^{(r)}) \leq M_{17} \{(\nu+1)^r \cdot E_\nu(g) + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^{\infty} i^{r-1} \cdot E_i(g)\}, \quad (3.4)$$

taking $r = k-1$ ($k \geq 2$), $g = T_n$, since $E_i(T_n) = 0$ ($i \geq n$) we obtain for $0 \leq \nu \leq n$

$$E_\nu(T_n^{(k-1)}) \leq M_{17} \{(\nu+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_\nu(T_n) + \sum_{i=\nu}^n i^{k-2} \cdot E_i(T_n)\}, \quad (3.5)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$ from definition we have

$$E_i(g) \leq \|g - 0\| = \|g\|, \quad \forall g \in C_{2\pi},$$

and we have (see [5]) in Chap II, §2.5

- (1) for g and $h \in C_{2\pi}$, $E_i(g+h) \leq E_i(g) + E_i(h)$;
- (2) $E_n(f) \leq E_i(f)$

hence

$$E_i(T_n) \leq E_i(T_n - f) + E_i(f) \leq \|T_n - f\| + E_i(f) = E_n(f) + E_i(f) \leq 2E_i(f),$$

from (3.5) we have

$$E_\nu(T_n^{(k-1)}) \leq 2M_{17} \{(\nu+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_\nu(f) + \sum_{i=\nu}^n i^{k-2} \cdot E_i(f)\},$$

hence

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n E_\nu(T_n^{(k-1)}) \leq 2M_{17} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_\nu(f) + \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{i=\nu}^n i^{k-2} \cdot E_i(f) \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

we have

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{i=\nu}^n i^{k-2} \cdot E_i(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\nu=0}^i i^{k-2} \cdot E_i(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n i^{k-2} \cdot (i+1) \cdot E_i(f) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f), \quad (3.7)$$

combining (3.2), (3.3), (3.6), (3.7) we have

$$\|T_n - A_n(T_n)\| \leq \frac{4M_{16} \cdot M_1 \cdot M_{17}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f), \quad (3.8)$$

since $\|A_n\| = O(1)$ ($\|A_n\| \leq M_{18}$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - A_n f\| &\leq \|f - T_n\| + \|T_n - A_n(T_n)\| + \|A_n(T_n - f)\| \\ &\leq E_n(f) + \frac{4M_{16} \cdot M_1 \cdot M_{17}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f) + M_{18} \cdot E_n(f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

since $E_n(f) \leq E_i(f)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f) &\leq \frac{c_1}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_n(f) \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

combining (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{15}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f).$$

REMARK 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and A_n be a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$. In order that for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq M_{19} \cdot \omega_k\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

it is sufficient and necessary that A_n satisfies conditions $\|A_n\| = O(1)$ and (b_k) (see [6]) on page 182

We have (see [5]) in Chap. VI, 6.11, for $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\omega_k\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{M_{20}}{n^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f),$$

from Remark 1 we also obtain

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{21}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f).$$

Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\omega_1(f, \delta)$ be the modulus of continuity of f . Classes of functions $\text{Lip}(1, M) := \{f | \omega_1(f, \delta) \leq M\delta\}$, and $\text{Lip } 1 := \left\{ \bigcup_{M>0} \text{Lip}(1, M) \right\}$

LEMMA 3. Let A_n be a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$, $A_n(1, x) = 1$, if for $\tilde{f}^{(k-1)} \in \text{Lip } 1$

$$\|f - A_n f\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right), \tag{3.11}$$

then for $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}$ we have

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{22}}{(n+1)^k} \cdot \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\|. \tag{3.12}$$

PROOF. If $f \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(k)} \in C_{2\pi}$ we have

$$\left| \tilde{f}^{(k-1)}(x+t) - \tilde{f}^{(k-1)}(x) \right| \leq \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\| t, \quad (t \geq 0),$$

if $D := \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\| > 0$ then $\tilde{f}^{(k-1)}/D \in \text{Lip}(1, 1)$, from (3.11) we obtain $\left\| \frac{f}{D} - A_n\left(\frac{f}{D}\right) \right\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)$, hence

$$\|f - A_n f\| \leq \frac{M_{22}}{(n+1)^k} \cdot D = \frac{M_{22}}{(n+1)^k} \|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\|, \tag{3.13}$$

if $\|\tilde{f}^{(k)}\| = 0$, then $f \equiv \text{const}$ (see [5]) in §5.9.1, since $A_n(1, x) = 1$, obviously (3.12) holds

Sequence of Fejér mean σ_n is saturated with order (n^{-1}) and saturation class $S(L_n) := \{f|\tilde{f} \in \text{Lip } 1\}$, using Lema 3 we obtain that σ_n satisfies (\tilde{b}_1) , since $\|\sigma_n\| = 1$ and Theorem 1, we obtain Theorem A

PROBLEM 1. Let A_n be a sequence of linear operators mapping $C_{2\pi}$ to $C_{2\pi}$, finding sufficient and necessary conditions on A_n such that Timan type inequality (1 3) holds

4. APPLICATIONS

We give applications on linear summability method $\bigcup_{m(n)}$ of Fourier series Firstly we have $\bigcup_{m(n)}(1, x) = 1$

EXAMPLE 1. (C, α) means $\sigma_n^\alpha (\alpha > 0)$. $\lambda_{i,n} = \frac{A_{n-i}^\alpha}{A_n^\alpha} (0 \leq i \leq n)$, $A_n^\alpha := \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \dots (\alpha+n)}{n!}$
 Trigub proved [3].

LEMMA 4. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then

$$C_3 \cdot \|f - \sigma_n(f)\| \leq \|f - \sigma_n^\alpha(f)\| \leq C_2 \cdot \|f - \sigma_n(f)\|.$$

THEOREM 2. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then we have

$$\|f - \sigma_n^\alpha(f)\| \leq \frac{M_{23}}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n E_i(f). \tag{4.1}$$

PROOF. Obviously from Theorem A and Lemma 4 we obtain Theorem 2.

Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a modulus of continuity and $\omega(\delta) > 0 (0 < \delta \leq \pi)$. Class of functions $H_\omega := \{f|\omega_1(f, \delta) \leq \omega(\delta), 0 \leq \delta \leq \pi\}$.

Let $\omega_1^{**}(\delta)$ be a modified function of first order of $\omega(\delta)$ (see [1])

$$\omega_1^{**}(\delta) := \delta \cdot \inf_{0 < \eta \leq \delta} \left\{ \eta^{-1} \cdot \inf_{\eta \leq \xi \leq \pi} \omega(\xi) \right\}, \text{ we have } \omega_1^{**}(\delta) \leq \omega(\delta).$$

Let $a_n > 0, b_n > 0, a_n \approx b_n$ means that there are $C_4 > 0, C_5 > 0$ such that $C_4 a_n \leq b_n \leq C_5 a_n$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{f \in H_\omega} \|f - \sigma_n^\alpha(f)\| \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{**}\left(\frac{1}{i}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sup_{f \in H_\omega} \|f - \sigma_n(f)\| \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega\left(\frac{1}{i}\right), \tag{4.3}$$

$$\sup_{f \in H_\omega} \|f - \sigma_n^\alpha(f)\| \approx \frac{1}{n} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\pi \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du. \tag{4.4}$$

PROOF. For (4.2) ($\alpha \geq 1$) see Sun [7]. For (4.3) ($\alpha = 1$) see Devore [8] on page 227 For (4.4) ($\alpha \geq 1$) see Mazhar and Totik [9]. Using Lemma 4 we have Corollary 2.

Stečkin also proved (see [1])

LEMMA 5. For f and $\tilde{f} \in C_{2\pi}$, we have

$$\|f - \sigma_n(f)\| = O(E_n(f)) + O\left(\omega_1\left(\tilde{f}, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right).$$

Lemma 4 implies

COROLLARY 3. Let $\alpha > 0$, for f and $\tilde{f} \in C_{2\pi}$ we have

$$\|f - \sigma_n^\alpha(f)\| = O(E_n(f)) + O\left(\omega_1\left(\tilde{f}, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

(4.2) and (4.5) answer two problems of Sun [7] on σ_n^α ($0 < \alpha < 1$)

EXAMPLE 2. M Riesz means $R_n^{(\lambda, \delta)}$ $\lambda_{i,n} = \lambda\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$), $\lambda(u) = (1-u^\lambda)^\delta$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$)

B Nagy proved that (see [5]) in Chap VIII, §8 7, problem 13, $\|R_n^{(\lambda, \delta)}\| = O(1)$ G Sunouchi proved that [6] on page 72, $R_n^{(\lambda, \delta)}$ is saturated with order $(n^{-\lambda})$ and the saturation class is $S(R_n^{(\lambda, \delta)}) := \{f \mid \tilde{f}^{(\lambda-1)} \in \text{Lip } 1$ (λ odd) and $f^{(\lambda-1)} \in \text{Lip } 1$ (λ even)\}, using Theorem 1 and Remark 1 we obtain that for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - R_n^{(\lambda, \delta)}(f)\| \leq \frac{M_{24}}{(n+1)^\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{\lambda-1} \cdot E_i(f), \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{N}).$$

EXAMPLE 3. Operators L_n determined by convolution with kernels of Korovkin (see [8]) on page 107 L_n is saturated with order (n^{-1}) and saturation class $S(L_n) := \{f \mid \tilde{f} \in \text{Lip } 1\}$, hence we obtain Stečkin type inequality

EXAMPLE 4. Nishishiraho and Wang Si-Lei proved (see [10])

LEMMA 6. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ of positive real numbers converging to zero, which satisfies

$$n \lim_{\infty} \frac{(1 - \lambda_{i,n})}{\phi_n} = K, \quad \text{and let } \sum_{i=0}^n |\Delta^2 \lambda_{i,n}| = O(\phi_n),$$

where $\Delta^2 \lambda_{i,n} = \lambda_{i,n} - 2\lambda_{i+1,n} + \lambda_{i+2,n}$, and $\lambda_{i,n} = 0$ ($i > n$). If $\phi_n = \frac{6}{n}$, then \bigcup_n is saturated with the order (n^{-1}) and saturation class $S(\bigcup_n) := \{f \mid \tilde{f} \in \text{Lip } 1\}$, using Theorem 1 and Lemma 3 we obtain Stečkin type inequality.

5. POLYNOMIALS OF INTERPOLATION AND CAO-GONSKA OPERATORS

Let $f(x) \in C_{2\pi}$ and $\bigcup_n^*(f, x)$ be linear summability (with $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{i,n}\}$) of trigonometric polynomial of interpolation on nodes $y_i = \frac{2i\pi}{2n+1}$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, 2n$) [4] [5]. Berman proved [4] and [5] in 8.7, problem 7

LEMMA 7. Let $K_n(v) := \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i,n} \cos iv$, $\int_0^\pi |K_n(v)| dv = O(1)$, then for $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$M_{25} \cdot \|f - U_n(f)\| \leq \|f - U_n^*(f)\| \leq M_{26} \cdot \|f - U_n(f)\|.$$

THEOREM 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\int_0^\pi |K_n(v)| dv = O(1)$, and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{i,n}\}$ satisfies (\tilde{b}_k) , then, for any $f \in C_{2\pi}$

$$\|f - U_n^*(f)\| \leq \frac{M_{27}}{(n+1)^k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} E_i(f).$$

PROOF. From Lemma 7 and Theorem 1 we obtain Theorem 3.

Let $f \in C[-1, 1]$, the Pičugov-Lehnhoff operators are defined by ($\theta = \arccos x$, $x \in [-1, 1]$), $K_{m(n)}(v) := \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_{i,m(n)} \cos iv$

$$G_{m(n)}(f(t), x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f(\cos(v + \arccos x)) K_{m(n)}(v) dv. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $T_i(x) := \cos(i \arccos x)$ be the i -th Chebyshev polynomial, and $x_{\gamma, N_0} := \cos \frac{2\gamma-1}{2N_0} \pi$, $1 \leq \gamma \leq N_0$, the Cao and Gonska polynomials are defined by (see [11])

$$\Lambda_{m(n), N_0}(f, x) := \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_0} f(x_{\gamma, N_0}) \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m(n)} \lambda_{i, m(n)} \cdot T_i(x_{\gamma, N_0}) \cdot T_i(x) \right\}, \quad (5.2)$$

specifically $\Lambda_{n-1, n}$ are the Varma-Mills operators (see [11])

LEMMA 8. Let $N_0 \geq m(n) + 1$ and $\int_0^\pi |K_{m(n)}(v)| dv = O(1)$, then for any $f \in C[-1, 1]$

$$M_{28} \cdot \|f - G_{m(n)}(f)\|_{C[-1, 1]} \leq \|f - \Lambda_{m(n), N_0}(f)\|_{C[-1, 1]} \leq M_{29} \cdot \|f - G_{m(n)}(f)\|_{C[-1, 1]}.$$

PROOF. (see [12]).

THEOREM 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_0 \geq m(n) + 1$, and $\lambda = \{\lambda_{i, m(n)}\}$ satisfies $\int_0^\pi |K_{m(n)}(v)| dv = O(1)$ and (\tilde{b}_k) , then for any $f \in C[-1, 1]$

$$\|f - \Lambda_{m(n), N_0}(f)\|_{C[-1, 1]} \leq \frac{M_{30}}{(n+1)_K} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \cdot E_i(f)_{C[-1, 1]}.$$

PROOF. Letting $\phi(t) = f(\cos t)$, using Lemma 8 and Theorem 1 we obtain Theorem 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author expresses his thanks to Professor Heinz H Gonska from European Business School, Germany for his support. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] STEČKIN, S. B., The approximation of periodic functions by Fejér sums (Russian), *Trudy Matem. Instituta im V. A. Steklova* **62** (1961), 48-60; *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations* (2) **28** (1963), 269-282.
- [2] TIMAN, M. F., Best approximation of functions and linear methods of summability of Fourier series (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Matem.* **29** (1965), 587-604.
- [3] TRIGUB, R. M., Linear methods of summability and absolute convergence of Fourier series (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. Ser. Matem.* **32** (1968), 24-49.
- [4] BERMAN, D. L., Some remarks on the problem of the degree of approximation of polynomial operators (Russian), *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **5** (1961), 3-5.
- [5] TIMAN, A. F., *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Macmillan, New York, 1963.
- [6] BUTZER, P. L. and KOREVAAR, J., *On Approximation Theory*, Proceedings of the Conference 1963, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1964.
- [7] SUN, JUN-SEN, Uniform approximation of continuous periodic functions by Cesàro means of their Fourier series (Chinese), *Advances in Math.* **6** (1963), 379-387.
- [8] DEVORE, R. A., *The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators*, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York. Springer, 1972.
- [9] MAZHAR, S. M. and TOTIK, V., Approximation of continuous functions by T-means of Fourier series, *J. Approx. Theory* **60** (1990), 174-182.
- [10] WANG, SI-LEI, Saturation of trigonometric polynomial operators (Chinese), *J. of Hangzhou Univ.* (Nat Edition) **8** (1981), 7-13.
- [11] CAO, JIA-DING and GONSKA, H. H., Approximation by Boolean sums of positive linear operators III: Estimates for some numerical approximation schemes, *Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz.* **10** (7 & 8) (1989), 643-672.
- [12] CAO, JIA-DING and GONSKA, H. H., Solutions of Butzer's problem (linear form) and some linear algebraic polynomial operators with saturation order $O(n^{-2})$, submitted for publication.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk