

# ON MONODROMY MAP

JHARNA D. SENGUPTA

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Elizabeth City State University  
Elizabeth City, NC 27909, U.S.A.

(Received April 2, 1992 and in revised form September 22, 1992)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane  $U$  and having signature  $\{p, n, 0; \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n\}$ ;  $2p - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{\nu_j}) > 0$ .

Let  $T(\Gamma)$  be the Teichmüller space of  $\Gamma$ . Then there exists a vector bundle  $\mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  of rank  $3p - 3 + n$  over  $T(\Gamma)$  whose fibre over a point  $t \in T(\Gamma)$  representing  $\Gamma_t$  is the space of bounded quadratic differentials  $B_2(\Gamma_t)$  for  $\Gamma_t$ . Let  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  be the set of all homomorphisms from  $\Gamma$  into the Moebius group  $G$ .

For a given  $(t, \phi) \in \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  we get an equivalence class of projective structures and a conjugacy class of a homomorphism  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . Therefore there is a well defined map

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G,$$

$\Phi$  is called the monodromy map. We prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism. The case  $n=0$  gives the previously known result by Earle, Hejhal and Hubbard.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Quadratic differentials, Projective structures, Quasiconformal map, Teichmüller space, Bers' fibre space, Monodromy map, Beltrami coefficient, Deformation, Cusp.

**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 30F10, 30F20, 30F30, 30F35, 30F40.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated Fuchsian group acting on the upper half plane  $U$  such that  $U/\Gamma$  is a Riemann surface of finite genus  $p$  with a finite number of possible punctures and ramification points  $n$  and with a finite number of possible analytic boundary curves  $m$ . Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be the set of points on  $U/\Gamma$  that are either punctures or ramification points. let  $\nu_j$  be the ramification index of  $\pi^{-1}(x_j)$ , where

$$\pi: U \rightarrow U/\Gamma$$

is the natural projection map, and we set  $\nu_i = \infty$  for punctures. Then the sequence  $\{p, n, m, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n\}$  is called the signature of the group  $\Gamma$ .

In this paper, we consider  $\Gamma$  to be a Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane  $U$  and having signature  $\{p, n, 0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n\}$ ;  $2p - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{\nu_j}) > 0$ .

Let  $T(\Gamma)$  be the Teichmüller space of  $\Gamma$ . Then there exists a vector bundle  $\mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  of rank  $3p - 3 + n$  over  $T(\Gamma)$  whose fibre over a point representing  $\Gamma_t$  is the space of bounded quadratic differentials  $B_2(\Gamma_t)$  for  $\Gamma_t$ . Let  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  be the set of all homomorphisms from  $\Gamma$  into the Moebius group  $G$ .

For a given  $(t, \phi) \in \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  we get an equivalence class of projective structures and a conjugacy class of a homomorphism  $\chi_\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . Therefore there is a well defined map

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G.$$

$\Phi$  is called the monodromy map. We prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

The case  $n = 0$  gives the previously known result by Earle, Hejhal and Hubbard. Falting [6], Gallo and Porter [7] have similar results for  $n > 0$ . The monodromy map restricted on each fibre is known to be injective by Kra [11]. As a generalization of this result for a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  with signature  $\{p, n, m, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n\}$ ;  $n > 0, m > 0$ , author has proven a uniqueness theorem in [15]. A similar result has been proven by Gallo and Porter [8].

In Section I, we discuss some well known interesting properties of Moebius transformations and with their help, we find the set of regular points in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ . This technical result is needed to prove the main result in Section II. In Section II, we prove that the monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

**SECTION I.** Let  $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, \dots, A_p, B_p, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  be a fixed set of generators of  $\Gamma$  satisfying the relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^p [A_i, B_i] \prod_{j=1}^n C_j = I \text{ and } C_j^{\nu_j} = I, \quad j = m+1, \dots, n,$$

where  $[A_i, B_i] = A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1}$  and  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m$  are the parabolic generators and  $C_{m+1}, C_{m+2}, \dots, C_n$  are elliptic generators with periods  $\nu_{m+1}, \nu_{m+2}, \dots, \nu_n$ , respectively.

A homomorphism  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  is completely determined by  $2p + n$  Moebius transformations

$$\chi(A_i) = S_i$$

$$\chi(B_i) = T_i$$

$$\chi(C_j) = W_j,$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $j = m+1, m+2, \dots, n$  satisfying the relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^p [S_i, T_i] \prod_{j=1}^n W_j = I \text{ and } W_j^{\nu_j} = I, \quad j = m+1, m+2, \dots, n.$$

Let  $P$  be the set of all parabolic transformations and  $E_j$  be the set of all elliptic transformations with a fixed multiplier  $K_j^2$ ;  $K_j^{2\nu_j} = 1, j = m+1, m+2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$  consist of homomorphisms preserving parabolic transformations and the multipliers of the elliptic transformations. Then for  $\chi \in \text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$ ,

$$\chi(C_j) = W_j \in P, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$= W_j \in E_j, j = m+1, m+2, \dots, n.$$

Hence  $\{S_1, T_1, S_2, T_2, \dots, S_p, T_p, W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n\}$  is a point in  $G^{2p} \times P^m \times E_{m+1} \times E_{m+2} \times \dots \times E_n$ . We denote  $\{S_1, T_1, \dots, S_p, T_p, W_1, \dots, W_n\}$  by  $\{S_i, T_i, W_j\}$  and  $G^{2p} \times P^m \times E_{m+1} \times \dots \times E_n$  by  $G_{2p,n}$  for short.

Following lemma of Gardiner and Kra [9], we show that  $P$  and each  $E_j$  are two-dimensional submanifolds of  $G$ . We also determine the tangent space of  $P$  or  $E_j$  at any point.

At this point, let us introduce the adjoint representation  $u \mapsto u^A$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the Lie algebra of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  (that is the tangent space of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  at identity  $I$ ) which is defined by  $u^A = \text{Ad}A(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  where  $\text{Ad}A: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is the differential at  $I$  of the map  $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \ni \chi \mapsto A^{-1} \circ \chi \circ A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Explicitly,

$$u^A = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A^{-1} \circ e^{tu} \circ A - I}{t} = A^{-1} \circ u \circ A$$

A parabolic transformation with fixed point  $x \neq \infty$  can be written as an element of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} 1+px & -px^2 \\ p & 1-px \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $p \neq 0$ , which is unique up to multiplication by  $-1$  [14]. We consider the natural map

$$\pi: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \mapsto G$$

which is two-to-one and unramified.

Each parabolic transformation corresponds to two matrices in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , one of which has trace 2 and the other has trace  $-2$ . Thus  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  consists of two disjoint sets  $P^+$  and  $P^-$ , where

$P^+$  = the set of elements in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with trace  $2 \setminus \{I\}$ ,

$P^-$  = the set of elements in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with trace  $-2 \setminus \{-I\}$ .

We prove the following lemma which has been proven by Gardiner and Kra in [9] in a slightly different manner. We shall adopt the calculations from [9].

**LEMMA 1.1.** Let  $f: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the mapping defined by

$$f(x) = \text{tr } x.$$

If  $u \in \ker(df)(B)$  with  $B \in P^+$ , then there exists a  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$u = v^B - v.$$

**PROOF.**  $f$  is holomorphic. Let  $B \in P^+$ . Then there exists an  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$A^{-1}BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We consider the function

$$SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \ni B \xrightarrow{F} A^{-1}BA \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since  $F$  is a holomorphic isomorphism,

$$u \in \ker(df \circ F)(B) \Leftrightarrow (dF)(B)u \in \ker(df)(FB).$$

Moreover, for  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $B \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$u = v^B - v \Leftrightarrow u^A = v^B \circ A - v^A = v_1^{A^{-1}BA} - v_1; \quad v_1 = v^A,$$

and

$$(dF)(B)(u) = u^A.$$

Thus it suffices to assume that  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . For  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (dF)(B)(u) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(Be^{tu}) - f(B)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1+at & bt \\ ct & 1-at \end{pmatrix} + \circ(t)\right\} - f\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1+at+pc & bt+p(1-at) \\ ct & 1-at \end{pmatrix} + \circ(t)\right\} - 2}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2+pc-2}{t} \\ &= pc. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $u \in \ker(df)(B)$ ,  $c = 0$ ; that is,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}$ . We check that there exists a  $v = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} = B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} B - \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix}$$

since

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} B = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -pc' & -c'p^2 + 2a'p \\ 0 & c'p \end{pmatrix}.$$

We choose  $c' = -\frac{a}{p}$ ,  $a' = \frac{b-ap}{2p}$ , and  $b'$  arbitrarily. This completes the proof of the lemma.

In the above calculation for  $(df)(B)$  with  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we notice that, for  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$(df)(B)(u) = pc.$$

Since  $p \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $(df)(B)$  is surjective. Again the differential of the map  $F: x \rightarrow A^{-1}xA$ ,  $x \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is surjective. Hence  $(df)(B)$  is surjective for any  $B \in P^+$ . Therefore,  $df$  has maximal rank at each point of  $P^+$ ; that is,  $P^+$  is the set of regular points of  $f$  in  $f^{-1}(2)$  and hence  $P^+$  is a submanifold of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of dimension 2 by the implicit function theorem. Moreover, for  $B \in P^+$ ,

$$T_B(P^+) = \ker(df)(B).$$

Hence from the above Lemma we conclude that

$$T_B(P^+) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^B - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Similarly, we can show that  $P^-$  is a submanifold of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of dimension 2 and for  $B \in P^-$ ,

$$T_B(P^-) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^B - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Since  $P^+$  and  $P^-$  project to  $P$  in  $G$ ,  $P$  is a submanifold of  $G$  of dimension 2. Thus we prove the following:

**COROLLARY 1.**  $P$  is a submanifold of  $G$  of dimension 2. Moreover, for  $g \in P$ ,

$$T_g(P) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^g - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

An elliptic transformation  $g$  with the fixed points  $x$  and  $y$  can be written as

$$\frac{g(z) - x}{g(z) - y} = k^2 \frac{z - x}{z - y},$$

where  $k^2$  is the multiplier of  $g$ ,  $k^2 \neq 1$ . Choosing a positive square root of  $k^2$ , we write  $k^2 = \frac{k}{1/k}$ . Then solving the above equation we can write in the matrix form

$$g = \frac{1}{x-y} \begin{pmatrix} x/k - yk & xy(k - 1/k) \\ 1/k - k & xk - y/k \end{pmatrix}$$

which is unique up to multiplication by  $-1$  [14]. If  $k^2 = -1$ , the above expression for  $g$  is symmetric in  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let  $E$  be the set of all elliptic transformations with the multiplier  $k^2$ . Each elliptic transformation in  $E$  corresponds to two matrices in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , one of which has trace  $k + 1/k$ , and the other has trace  $-(k + 1/k)$ . Hence if  $k^2 \neq -1$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(E)$  consists of two disjoint sets  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ , where

$E^+$  = the set of elements in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with trace  $k + 1/k$ ,

$E^-$  = the set of elements in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with trace  $-(k + 1/k)$ .

If  $k^2 = -1$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(E)$  is just one set; we denote it by  $E^0$ , where  $E^0$  = the set of elements in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with trace zero. As before, we have the following:

**LEMMA 1.2.** Let  $f: SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the mapping defined by

$$f(x) = \text{tr}(x).$$

If  $u \in \ker(df)(B)$ , with  $B \in E^+$ , then there exists a  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$u = v^B - v.$$

**PROOF.** The idea of the proof is same as it is in the Lemma 1.1. Without loss of generality

we assume that  $B = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}$ . Then for  $u = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (df)(B)(u) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1+at & bt \\ ct & 1-at \end{pmatrix} + o(t) \right\} - f \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k(1+at) & kbt \\ 1/kct & 1/k(1-at) \end{pmatrix} + o(t) \right\} - f \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(k+1/k) + at(k-1/k) + o(t) - (k+1/k)}{t} \\ &= a(k-1/k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $u \in \ker(df)(B)$ ,  $a = 0$ ; that is,  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We check that there exists a  $v = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & -a' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$B^{-1}vB - v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $B = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B^{-1}vB - v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b'(1/k^2 - 1) \\ c'(k^2 - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We choose  $b' = \frac{b}{1/k^2 - 1}$ ,  $c' = \frac{c}{k^2 - 1}$  and  $a'$  arbitrarily. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Once again, we observe that  $(df)(B)$  is surjective for  $B \in E^+$ , since  $a \neq 0$  and  $k^2 \neq 1$ . Hence at each point of  $E^+$   $df$  has maximal rank, and hence  $E^+ = f^{-1}(k+1/k)$  is a submanifold of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of dimension 2. Moreover,

$$T_B(E^+) = \ker(df)(B).$$

Hence

$$T_B(E^+) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = vB - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Similarly, we can prove the same results for  $E^-$  as well as for  $E^0$ . When  $k^2 \neq -1$ ,  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are submanifolds of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  project to  $E$  in  $G$ ,  $E$  is a submanifold of  $G$ . When  $k^2 = -1$ ,  $E^0$  is a submanifold of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Hence  $E = E^0 / \pm I$  is a submanifold of  $G$ . Thus we prove the following.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $E$  is a submanifold of  $G$  of dimension 2. Moreover, for  $g \in E$ ,

$$T_g(E) = \{u \in \mathfrak{g}; u = v^g - v \text{ for some } v \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

We introduce a function  $F$  on  $G_{2p,n}$  defined by

$$F(S_i, T_i, W_j) = \prod_{i=1}^p [S_i, T_i] \prod_{j=1}^n W_j$$

This is a complex analytic function from  $G_{2p,n}$  into  $G$ . The subset

$$R = \{(S_i, T_i, W_j) \in G_{2p,n}; F(S_i, T_i, W_j) = I\}$$

is then a complex analytic subvariety of  $G_{2p,n}$ ; the mapping

$$\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G) \ni \chi \mapsto (\chi(A_i), \chi(B_i), \chi(C_j)) \in G_{2p,n}$$

identifies  $\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$  with this subvariety and thus establishes a complex structure on  $\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$ .  $G_{2p,n}$  is a complex analytic manifold of dimension  $6p+2n$ . We show that the subset of  $\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$  consisting of those homomorphisms  $\chi$  for which  $\chi(\Gamma)$  are non-elementary is the set of regular points in  $R$ . The case when  $n=0$  has been discussed by Gunning in [10]. Following

Gunning we can find  $d_\chi F$  at  $\chi = (S_i, T_i, W_j) \in G_{2p, n}$ . The tangent space of  $G_{2p, n}$  at the point  $\chi$  is denoted by  $T_\chi(G_{2p, n})$ . Then

$$T_\chi(G_{2p, n}) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \prod_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{g}_{w_j},$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_{w_j} = T_{w_j}(P)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{w_j} = T_{w_j}(E_j)$  for  $j = m+1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_p, Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)$ , denoted by  $(X_i, Y_i, Z_j)$  for short, be a point in  $\mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \prod_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}$ .

Then by definition,

$$d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(S_i e^{tX_i}, T_i e^{tY_i}, W_j e^{tZ_j}) - F(S_i, T_i, W_j)}{t}$$

In other words,  $d_\chi f(X_i, Y_i, Z_j)$  is the coefficient of  $t$  in the Taylor expansion of

$$F(S_i e^{tX_i}, T_i e^{tY_i}, W_j e^{tZ_j}).$$

After a long calculation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \text{Ad} S_i^{-1} T_i^{-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^p [S_k, t_k] ((I - \text{Ad} S_i) Y_i - (I - \text{Ad} T_i) X_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Ad} \prod_{k=j+1}^n W_k(Z_j) \end{aligned}$$

which is essentially same as the expression obtained in Gunning [10] except the second term.

We define an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows:

For  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we define

$$u \cdot \gamma = u \cdot \chi(\gamma) = \text{Ad} \chi(\gamma)(u).$$

We rewrite the above expression in the following way,

$$d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p (X_i \cdot (B_i - I) + Y_i \cdot (I - A_i)) \cdot A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^p [A_k, B_k] + \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \cdot \sum_{k=j+1}^n C_k.$$

We want to check when  $d_\chi F$  is surjective. To do that we follow Ahlfors's method in ([2], §5). We introduce notations  $R_0 = I$  and

$$R_i = A_1 B_1 A_1^{-1} B_1^{-1} \dots A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1}$$

$$R_{p+j} = R_p C_1 C_2 \dots C_j$$

$$\bar{A}_i = R_{i-1} B_{i-1}^{-1} R_{i-1}^{-1}$$

$$\bar{B}_i = R_i A_i^{-1} R_{i-1}^{-1}$$

$$\bar{C}_j = R_{p+j} C_j R_{p+j}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq n)$$

Then  $\bar{A}_i, \bar{B}_i, \bar{C}_j$  are generators of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,

$$d_\chi F(X_i, Y_i, Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^p X_i \cdot A_i^{-1} R_{i-1}^{-1} (I - \bar{A}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p Y_i \cdot B_i^{-1} R_{i-1}^{-1} (\bar{B}_i - I) + \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \cdot R_{p+j}^{-1} \bar{C}_j.$$

We suppose that the map

$$d_\chi F: \mathfrak{g}^{2p} \times \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{g}_{w_j} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is not surjective. Then there exists a nonzero linear functional  $v^*$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  that vanishes on all the subspaces  $\mathfrak{g} \cdot (\bar{A}_i - I)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} \cdot (\bar{B}_i - I)$  and  $\mathfrak{g} \cdot (C_j - I) R_{p+j}^{-1} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot R_{p+j}^{-1} \bar{C}_j - I = \mathfrak{g} \cdot (\bar{C}_j - I)$ . If  $v^*$  annihilates  $v \cdot (A - I)$  and  $v \cdot (B - I)$  for all  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ , it annihilates  $v \cdot (AB - I) = v \cdot A(B - I) + v \cdot (A - I)$ .

Since  $\{\bar{A}_i, \bar{B}_i, \bar{C}_j\}$  is a system of generators of  $\Gamma$ , it follows that  $v^*$  annihilates  $v \cdot (A - I)$  for all  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $A \in \Gamma$ .

We assume first that there is a loxodromic element  $\chi(A)$ ,  $A \in \Gamma$ . We may take

$$\chi(A)(z) = k^2 z; |k^2| \neq 1.$$

$$\text{For } v = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}, v \cdot (A - I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q\left(\frac{1}{k^2} - 1\right) \\ r(k^2 - 1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,  $v^*$  must be multiple of the linear functional that maps any  $v$  on its first entry. It follows that the first entry of  $v \cdot (B - I)$  is zero for all  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$  and all  $B \in \Gamma$ . We take  $\chi(B)(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}$ , and apply the above result on  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we get  $\alpha\beta = \gamma\delta = 0$ . This is true only when  $\chi(B)$  is a multiple of  $z$  or  $1/z$ .

Next, we assume that there is a parabolic element  $\chi(A)$ ,  $A \in \Gamma$ . We take

$$\chi(A)(z) = z + 1.$$

Then for  $v = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & -p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $v \cdot (A - I) = \begin{pmatrix} -r & 2p - r \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $v^*$  must be a multiple of the linear functional that maps any  $v$  on its third entry. It follows that  $v \cdot (B - I)$  has zero third entry for all  $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ , all  $B \in \Gamma$ . As before, we assume that  $\chi(B)(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}$ , and apply the above result on  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We get  $\gamma = 0, \alpha^2 = 1$ . This is true only when  $\chi(B)(z) = z + \beta'; \beta' \neq 0$ .

Finally, we assume that there is no loxodromic or parabolic element in  $\chi(\Gamma)$ ; that is, all elements of  $\chi(\Gamma)$  are elliptic. Hence  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is finite.

Combining all these we conclude that  $d_\chi F$  is surjective if none of the following statements holds.

- (i)  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is finite;
- (ii) all elements of  $\chi(\Gamma)$  are multiples of  $z$  or  $\frac{1}{z}$ ;
- (iii) all elements of  $\chi(\Gamma)$  are of the form  $z \rightarrow z + \beta, \beta \neq 0$ .

Thus we have the following.

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $R_0$  be the subset of  $\text{Hom}^*(\Gamma, G)$  consisting of those homomorphisms  $\chi$  for which  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is nonelementary; that is,  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is not a finite extension of an Abelian group. Then  $R_0$  is a complex manifold of dimension  $6p + 2n - 3$ .

**REMARK.** It follows from condition (iii) that the above proposition also holds when  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is some of the elementary groups.

## SECTION 2.

**DEFINITION.** Let a group  $\Gamma$  act discontinuously on a domain  $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . We denote by  $Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  the complex vector space of quadratic differentials for  $\Gamma$ ;  $Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  consists of functions  $\phi$ , holomorphic on  $\Omega$  satisfying  $(\phi \circ \gamma)\gamma'^2 = \phi$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

We denote by  $B_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  the subspace of  $Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  consisting of bounded quadratic differentials for  $\Gamma$ ;  $B_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  consists of  $\phi \in Q_2(\Omega, \Gamma)$  for which

$$\text{Sup}\{\lambda_\Omega^{-2} |\phi(z)|\} < \infty$$

where  $\lambda_\Omega$  is the Poincaré metric on  $\Omega$ .

**DEFINITION.** A deformation of  $\Gamma$  is a pair  $(f, \chi)$ , where  $f$  is a holomorphic local homeomorphism of  $U$  into  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\chi$  is a homomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into  $G$ , the group of all Moebius transformations, satisfying

$$f \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \circ f \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The local homeomorphism  $f$  also describes a projective structure on the Riemann surface  $U/\Gamma$  (provided  $\Gamma$  is torsion free). We also call  $f$  a projective structure on  $U/\Gamma$ . We call two projective structures  $f$  and  $g$  equivalent if  $g = A \circ f$  for some Moebius transformation  $A$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of equivalence classes of projective structures on  $U/\Gamma$  and the space of quadratic differentials  $Q_2(U, \Gamma)$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $w$  be a quasiconformal selfmap of  $U$ , normalized by the conditions  $w(0) = 0, w(1) = 1$ , and  $w(\infty) = \infty$ .  $w$  is compatible with the group  $\Gamma$  if  $w \circ \gamma \circ w^{-1}$  is conformal for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Two such quasi-conformal self maps of  $U$ ,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are equivalent if they coincide on the real line.

The **Teichmüller space**  $T(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$  is the set of equivalence classes  $[w]$  of normalized quasiconformal self maps of  $U$  which are  $\Gamma$ -compatible.

Let  $L_\infty(U)$  denote the complex Banach space of bounded measurable functions  $\mu$  on  $U$ . Let  $L_\infty(U)_1$  be its open unit ball. Let  $L_\infty(U, \Gamma)$  be the subspace of  $L_\infty(U)$  consisting of  $\mu$  satisfying

$$\mu(\gamma(z))\overline{\gamma'(z)} = \mu(z) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } z \text{ in } U.$$

Let  $L_\infty(U, \Gamma)_1 = L_\infty(U)_1 \cap L_\infty(U, \Gamma)$ . For every q.c. self map  $w$  of  $U$ , its Beltrami coefficient,  $\mu = w_z/w_{\bar{z}} \in L_\infty(U)_1$ . Every  $\mu \in L_\infty(U)_1$  determines a unique normalized self map  $w$  of  $U$  satisfying  $w_z = \mu w_{\bar{z}}$ , Ahlfors [1]. We denote this  $w$  by  $w_\mu$ . It is easy to check that  $w_\mu$  is  $\Gamma$ -compatible if and only if  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, \Gamma)$ .  $T(\Gamma)$  can be endowed with the quotient topology associated with the surjective map  $\mu \rightarrow [w_\mu]$ .  $T(\Gamma)$  with this topology, can be realized as a bounded open set in  $B_2(U^*, \Gamma)$ . Since it is an open set in  $B_2(U^*, \Gamma)$ ,  $T(\Gamma)$  is a complex manifold modeled on  $B_2(U^*, \Gamma)$  and has dimension  $3P - 3 + n$  when  $\Gamma$  is of type  $(p, n, 0)$ .

We take  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, \Gamma)_1$  and extend it to be zero on the rest of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . There exists a unique q.c. self-map  $w$  of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  fixing  $0, 1, \infty$  which has Beltrami coefficient  $\mu$  on  $U$  and which is conformal on  $U^*$ , Ahlfors [1]. We denote this  $w$  by  $w^\mu \cdot w^\mu|_{\mathbb{R}}$ , hence  $w^\mu|_{U^*}$  depends only on  $[w_\mu]$ , Ahlfors [1]. Therefore,  $w^\mu(U)$  depends only on  $[w_\mu]$ . We denote  $w^\mu(U)$  by  $D(t)$ , where  $t = [w_\mu] \in T(\Gamma)$ . The boundary of  $w^\mu(U)$  is  $w^\mu(\hat{\mathbb{R}})$ . The group  $w^\mu\Gamma(w^\mu)^{-1}$  fixes this boundary which is a Jordan curve. Hence the group is quasi-Fuchsian. We denote  $w^\mu\Gamma(w^\mu)^{-1}$  by  $\Gamma(t)$ . The **Bers' fibre space**  $F(t)$  over  $T(\Gamma)$  is the set of pairs  $(t, z)$  with  $t \in T(\Gamma)$ ,  $z \in D(t)$ .

For each  $t \in T(\Gamma)$ , there exists a quasi-Fuchsian group  $\Gamma(t)$  and a Jordan domain  $D(t) = w^\mu(U)$ . To each  $t$ , we associate the complex vector space  $B_2(D(t), \Gamma(t))$  of bounded quadratic differentials for  $\Gamma(t)$ . We form  $\mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) = \bigcup_{t \in T(\Gamma)} B_2(D(t), \Gamma(t))$  as a fibre space over  $T(\Gamma)$ .  $\mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  forms a complex vector bundle of rank  $3p - 3 + n$  over  $T(\Gamma)$ . We denote the points of  $\mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  by  $(t, \phi(t))$  where  $\phi(t) \in B_2(D(t), \Gamma(t))$ .

Each  $\phi(t) \in B_2(D(t), \Gamma(t))$  determines a holomorphic local homeomorphism

$$f(z, t): D(t) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$$

such that the Schwarzian derivative of  $f$ ,  $Sf = (f''/f')' - 1/2(f''/f')^2$ , is  $\phi$ . We notice that (i)  $S(f \circ \gamma^t) = Sf$ , for  $\gamma^t \in \Gamma(t)$ , and hence (ii)  $f \circ \gamma^t = \tilde{\gamma} \circ f$  for some  $\tilde{\gamma} \in G$ . Both (i) and (ii) follow from properties of Schwarzian derivatives. The map  $\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}$  determines a homomorphism  $\chi_\phi$  from  $\Gamma(t)$  into  $G$ .

Let  $\Theta^\mu: \gamma \rightarrow \gamma^t$  be the isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma(t)$  induced by  $w^\mu$ . We take  $\chi = \chi_\phi \circ \Theta^\mu$ . Thus we get a homomorphism  $\chi$  of  $\Gamma$  into  $G$  induced by  $f \circ w^\mu$  and we have

$$f \circ w^\mu \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \circ f \circ w^\mu \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

For  $A \in G$ ,  $f$  and  $A \circ f$  have the same Schwarzian derivative  $\phi$ . Since replacing  $f$  by  $A \circ f$  has the



effect of replacing  $\chi$  by  $A\chi A^{-1}$ , we have a well defined map

$$\Phi: \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G.$$

We call  $\Phi$  the monodromy map. We prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** The monodromy map is a holomorphic local homeomorphism.

We want to study the local behavior of  $\Phi$ . For this purpose we fix the origin  $t_0 \in T(\Gamma)$  so that  $D(t_0) = U$  and  $\Gamma(t_0) = \Gamma$ . We consider the vector space  $W$  of the functions  $\mu: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) &= (Im\ z)^2 \overline{\phi(z)}, \quad z \in U, \text{ for some } \phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma) \\ &= 0, \text{ outside } U. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $W_1$  be the subset of  $W$  consisting of  $\mu$  with  $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$ . For each  $\mu \in W_1$  there exists a unique quasi-conformal self map  $w = w^\mu$  of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , fixing  $0, 1, \infty$ , and such that  $w$  has the Beltrami coefficient  $\mu$  in  $U$ . Moreover,  $w^\mu(U)$  is a Jordan domain and  $w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1}$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group fixing  $w^\mu(U)$ . There exists a neighborhood  $W_0$  of zero in  $W_1$  which provides a local coordinate at  $t_0$  in such a way that for every  $t$  in a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $t_0$ ,  $D(t)$  is the Jordan domain  $w^\mu(U)$  and  $\Gamma(t)$  is the quasi-Fuchsian group  $w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1}$  for some  $\mu \in W_0$ . We choose  $W_0$  so small that a point  $z_0 \in w^\mu(U)$  for all  $\mu \in W_0$  whenever  $z_0 \in U$ .

Now for  $\mu \in W_0$  and  $\phi \in B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1})$ , we consider the Schwarzian differential equation

$$Sf = \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 - 1/2 \left( \frac{f'''}{f'} \right)^2 = \phi. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $g = g_\phi$  be the unique solution of (2.2) satisfying

$$g(z_0) = 0, g'(z_0) = 1, g''(z_0) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Any function  $f$  satisfying  $Sf = \phi$  is given by  $f = A \circ g$  for some  $A \in G$ . Hence for  $\mu \in W_0$  and  $\phi \in B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1})$ , we have from (2.1).

$$A \circ g \circ w^\mu(\gamma(z)) = \chi(\gamma) \circ A \circ g \circ w^\mu(z) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in U.$$

We take  $h = A \circ g \circ w^\mu$ . Then  $h$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function satisfying  $h \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma) \circ h$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $g$  depends on  $\phi$  and  $w^\mu$  depends on the Beltrami coefficient  $\mu$ ,  $h$  is a function of  $A, \mu$  and  $\phi$ . Hence so is  $\chi$ . We denote the map

$$G \times \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma)) \ni (A, \mu, \phi) \rightarrow \chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$$

by  $\Phi^*$ . We shall show that  $\Phi^*$  is holomorphic. To prove this we need some Lemmas which have been proved already in Earle [5]. These Lemmas do not need adjustment for the parabolic or elliptic elements in  $\Gamma$ . Hence we state these lemmas without proofs.

**LEMMA 2.1 (Earle [5]).** Let  $A, \mu, \phi$  be functions of a complex variable  $\tau$  such that  $A(z, \tau) \in G, \mu(z, \tau) \in W_0$  and  $\phi(z, \tau)$  is in  $B_2(w^\mu(U), w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1})$  for all  $\tau; |\tau| < \varepsilon$ .

We assume that

$$\begin{cases} A(z, \tau) = A_0(z) + \tau \dot{A}(z) + o(\tau) \\ \mu(z, \tau) = \mu_0(z) + \tau \dot{\mu}(z) + o(\tau) \\ \phi(z, \tau) = \phi_0(z) + \tau \dot{\phi}(z) + o(\tau), \quad |\tau| < \varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $A_0(z) = A(z, 0), \phi_0(z) = \phi(z, 0)$  and the dot denotes the derivative with respect to  $\tau$  at  $\tau = 0$ . We set  $\mu_0(z) = \mu(z, 0) = 0$ .

Then  $h$  has a power series expansion

$$h(z, \tau) = h_0(z) + \tau \dot{h}(z) + o(\tau), \text{ for } |\tau| < \varepsilon \quad (2.5)$$

where  $h_0(z) = h(z, 0)$  and  $\dot{h}(z) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \tau} \big|_{\tau=0}$ .

**LEMMA 2.2 (Earle [5]).**

Let  $h^* = \frac{\dot{h}}{h_0}$ . Then  $h^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{A} = \dot{\mu} = \dot{\phi} = 0$ .

With the help of Lemma 2.1 it can be proved that  $\chi$  depends holomorphically on  $A, \mu$  and  $\phi$ . To show this we need the following:

**LEMMA 2.3 (Earle [5]).** Let  $A, \mu, \phi$  satisfy (2.4) and let  $h$  satisfy (2.5). Then  $\chi(\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma$ , has the following power series expansion

$$\chi(\gamma) = \chi_0(\gamma) + \tau \dot{\chi}(\gamma) + o(\tau) \text{ for } |\tau| < \varepsilon \quad (2.6)$$

and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  where

$$\dot{\chi}(\gamma)(h_0(z)) = (h_0 \circ \gamma)'(z)(h^*(\gamma)\gamma'(z)^{-1} - h^*(z)), z \in U. \quad (2.7)$$

The Lemma 2.3 has the following

**COROLLARY 4.**  $\dot{\chi}(\gamma) = 0$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  if and only if  $h^* = 0$  in  $U$ .

We need some adjustments to prove the corollary for the presence of parabolic elements. We include the proof.

**PROOF.** In (2.7) we use  $h_0 \circ \gamma = \chi_0(\gamma) \circ h_0$  and we get

$$\frac{\dot{\chi}(\gamma)(h_0(z))}{\chi_0(\gamma)'(h_0(z))} = h_0'(z)(h^*(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^{-1} - h^*(z)).$$

Since  $\frac{\dot{\chi}(\gamma)(z)}{\chi_0(\gamma)'(z)}$  is a polynomial and  $h_0(U)$  is open,  $\dot{\chi}(\gamma) = 0$  if  $h^* = 0$  in  $U$ .

Now we assume that  $\dot{\chi}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $h^*(\gamma(z))\gamma'(z)^{-1} = h^*(z)$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, z \in U$ . Hence  $h^*$  is a  $C^\infty(-1)$  differential for  $\Gamma$ . We shall show that  $h^*$  is actually holomorphic in  $U$  under the assumption, that  $\dot{\chi}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We intend to apply Stoke's theorem on  $U/\Gamma$ . Since  $U/\Gamma$  has punctures, Stoke's theorem cannot be applied directly. We follow Bers [3] to handle this situation.  $U/\Gamma$  has  $m$  punctures. Thus one can construct a fundamental domain  $D$  for  $\Gamma$  containing  $m$  cusped regions belonging to punctures.

We draw in each cusped region a smooth curve  $C_s$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$  so that (i)  $C_s$  joins two points  $\zeta_s$  and  $\zeta'_s$  on  $\partial D$  which are identified by an element of  $\Gamma$ , and (ii)  $C_s$  and  $C_{s'}$  do not meet, for  $s \neq s'$ . In this manner we obtain a relatively compact subset  $D^*$  of  $D$  which is bounded by part of  $\partial D$  and the curves  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m$ .

For any  $\phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma)$ ,  $h^*\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$ -differential for  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\phi$  be arbitrary. By Stoke's theorem we have

$$\iint_{D^*} d(h^*\phi dz) = \int_{\partial D^*} h^*\phi dz = \sum_{s=1}^m \int_{C_s} h^*\phi dz;$$

the integrals along two identified sides on  $\partial D$  cancel each other, since  $h^*\phi dz$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. The integral  $\iint_{D^*} d(h^*\phi dz) \rightarrow \iint_D d(h^*\phi dz)$  whenever  $\zeta_s \rightarrow a_s$ ;  $a_s$  is the fixed point of the parabolic transformation  $A_s$  identifying  $\zeta_s$  and  $\zeta'_s$ . Hence we can show that

$$\iint_D d(h^*\phi dz) = 0$$

by showing that  $\lim_{\zeta_s \rightarrow a_s} \int_{C_s} h^*\phi dz = 0$ , for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

It suffices to assume that  $s = 1, A_s(z) = z + 1$  and  $a_s = \infty$ . Then the cusped region belonging to  $\infty$  is the region

$$U_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z < 1, \operatorname{Im} z > c\}$$

Hence

$$\int_{c_1} h^* \phi dz = \int_0^1 h^*(x + ib) dx, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\zeta_1 = ib; b > c$ , hence  $\zeta'_1 = 1 + ib$ . Since  $\phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma)$ ,  $\phi(z+1) = \phi(z)$  which implies that  $\phi(z)$  has a Fourier series expansion

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad z \in U.$$

Since  $\sup_{z \in U} \{( \operatorname{Im} z )^2 | \phi(z) | \} < \infty, a_n = 0$  for  $n \leq 0$ . Hence  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ .

Therefore,

$$| \phi(x + ib) | < \operatorname{Const} \cdot e^{-2\pi b}. \quad (2.9)$$

Since  $h = f \circ w^\mu, \dot{h} = f_0(z) \dot{w} + \dot{f}$  and hence

$$h^* = \dot{w} + f^* \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\dot{w}$  is given by the following integral (see Ahlfors [1], chap. V)

$$\dot{w}(z) = \frac{z(z-1)}{2\pi i} \int \int_U \frac{\dot{\mu}(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{(\zeta-z)\zeta(\zeta-1)}$$

It is known (Kra [13], chap. IV) that

$$\dot{w}(z) = O(|z| \log |z|) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

and hence

$$| \dot{w}(x + ib) | < \operatorname{const} \cdot (x^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(x^2 + b^2) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

Finally, we shall find a growth condition on  $f^*$ . For this purpose, we study the behavior of  $f^*$  in the cusped region  $U_c$ .

From (2.1) it follows that

$$f \circ w^\mu \circ A_1 \circ (w^\mu)^{-1} = \chi(A_1) \circ f. \quad (2.12)$$

Let  $A_\tau = w^\mu \circ A_1 \circ (w^\mu)^{-1}$ . Then  $A_\tau$  is parabolic, since  $A_1$  is parabolic. Since  $w^\mu$  fixes 0, 1 and  $\infty$ ,  $A_\tau$  fixes  $\infty$ , and takes 0 to 1.

Hence  $A_\tau(z) = z + 1$  for all  $\tau$ . Moreover,  $\chi(A_1)$  is parabolic if  $A_1$  is parabolic by Kra [12]. Let  $B_\tau(z) = \frac{1}{z - P_\tau}$ , where  $P_\tau$  is the fixed point of  $\chi(A_1)$ ; and hence  $\dot{B} = 0$ .

Then

$$B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_\tau^{-1}(z) = z + b_\tau, \quad b_\tau \neq 0.$$

We replace  $f$  by  $B_\tau \circ f$  so that  $\chi(A_1)$  is replaced by  $B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_\tau^{-1}$ , and we get from (2.12)

$$B_\tau \circ f \circ A_\tau = B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_\tau^{-1} \circ B_\tau \circ f. \quad (2.13)$$

We take  $F = B_\tau \circ f$  and check that  $\frac{\dot{F}}{F_0} = \frac{\dot{f}}{f_0}$ , since  $\dot{B} = 0$ .

From (2.13), we have

$$F \circ A_\tau(z) = B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_\tau^{-1} \circ F(z);$$

$$F(z+1) = F(z) + b_\tau, \quad z \in w^\mu(U).$$

Differentiating with respect to  $z$  we get  $F'(z+1) = F'(z)$ .

Therefore,  $F'(z)$  is periodic in  $z$  and has a Fourier series expansion

$$F'(z, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(\tau) e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad z \in w^\mu(U). \quad (2.14)$$

Now we follow the arguments of Kra [13] keeping in mind that  $F$  is a function in two variables  $z$  and  $\tau$ . Thus from (2.14) we get

$$F'(z, \tau) = a_0(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\tau) e^{2\pi i k z}, \text{ where } a_0(\tau) = b_\tau \neq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover,

$$b_\tau = a_0(\tau) = \int_{z_0}^{z_0+1} F'(z, \tau) dz, \text{ and}$$

$$a_k(\tau) = \int_{z_0}^{z_0+1} e^{-2k\pi i z} F'(z, \tau) dz, z_0 \in w^\mu(U).$$

Integrating (2.15) we get

$$F(z, \tau) = b_\tau z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\tau) e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad c_k(\tau) = \frac{a_k(\tau)}{2k\pi i}. \quad (2.16)$$

$b_\tau$  and  $c_k(\tau)$  are holomorphic in  $\tau$ , hence they have power series expansions in  $\tau$  which are uniformly convergent in  $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{\tau; |\tau| < \varepsilon\}$ . Thus from (2.16), taking derivative with respect to  $\tau$  at  $\tau = 0$ , we get

$$\dot{F}(z) = \dot{b}z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{c}_k e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad z \in U.$$

We know that

$$B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1) \circ B_\tau^{-1}(z) = z + b_\tau; \text{ that is,}$$

$$B_\tau \circ \chi(A_1)(z) = B_\tau(z) + b_\tau.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\tau$  at  $\tau = 0$  we get

$$B'_0(\chi_0(A_1))(z)\dot{\chi}(A_1) = \dot{B}(z) + \dot{b} = \dot{b},$$

since  $\dot{B} = 0$ . Thus  $\dot{\chi}(A_1) = 0$  implies that  $\dot{b} = 0$ , and we have

$$\dot{F}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{c}_k e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad z \in U.$$

From (2.16), we also get

$$F'_0(z) = F'(z, 0) = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(0) e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad z \in U.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\dot{F}(z)}{F'_0(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{c}_k e^{2\pi i k z} (b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(0) e^{2\pi i k z})^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k e^{2\pi i k z}.$$

Hence we have

$$f^*(z) = F^*(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad z \in U. \quad (2.17)$$

From (2.17) it follows that

$$|f^*(x + ib)| < \text{const.} e^{-2\pi b}. \quad (2.18)$$

We recall that in the integral (2.8)

$$h^*\phi = (f^* + \dot{w})\phi = f^*\phi + \dot{w}\phi.$$

From (2.10), (2.11) and (2.18) we conclude that

$$|h^*(x + ib)\phi(x + ib)| < \text{const.} (e^{-4\pi b} + \frac{(x^2 + b^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(x^2 + b^2)}{e^{2\pi b}}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty$$

and hence

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c_1} h^* \phi dz = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 h^*(x+ib) \phi(x+ib) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D d(h^* \phi dz) &= 0; \text{ that is,} \\ \int_D h^*_{\bar{z}} \phi dz \wedge d\bar{z} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.10) we know that  $h^*_{\bar{z}} = \dot{w}_{\bar{z}} = \dot{\mu}$ , hence we have

$$\int_D \dot{\mu} \phi dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0 \text{ for any } \phi \in B_2(U, \Gamma) \quad (2.19)$$

Since  $\dot{\mu} \in W$  for  $\mu \in W_0$ , we have that

$$\dot{\mu}(z) = (Im z)^2 \bar{\phi}_0(z), z \in U, \text{ for some } \phi_0 \in B_2(U, \Gamma).$$

We now take  $\phi = \phi_0$  in (2.19). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (Im z)^2 |\phi_0(z)|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z} &= 0 \\ \phi_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{\mu} = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{w} = 0 \Rightarrow h^*_{\bar{z}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h^*$  is holomorphic in  $U$ . Furthermore,  $h^* = f^*$ . Thus  $h^*$  is a  $(-1)$  differential for  $\Gamma$ . Following Kra [13], we define

$$red \text{ ord}_p h^* = \frac{ord_p h^*}{|\Gamma_p|}, \text{ for } p \in U,$$

( $|\Gamma_p|$  is the order of the stabilizer of  $P$ .)

and for each *cusp*  $a_s$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $red \text{ ord}_{a_s} h^* = r$  if the Fourier series expansion of  $h^*$  at  $\infty$  is

$$h^*(z) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i k z}, \quad a_r \neq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

Since  $h^*$  is holomorphic in  $U$ ,  $red \text{ ord}_p h^* \geq 0$  if  $p \in U$ . From (2.17)

$$red \text{ ord}_{a_s} h^* \geq 1 \text{ for } s = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Thus  $\sum_{p \in \bar{D}_0} red \text{ ord}_p h^* > 0$ , where  $D_0$  is a fundamental set in  $\bar{U}$  for  $\Gamma$ . But

$$\sum_{p \in \bar{D}_0} red \text{ ord}_p h^* = -(2p-2) + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{p_j})$$

by Kra [13], and it is negative since  $2p-2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{p_j}) > 0$ .

This contradiction leads to the conclusion that  $h^* = 0$ . This completes the proof of the corollary.

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** For an arbitrary point  $t \in T(\Gamma)$ , there exists a map taking  $t$  to a given point  $t_0 \in T(\Gamma)$ . This map is a holomorphic homeomorphism by Bers [4]. Hence it is sufficient to prove the theorem in a neighborhood of the origin  $t_0 \in T(\Gamma)$ .

We have noticed earlier that, in a neighborhood of  $t_0$ ,  $\Phi$  is induced by  $\Phi^*$ .  $\Phi^*$  is holomorphic by the Lemma 2.3. The Lemma 2.2 and the Corollary of the Lemma 2.3 together imply that the differential of  $\Phi^*$  is injective. It is known that  $\chi$  preserves the parabolic elements and the

multipliers of the elliptic elements in  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $\chi(\Gamma)$  is nonelementary by Kra [12]. Hence the image  $\chi$  of  $\Phi^*$  is a manifold point in  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  by the Theorem 1. Since  $G \times \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  and  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$  have the same dimension  $6p + 2n - 3$ ,  $\Phi^*$  is a local homeomorphism. Replacing  $(I, t, \phi)$  by  $(A, t, \phi)$  in  $G \times \mathfrak{B}(T(\Gamma))$  has the effect of conjugating  $\chi$  by  $A$ . Hence we conclude that  $\Phi$  is holomorphic and a local homeomorphism in a neighborhood of  $t_0$ . This completes the proof.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I am indebted to my teacher, Professor Irwin Kra, for his encouragement and for his many contributions in preparing this paper. This research was partially supported by NSF grants #8810965 and #8918944.

### REFERENCES

1. AHLFORS, L.V., Lectures on quasiconformal mappings, Princeton, New Jersey, 1966.
2. AHLFORS, L.V., The structure of a finitely generated Kleinian group, *Acta Math.* **122** (1969), 1-17.
3. BERS, L., Inequalities for finitely generated Kleinian Groups, *J. d'Analyse Math.* **18** (1967), 23-41.
4. BERS, L., Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces, *Acta Math.*, **130** (1973), 89-126.
5. EARLE, C.J., On variation of projective structures, *Proc. of Stony Brook Conference* (1978), 87-99.
6. FALTINGS, G., Real projective structures on Riemann surfaces, *Compositio Math.* **48** (1983), 223-269.
7. GALLO, D.M. & PORTER, R.M., Embedding the deformation space of a Fuchsian group of the first kind, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* **26** (1981), 49-53.
8. GALLO, D.M. & PORTER, R.M., Extended monodromy for bordered Riemann surfaces, *J. d'Analyse Math.* **54** (1990), 1-20.
9. GARDINER, F. & KRA, I., Stability of Kleinian groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal* **21** (1972), 1037-1059.
10. GUNNING, R.C., Lectures on vector bundles over Riemann surfaces, Princeton, New Jersey, 1967.
11. KRA, I., Affine and projective structures, *J. d'Analyse Math.* **22** (1969), 285-298.
12. KRA, I., Deformation of Fuchsian groups, II, *Duke Math. Journal* **38** (1971), 499-508.
13. KRA, I., Automorphic Forms and Kleinian Groups, Benjamin-Reading, Massachusetts, 1972.
14. MASKIT, B., Kleinian Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
15. SENGUPTA, J., A uniqueness theorem of reflectable deformations of a Fuchsian group, *Proc. of AMS*, Vol. **104**, No. **4**, 1148-1152.

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk)