

NON-HOMOGENEOUS MARKOV CHAINS WITH A FINITE STATE SPACE AND A DOEBLIN TYPE THEOREM

RITA CHATTOPADHYAY

Department of Mathematics
Eastern Michigan University
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

(Received December 4, 1992 and in revised form March 4, 1994)

ABSTRACT. Doeblin [1] considered some classes of finite state nonhomogeneous Markov chains and studied their asymptotic behavior. Later Cohn [2] considered another class of such Markov chains (not covered earlier) and obtained Doeblin type results. Though this paper does not present the "best possible" results, the method of proof will be of interest to the reader. It is elementary and based on Hajnal's results on products of nonnegative matrices.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Stochastic matrices, convergence, state space, classes, period.
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 60J10.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $\{X_n; n \geq 0\}$ be a non-homogeneous Markov chain with finite state space $E = \{1, 2, \dots, S\}$ defined on some probability space (Ω, Σ, P) . Let (P_n) be the sequence of transition probability (s by s) matrices such that $(P_n)_{ij}$ = the entry on the i th row and j th column of $P = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$, $(P_{m,n})_{ij} = (P_{m+1}P_{m+2} \cdots P_n)_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_{m+1} = i)$, $0 \leq m < n$. [It will be assumed that the matrices P_n are all stochastic, i.e., every row sum is one; this means that when $P(X_n = i) = 0$, the i th row of P_n can be defined in any way as long as it is nonnegative and has sum 1.] In [1], Doeblin considered classes of non-homogeneous Markov chains satisfying condition (A): \exists a positive number $\delta \ni \forall (i, j) \in E \times E$, either $(P_n)_{ij} > \delta \forall n$ or $(P_n)_{ij} = 0 \forall n$. He also studied more general chains:

CONDITION (B). $\exists m \delta > 0$ and some positive integer $N \ni \forall (i, j) \in E \times E$, either $(P_n)_{ij} > \delta$ for $n > N$ or $\lim (P_n)_{ij} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Cohn [2] made a detailed study of Doeblin's paper [1] and these conditions in the context of Doeblin type results. Cohn [2] also studied chains satisfying conditions even more general than Doeblin's. The most general condition studied in Cohn's paper is:

CONDITION (B*). $\exists \delta > 0 \ni \lim \max\{(P_n)_{ij} | i, j \ni (P_n)_{ij} < \delta\} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The aim of this paper is to study non-homogeneous Markov chains satisfying conditions essentially different from the above conditions (where one does not require any kind of limit for the sequence $(P_n)_{ij}$ or the sequence $\max\{(P_n)_{ij}; (i, j) \in A_n\}$, A_n in E) in the context of Doeblin theory. For example, if one considers a non-homogeneous Markov chain where the transition matrices (P_n) satisfy for some $(i, j) \in E \times E$ the condition: $(P_{k(n)})_{ij} > \varepsilon_k > 0$, $k(n) = k^n$, $n > 0$, where k is a prime integer and $\lim \varepsilon_k = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then this chain does not belong to the classes of chains studied in [2,3]. As one will see shortly, these chains (for $\varepsilon_k = 1/\log k$) are a type of chains that will satisfy the condition (*) below that define the chains studied in this paper.

In this paper, Doeblin type results are obtained for non-homogeneous Markov chains satisfying the following condition:

CONDITION (*). For any $(i, j) \in E \times E$, either $(P_n)_{ij} = 0 \forall n$, or for n sufficiently large, $(P_n)_{ij} \geq 1/(\log n)$.

As will be clear from the proof, results of this paper actually hold under conditions more general than (*). The present method of proof is different, and will be of interest to the reader.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

