

SEQUENTIAL POINT AND INTERVAL ESTIMATION OF SCALE PARAMETER OF EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION

Z. GOVINDARAJULU

University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky, U.S.A.

(Received January 13, 1993)

Abstract. Sequential fixed-width confidence intervals are obtained for the scale parameter σ when the location parameter θ of the negative exponential distribution is unknown. Exact expressions for the stopping time and the confidence coefficient associated with the sequential fixed-width interval are derived. Also derived is the exact expression for the stopping time of sequential point estimation with quadratic loss and linear cost. These are numerically evaluated for certain nominal confidence coefficients, widths of the interval and cost functions, and are compared with the second order asymptotic expressions.

Key words and phrases: Stopping time, exponential distribution, sequential estimation of scale parameter.

1991 AMS Subject classification code 62L12

1 Introduction and Preliminaries.

Starr and Woodroffe [1] have considered the risk efficient estimation of the scale parameter σ when the location, θ , is zero and studied some of the first order properties of the sequential procedure. Govindarajulu and Sarkar [2] have considered the risk-efficient estimation of σ when θ is unknown and studied the second order properties of the stopping time and the regret. Govindarajulu [3] has studied the second order asymptotic properties of the fixed-width interval estimation procedure for σ when θ is unknown. Mukhopadhyay [4] has considered risk efficient estimation of the mean of a negative exponential distribution. Here we derive exact expressions for the stopping time and confidence coefficient of the fixed-width interval estimation procedure and for the stopping time associated with point estimation with quadratic loss and linear cost, and compare them with the second order asymptotic expressions.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables having the density:

$$f(x : \theta, \sigma) = \sigma^{-1} \exp\{-(x - \theta)/\sigma\} \text{ for } x > \theta \text{ and zero elsewhere,} \quad (1.1)$$

where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and $\sigma > 0$.

We wish to estimate σ by $\sigma_n = \hat{\sigma}$ where

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_{1n})/(n-1) \text{ and } X_{1n} = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n). \quad (1.2)$$

From Epstein and Sobel ([5], Corollary 3) we have that

$$Y_n = 2(n-1)\sigma_n/\sigma \stackrel{d}{=} \chi^2_{2(n-1)} \quad (1.3)$$

where χ^2_k denotes a chi-square variable with k degrees of freedom.

2 Fixed-width Confidence Interval Estimation of σ .

Let $I_n = (\sigma_n - d, \sigma_n + d)$ where $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma_n$ is given by (1.2). Define for $x > 0$

$$\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-x}^x \exp(-t^2/2) dt. \quad (2.1)$$

Set $\psi(z) = 1 - \alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ and let $\{z_n\}$ be an sequence of constants converging to z . In particular, if z_n is the $(1 - \alpha/2)^{th}$ fractile of the t -distribution with n degrees of freedom, then

$$z_n = z\{1 + n^{-1}\Delta_0 + o(n^{-1})\} \text{ with } \Delta_0 = (1 + z^2)/4$$

(see, for instance, Woodroffe [6], p. 993)). Now, for large n (using the asymptotic normality of $(n - 1)^{1/2}(\sigma_n - \sigma)$)

$$P(\sigma \in I_n) \geq 1 - \alpha \text{ implies that } \psi((n - 1)^{1/2}d/\sigma) \geq \psi(z); \text{ or } n \geq [z^2\sigma^2/d^2] + 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the largest integer contained in (\cdot) . Since σ is unknown, then we resort to the following sequential rule:

$$\begin{aligned} R : N &= N(d) = t + 1 \text{ where for } m \geq 2 \\ t &= \inf\{n \geq m : n \geq z_n^2\sigma_n^2/d^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

After stopping at N , the confidence interval for σ is given by

$$I_N = (\sigma_N - d, \sigma_N + d). \quad (2.4)$$

The stopping rule (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$t = \inf\{n \geq m : S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n U_i \leq cn^\alpha L(n)\},$$

where U_i are i.i.d. as χ_2^2 , $c = 2d/\sigma z$, $\alpha = 3/2$ and

$$L(n) = 1 + (\frac{1}{2} - \Delta_0)/n + o(n^{-1}).$$

Now, we will state in Theorem 2.1 the general result of Woodroffe ([6], Theorem 2.4) which will be used in the sequel.

THEOREM 2.1 Let F denote the distribution of U_1 . Assume that

$$F(x) \leq Bx^a \text{ for all } x > 0$$

for some $B > 0$ and $a > 0$. (If the preceding condition is satisfied for all sufficiently small x , then it is satisfied for all x with a possibly new B but the same a). Let $E|X_1^r| < \infty$ for some $r > 2$. Also assume that U_1 has a density f which is continuous a.e. and that some power of the characteristic function of U_1 is integrable. If $r(2\alpha - 1) > 4$ and $ma > \beta$, then

$$E(t) = \lambda + \frac{\beta\nu}{\mu} - \beta L_0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\beta^2\tau^2\mu^{-2} + o(1)$$

as $c \rightarrow 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \frac{\beta}{2\mu}[(\alpha - 1)^2\mu^2 + \tau^2] - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}E\{(S_n - n\alpha\mu)^+\} \\ \beta &= (\alpha - 1)^{-1}, \mu = EU_1, \tau^2 = \text{var } U_1 \text{ and } \lambda = (\mu/c)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus applying Theorem 2.1 with $\beta = (\alpha - 1)^{-1} = 2$, $\mu = EU_1 = 2$, $\tau^2 = \text{var } U_1 = 4$, $L_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \Delta_0$, $\lambda = \mu^\beta c^{-\beta}$, $\beta^2\tau^2\mu^{-2} = 4$ and $\nu = \frac{5}{2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}E(S_n - 3n)^+$, we obtain

$$E(\tau) = (\sigma z/d)^2 + 2\Delta_0 - (3/2) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}E\{(S_n - 3n)^+\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, if z_n denotes the $(1 - \alpha/2)^{th}$ fractile of the t -distribution with n degrees of freedom, then (since $\Delta_0 = (1 + z^2)/4$))

$$E(\tau) - (\sigma z/d)^2 = (z^2/2) - 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}E\{(S_n - 3n)^+\} + o(1) \text{ as } c \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Also from Woodroffe ([6], p. 986) we have, after specializing from gamma to χ_2^2 density and performing linear interpolation in his Table 2.1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} E(S_n - 3n)^+ = 1.438.$$

So

$$E(t) = (\sigma z/d)^2 + (z^2/2) - 2.438 + o(1) \text{ as } c \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

3 Exact Expressions for the Expectation of the Stopping Time and the Confidence Coefficient.

In this section we derive the exact expressions for the stopping time and the confidence coefficient associated with the fixed-width confidence interval estimation and the stopping time associated with point estimation. Towards this, we need the following lemmas. Throughout this section we assume that $z_n \equiv z$.

Let $\lambda = (\sigma z/d)^2$ and S_{i-1}^* be the sum of $i-1$ independent standard negative exponential random variables and let

$$b_{i-1} = \begin{cases} (i-1)t^{1/2}/\lambda^{1/2} & \text{for } i \geq m \\ 0 & \text{for } i \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

Then the joint density of $S_{m-1}^*, \dots, S_{i-1}^*$ is

$$\{(m-2)!\} e^{-u_{m-1}} u_{m-1}^{m-2}, 0 < u_{m-1} < \dots < u_{i-1} < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $A_j(u) = \int_{b_{j-2}}^u A_{j-1}(v)dv$ for $j > m$, where $A_s(u) = u^{s-2}/(s-2)!$, for $2 \leq s \leq m$. Then

$$A_j(u) = \sum_{s=2}^{j-1} A_s(b_{j-2}) \frac{(u - b_{j-2})^{j-s}}{(j-s)!}, \quad j > m. \quad (3.2)$$

By simple calculation the proof follows.

Lemma 3.2. We have, for $j > m$

$$P(t > j) = e^{-b_{j-1}} \left\{ \sum_{l=3}^j A_l(b_{j-1}) + 1 \right\},$$

with

$$P(t > m) = \int_{b_{m-1}}^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{u^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} du.$$

PROOF. $P(t > m) = \int_{b_{m-1}}^{\infty} e^{-u} \frac{u^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} du.$

Consider

$$P(t > j) = P(S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, i = m, \dots, j).$$

Since the b_i 's and the S_i^* are increasing in i , by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t > j) &= \int_{u_{j-1}=b_{j-1}}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{u_m=b_m}^{u_{m+1}} \int_{u_{m-1}=b_{m-1}}^{u_m} dP(S_{j-1}^* \in du_{j-1}, \dots, S_{m-1}^* \in du_{m-1}) \\ &= \int_{u_{j-1}=b_{j-1}}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{u_{m-1}=b_{m-1}}^{u_m} e^{-u_{j-1}} \frac{u_{m-1}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} du_{m-1} du_m \cdots du_{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$A_j(u) = \int_{b_{j-2}}^u A_{j-1}(v)dv$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P(t > j) &= \int_{b_{j-1}}^{\infty} e^{-u} A_j(u) du \\ &= e^{-b_{j-1}} A_j(b_{j-1}) + \int_{b_{j-1}}^{\infty} e^{-u} A_{j-1}(u) du \end{aligned}$$

after performing integration by parts once. By repeated integration by parts process we obtain the desired result.

REMARK 3.1. Notice that $P(t > i) = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ since $b_{i-1} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$.

REMARK 3.2. We compute the A_j recursively using Lemma 3.1 and compute $P(t > j)$ by using Lemma 3.2 and using the latter one can compute

$$E(t) = m + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(t > j). \quad (3.3)$$

An Exact Expression for the coverage Probability.

Here we derive an exact expression for the coverage probability. Towards this we need the following elementary result.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $a(c) = (\sqrt{\lambda} + c)^2$. Then

$$(n-1)(1 + c/\sqrt{\lambda}) \leq b_{n-2}$$

when $n \leq [n_2(c)]$ or when $n \geq [n_1(c)] + 1$ where

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(c) &= (1/2) \left\{ (a+4) + a^{\frac{1}{2}}(a+4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \\ n_2(c) &= (1/2) \left\{ (a+4) - a^{\frac{1}{2}}(a+4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $[\cdot]$ denotes the largest integer contained in (\cdot) .

Furthermore,

- (i). $n_2(c) < 1 + a$ if $a > 1/2$, $n_2(c) \geq 1 + a$ if $a \leq 1/2$, and
- (ii). $n_1(c) > 1 + a$ for all a .

PROOF. The proof follows from solving the following equation

$$(n-1)\lambda(1 + c/\sqrt{\lambda})^2 = (n-2)^2.$$

In order to obtain (i) and (ii) solve the corresponding inequalities.

Let $b_{i-1} = (i-1)t^{1/2}/\lambda^{1/2}, i = m, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(\sigma - d \leq \sigma_n \leq \sigma + d, t = n) \\ &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P \left\{ (n-1) \left(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right) \leq S_{n-1}^* \leq (n-1) \left(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1, S_{n-1}^* \leq b_{n-1} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In order to evaluate γ we consider the following ranges for the summation variable n .

Case 1. Let n be such that $n^{1/2}\lambda^{1/2} \leq (1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda})$, that is

$$n \leq (\sqrt{\lambda} - z)^2 \text{ or } n \leq \left[(\sqrt{\lambda} - z)^2 \right].$$

In this range the probability of each summand is zero.

Case 2. $(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}) < n^{1/2}\lambda^{1/2} \leq (1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda})$. That is

$$(\sqrt{\lambda} - z)^2 < n \leq (\sqrt{\lambda} + z)^2 \text{ or } \left[(\sqrt{\lambda} - z)^2 \right] + 1 \leq n \leq \left[(\sqrt{\lambda} + z)^2 \right].$$

Let

$$I = (n-1) \left(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right) \leq S_{n-1}^* \leq (n-1) \left(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right), \quad S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, \quad m \leq i \leq n-1, \quad S_{n-1}^* \leq b_{n-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(I, 1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{\lambda}} < n^{1/2}\lambda^{1/2} < 1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right) &= P \left((n-1) \left(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right) \leq S_{n-1}^* \leq b_{n-1}, S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. m \leq i \leq n-1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $n^{1/2}/\lambda^{1/2} > 1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda} \iff n > (\sqrt{\lambda} + z)^2$ or $n \geq [(\sqrt{\lambda} + z)^2] + 1$.

$$P(I, n^{1/2}\lambda^{1/2} > 1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda}) = P((n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}) \leq S_{n-1}^* \leq (n-1)(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda})),$$

$$S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1).$$

So

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \sum_{n=\max\{m, [(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]+1\}}^{[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]} P((n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}) \leq S_{n-1}^* \leq b_{n-1}, S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1), \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\infty} P((n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}) \leq S_{n-1}^* \\ &\leq (n-1)(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Furthermore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \sum_{n=\max\{m, [(\sqrt{\lambda}-z)^2]+1\}}^{[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]} \left\{ P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P(t > n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\infty} \left\{ P(S_{n-1}^* \geq (n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 &= \sum_{n=\max\{m, [(\sqrt{\lambda}-z)^2]+1\}}^{\infty} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\infty} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 + z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=\max\{m, [(\sqrt{\lambda}-z)^2]+1\}}^{[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]} P(t > n) \\ &= T_1 - T_2 - T_3 \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

If $a(-z) > 1/2$, then from Lemma 3.3 we have that $n_1(-z) > 1 + a(-z)$ and $n_2(-z) < 1 + a(-z)$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \sum_{n=\max\{m, 1+[a(-z)]\}}^{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n=\max\{1+[a(-z)], m\}}^{[n_1(-z)]} + \sum_{n=[n_1(-z)]+1}^{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n=\max\{1+[a(-z)], m\}}^{[n_1(-z)]} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 - z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1+[n_1(-z)]}^{\infty} P(t > n-1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Note that if $n_1(-z)] < \max\{1 + a(-z), m\}$ then the contribution from the first summation is zero, and the lower limit in the second summation should be $\max\{1 + a(-z), m\}$. This will be further elaborated under “special case”. Also, since $1 + a(z) < n_1(z)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\infty} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1+z\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\
&= \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor} + \sum_{n=1+\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor}^{\infty} \\
&= \sum_{n=1+[(\sqrt{\lambda}+z)^2]}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1+z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, i \leq n-1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1+\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor}^{\infty} P(t > n-1). \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= \sum_{n=\max\{m, 1+[a(-z)]\}}^{\lfloor n_1(-z) \rfloor} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1-z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1+[a(z)]}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1+z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=\lfloor n_1(-z) \rfloor}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor-1} P(t > n) - \sum_{n=\max\{m, 1+[a(-z)]\}}^{\lfloor a(z) \rfloor} P(t > n). \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Special Case. If $\lfloor n_1(-z) \rfloor < \max\{m, 1+[a(-z)]\}$, then one can write

$$T_1 = \sum_{n=\max\{m-1, [a(-z)]\}}^{\infty} P(t > n).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma &= - \sum_{n=1+[a(z)]}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor} P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1+z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=\max\{m-1, [a(-z)]\}}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor-1} P(t > n) - \sum_{n=\max\{m, 1+[a(-z)]\}}^{\lfloor a(z) \rfloor} P(t > n). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the last two terms will simplify to

$$P(t > \max\{m-1, [a(-z)]\}) + \sum_{n=1+[a(z)]}^{\lfloor n_1(z) \rfloor-1} P(t > n). \tag{3.13}$$

Also, as noted in the proof of Lemma 3.2, since the b_i 's and the S_i^* are increasing in i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P(S_{n-1}^* > (n-1)(1 \pm z/\sqrt{\lambda}), S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) \\
&= \int_{u_{n-1}=(n-1)(1 \pm z/\sqrt{\lambda})}^{\infty} e^{-u_{n-1}} \int_{b_{n-2}}^{u_m} \cdots \int_{b_{m-1}}^{u_m} \frac{u_{m-1}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} du_{m-1} \cdots du_{n-1} \\
&= \int_{u_{n-1}=(n-1)(1 \pm z/\sqrt{\lambda})}^{\infty} e^{-u_{n-1}} A_n(u_{n-1}) du_{n-1} \\
&= e^{-B_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{l=3}^n A_l(B_{n-1}) + 1 \right\}, \text{ where } B_{n-1} = (n-1)(1 \pm z/\sqrt{\lambda}), \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

after performing integration by parts repeatedly. If $B_{n-1} < b_{n-2}$, then

$$P(S_{n-1}^* > B_{n-1}, S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) = P(S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq n-1) = P(t > n-1).$$

Remark 3.3. For numerical computations, we define

$$\bar{A}_k(b_{j-1}) = A_k(b_{j-1})e^{-b_{j-1}}$$

and

$$D_k(j-1) = A_k(B_{j-1})e^{-B_{j-1}}$$

where

$$B_{j-1} = (j-1) \left(1 \pm z/\sqrt{\lambda} \right),$$

Table 3.1: Exact Values of Et and the Confidence Coefficient for Various Values of λ and m .

z	λ	$\lambda^{1/2}$	σ/d	$m = 4$		$m = 8$		$m = 10$	
				Et	ECC^*	Et	ECC	Et	ECC
1.96	3.84	1.96	1.0	5.21	1.000	9.23	1.000	10.09	1.000
	8.64	2.94	1.5	7.97	0.999	14.30	1.000	11.47	0.999
	15.37	3.92	2.0	12.64	0.778	23.75	0.933	15.44	0.997
	24.01	4.90	2.5	19.58	0.741	37.65	0.897	22.16	0.897
	34.57	5.88	3.0	29.00	0.766	55.77	0.922	31.58	0.847
	47.06	6.86	3.5	40.92	0.802	77.66	0.946	43.57	0.860
2.575	6.63	2.58	1	6.73	1.000	8.27	1.000	10.69	1.000
	14.92	3.86	1.5	12.31	0.920	10.23	0.999	15.13	1.000
	16.52	5.15	2.0	21.74	0.810	14.62	0.997	24.31	1.000
	41.44	6.44	2.5	35.48	0.836	21.56	0.843	38.10	0.911
	59.68	7.72	3.0	53.44	0.880	31.09	0.830	56.13	0.929
	81.22	9.01	3.5	75.27	0.914	43.15	0.850	77.96	0.950

*ECC = Exact Confidence Coefficient

and compute $\bar{A}_k(b_{j-1})$ and $D_k(j-1)$ recursively after rewriting (3.2) and (3.15) in terms of $\bar{A}_k(b_{j-1})$ and $D_k(j-1)$, and hence evaluate $P(t > j)$ and the probabilities

$$P(S_{j-1}^* > B_{j-1}, S_{i-1}^* > b_{i-1}, m \leq i \leq j-1).$$

Remark 3.4. In the sequential rule, we can replace z by a sequence $\{z_n\}$ converging to z . For instance z_n could be the $(1 - \alpha/2)^{th}$ quantiles of Student's t-distribution with n degrees of freedom. In the latter case

$$z_i = z \left\{ 1 + (1 + z^2)(4i)^{-1} + o(i^{-1}) \right\}.$$

Then b_{i-1} will be an increasing sequence provided $i \geq 2$. This is satisfied because we can always choose $m \geq 2$ or 3 (see the definition of b_{i-1}).

The first order asymptotic value of Et is λ and the second order asymptotic value for Et (using Theorem 2.1) is given by

$$Et - \lambda = -1.50 - 1.438 + o(1) = -2.988 + o(1).$$

From Table 3.1, we infer that the asymptotic values for Et are close to the true values when $\sigma/d \geq 1.5$. The surprise is that the exact confidence coefficient decreases with σ/d for a while and increases from there on, but still falling short of the nominal confidence coefficient. When $\sigma/d = 1$, the actual confidence coefficient exceeds the nominal confidence coefficient. It seems one should take at least 10 for m in order for the exact confidence coefficient to be reasonably close to the nominal value.

4 Point Estimation of σ .

Let the loss incurred in estimating σ by σ_n where σ_n is given by (1.2) be given by

$$L_n = (\sigma_n - \sigma)^2 + cn.$$

Then

$$EI_{\sigma} = \sigma^2(n-1)^{-1} + cn = \beta_n(c) \text{ (say).} \quad (4.1)$$

Setting $\partial\beta_n(x)/\partial n = 0$, we obtain

$$n = \sigma/c^{1/2} + 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Since σ is unknown, we resort to the following sequential rule. The stopping time $N = t + 1$ where for $m \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \inf \{n \geq m : n \geq \sigma_n/c^{1/2}\} \\ &= \inf \{n \geq m : S_{n-1}^* \leq n(n-1)/\gamma\}, \gamma = \sigma/c^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where γ is the optimal fixed-sample size required when σ is known and S_{n-1}^* is the sum of $n-1$ standard exponential random variables. Thus

$$P(t > j) = P(S_{i-1}^* > i(i-1)/\gamma, i = m, \dots, j) \quad (4.4)$$

and from Remark 3.2 we have

$$Et = m + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P(t > j). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, one can readily evaluate Et for various values of γ after evaluating $P(t > j)$ using Lemma 3.2 with $b_{i-1} = i(i-1)/\gamma$. These are tabulated in Table 4.1 for some value of m .

Table 4.1: Et for Some Selected Values of m .

γ^{-1}	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.05	0.01
Et					
$m = 4$	4.00	4.07	9.35	19.02	99.66
$m = 8$	8.00	8.00	10.42	19.42	99.69
$m = 10$	10.00	10.00	11.26	19.55	99.69

Towards the second order asymptotic results, from Govindarajulu and Sarkar [2] we have

$$Et = \gamma + 0.374 - 1 + o(1) = \gamma - 0.626 + o(1). \quad (4.6)$$

From Table 4.1 we infer that the asymptotic values for Et are very close to the exact values for $\gamma \geq 10$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author expresses his sincere thanks to Helena Truszcynska for her assistance in computing the numerical values in Tables 3.1 and 4.1 and the referee for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Starr, N. and Woodroffe, M. Further remarks on sequential point estimation: The exponential case. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** (1972) 1147-1154.
- [2] Govindarajulu, Z. and Sarkar, S. Sequential estimation of the scale parameter in exponential distribution with unknown location. *Utilitas Mathematica* **40** (1991) 161-178.
- [3] Govindarajulu, Z. Fixed-width confidence interval estimation of scale parameter of exponential distribution with unknown location. *Journal of the Orissa math. Soc.* **4** (2) (1985) 77-83.
- [4] Mukhopadhyay, N. Minimum risk point estimation of the mean of a negative exponential distribution. *Sankhyā Ser. A* **49** (1) (1987) 105-112.
- [5] Epstein, B. and Sobel, M. Some theorems relevant to life testing from an exponential distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **25** (1954) 373-381.
- [6] Woodroffe, M. Second order approximation for sequential point and interval estimation. *Ann. Statist.* **5** (1977) 984-995.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk