Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 16 NO. 3 (1993) 503-510

FINITE ELEMENT ESTIMATES FOR A CLASS OF NONLINEAR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

MUHAMMAD ASLAM NOOR

Mathematics Department, College of Science,
P.O. Box 2455, King Saud University
Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia

(Received November 5, 1990 and in revised form March 16, 1991)

ABSTRACT. It is well known that a wide class of obstacle and unilateral problems arising in pure and applied sciences can be studied in a general and unifield framework of variational inequalities. In this paper, we derive the error estimates for the finite element approximate solution for a class of highly nonlinear variational inequalities encountered in the field of elasticity and glaciology in terms of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L_p(\Omega)$-norms. As a special case, we obtain the well-known error estimates for the corresponding linear obstacle problem and nonlinear problems.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Finite element techniques, error estimates, variational inequalities, obstacle problems.
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 65K10, 29A10, 73C20.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Variational inequality theory is an interesting branch of applicable mathematics, which not only provides us with a uniform framework for studying a large number of problems occurring in different branches of pure and applied sciences, but also gives us powerful and new numerical methods of solving them. In this paper, we consider a broad class of highly nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems having some extra constrained conditions. A much used approach with any elliptic problem is to reformulate it in a weak or variational form and then to approximate these. In the presence of a constraint, this approach leads to a variational inequality, which is the weak formulation.

In recent years, the finite element techniques are being applied to compute the approximate solutions of various classes of variational inequalities. Relative to the linear variational inequalities, little is known about the accuracy and convergance properties of finite element approximation of nonlinear variational inequalities associated with nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems. The nonlinear problems are much more complicated, since each problem has to be treated individually. This is one of the reasons that there is no unified and general theory for the nonlinear problems. An error analysis of finite element method for the boundary value problem having nonlinear operator $-\nabla(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla)$ was derived by Glowinski and Marroco [1], which was an improvement of the results of Oden [2]. For piecewise linear finite element approximations, they obtained error estimates in the $W^{1,p}$-norm of order $h^{1/p-1}$, which were extended by Noor [3] for strongly nonlinear problems. Babuska [4] also obtained the same type of estimate for the finite element approximation of second order quasilinear elliptic problems.

Error estimates for various types of variational inequalities involving second order linear and nonlinear elliptic operators have been derived by many workers including Falk [5], Mosco and

Strang [6], Janovsky and Whiteman [7] and Noor ([8], [9]), under sufficient regular solutions. Oden and Reddy [10] obtained some general results for a class of highly nonlinear variational inequalities involving certain psuedo-monotone operators under the assumption that all the solutions (exact and approximate ones) of these variational inequalities are in the interior of a closed convex set in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This assumption converts the variational inequalities into variational equations, which makes the error analysis a standard one as in the uncontrained case. The most important and difficult part of the problem is when the solutions are not in the interior of a closed convex set, a case not covered by their analysis. It is also known that in the presence of the constraints, the approximate solution is no longer a projection of the exact solution as in the unconstrained case. This represents a major difficulty in obtaining the error estimates for the finite element approximation of nonlinear variational inequalities.

In the present study, our analysis is based on the existence theory of nonlinear operator equations put forward by Glowinski and Marroco [1]. We extend their results for a class of nonlinear obstacle problems arising in elasticity and glaciology in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to an analysis of error estimates in finite element approximation for our model problem. Here we derive error estimates in the $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and L_p-norms using the ideas and technique of Mosco and Strang [6]. Our results represent a substantial generalization and improvement of the error analysis of finite element approximation of strongly nonlinear monotone operators and variational inequalities contributed by Glowinski and Marroco [1], Oden and Reddy [10] and Noor [9].

2. VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY FORMULATION.

The mathematical model discussed in this paper arises in the field of elasticity and Oceanography, see [11]. We consider the problem of finding the velocity of the glacier, which is required to satisfy the nonlinear obstacle problem of the type $1 < p < \infty$.

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\nabla(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) - \nabla^2 u \geq f \quad & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \geq \psi \quad & \text{in } \Omega \\ (-\nabla(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) - \nabla^2 u - f)(u-\psi) = 0 \quad & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \quad & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω is the cross-section of the glacier and ψ is the given function, known as the obstacle. The presence of f and $-\nabla^2 u$ may be interpreted as body heating terms, these arises from resistivity and are local Joule heating effects. Also, in elasticity, the problem of torsional stiffness of a prismatic bar with a simply connected convex cross-section Ω and subject to steady creep, which is characterized by a power law, can be described by (2.1) and p is the exponent of the creep law. The case $p = 1$ and $\nabla^2 u = 0$ is related to the problem of capillarity and minimal surfaces, see Finn [12].

The problem (2.1) is a generalization of the nonlinear problem of finding u such that

$$\left.\begin{aligned} -\nabla(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = f \quad & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \quad & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{2.2}$$

for which the error estimates have been derived by using the finite element approximation by Glowinski and Marroco [1]. The presence of the obstacle needs a different approach for deriving the error estimates and this is the main motivation of this paper.

Let $\Omega \subset R^n$ be a bounded open domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ a reflexive Banach space with norm

$$\|v\| = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

and the dual space $W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$, $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. The pairing between $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1,q}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $<\cdot,\cdot>$. For more details and notation, see Kikuchi and Oden [13].

We here study the problem (2.1) in the framework of variational inequalities. To do so, we consider that set K defined by

$$K=\{v\in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega):\ v\geq\psi \quad \text{on } \Omega\}, \tag{2.3}$$

which is a closed convex set in $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

The energy (potential) functional $I[v]$ associated with the obstacle problem (2.1) is given by

$$I[v]=\tfrac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p\,dx+\int_\Omega (\nabla v)^2\,dx-2\int_\Omega f dx$$

$$=J(v)+b(v,v)-2<f,v>, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$J(v)=\tfrac{1}{p}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p\,dx, \qquad b(u,v)=\int_\Omega \nabla u.\nabla v\,dx, \quad \textit{a bilinear form}$$

and

$$<f,u>=\int_\Omega fv\,dx.$$

Following the techniques of Noor [8] and Kikuchi and Oden [13], one can show that the minimum of $I[v]$, defined by (2.4), can be characterized by a class of variational inequalities of the type

$$<Tu,v-u>+b(u,v-u)\geq <f,v-u>, \quad \text{for all } v\in K, \tag{2.5}$$

which is known as the weak formulation of the obstacle problem (2.1) with

$$<Tu,v>=<J'(u),v>=\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\,\nabla u\,\nabla v\,dx. \tag{2.6}$$

We here consider the variational inequality (2.5) to obtain the error estimate for $u-u_h$ in both $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and L_p-norms. In order to derive the main results, we need the following results which are due to Glowinski and Marroco [1].

LEMMA 2.1 For all $u,v\in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$<Tu-Tv,\ u-v>\ \geq\ \alpha\|u-v\|^p, \qquad p\geq 2 \tag{2.7}$$

$$<Tu-Tv,\ u-v>\ \leq\ \beta\|u-v\|(\|u\|+\|v\|)^{p-2}, \qquad p\geq 2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$<Tu-Tv,\ u-v>\ \geq\ \alpha\|u-v\|^2(\|u\|+\|v\|)^{p-2}, \qquad 1<p\leq 2, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|Tu-Tv\|\ \geq\ \beta\|u-v\|^{p-1} \qquad 1<p\leq 2. \tag{2.10}$$

We also remark that if the operator T satisfies the relations (2.7)-(2.10) and the bilinear form $b(u,v)$ is positive continuous, then, using the techniques of Noor [14] and Kikuchi and Oden [13], we can prove the existence of a unique solution of (2.5). Furthermore, concerning the regularity of the solution $u\in K$ satisfying (2.5), we assume the following hypothesis:

(A) {For $\psi\in W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)\cap W^{2,p}(\Omega), u\in K$ satisfying (2.5) also lies in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$}.

3. FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS.

In this section, we derive the error estimates for the finite element approximation of variational inequalities of type (2.5). To do so, we consider a finite dimensional subspace $S_h\subset W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of continuous piecewise linear functions on the triangulation of the polygonal domain Ω vanishing on its boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let ψ_h be the interpolant of ψ such that ψ_h agrees at all the vertices of the triangulation. For our purpose, it is enough to choose the finite dimensional convex subset $K_h=S_h\cap\{v_h\geq\psi_h$ only at the vertices of the triangulation$\}$, as in Berger and Falk [15]. For other choices of convex subsets, see ([5], [7], [8], [13]).

The variational inequality (2.6) can in practice seldom be solved, and so, approximation u_h to u from a finite dimensional convex subset K_h are sought. Thus the finite element approximation u_h of u is:

Find $u_h \in K_h$ such that

$$< Tu_h,\ v_h - u_h > + b(u_h,\ v_h - u_h) \geq < f,\ v_h - u_h >, \text{ for all } v_h \in K_h. \tag{3.1}$$

We also note that in certain cases, the equality holds instead of inequality in (2.6). This happens when v, together $2u - v$, also lies in K. In this case, we get

$$< Tu,\ v - u > + b(u,\ v - u) = < f,\ v - u >. \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, if $\tilde{u}$ is the interpolant of u, which agrees at every vertex of Ω, then $\tilde{u}$ lies in K_h. It is well known from approximation theory, see Ciarlet [16] that

$$\| u - \tilde{u} \| \leq ch \| u \|_2. \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, let M and M_h be the cones composed of non-negative functions on $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and its subspace S_h. Thus, it is clear that

$$U = u - \psi \qquad \text{is in } M$$
$$U_h = u_h - \psi_h \qquad \text{is in } M_h.$$

From these relations, it follows that

$$u - u_h = U - U_h + \psi - \psi_h. \tag{3.4}$$

We also need the following result of Mosco and Strang [6], which is known as the one-sided approximation result.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that $U \geq 0$ in the polygon (plane) Ω and U lies in $W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a V_h in S_h such that

$$0 \leq V_h \leq U \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

and

$$\| U - V_h \| \leq ch \| U \|_2 \tag{3.5}$$

We now state and prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 3.1. Let the nonlinear operator $T: W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfy the relations (2.7)-(2.10) and $b(u,v)$ be a positive continuous bilinear form. If $V_h \in M_h$ and $2U - V_h \in M$, then

$$\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} 0(h^{\frac{1}{p-1}}), & p \geq 2, \qquad (3.6) \\ 0(h^{\frac{1}{3-p}}), & 1 < p \leq 2, \qquad (3.7) \end{cases}$$

where $u \in K$ and $u_h \in K_h$ are the solutions of (2.6) and (3.1) respectively and the hypothesis (A) holds.

PROOF. Since both $v = \psi + V_h$ and $2u - v = \psi + (2U - V)$ are in K, we have from (2.5) and (3.2) that

$$< Tu, V_h - U > + b(u, V_h - U) = < f, V_h - U >. \tag{3.8}$$

Letting $v_h = \psi_h + V_h$ and $u_h = \psi_h + U_h$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$< Tu_h, V_h - U_h > + b(u_h, V_h - U_h) \geq < f, V_h - U_h >, \tag{3.9}$$

and taking $v = \psi + U_h$ in (2.5), we have

$$< Tu,\ U_h - U > + b(u, U_h - U) \geq < f, U_h - U >. \tag{3.10}$$

Subtracting (3.8) from (3.10), we get

$$< Tu,\ U_h - V_h > + b(u, U_h - V_h) \geq < f, U_h - V_h > \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9) and (3.11), it follows that

$$< Tu - Tu_h, U_h - V_h > + b(u - u_h, U_h - V_h) \geq 0,$$

which can be written as

$$< Tu - Tu_h,\ U - U_h > + b(u - u_h, U - U_h) \leq < Tu - Tu_h, U - V_h > + b(u - u_h, U - V_h > \qquad (3.12)$$

Since $b(u,v)$ is a positive bilinear, so far $p \geq 2$ and using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \| u - u_h \|^p &\leq < Tu - Tu_h, u - u_h > \\
&\leq < Tu - Tu_h, u - u_h > + b(u - u_h, u - u_h > \\
&= < Tu - Tu_h, \psi - \psi_h > + b(u - u_h, \psi - \psi_h > + < Tu - Tu_h, U - U_h > + b(u - u_h, U - U_h). \\
&\leq < Tu - Tu_h, \psi - \psi_h > + b(u - u_h, \psi - \psi_h) \\
&\qquad + < Tu - Tu_h, U - V_h > + b(u - u_h, U - V_h), \text{ by using (3.12).} \\
&\leq \| u - u_h \| \{ \beta(\| u \| + \| v \|)^p + \gamma \} \{ \| \psi - \psi_h \| + \| U - V_h \| \}, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

by using (2.8) and the continuity of $b(u,v)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\| u_h \| \leq \| u \|. \qquad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.3), (3.8), (3.13) and (3.14), we have, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{1/p-1}),$$

which is the required result (3.6).

Similarly, we can show that,

$$\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{\frac{1}{3-p}}), \text{ for } 1 < p \leq 2.$$

REMARK 3.1.

(1) For $p = 2$, the results obtained in this paper are exactly those of Falk [5] and Mosco and Strang [6].

(2) In the absence of the constraints, our results reduce to the well known results of Glowinski and Marroco [1] and Babuska [4].

(3) For $p = 4$, we have $\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,4}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{1/3})$, which is proved by Oden and Reddy [10] in finite elasticity under the assumption that the solution lies in the interior of the convex set K. Thus our results represents an improvement of the previous results. For $1 < p \leq 2$, there is no counterpart in the linear theory and our results appear to be new ones.

Using the one-sided approximation result of Mosco and Strang [6] and Aubin-Nitsche trick [16], and the techniques of Noor [17] and Mosco [18], we can derive the following error estimate for the finite element approximation of variational inequality (2.6) in the L_p-norm.

THEOREM 3.2. If $u \in K$ and $u_h \in K_h$ are solutions of (2.6) and (3.1) respectively and hypothesis (A) holds, then

$$\| (u - u_h)^+ \|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} 0(h^{\frac{p}{p-1}}), & p \geq 2 \\ 0(h^{\frac{p-4}{p-3}}), & 1 < p \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\| (u - u_h)^- \|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} 0(h^{\frac{p}{p-1}}), & p \geq 2 \\ 0(h^{\frac{p-4}{p-3}}), & 1 < p \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

where $(u - u_h)^+ = Sup\ (u - u_h, 0)$ and $(u - u_h)^- = Inf\ (u - u_h, 0)$

REMARK 3.2. For piecewise linear elements and $p = 4$, we obtain $\| u - u_h \|_{0,4} = 0(h^{4/3})$, a result obtained by Oden and Reddy [10] under the assumption that all the solutions lie in the

which can be written as

$$< Tu - Tu_h,\ U - U_h > + b(u - u_h, U - U_h) \leq < Tu - Tu_h, U - V_h > + b(u - u_h, U - V_h > \qquad (3.12)$$

Since $b(u,v)$ is a positive bilinear, so far $p \geq 2$ and using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \| u - u_h \|^p &\leq < Tu - Tu_h, u - u_h > \\
&\leq < Tu - Tu_h, u - u_h > + b(u - u_h, u - u_h > \\
&= < Tu - Tu_h, \psi - \psi_h > + b(u - u_h, \psi - \psi_h > + < Tu - Tu_h, U - U_h > + b(u - u_h, U - U_h). \\
&\leq < Tu - Tu_h, \psi - \psi_h > + b(u - u_h, \psi - \psi_h) \\
&\qquad + < Tu - Tu_h, U - V_h > + b(u - u_h, U - V_h), \text{ by using (3.12).} \\
&\leq \| u - u_h \| \{\beta(\| u \| + \| v \|)^p + \gamma\} \{ \| \psi - \psi_h \| + \| U - V_h \| \}, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

by using (2.8) and the continuity of $b(u,v)$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\| u_h \| \leq \| u \|. \qquad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.3), (3.8), (3.13) and (3.14), we have, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{1/p-1}),$$

which is the required result (3.6).

Similarly, we can show that,

$$\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{\frac{1}{3-p}}), \text{ for } 1 < p \leq 2.$$

REMARK 3.1.

(1) For $p = 2$, the results obtained in this paper are exactly those of Falk [5] and Mosco and Strang [6].

(2) In the absence of the constraints, our results reduce to the well known results of Glowinski and Marroco [1] and Babuska [4].

(3) For $p = 4$, we have $\| u - u_h \|_{W_o^{1,4}(\Omega)} = 0(h^{1/3})$, which is proved by Oden and Reddy [10] in finite elasticity under the assumption that the solution lies in the interior of the convex set K. Thus our results represents an improvement of the previous results. For $1 < p \leq 2$, there is no counterpart in the linear theory and our results appear to be new ones.

Using the one-sided approximation result of Mosco and Strang [6] and Aubin-Nitsche trick [16], and the techniques of Noor [17] and Mosco [18], we can derive the following error estimate for the finite element approximation of variational inequality (2.6) in the L_p-norm.

THEOREM 3.2. If $u \in K$ and $u_h \in K_h$ are solutions of (2.6) and (3.1) respectively and hypothesis (A) holds, then

$$\| (u - u_h)^+ \|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} 0(h^{\frac{p}{p-1}}), & p \geq 2 \\ 0(h^{\frac{p-4}{p-3}}), & 1 < p \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\| (u - u_h)^- \|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} 0(h^{\frac{p}{p-1}}), & p \geq 2 \\ 0(h^{\frac{p-4}{p-3}}), & 1 < p \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

where $(u - u_h)^+ = Sup\ (u - u_h, 0)$ and $(u - u_h)^- = Inf\ (u - u_h, 0)$

REMARK 3.2. For piecewise linear elements and $p = 4$, we obtain $\| u - u_h \|_{0,4} = 0(h^{4/3})$, a result obtained by Oden and Reddy [10] under the assumption that all the solutions lie in the

interior of the closed convex set K in $W^{1,p}$-space. In this way, our results represent an improvement of their result. For $1 < p \leq 2$, our results appear to be new ones and there is no counterpart in the linear theory.

4. CONCLUSION.

In this paper, we have obtained the error estimates of the finite element approximations of the solutions of a class of highly nonlinear variational inequalities in the $W^{1,p}$ and L_p-norms, which appear to be new ones. These estimates are distinctly nonlinear in character. In particular, for $p = 2$, corresponding to the linear elliptic theory, we obtain an error of order h, which agrees with the recent results.

REFERENCES

1. GLOWINSKI, R. & MARRACO, A., Sur l'approximation par elements finite d'ordre un, et la resolution, par penalization-dualite, d'une classe de Dirichlet non-lineaires, RAIRO R-2 (1975), 41-76
2. ODEN, J.T., Approximation and numerical analysis of finite deformation of elastic solids, Nonlinear Elasticity, edited by D.W. Dickey, Academic Press, New York (1973), 175-228.
3. NOOR, M.A., Finite element approximation theory for strongly nonlinear problems, Commen. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 31 (1982), 1-7.
4. BABUSKA, I., Singularity problems in the finite element method, Formulation and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, edited by J. Bathe, J.T. Oden and W. Wunderlich, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge (1977), 748-792.
5. FALK, R.S., Error estimates for the approximation of a class of variational inequalities, Math. Comp. 28 (1974), 963-972.
6. MOSCO, U. & STRANG, G., One-sided approximation and variational inequalities, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 308-312.
7. JANOVSKY, V. & WHITEMAN, J.R., Error analysis of finite element method for mildly nonlinear variational inequalities, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 1 (1979), 223-232.
8. NOOR, M.A., Error analysis of mildly nonlinear variational inequalities, Car. J. Math. 2 (1983), 49-62.
9. NOOR, M.A., Finite element approximation of nonlinear variational inequalities in Numerical Methods for Engineers, 3rd Symposium, Paris, 1983.
10. ODEN, J.T. & REDDY, C.T., Finite element approximation of a class of highly nonlinear boundary value problems in finite elasticity, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 1 (1979), 1-55.
11. ARTHURS, A.M., Complementary Variational Principles, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1980.
12. FINN, R., Capillarity phenomena, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 29 (1974), 131-152.
13. KIKUCHI, N. & ODEN, J.T., Contact Problems in Elasticity, SIAM Publishing Co. Philadelphia, 1988.
14. NOOR, M.A., General nonlinear variational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 126 (1987), 78-84.
15. BERGER, A.E., & FALK, R.S., An error estimate for the truncation method for the solution of parabolic variational inequalities, Math. Comp. 31 (1977), 619-628.
16. CIARLET, P.G., The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
17. NOOR, M.A., L_2-estimates for variational inequalities, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 4 (1982), 165-170.
18. MOSCO, U., Error estimates for some variational inequalities in mathematical aspects of finite element methods, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk