

A GENERAL NOTION OF INDEPENDENCE OF SEQUENCES OF INTEGERS

JOHN R. BURKE

Gonzaga University
Department of Mathematics
Gonzaga University
Spokane, WA 99258-0001
USA

(Received February 4, 1992 and in revised form December 10, 1992)

ABSTRACT. In this paper a notion of statistical independence of sequences of integers is developed. The results are generalizations of known results on independent sequences mod m in the integers and more generally, independent sequences on compact sets. All that is assumed is that one has a countable partition of the integers indexed by an ordered set.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Independence mod m , uniform distribution mod m .

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 10K40.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In 1940, Steinhaus and Kac [6] established the concept of independent functions on the unit square, $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Since that time the notion of independence has been developed in several different settings. The independence of sequences of integers mod m was examined by Kuipers, Niederreiter and Shiue [2, 3]. Similar work was done by Kuipers and the author in the Gaussian integers [1]. In both of these situations, one of the key properties used to characterize independent sequences was the existence of a nonprincipal character on the ring structure involved. The question of what can be said about independent sequences when there is no nonprincipal character or indeed no ring structure has also been considered. Niederreiter [5] considered independence in compact spaces. In this article we examine similar questions in a more general setting. While the set \mathbf{Z}_0 , the nonnegative integers, is considered, any ordered countable set may be used.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathbf{Z}_0 , the set of nonnegative integers. Let Λ be a countable ordered indexing set. If $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a partition of \mathbf{Z}_0 ($\mathbf{Z}_0 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$, $C_\lambda \cap C_\mu = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$), define

$$A(C_\lambda, n) = \sum_{\substack{a_k \in C_\lambda \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} 1 = |\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \cap C_\lambda|. \text{ If for each } \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ we have that the limit}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(C_\lambda, n)}{n} \text{ exists, then define}$$

$$\phi_\lambda(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(C_\lambda, n)}{n}, \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

$\{\phi_\lambda(A)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the asymptotic distribution function (a.d.f) of A with respect to the partition \mathcal{C} .

Now consider two sequences A and B, both with an a.d.f. with respect to the partition \mathcal{C} . Define

$$(A, B) \left(C_\lambda, C_\mu; n \right) = \sum_{\substack{a_k \in C_\lambda, b_k \in C_\mu \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} 1$$

A and B will be said to be acceptable if the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(A, B) \left(C_\lambda, C_\mu; n \right)}{n} = \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(A, B)$ exists for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

Definition 1.1 Let A and B be acceptable sequences with respect to a partition $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. A and B are \mathcal{C} -independent provided that for all $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\phi_{\lambda, \mu}(A, B) = \phi_\lambda(A) \phi_\mu(B)$$

If one chooses the set Λ to be finite then \mathcal{C} -independence is equivalent to that described by Niederreiter [5] in compact spaces. If the equivalence classes of the partition \mathcal{C} are chosen to be residue classes mod m, \mathcal{C} -independence becomes independence mod m as considered by Kuipers, Niederreiter, and Shiue [2,3].

3. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 2.1 Let A be a sequence which is acceptable with respect to a partition $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. A and A are \mathcal{C} -independent if and only if $\phi_\lambda(A) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

PROOF: Suppose there is a C_λ such that $0 < \phi_\lambda(A) < 1$. If we assume A and A are \mathcal{C} -independent then, since $\phi_\lambda(A) = \phi_{\lambda, \lambda}(A, A)$,

$$0 < [\phi_\lambda(A)]^2 < \phi_\lambda(A) = \phi_{\lambda, \lambda}(A, A).$$

Thus A and A cannot be \mathcal{C} -independent.

Now suppose $\phi_\lambda(A) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $\phi_\mu(A) = 0$ for $\mu \in \Lambda, \mu \neq \lambda$. It follows that for $\mu, \eta \in \Lambda, \mu \neq \lambda$ or $\eta \neq \lambda$

$$\phi_{\mu, \eta}(A, A) = \phi_\mu(A) \phi_\eta(A) = 0$$

and

$$\phi_{\lambda, \lambda}(A, A) = \phi_\lambda(A) \phi_\lambda(A) = 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2 Given a sequence A, A will be \mathcal{C} -independent of B for every B such that A and B are acceptable if and only if $\phi_\lambda(A) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

PROOF: From Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\phi_\lambda(A) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ in order that A and A be \mathcal{C} -independent.

If $\phi_\lambda(A) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and B is any sequence such that A and B are acceptable, we have

$$\frac{B(C_\mu, n)}{n} - \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Lambda \\ \eta \neq \lambda}} \frac{A(C_\eta, n)}{n} \leq \frac{(A, B)(C_\lambda, C_\mu; n)}{n} \leq \frac{B(C_\mu, n)}{n}$$

Noting that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Lambda \\ \eta \neq \lambda}} \frac{A(C_{\eta, n})}{n} \leq \sum_{\substack{\eta \in \Lambda \\ \eta \neq \lambda}} \phi_{\eta}(A) = 1 - \phi_{\lambda}(A) = 0$$

it follows that

$$\phi_{\lambda, \mu}(A, B) = \phi_{\mu}(B) = \phi_{\lambda}(A) \phi_{\mu}(B)$$

and

$$\phi_{\eta, \mu}(A, B) = \phi_{\eta}(A) \phi_{\mu}(B) = 0 \text{ if } \eta \neq \lambda.$$

As an example of the relationship between a.d.f. and the notion of \mathcal{C} -independence we have the following result. We will consider the special case where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_0$. If any other countable indexing set is used, the choice of k can be done by choosing any order preserving map $f: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0$ and letting $k = f(\lambda)$ where $\phi_{\lambda}(A) \neq 0$, and the subsequence $\{a_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of A is determined by $a_{k_n} \in C_{\lambda}$.

THEOREM 2.3 Let A and B be acceptable with respect to a partition $\mathcal{C} = \{C_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ with a.d.f. $\{\phi_i(A)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ and $\{\phi_i(B)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$ respectively. Let $C_k \in \mathcal{C}$ be such that $\phi_k(A) \neq 0$ and let $\{a_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be

the subsequence of A such that $a_{k_n} \in C_k$. If A and B are \mathcal{C} -independent, then the sequence

$$B' = \{b_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ has } \{\phi_i(B)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_0} \text{ as its a.d.f.}$$

PROOF: For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_0$, since $A(C_k, k_n) = n$, we have $(A, B)(C_k, C_j; k_n) = B(C_j, n)$. By the \mathcal{C} -independence of A and B we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(A, B)(C_k, C_j; k_n)}{k_n} = \phi_k(A)$$

and

$$\frac{B(C_j, n)}{n} = \frac{(A, B)(C_k, C_j; k_n)}{k_n} \cdot \frac{k_n}{n}$$

we have, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi_j(B') = \phi_k(A) \phi_j(B) [\phi_k(A)]^{-1} = \phi_j(B)$$

Thus we have some basic properties of \mathcal{C} -independent sequences as well as a method of obtaining sequences with a given a.d.f. It would, of course, be gratifying to be able to generate acceptable sequences which are \mathcal{C} -independent. In this direction we have a construction following an idea of Nathanson [4].

THEOREM 2.4 Let $A = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $B' = \{b'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be acceptable with respect to a partition

$\mathcal{C} = \{C_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with a.d.f. $\{\phi_{\lambda}(A)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ and $\{\phi_{\lambda}(B')\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ respectively, where Λ is $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ or

$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_0$. Then there exists a sequence $B = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

i) A and B are \mathcal{C} -independent

ii) $\{\phi_\lambda(B)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the a.d.f. for B.

PROOF: Let $i_{j,k}$ denote the index of the j^{th} term of A contained in C_k . The sets $\{i_{j,k}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ partition \mathbb{Z}_0 as k runs through Λ . Define $b_n = b_{i_{j,k}} = b'_{j,k}$.

First we establish that

$$B(C_\lambda, n) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} B(C_\lambda, A(C_\mu, n)) \quad \text{tab 80} \quad (1)$$

The relationship (1) can be seen as follows. Consider the elements b_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that $b_k \in C_\lambda$. For fixed μ we count the elements $b_{i_{1,\mu}}, b_{i_{2,\mu}}, \dots, b_{i_{A(C_\mu, n), \mu}}$ (which are the terms $b'_1, \dots, b'_{A(C_\mu, n)}$) that are in C_λ . There are $B(C_\lambda, A(C_\mu, n))$ such terms. Now let μ run through Λ .

We now establish ii). From (*) we have

$$\frac{B(C_\lambda, n)}{n} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \frac{B(C_\lambda, A(C_\mu, n))}{n} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \frac{B(C_\lambda, A(C_\mu, n))}{A(C_\mu, n)} \cdot \frac{A(C_\mu, n)}{n}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\phi_\lambda(b) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \phi_\lambda(B) \phi_\mu(A) = \phi_\lambda(B) \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} \phi_\mu(A) = \phi_\lambda(B)$$

To establish the C-independence of A and B consider $(A, B)(C_\lambda, C_\mu; n) = B(C_\mu, A(C_\lambda, n))$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda, \mu}(A, B) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(A, B)(C_\lambda, C_\mu; n)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(C_\mu, A(C_\lambda, n))}{n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(C_\mu, A(C_\lambda, n))}{A(C_\lambda, n)} \cdot \frac{A(C_\lambda, n)}{n} = \phi_\mu(B) \phi_\lambda(A) = \phi_\mu(B) \phi_\lambda(A). \end{aligned}$$

It would be of interest to examine how the structure of the partition C affects the independence of sequences. Perhaps a partition whose classes are determined by the number of prime factors or distinct prime factors would be of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Burke, John R. and Kuipers, L., Asymptotic Distribution and Independence of Sequences of Gaussian Integers, Sim. Stev., 50 e Jaargang (1976-77), 3-21.
2. Kuipers, L. and Niederreiter, H., Asymptotic Distribution mod m and Independence of Sequences of Integers. I, Proc. of the Jap. Ac., Vol. 50 (1974), 256-260.
3. Kuipers, L. and Shiu, J.S., Asymptotic Distribution mod m of Sequences of Integers and the Notion of Independence, Atti della Acc. Naz dei Lincei, Serie V111, Vol. XI (1970), 63-90.
4. Nathanson, M., Asymptotic Distribution and Asymptotic Independence of Sequences of Integers, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 29 (1977) no. 3-4, 207-218.
5. Niederreiter, H., Indépendance de suites Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 475 Springer Berlin (1975) 54, 120-131.
6. Steinhaus, H. and Kac, M., Fonctions Indépendantes, I-VI, Fund. Math. (1936-40), 46-131.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru