

MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED SPACES IN THE NON-LOCALLY CONVEX FRAMEWORK

L. A. KHAN

Department of Mathematics
Quaid-i-Azam University
Islamabad - 45320, PAKISTAN

A. B. THAHEEM

Department of Mathematical Sciences
King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals
Mail Box 469, Dhahran 31261, SAUDI ARABIA

(Received December 13, 1994 and in revised form June 21, 1995)

ABSTRACT. Let X be a completely regular Hausdorff space, E a topological vector space, V a Nachbin family of weights on X , and $CV_0(X, E)$ the weighted space of continuous E -valued functions on X . Let $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a mapping, $f \in CV_0(X, E)$ and define $M_\theta(f) = \theta f$ (pointwise). In case E is a topological algebra, $\psi : X \rightarrow E$ is a mapping then define $M_\psi(f) = \psi f$ (pointwise). The main purpose of this paper is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for M_θ and M_ψ to be the multiplication operators on $CV_0(X, E)$ where E is a general topological space (or a suitable topological algebra) which is not necessarily locally convex. These results generalize recent work of Singh and Manhas based on the assumption that E is locally convex.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Nachbin family of weights, topological vector spaces, vector-valued continuous functions, weighted topology, multiplication operators, locally idempotent topological algebras.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 47B38, 46E40, 46A16.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental work on weighted spaces of continuous scalar-valued functions has been done mainly by Nachbin [9,10] in the 1960's. Since then it has been studied extensively for a variety of problems such as weighted approximation, characterization of the dual space, approximation property, description of inductive limit and of tensor-product, etc for both scalar- and vector-valued functions (for instance see [1-5,8-14]). Recently Singh and Summers [13] have studied the notion of composition operators on $CV_0(X, \mathcal{C})$. Later, Singh and Manhas [12] made an analogous study of multiplication operators on $CV_0(X, E)$, assuming E to be a locally convex space or a locally m -convex algebra. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the results of Singh and Manhas [12] to the case when E is a general topological vector space which is not necessarily locally convex. Section 3 contains our main results while section 2 is devoted to some technical preliminaries required for the development of our results

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we shall assume, unless stated otherwise, that X is a completely regular Hausdorff space and E is a non-trivial Hausdorff topological vector space. Let $S^+(X)$ denote the set of

all non-negative upper-semicontinuous functions on X , and let $S_0^+(X)$ (respectively $S_c^+(X)$), be the subset of $S^+(X)$ consisting of those functions vanishing at infinity (respectively having compact support) A *Nachbin family* on X is a subset V of $S^+(X)$ such that, given $u, v \in V$, there exist $w \in V$ and $t > 0$ so that $u, v \leq tw$ (pointwise); the elements of V are called *weights*. Let $C(X, E)$ ($C_b(X, E)$) be the vector space of all continuous (and bounded) E -valued functions on X , and let $CV_b(X, E)$ ($CV_0(X, E)$) denote the subspace of $C(X, E)$ consisting of those f such that vf is bounded (vanishes at infinity) for each $v \in V$. When $E = C$ (or R), these spaces are denoted by $C(X)$, $C_b(X)$, $CV_b(X)$, and $CV_0(X)$. If $\phi \in C(X)$ and $a \in E$, then $\phi \otimes a$ is a function in $C(X, E)$ defined by $(\phi \otimes a)(x) = \phi(x)a$ ($x \in X$). If U and V are two Nachbin families on X and, for each $u \in U$, there is a $v \in V$ such that $u \leq v$, then we write $U \leq V$. If, for each $x \in X$, there is a $v \in V$ with $v(x) \neq 0$, we write $V > 0$. For any function $\theta : X \rightarrow C$, we let $V|\theta| = \{v|\theta| : v \in V\}$.

Given any Nachbin family V on X , the *weighted topology* w_v on $CV_b(X, E)$ is defined as the linear topology which has a base of neighborhoods of 0 consisting of all sets of the form

$$N(v, G) = \{f \in CV_b(X, E) : (vf)(X) \subseteq G\},$$

where $v \in V$ and G is a neighborhood of 0 in E ; $CV_b(X, E)$ endowed with w_v is called a *weighted space*. We mention that if $V = S_0^+(X)$, then $CV_b(X, E) = CV_0(X, E) = C_b(X, E)$ and $w_v = \beta$, the strict topology and write as $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$; if $V = S_c^+(X)$, then $CV_b(X, E) = CV_0(X, E) = C(X, E)$ and $w_v = k$, the compact-open topology and we write as $(C(X, E), k)$. For more information on weighted spaces, we refer to [1-2,9-14] when E is a scalar field or a locally convex space and to [1,3-5,8] in the general setting.

Let $\theta : X \rightarrow C$ and $\psi : X \rightarrow E$ be two mappings, and let $L(X, E)$ be the vector space of all functions from X into E . The scalar multiplication on E and, in case E is an algebra, multiplication on E give rise to two linear mappings M_θ and M_ψ from $CV_b(E, X)$ into $L(X, E)$ defined by $M_\theta(f) = \theta f$ and $M_\psi(f) = \psi f$, where the product of functions is defined pointwise. If M_θ and M_ψ map $CV_b(X, E)(CV_0(X, E))$ into itself and are continuous, they are called *multiplication operators* on $CV_b(X, E)(CV_0(X, E))$ induced by θ and ψ , respectively.

A neighborhood G of 0 in E is called *shrinkable* if $r\overline{G} \subseteq \text{int } G$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. By ([6], Theorems 4 and 5), every Hausdorff topological vector space has a base of shrinkable neighborhoods of 0 and also the Minkowski functional ρ_G of any such neighborhood G is continuous.

Now let E be a topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication and having W , a base of neighborhoods of 0. Then, given any $G \in W$, there exists an $H \in W$ such that $H^2 \subseteq G$. (Here $H^2 = \{ab : a, b \in H\}$.) A subset $G \in W$ is called *idempotent* (or *multiplicative*) if $G^2 \subseteq G$. Following Zelazko ([16], p. 31), E is said to be a *locally idempotent algebra* if it has a base of neighborhoods of 0 consisting of idempotent sets. It is easily seen that if $G \in W$ is idempotent, then ρ_G is submultiplicative: $\rho_G(ab) \leq \rho_G(a)\rho_G(b)$ for all $a, b \in E$; further, if E has an identity e , $\rho_G(e) \geq 1$. The notion of locally idempotent algebras is a strict generalization of the notion of locally m -convex algebras introduced by Michael [7] (see also [15, p. 348]).

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

In this section, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for M_θ and M_ψ to be the multiplication operators on the weighted space $CV_0(X, E)$. (These results hold also for the space $CV_b(X, E)$ with slight modification in the proofs and are therefore omitted.) To avoid trivial cases we assume that the Nachbin family V on X satisfies the following conditions

(*) $V > 0$;

(**) corresponding to each $x \in X$, there exists an $h_x \in CV_0(X)$ such that $h_x(x) \neq 0$ (This holds in particular, when each v in V vanishes at infinity or X is locally compact.)

THEOREM 3.1. For a mapping $\theta : X \rightarrow C$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) θ is continuous and $V|\theta| \leq V$;
 (b) M_θ is a multiplication operator on $CV_0(X, E)$.

PROOF. Let W be a base of closed, balanced, and shrinkable neighborhoods of 0 in E .

(a) \Rightarrow (b). We first show that M_θ maps $CV_0(X, E)$ into itself. Let $f \in CV_0(X, E)$, and let $v \in V$ and $G \in W$. Choose $u \in V$ such that $v|\theta| \leq u$. There exists a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that $u(x)f(x) \in G$ for all $x \in X \setminus K$. Then, since G is balanced,

$$v(x)M_\theta(f)(x) = v(x)\theta(x)f(x) \in G$$

for all $x \in X \setminus K$. Hence $vM_\theta(f)$ vanishes at infinity; further, since θ is continuous, $M_\theta(f) \in CV_0(X, E)$. To prove the continuity of M_θ , let $\{f_\alpha\}$ be a net in $CV_0(X, E)$ with $f_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Let v, G and u be chosen as above. Choose an index α_0 such that $f_\alpha \in N(u, G)$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Then it follows that $\theta f_\alpha \in N(v, G)$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Thus $M_\theta(f_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. So M_θ is continuous at 0 and hence, by linearity, it is continuous on $CV_0(X, E)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). We first show that θ is continuous. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in X with $x_\alpha \rightarrow x \in X$. By assumption (**), there exists an $h \in CV_0(X)$ such that $h(x) \neq 0$. Since M_θ is a self-map on $CV_0(X, E)$, it follows that the function θh from X into C is continuous. Hence $\theta(x_\alpha)h(x_\alpha) \rightarrow \theta(x)h(x)$ and consequently $\theta(x_\alpha) \rightarrow \theta(x)$. We next show that $V|\theta| \leq V$. Let $v \in V$. By continuity of M_θ , given $G \in W$, there exist $u \in V$ and $H \in W$ such that

$$M_\theta(N(u, H)) \subseteq (v, G). \quad (1)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $G \cup H$ is a proper subset of E . Choose $a \in X \setminus (G \cup H)$, and put $t = \rho_H(a)/\rho_G(a)$. We claim that $v|\theta| \leq 2tu$. Fix $x_0 \in X$. We shall consider two cases: $u(x_0) \neq 0$ and $u(x_0) = 0$.

Suppose that $u(x_0) \neq 0$, and let $\epsilon = u(x_0)$. Then $D = \{x \in X : u(x) < 2\epsilon\}$ is an open neighborhood of x_0 . Using the complete regularity of X and the assumption (**), there is an $h \in CV_0(X, E)$ with $0 \leq h \leq 1$, $h(x_0) = 1$, and $h(X \setminus D) = 0$. Define $f = (h \otimes a)/2\epsilon\rho_H(a)$. Since ρ_H is homogeneous, for any $x \in X$,

$$\rho_H(u(x)f(x)) = u(x)h(x)/2\epsilon < 1,$$

by considering the cases $x \in D$ and $x \in X \setminus D$. Since $H = \{b \in E : \rho_H(b) \leq 1\}$, we have $f \in N(u, H)$. Hence, by (1), $\theta f \in N(v, G)$. This implies that, for any $x \in X$,

$$\rho_G(\theta(x)v(x)h(x)a/2\epsilon\rho_H(a)) \leq 1,$$

or $v(x)h(x)|\theta(x)| \leq 2t\epsilon$. In particular, $v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)| \leq 2tu(x_0)$.

Now suppose that $u(x_0) = 0$ but $v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)| > 0$. Put $\epsilon = v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)|/2t$. Let $D = \{x \in X : u(x) < \epsilon\}$, and choose an $h \in CV_0(X)$ as above. Define $g = (h \otimes a)/\epsilon\rho_H(a)$. We easily have $g \in N(u, H)$ and hence $\theta g \in N(v, G)$. From this we obtain

$$v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)| \leq t\epsilon = v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)|/2,$$

which is impossible unless $v(x_0)|\theta(x_0)| = 0$. This completes the proof.

We next consider the case of the operator M_ψ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let E be a Hausdorff locally idempotent algebra with identity e and W a base of neighborhoods of 0. Then, for a mapping $\psi : X \rightarrow E$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) ψ is continuous and $V\rho_G \circ \psi \leq V$ for every $G \in W$.
 (b) M_ψ is a multiplication operator on $CV_0(X, E)$.

PROOF. We may assume that W consists of closed, balanced, shrinkable, and idempotent sets

(a) \Rightarrow (b) We first show that M_ψ maps $CV_0(X, E)$ into itself. Let $f \in CV_0(X, E)$, and let $v \in V$ and $G \in W$. Choose $u \in V$ such that $V\rho_G \circ \psi \leq u$. There exists a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that $u(x)f(x) \in G$ for all $x \in X \setminus K$. Since ρ_G is submultiplicative, for any $x \in X \setminus K$, we have

$$\rho_G(v(x)\psi(x)f(x)) \leq v(x)\rho_G(\psi(x))\rho_G(f(x)) \leq u(x)\rho_G(f(x)) \leq 1;$$

hence $M_\psi(f) \in CV_0(X, E)$. Using again the submultiplicativity of ρ_G , the continuity of M_ψ follows in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in X such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x \in X$. Choose an $h \in CV_0(X)$ with $h(x) \neq 0$. Since M_ψ is a self-map on $CV_0(X, E)$, it follows that the function $\psi(h \otimes a)$ from X into E is continuous. Hence $h(x_\alpha)\psi(x_\alpha) \rightarrow h(x)\psi(x)$ and consequently $\psi(x_\alpha) \rightarrow \psi(x)$. This proves the continuity of ψ . Next, let $v \in V$ and $G \in W$. There exist $u \in V$ and $H \in W$ such that

$$M_\psi(N(u, H)) \subseteq N(v, G). \quad (2)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that H is a proper subset of E . We claim that $v\rho_G \circ \psi \leq 2\rho_H(e)u$.

Fix $x_0 \in X$. First assume that $u(x_0) \neq 0$, and let $\epsilon = u(x_0)$. Then $D = \{x \in X : u(x) < 2\epsilon\}$ is an open neighborhood of x_0 , so there exists an $h \in CV_0(X)$ such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$, $h(x_0) = 1$, and $h(X \setminus D) = 0$. Define $f = (h \otimes e)/2\epsilon\rho_H(e)$. Then, for any $x \in X$,

$$\rho_H(u(x)f(x)) = \rho_H(u(x)h(x)e)/2\epsilon\rho_H(e) \leq 1;$$

that is, $f \in N(u, H)$. Hence, by (2), $\psi f \in N(v, G)$. This implies that, for any $x \in X$,

$$v(x)h(x)\rho_G(\psi(x)) \leq 2\epsilon\rho_H(e).$$

In particular, $v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0)) \leq 2\rho_H(e)u(x_0)$. Next suppose that $u(x_0) = 0$, but $v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0)) > 0$. Put $\epsilon = v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0))/2\rho_H(e)$. Let $D = \{x \in X : u(x) < \epsilon\}$, and choose an $h \in CV_0(X)$ as above. Define $g = (h \otimes e)/\epsilon\rho_H(e)$. Then $g \in N(u, H)$, so by (2), $\psi g \in N(v, g)$. From this we obtain

$$v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0)) \leq \rho_H(e)\epsilon = v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0))/2,$$

which is impossible unless $v(x_0)\rho_G(\psi(x_0)) = 0$. This completes the proof.

Finally, we apply the above results to the cases: $V = S_c^+(X)$ and $V = S_0^+(X)$ and obtain the following.

THEOREM 3.3.

- (i) If $\theta : X \rightarrow C$ is a continuous mapping, then M_θ is a multiplication operator on $(C(X, E), k)$.
- (ii) If E is a Hausdorff locally idempotent algebra with identity e and $\psi : X \rightarrow E$ a continuous mapping, then M_ψ is a multiplication operator on $(C(X, E), k)$.

PROOF. (i) In view of Theorem 1, we only need to verify that $V|\theta| \leq V$, where $V = S_c^+(X)$. Let $v \in V$. Choose a compact set $K \subseteq X$ with $v(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus K$. Let $s = \sup\{|\theta(x)| : x \in K\}$ and $t = \sup\{v(x) : x \in K\}$, and let $u = st\chi_K$. Then $u \in V$ and clearly $v(x)|\theta(x)| \leq u(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) Let W be a base of neighborhoods of 0 in E consisting of closed, balanced, shrinkable, and idempotent sets. In view of Theorem 2, we only need to verify that $V\rho_G \circ \psi \leq V$ for every $G \in W$, where $V = S_c^+(X)$. Let $v \in V$ and $G \in W$. Choose a compact set $K \subseteq X$ with $v(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus K$. Let $s = \sup\{\rho_G(\psi(x)) : x \in K\}$ and $t = \sup\{v(x) : x \in K\}$, and let $u = st\chi_K$. Then $u \in V$ and clearly $v(x)\rho_G(\psi(x)) \leq u(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. The above result need not hold for the space $(C_b(X, E), \beta)$. To see this, consider $X = R^+$, $E = C$, and $V = S_0^+(X)$. Let $\theta = \psi : X \rightarrow C$ be a mapping given by $\theta(x) = x^2(x \in X)$, and let $v \in V$ be given by $v(x) = \frac{1}{x}(x \in X)$. Then $v(x)|\theta(x)| = x$ for all $x \in X$. Since each $u \in V$ is a bounded function, $v|\theta| \not\leq u$ for every $u \in V$. Hence $V|\theta| \leq V$ does not hold and so, by Theorem 1, M_θ

is not a multiplication operator on $(C_b(X), \beta)$. The same is also true for the space $(C_b(X), u)$, where u is the uniform topology, since $\beta \leq u$. However, if θ and ψ are bounded continuous functions, then it is easily seen that M_θ and M_ψ are always multiplication operators on $CV_0(X, E)$ for any Nachbin family V

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. One of the authors (A. B. Thaheem) wishes to acknowledge the support provided by King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals during this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] BIERSTEDT, K.D., Gewichtete Räume stetiger vektorwertiger funktionen und das injektive tensorprodukt, I, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **259** (1973), 186-210, II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **260** (1973), 133-146.
- [2] BIERSTEDT, K.D., MEISE, R. and SUMMERS, W.H., A projective description of weighted inductive limits, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **272** (1982), 107-160.
- [3] KHAN, L.A., The strict topology on a space of vector-valued functions, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **22** (1979), 35-41
- [4] KHAN, L.A., Weighted topology in the non-locally convex setting, *Mat. Vesnik* **37** (1985), 189-195.
- [5] KHAN, L.A., On approximation in weighted spaces of continuous vector-valued functions, *Glasgow Math. J.* **29** (1987), 65-68.
- [6] KLEE, V., Shrinkable neighborhoods in Hausdorff linear spaces, *Math. Ann.* **141** (1960), 281-285
- [7] MICHAEL, E.A., Locally multiplicatively convex topological algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1952).
- [8] NAWROCKI, M., On weak approximation and convexification in weighted spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *Glasgow Math. J.* **31** (1989), 59-64.
- [9] NACHBIN, L., Weighted approximation for algebras and modules of continuous functions: real and self-adjoint complex cases, *Ann. of Math.* **81** (1965), 289-302.
- [10] NACHBIN, L., *Elements of Approximation Theory*, *Math. Studies 14* (D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967).
- [11] PROLLA, J.B., Weighted spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.* **89** (1971), 145-158.
- [12] SINGH, R.K. and MANHAS, J.B., Multiplication operators on weighted spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **50** (1991), 98-107.
- [13] SINGH, R.K. and SUMMERS, W.H., Composition operators on weighted spaces of continuous functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **45** (1988), 303-319.
- [14] SUMMERS, W.H., A representation theorem for biequicontinuous completed tensor products of weighted spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (1969), 121-131.
- [15] ZELAZKO, W., On the locally bounded and m -convex topological algebras, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 333-358.
- [16] ZELAZKO, W., Metric generalizations of Banach algebras, *Rozprawy Matematyczne* **47** (1965), Warszawa.

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mssklai@cityu.edu.hk