Throughout this and the next section, we will assume that the P_n 's have the same skeleton, i.e., either $(P_n)_{ij} = 0 \forall n \geq 1$ or $(P_n)_{ij} > 0 \forall n \geq 1$. Define that $i \rightarrow j$ if $P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) > 0$ for some $n \geq 1$. If $i \rightarrow i$, i is self-communicating and define the period of i , $d(i) = g.c.d \{n | (P_{n,k+n})_{ii} > 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}$. In the parenthesis above the phrase "for some $k \geq 0$ " can be replaced by " $\forall k > 0$ " without changing the definition since the P_n 's have the same skeleton. Note that it is easily proven that the set $F = \{i \in E | i \rightarrow i\}$ is a nonempty subset of E (since E is finite). A state i , as usual, is called essential if $i \rightarrow j \Rightarrow j \rightarrow i$. A state which is not essential is called unessential. All states in $E - F$ are unessential. As in the homogeneous case, F is partitioned into equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation irj iff $i \rightarrow j$ and $j \rightarrow i$. Then it is easily verified that all states within the same class have the same period. Also, in class G_α with period d_α and any two states $i, j \in G_\alpha$, $\exists r_{ij} \ni 0 \leq r_{ij} < d_\alpha$ and $(P_{m,n})_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow n - m = r_{ij} \pmod{d_\alpha}$. [Recall: $P_{m,n} = P_{m+1}P_{m+2} \cdots P_n, m < n$]. Also, each class G_α with period d_α can be partitioned into sub-classes $C_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha$, \ni if $i \in C_{t_1}$ and $j \in C_{t_2}$ then $(p_{m,n})_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow n - m = t_2 - t_1 \pmod{d_\alpha}$. [The proofs of the above assertions are the same as the homogeneous case in Chung's book [3]]. In the proof of our theorem, we need to apply Hajnal's weak ergodicity result in [4]. We explain what it is. A nonnegative square matrix is called *allowable* if \exists at least one positive entry in each row and each column. For an allowable matrix P , Hajnal [4] defined $\Phi(P)$ as :

$$\Phi(P) = \min \frac{P_{ik}P_{j\ell}}{P_{jk}P_{i\ell}}, \forall i, j, k, \ell \text{ if } P \text{ has all entries positive,}$$

$$= 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

A sequence of $s \times s$ nonnegative matrices is called weakly ergodic if for each $m \geq 0$ and any i, j, k in the state space $\frac{(P_{m,n})_{ij}}{(P_{m,n})_{kj}} \rightarrow V^{(m)}_{ik}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the $V^{(m)}_{ik}$'s are independent of j . We need the following theorem: Theorem (Hajnal). A sequence of allowable matrices is weakly ergodic if \exists a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(r_m) \ni \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\Phi(P_{r_m, r_m+1})} = \infty$.

3. MAIN RESULTS.

We now state the main theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. Let (P_n) be a sequence of $s \times s$ stochastic matrices with state space S such that they all have the same skeleton. Let us assume the following condition: "For each $i \in S$, let $E_i = \{j \in S : i \rightarrow j\}$. Then for any two states $u, v \in E_i$, either $(P_n)_{uv} = 0$ for all n or for sufficiently large n , $(P_n)_{uv} \geq 1/(\log n)$ ". Then the following results hold: the state space S can be partitioned as $S = T_0 \cup (U_\alpha E_\alpha) \cup (U_\beta I_\beta)$, where T_0 contains all the non-self communicating elements, E_α 's the essential self-communicating classes and the I_β 's the inessential self-communicating classes. Each E_α can further be partitioned into cyclical subclasses $E_\alpha = \bigcup_{u=1}^{d(\alpha)} E_{\alpha u}$, $d(\alpha)$ being the period of E_α . Similarly, each I_β can be partitioned as $I_\beta = \bigcup_{v=1}^{d(\beta)} I_{\beta v}$, where $d(\beta)$ is the period of I_β . Also

- (i) $\lim (P_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ for $m \geq 0$ for all i , if j in T_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) $(P_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ for $m < n$ if i in E_α and j not in E_α .
- (iii) $(P_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ if $n - m \neq v - u \pmod{d(\alpha)}$, whenever j in $E_{\alpha u}$, i in $E_{\alpha v}$.

A similar result holds when i in $I_{\beta u}$ and j in $I_{\beta v}$.

(iv) If $i \in E_{\alpha u}$, $j \in E_{\alpha v}$, and $n - m = v - u \pmod{d(\alpha)}$, then $(P_{mn})_{ij} = (P_n)_{ij} + (\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij}$, where $\lim (\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ and $\lim \sum_{j \in E_{\alpha v}} (P_n)_{ij} = 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(v) If $i, k \in I_{\beta u}$ and $j \in I_{\beta v}, n - m = v - u \pmod{d(\beta)}$, then $\lim[(P_{m,n})_{ij}/(P_{m,n})_{kj}] = v^{(m)}_{ik}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(vi) Let $j \in E_{\alpha u}$, $1 \leq u \leq d(\alpha)$. Then for $i \in S$, $(P_{m,n})_{ij} = (P_n)_{ij}$, $\sum_{k \in E_{\alpha u}} (P_{m,n})_{ik} + (\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ik} + (\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij}$ and $\lim(\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The idea of the proof is the following. First, to find a useful estimate of the integer N (and this is one of the crucial steps in my proof) with the following property: $(P_{k,k+nd})_{ii} > 0$ whenever $n \geq N$ where d is the period of the element $i, i \notin T_0$. (The estimate is in terms of d and the number a which is the number of elements in the class containing i). The second step is to consider restrictions of the sequence of blocks (each block is a product of length $d(\alpha)$) $P_{m,m+d(\alpha)}$ to an essential class (with period $d(\alpha)$); these restrictions are allowable nonnegative matrices and then use Hajnal's theorem to this sequence after estimating the Φ function (given in Hajnal's theorem) based on the estimate that I have obtained in the first step. The third step is consider a similar procedure for the unessential classes.

PROOF. We discuss the proof in several parts.

(1) Let a, b be positive integers and $0 < a < b$, $\text{g.c.d. } \{a, b\} = (a, b) = d$. Then there exist integers u and v such that $ua + vb = d$ and $|v| \leq u \leq b$.

PROOF of (1). With no loss of generality, we can assume that $d = 1$. It is known that there are integers s and t such that

$$sa + tb = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Let x be the greatest integer less than or equal to $\frac{b-s}{b}$. We claim that

$$|t - ax| \leq s + bx \leq b. \quad (3.2)$$

Notice that (3.2), once established, will complete the proof of (1), for

$$(s + bx)a + (t - ax)b = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

To establish (3.2), note first that

$$\frac{-s-t}{b-a} \leq \frac{-s}{b} \leq \frac{t-s}{a+b} \leq \frac{b-s}{b}. \quad (3.4)$$

Write, $|s| = bq + r, 0 \leq r < b$, where q and r are integers. Let $s > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b-s}{b} &= 1 - q - \frac{r}{b} \text{ so that } \frac{b-s}{b} - x \leq 1 - \frac{r}{b} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{b(a+b)} = \frac{b-s}{b} - \frac{t-s}{a+b} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{t-s}{a+b} &\leq x \leq \frac{b-s}{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

If $s < 0$, then $\frac{b-s}{b} = 1 + q + \frac{r}{b} \Rightarrow \frac{b-s}{b} - x = \frac{r}{b} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{b(a+b)}$. (Since $r(a+b) < b(a+b) \Rightarrow r(a+b) \leq -1 + b(a+b)$). This means (3.5) holds. Note that (3.5) implies that

$$t - ax \leq s + bx \leq b. \quad (3.6)$$

Also, (3.4) implies that $\frac{-s-t}{b-a} \leq x$ or

$$ax - t \leq s + bx. \quad (3.7)$$

This establishes (3.2) and (1) is proven.

(2) Let $d = \text{g.c.d. } \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$, where $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ are positive integers. Then \exists positive integers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k such that

- (i) $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_k$
- (ii) $c_1 n_1 - c_2 n_2 - \dots - c_k n_k = d$

(iii) If $d_i = \text{g.c.d.}\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, then $c_k \leq \frac{n_k}{d_k}, c_{k-1} \leq \frac{n_k n_{k-1}}{d_k d_{k-1}}$ etc.

$$c_i \leq \frac{n_i n_{i+1} \dots n_k}{d_i d_{i+1} \dots d_k}.$$

PROOF OF (2). The proof follows easily using induction on k and (1).

(3) Let d be the period of a self-communicating class F and let a be the number of elements in this class. For a state i in this class, define the set $A(i) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : (P_{k, k+n})_{ii} > 0 \text{ for all } k\}$. Also, let $A(a) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : n \leq a \text{ and } n \in A(j) \text{ for } j \text{ in } F\}$. Then, $d = \text{g.c.d. } A(a)$.

PROOF OF (3). Notice that $d = \text{g.c.d. } A(j)$ for each $j \in F$. Hence, $d \mid d_0$, where $d_0 = \text{g.c.d. } A(a)$. Now, let $n \in A(i)$. Then, $(P_{k, k+n})_{ii} > 0$. If $n \leq a$, then $n \in A(a)$ and $d_0 \mid n$. Let $n > a$. Since i cannot lead to a state j outside F (the class containing i), which can then lead to a state in F , it is clear that one can write $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{\underline{1}}$, where each $n_t, 1 \leq t \leq \underline{1}$, is in $A(a)$. To see this, let $i = j_n$; then notice that $(P_{k, k+n})_{ii} = \Sigma (P_{k+1})_{j_1} (P_{k+2})_{j_1 j_2} \dots (P_{k+n})_{j_{n-1} j_n}$. If m is the smallest integer such that j_m appears at least twice and $j_m = j_{m+p}$, then $a \geq p$ and $n = p + (n-p)$, where $(P_{k+m, k+m+p})_{j_m j_m} > 0$ and $(P_{k, n-p+k})_{ii} > 0$. This process is repeated. So $d_0 \mid n$ since $d_0 \mid n_t, 1 \leq t \leq \underline{1}$.

(4) Let d be the period of a self-communicating class with a elements and $N = \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{a}{d} \right\rceil a \right\}^2$. $\forall n \geq N, (P_{k, k+nd})_{ii} > 0, \forall k$ and states i in this class.

PROOF OF (4). Let i be a state in this class. First, consider the shortest path from i to i through all the other states in this class which can be described as follows: $j_0 = \underbrace{i \text{ to } j_1}_{s_1\text{-steps}} \text{ to } \underbrace{j_1 \text{ to } j_2}_{s_2\text{-steps}}$ to etc.

$$\dots \underbrace{j_v \text{ to } i}_{s_{v+1}\text{-steps}} = j_0$$

where all the $j_{\underline{1}}$'s are distinct and each $s_{\underline{1}} \leq a$. If the length of this shortest path is b , then $d \mid b$ and $b \leq a^2$. Note that the corresponding shortest path for any other state j in this class has also length b , since, for example, if $j = j_1$, then:

$$\underbrace{j_1 \text{ to } j_2}_{s_2\text{-steps}} \text{ to } \underbrace{j_2 \text{ to } j_3}_{s_3\text{-steps}} \text{ to } \dots \text{etc.} \dots \text{to } \underbrace{i \text{ to } j_1}_{s_1\text{-steps}}.$$

This information will be used later. Now, by step (3) $d = \text{g.c.d. } \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t\}$, $n_{\underline{1}}$'s being distinct, each $n_{\underline{1}} \leq a$ and for each $n_{\underline{1}}, \underline{1} \leq \underline{1} \leq t$, there is some state i in the given class (equivalent) $\ni (P_{k, k+n_{\underline{1}}})_{ii} > 0$. By part (2), \exists positive integers $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_t \ni d = c_1 n_1 - c_2 n_2 - \dots - c_t n_t$. Let $N_0 d = c_1 n_2 + \dots + c_t n_t$. Let $n \geq N_0(N_0 - 1)$. Then $n = a_1 N_0(N_0 - 1) + a_2 N_0 + a_3$ where $a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 0, 0 \leq a_3 < N_0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} nd &= a_1(N_0 - 1) \sum_{\underline{1}=2}^t c_{\underline{1}} n_{\underline{1}} + a_2 \sum_{\underline{1}=2}^t c_{\underline{1}} n_{\underline{1}} + a_3 \left(c_1 n_1 - \sum_{\underline{1}=2}^t c_{\underline{1}} n_{\underline{1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{1}=2}^t \{a_1(N_0 - 1) + a_2 - a_3\} c_{\underline{1}} n_{\underline{1}} + a_3 c_1 n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by part (2),

$$c_1 \leq \frac{n_1}{d} \cdot \frac{n_2}{d} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{n_t}{d} \leq \frac{2d \cdot 3d \dots \left\lceil \frac{a}{d} \right\rceil d}{d^t} \leq \left\lceil \frac{a}{d} \right\rceil$$

$$\ni N_0 d(N_0 - 1) = (c_1 n_1 - d)(c_1 n_1 - 2d) \frac{1}{d} \leq \left(\left\lceil \frac{a}{d} \right\rceil a - d \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{d}.$$

Note that if $md = \sum_{\underline{1}=1}^t c_{\underline{1}}^{(m)} n_{\underline{1}}, c_{\underline{1}}^{(m)} \geq 0$, then $(P_{k, k+md+b})_{ii} > 0 \forall$ states i in the class. [The reason is the following: Considering the shortest path of length b from i to i through all the states in the class i to j_1 to j_2 to \dots etc. \dots to j_v to i . Attach to this path an extra $m \cdot d$ steps in

the most obvious manner, i.e., for each j_1 , there is an n_1 such that $(P_{k_2, k + c_1^{(m)} n_1})_{j_1 j_1} > 0$, so that the new path looks like

$$i \text{ to } \underbrace{j_1 \text{ to } j_1}_{c_1^{(m)} n_1 \text{-steps}} \text{ to } \underbrace{j_2 \text{ to } j_2}_{c_2^{(m)} n_2 \text{-steps}} \text{ to } \dots \text{ to } j_v \text{ to } i].$$

Since, $b \leq a^2$ and $d \mid b, N_0(N_0 - 1) + \frac{b}{d} \leq \frac{1}{d^2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{d} \right\rfloor a - d \right)^2 + \frac{b}{d} \leq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{d} \right\rfloor a \right)^2$.

Therefore, if $n \geq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{a}{d} \right\rfloor a \right)^2$, then $n.d = m.d + b, m \geq N_0(N_0 - 1), \forall i$ in the class, $(P_{k, k + nd})_{ii} > 0$.

(5) Let $G_0 = \{j \in S : \lim(P_{m, n})_{ij} = 0, \forall m \geq 0, \forall \text{ states } i \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$.

Since S is finite, $G_0^c \neq \Phi$. Let $k \in G_0^c$. $\exists i_1 \in S, \exists \lim(P_{m, n})_{i_1 k} > 0$ for some m as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Or $g_{i_1 k}^{(m)} > 0$. Since

$$g_{i_1 k}^{(m)} = \sum_{r=m+1}^{\infty} f_{i_1, k}^{m, r} g_{kk}^{(r)} \exists r > m \in g_{kk}^{(r)} > 0.$$

Thus k is recurrent and $k \rightarrow k$.

(6) Let $T_0 = \{j \in S : j \nrightarrow j\}$. Then T_0 in G_0 . The set T_0^c can be partitioned into equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation " \leftrightarrow ". The equivalence classes in T_0^c has either all essential or all unessential states.

(7) Let $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_e\}$ be all the equivalence classes of T_0^c consisting of only essential states. Each E_α can also be partitioned into subclasses $\{E_{\alpha 1}, E_{\alpha 2}, \dots, E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}\}$, where $d(\alpha)$ is the period of the class E_α as follows: For a fixed i in $E_\alpha, E_{\alpha r} = \{j \in E_\alpha : (P_{m, m+n})_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow n = r \pmod{d(\alpha)}\}, 1 \leq r \leq d(\alpha)$. Clearly, for j in $E_{\alpha 1}, k$ in $E_{\alpha 1'}, (P_{m, m+n})_{jk} > 0$ implies that $n = 1' - 1 \pmod{d(\alpha)}$. Note that the restriction of $P_{m, m+d(\alpha)}$ to $E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}$, i.e., $P_{m, m+d(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$ is an allowable non-negative matrix because $(P_{m, m+d(\alpha)})_{ij} = 0 \forall j$ in $E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}$, for some $i \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}$ and for some m , so that $(P_{m, m+d(\alpha)})_{ii} = 0 \forall n$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, no column of $P_{m, m+d(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$ can be a zero column. For $i, j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}, \exists$ a positive integer $k_{ij} \leq \left\lfloor \frac{a}{d(\alpha)} \right\rfloor \forall m, (P_{m, m+k_{ij}d(\alpha)})_{ij} > 0$. This means that $n \geq N$ (where N is as in (5)) $\Rightarrow (P_{m, m+nd(\alpha)+k_{ij}d(\alpha)})_{ij} > 0$. Let $M = \max\{N + k_{ij} : i, j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}\}$. Then $M \leq N + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{d(\alpha)} \right\rfloor$. By the assumption in the theorem, when $(P_n)_{ij} > 0$ for $i, j \in E_\alpha$, and n sufficiently large,

$$(*) (P_n)_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{n^{\theta(a, d(\alpha))}}, \theta(a, d(\alpha)) = \frac{1}{\left(N + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{d(\alpha)} \right\rfloor\right) d(\alpha)}.$$

{Notice that for $i, j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}\} (P_{m, m+Md(\alpha)})_{ij} = (P_{m, [N + (M - N - k_{ij})]d(\alpha) + K_{ij}d(\alpha)})_{ij} > 0$, and $\forall k \geq 1$ and n sufficiently large, by condition (*), we have

$$(P_{n + kMd(\alpha), n + (k+1)Md(\alpha)})_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{n + (k+1)Md(\alpha)}.$$

It is clear that for n sufficiently large $\Phi(P_{n, n+Md(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}) \geq \frac{1}{(n + Md(\alpha))^2}$.

Also, $P_{m, m+Md(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}} = P_{n, n+Md(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}} \cdot \dots \cdot P_{m + (n-1)Md(\alpha), m + nMd(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$. Thus, using Hajnal's theorem observe that the chain $P_{m, m+nMd(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$ is weakly ergodic. That is the chain $P_{m, m+nd(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$ is also weakly ergodic, because for $n > n', P_{m, m+nd(\alpha)}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}} = P_{m, m+n'd(\alpha).M}|_{E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}}$ times. Due to weak ergodicity, $i, j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}, |(P_{m, n})_{ij} - (P_{m, n})_{jj}| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $n = r(n) \pmod{d(\alpha)}, 0 \leq r(n) < d(\alpha)$, then for $m \geq d(\alpha) \ni n = m \pmod{d(\alpha)} (P_{r(n), n})_{jj} = \sum_{1 \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}} (P_{r(n), m})_{j1} (P_{m, n})_{1j} \ni$ for $n = m \pmod{d(\alpha)}$ and as $n \rightarrow \infty |(P_{r(n), n})_{jj} - (P_{m, n})_{jj}| \rightarrow 0$. For $i, j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}$ and $n = m \pmod{d(\alpha)} (P_{m, n})_{ij} = (P_{r(n), n})_{jj} + (\varepsilon_{m, n})_{ij}$, where $\lim(\varepsilon_{m, n})_{ij} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Writing $(P'_n)_j = (P_{r(n), n})_{jj}$ then $\lim_{j \in E_{\alpha d(\alpha)}} \sum (P'_n)_j = 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $i \in E_{\alpha 1}, j \in E_{\alpha 1'}$,

$m < n, \ni n - m = 1 - 1 \pmod{d(\alpha)}, 1 \leq 1 - 1 \leq 1' \leq d(\alpha)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P_{m,n})_{ij} &= \sum_{s \in E_{\alpha \perp}} (P_{m, m+1'-1})_{is} (P_{m+1'-1, n})_{sj} \\
 &= \sum_{s \in E_{\alpha \perp}} (P_{m, m+1'-1})_{is} [(P_n)_j + (\varepsilon_{m+1'-1, n})_{sj}] = (P_n)_j + (\varepsilon'_{m,n})_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $(\varepsilon'_{m,n})_{ij} = \sum_{s \in E_{\alpha \perp}} (P_{m, m+1'-1})_{is} (\varepsilon_{m+1'-1, n})_{sj} \Rightarrow \lim(\varepsilon'_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(8) Let $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_f\}$ be all the equivalence classes consisting of unessential self-communicating states. Let I_β be a class with period $d(\beta)$. Partitioning it into further subclasses $\{I_{\beta 1}, I_{\beta 2}, \dots, I_{\beta d(\beta)}\}$ as before, $\forall m \geq 1, P_{m, m+k} | I_{\beta k}$ is an allowable non-negative matrix. Also

$$\exists M \ni n \equiv m + (1' - 1)(\text{mod } d(\beta)) \Rightarrow (P_{m, n+Md(\beta)})_{ij} \geq \frac{1}{n+Md(\beta)} \text{ for } i \in I_{\beta 1} \text{ and } j \in I_{\beta 1}.$$

So

$$\frac{(P_{m, m+nMd(\beta)})_{ij}}{(P_{m, m+nMd(\beta)})_{kj}} \rightarrow V^{(m)}_{ik}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i, j, k \in I_{\beta d(\beta)}$ [From Hajnal]. $\forall m \geq 0, k \in I_{\beta 1}$ and $j \in I_{\beta 1}, n-m = 1' - 1 (\text{mod } d(\beta))$ one has $\frac{(P_{m, m+n})_{ij}}{(P_{m, m+n})_{kj}} \rightarrow V^{(m)}_{ik}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(9) Let i be any state and $j \in E_{ad(\alpha)}$. Let $n = r(n)(\text{mod } d(\alpha))$. Then $(P_{m,n})_{ij} = (P_{r(n), n})_{jj} (P_{m,n})_{iE_{ad(\alpha)}} + (\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij}$ where $\lim(\varepsilon_{m,n})_{ij} = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A similar statement holds for $j \in E_{\alpha u}, 1 \leq u \leq d(\alpha)$. To prove this, assume the opposite. Then $\exists r \ni 1 \leq r \leq d(\alpha)$ and a sequence of positive integers $(n_t) \ni$ if $t \geq 1$,

$$(*) \quad 0 < \delta < |(P_{m, n_t})_{ij} - (P_{r, n_t})_{jj} (P_{m, n_t})_{iE_{ad(\alpha)}}|$$

where each $n_t = r(\text{mod } d(\alpha))$, and $\forall k \geq 0, P_{k, n_t} \rightarrow Q_k, Q_k Q = Q_k, Q_{n_t} \rightarrow Q = Q^2$. Clearly, j is in a C -block of Q . (Note that C -blocks of Q are strictly positive stochastic blocks with identical rows). If not then the j -th column of Q is a zero column, hence a zero column of Q_m and Q_r , and this will contradict (*). Since, $(Q_m)_{i1} = 0$ for $1 \in T$ (=the zero columns of Q), for $t \geq t_0$, $\sum_{1 \in T} (P_{m, n_t})_{i1} < \frac{\delta}{4}$. Also, since each $n_t = r(\text{mod } d(\alpha)), (P_{n_t, n_t})_{j1} = 0$ for $1 \notin E_{ad(\alpha)} \Rightarrow Q_{j1} = 0$. If $1 \notin E_{ad(\alpha)} UT$, then $Q_{j1} = 0$ and therefore $Q_{1j} = 0 \Rightarrow$ for t large and

$$n_t, > > n_t: \sum_{1 \notin TUE_{ad(\alpha)}} (P_{n_t, n_t})_{1j} < \frac{\delta}{4}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P_{m, n_t})_{ij} &= \sum_{1 \in T \setminus E_{ad(\alpha)}} (P_{m, n_t})_{i1} (P_{n_t, n_t})_{1j} + \sum_{1 \in E_{ad(\alpha)}} (P_{m, n_t})_{i1} (P_{n_t, n_t})_{1j} \\
 &+ \sum_{1 \notin TUE_{ad(\alpha)}} (P_{m, n_t})_{i1} (P_{n_t, n_t})_{1j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (weak ergodicity result) (8)

$$|(P_{m, n_t})_{ij} - (P_{r, n_t})_{jj} (P_{m, n_t})_{iE_{ad(\alpha)}}| < \delta.$$

This is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- DOEBLIN, W., Le cas discontinu de probabilités en chaîne, *Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. Masaryk (Brno)* **236** (1937), 3-13.
- COHN, H., On a paper by Doeblin on nonhomogeneous Markov chains, *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **13** (1981), 388-401.
- CHUNG, K.L., *Markov Chains with Stationary Transition Probabilities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1960.
- HAJNAL, J., On products of nonnegative matrices, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philo. Soc.* **79** (1976), 521-530.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru