

SEMICONTINUOUS GROUPS AND SEPARATION PROPERTIES

ELLEN CLAY, BRADD CLARK and VIC SCHNEIDER

Department of Mathematics
The University of Southwestern Louisiana
Lafayette, LA 70504-1010

(Received December 31, 1990)

In 1948, Samuel [2] pointed out that the intersection of two group topologies need not be a group topology. However, a number of properties that hold for a group topology still hold for a topological space that is an intersection of group topologies. In order to study these properties, we shall describe a class of topologies that can be placed on a group which we call semicontinuous topologies. (We point out here that Fuchs [1] calls these spaces semitopological groups).

One important attribute of topological groups is separation. In particular, a topological group is Hausdorff if and only if the identity is a closed subset. While this is not true for semicontinuous groups, we shall see that an interesting "echo" of this property is true.

For each group G we have a bijection $\text{inv}: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $\text{inv}(x) = x^{-1}$. Also for any fixed $a \in G$ we have bijections $l_a: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $l_a(x) = ax$ and $r_a: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $r_a(x) = xa$.

DEFINITION. A semicontinuous group is a group G and a topology τ on G making inv , l_a and r_a continuous for $a \in G$.

Clearly a semicontinuous group is a homogeneous space. Thus a great deal can be determined by considering a basis for the topology at the identity. In a manner analogous to that found in the theory of topological groups, one can demonstrate the following:

PROPOSITION 1. If (G, τ) is a semicontinuous group and \mathfrak{F} is a neighborhood base at the identity, then \mathfrak{F} satisfies

- (i) If $U, V \in \mathfrak{F}$, then there exists $W \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $W \subset U \cap V$.
- (ii) If $a \in U$ and $U \in \mathfrak{F}$, then there exists $V \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $Va \subset U$.
- (iii) If $U \in \mathfrak{F}$ then there exists $V \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $V^{-1} \subset U$.
- (iv) If $U \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $x \in G$ then there exists $V \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $xVx^{-1} \subset U$.

Furthermore, if \mathfrak{F} is any collection of subsets of G , each containing the identity, and \mathfrak{F} satisfies (i)-(iv) above, then there exists a unique semicontinuous topology τ on G for which \mathfrak{F} is a neighborhood base at the identity.

Any collection of subsets \mathfrak{F} satisfying (i)-(iv) is called a semifundamental system. Let $V = (-1, 1) - \{x \mid x = r + \sqrt{2} \text{ and } r \in Q\} \subseteq R$ and let W be the collection of all translation sets $a + V$ such that $0 \in a + V$. Finally let \mathfrak{F} be the collection of all finite intersections of elements of W .

A moment's reflection shows that \mathfrak{F} is a semifundamental system that generates a topology τ which is finer than the usual topology on R . The set Q is closed in (R, τ) . Yet the quotient topology generated on R/Q by projection from (R, τ) is the finite complement topology. Therefore the separation properties for semicontinuous groups are clearly different from those found in topological groups.

Another interesting example of a semicontinuous topology can be described as follows; let B_n be the open ball of radius $1/n$ centered at the origin of the plane, and let $V_n = B_n - \{(x, y) \mid 0 < \frac{1}{n}x \leq y \leq nx\}$. The collection of sets $\{V_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ forms a semifundamental system for the group $(R^2, +)$. The relative topology on $(Q^2, +)$ is an example of a second countable metric space that cannot be a topological group since no square of an open set can be placed inside V_n .

Let (G, t) be a semicontinuous group and $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ the multiplication map. We let $q(t)$ denote the quotient topology on G generated by m when the product topology $t \times t$ is placed on $G \times G$. If N is a normal subgroup of G and (G, t) is a semicontinuous group, we shall denote the quotient topology on G/N generated by the natural map $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$, by $\pi(t)$.

LEMMA 2. If (G, t) is a semicontinuous group, then both m and π are open maps and both G/N and $(G, q(t))$ are semicontinuous groups.

PROOF. Let $U \times V$ be a basic open set in $t \times t$. Then $m^{-1}(m(U \times V)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} (Ug \times g^{-1}V)$. Therefore m is an open map. Likewise $\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = UN$ which is open in (G, t) whenever $U \in t$. Thus π is an open map.

Since $1_a \times \text{id}: (G \times G, t \times t) \rightarrow (G \times G, t \times t)$ is continuous and $q(t)$ is a quotient topology, $1_a: (G, q(t)) \rightarrow (G, q(t))$ is continuous. Similar arguments show that the maps $r_a: (G, q(t)) \rightarrow (G, q(t))$ and $\text{inv}: (G, q(t)) \rightarrow (G, q(t))$ are continuous. The proof that the quotient topology on G/N is semicontinuous is done in the same fashion.

LEMMA 3. If $S \subset G$ then $\bar{S} = \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} VS$.

PROOF. $x \notin \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} VS$ iff there exists $W \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $x \notin WS$ iff $W^{-1}x \cap S = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 4. G/N is Hausdorff iff $N = \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2N$.

PROOF. We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\pi \times \pi} & G/N \times G/N \\ \downarrow m & & \downarrow \bar{m} \\ G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G/N \end{array}$$

We have that $\{V^2 \mid V \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ is a semifundamental system for $q(t)$ whenever \mathfrak{F} is a semifundamental system for t . The identity element in $(G/N, \pi(q(t)))$ will be closed if and only if $N = \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2N$. The identity element in $(G/N, q(\pi(t)))$ will be closed if and only if the diagonal is closed in $G/N \times G/N$. However $\pi(q(t)) = q(\pi(t))$ since the maps are open.

COROLLARY 5. (G, t) is Hausdorff if and only if $\bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2 = \{e\}$.

COROLLARY 6. If (G, t) is a minimal Hausdorff semicontinuous group then (G, t) is topological group if and only if $\bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^4 = \{e\}$.

We can define an equivalence relation on (G, t) by defining $x \sim y$ if and only if there does not exist $V \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $xV \cap yV = \emptyset$. Let K denote the equivalence class of e under this equivalence relation. We call K the Hausdorff Kernel of (G, t) .

THEOREM 7. $K = \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2$ and K is the minimum normal subgroup with the property that G/K is Hausdorff.

PROOF. We note by Lemma 3 that $\bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2$ is the closure of $\{e\}$ in $(G, q(t))$. Therefore by an argument similar to that for topological groups, $\bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2$ is a normal subgroup of G . Since we can without loss of generality assume that V is symmetric, it is clear the $K = \bigcap_{V \in \mathfrak{F}} V^2$. The proof of Theorem 4 shows that G/K is Hausdorff if and only if K is closed in $(G, q(t))$. But K is the smallest closed normal subgroup in $(G, q(t))$.

In a like manner we can define an equivalence relation on (G, t) by declaring $x \sim y$ if and only if there does not exist a continuous function $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$. The equivalence class of e under this relation will also be a closed normal subgroup that we call the completely Hausdorff kernel of (G, t) .

REFERENCES

1. FUCHS, L., Infinite Abelian Group, Vol. 1, Acad. Press, New York and London, 1970.
2. SAMUEL, P., "Ultrafilters and compactifications of uniform spaces," Trans. A.M.S. 64 (1948), 110-132.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk