

# OSCILLATION AND NON-OSCILLATION OF SOME NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF ODD ORDER

B. S. LALLI and B. G. ZHANG

Department of Mathematics  
University of Saskatchewan  
Saskatoon, Canada  
S7N 0W0

(Received January 4, 1991 and in revised form February 3, 1991)

**ABSTRACT.** An existence criterion for nonoscillatory solution for an odd order neutral differential equation is provided. Some sufficient conditions are also given for the oscillation of solutions of some  $n$ th order equations with nonlinearity in the neutral term.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Neutral Differential Equations, Oscillation and Nonoscillation  
**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 34K15, 34C10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper we consider the first order neutral differential equations of the form

$$(x(t) - cx(t - \tau))' - p(t)x(g(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$(x(t) + cx(t - \tau))' - p(t)x(g(t)) = F(t), \quad (1.2)$$

and nonlinear neutral differential equation of  $n$ th order of the form

$$(x(t) - h(t)f_1(x(\tau(t))))^{(n)} + p(t)f_2(x(g(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (1.3)$$

Most of the works on oscillation theory for neutral equations deal with stable type equations. There are a few papers (see e.g. [2], [3], [5]) where nonoscillation of unstable type equations of order larger than one is discussed. In Section 2 we establish a result for the existence of an unbounded solution of Eq. (1.1) which tends to infinity exponentially. Some results on oscillation and nonoscillation for Eq. (1.3) are given in Section 3. To the best of our knowledge this is the first time that a differential equation with nonlinearity in the neutral term is being studied. As pointed out by Hale [1] it is useful to study neutral nonlinear differential equations of the form

$$(x(t) - G(t, x(t - \tau)))' = H(t, x(t - \tau))$$

As usual a solution  $x(t)$  of Eq. (1.j),  $j = 1, 2$ , is said to be oscillatory on  $[t_0, \infty)$  if the set of zeros of  $x(t)$  is unbounded, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. In Section 3 we need the following lemma:

**LEMMA.** (see [6]). Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\Gamma$  a bounded closed and convex subset of  $X$ ,  $A, B$  be maps on  $\Gamma$  to  $X$  such that  $Ax + By \in \Gamma$  for every pair  $x, y \in \Gamma$ . If  $A$  is a contraction and  $B$  is completely continuous then the equation

$$Ax + bx = x$$

has a solution in  $\Gamma$ .

## 2. RESULTS FOR EQUATIONS (1.1) AND (1.2).

We assume that

$$c \geq 0, \quad \tau > 0, \quad g(t) \leq t, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty, \quad p(t) \geq 0,$$

and the functions  $p, g, F$  are continuous on  $[t_0, \infty)$ ,  $t_0 \geq 0$ . In case

$$p(t) \equiv p, \quad g(t) = t - \sigma, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

from the analysis of the characteristic equation of Eq. (1.1) we know that Eq. (1.1) has always an unbounded solution

$$x(t) = Ae^{\alpha t}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

The question arises whether Eq. (1.1) has always an unbounded solution  $x(t)$  which tends to infinity exponentially as  $t$  tends to infinity. We explore that possibility.

For  $c \geq 0$ , let  $x(t)$  be a positive solution of Eq. (1.1). We put  $z(t) = x(t) - cx(t - \tau)$ .

Then  $z'(t) \geq 0$ , and therefore two possibilities exist:

- (i)  $z(t) > 0$ , eventually, or
- (ii)  $z(t) \leq 0$ , eventually.

Consequently, the nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  must satisfy one of the following type of asymptotic behavior:

- (a)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = l \neq 0$ ;
- (c)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty$ ;

We prove the following:

**THEOREM 2.1.** Based on the value of  $c$  we have the conclusions:

- (i) If  $c \geq 0$ , Eq. (1.1) has always a positive solution  $x(t)$  satisfying (b) or (c);
- (ii) If  $c \geq 1$ , Eq. (1.1) has always an unbounded solution  $x(t)$  satisfying (c);
- (iii) If  $c > 1$ , Eq. (1.1) has always an unbounded solution  $x(t)$  which tends to infinity exponentially;
- (iv) If  $0 \leq c < 1$ , and  $\int_T^\infty p(t)dt = \infty$ ,  $T \geq t_0$ , Eq. (1.1) has always an unbounded positive solution, and every bounded solution of Eq. (1.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as  $t$  tends to infinity.

**PROOF.** For a given continuous function  $p$  there exists a continuous function  $H(t) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(t)H(t)dt = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{p(t)}{\exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t p(s)H(s)ds \right)} \right] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

Define

$$z(t) = \exp \left[ \int_{t_0}^t \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^s p(u)H(u)du \right) ds \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $BC([t_0, \infty), R)$  be a Banach space of bounded and continuous functions  $y: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ . Define a subset  $\Omega$  of  $BC$  as follows:

$$\Omega = \{y \in BC: 0 \leq y(t) \leq 1, \quad t_0 \leq t < \infty\}.$$

Clearly  $\Omega$  is a bounded, closed and a convex subset of  $BC$ . Now we define a mapping  $S$  on  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$(Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} c \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} + \frac{1}{z(t)} \int_T^t p(s) z(g(s)) y(g(s)) ds + \frac{1}{2z(t)} & \text{if } t \geq T, \\ \frac{t}{T} (Sy)(T) + (1 - \frac{t}{T}), & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq T, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $T$  is chosen sufficiently large so that  $t - \tau \geq t_0$ ,  $y(t) \geq t_0$ ,  $z(t) \geq 1$ ,

and

$$c \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} + \frac{1}{z(t)} \int_T^t p(s) z(g(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.4)$$

for  $t \geq T$ . Using (2.1) and (2.2) one finds that

$$\frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\int_{t_0}^t p(s) z(g(s)) ds}{z(t)} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

which shows that (2.4) is possible. Thus we have  $S\Omega \subset \Omega$ .

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be elements of  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(Sy_2)(t) - (Sy_1)(t)| &\leq c \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} |y_2(t-\tau) - y_1(t-\tau)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{z(t)} \int_T^t p(s) z(g(s)) |y_2(g(s)) - y_1(g(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y_2 - y_1\|, \quad t \geq T. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sy_2 - Sy_1\| &= \sup | (Sy_2)(t) - (Sy_1)(t) | \\ &= \sup_{t \geq T} | (Sy_2)(t) - (Sy_1)(t) | \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y_2 - y_1\|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $S$  is a contraction on  $\Omega$ . Hence, there is an element  $y \in \Omega$  such that  $Sy = y$ .

That is,

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} c \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} + \frac{1}{z(t)} \int_T^t p(s) z(g(s)) y(g(s)) ds + \frac{1}{2z(t)} & \text{if } t \geq T, \\ \frac{t}{T} y(T) + (1 - \frac{t}{T}), & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq T, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Obviously  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Set

$$x(t) = y(t) z(t). \quad (2.6)$$

that is,

$$x(t) - cx(t-\tau) = \int_T^t p(s) x(g(s)) ds + \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \geq T, \quad (2.7)$$

which shows that  $x(t)$  is a positive solution of Eq.(1.1) for  $t \geq T$ . This proves (i), (ii) and first part of (iv); In case  $c > 1$  we have

$$x(t) \geq cx(t-\tau) \geq \dots \geq c^n x(t-n\tau).$$

or

$$x(t) \geq x(t_0)e^{\mu(t-t_0)}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0$$

where  $\mu = \frac{\ln c}{\tau} > 0$ , which shows that (iii) is true. In order to prove the second part of (iv) we let  $x(t)$  to be a bounded positive solution of Eq. (1.1). Put

$$u(t) = x(t) - cx(t - \tau).$$

The  $u'(t) > 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$  exists. Let  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = l$ . If  $l > 0$  then  $x(g(t)) \geq l$ . Consequently,

$$u(t) - u(T_1) = \int_{T_1}^t p(s)x(g(s))ds \geq l \int_{T_1}^t p(s)ds,$$

since  $\int_{T_1}^t p(s)ds \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we have a contradiction to the boundedness of  $x(t)$ . In view of (2.7) we can assume that  $c \neq 0$ . Now for  $0 < c < 1$  we cannot have the case that  $l < 0$ . Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$  and hence we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Consider the equation

$$\left(x(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t-1)\right)' = \frac{t-2}{2t^2}x(t-1), \quad \text{for } t \geq 2 \quad (2.8)$$

which satisfies the assumptions of theorem (2.1(i)). In fact, (2.8) has a solution:  $x(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{t}$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2. The equation

$$(x(t) - x(t-1))' = (1 - e^{-1})x(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq 2 \quad (2.9)$$

satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 2.1(ii). We note that (2.9) has an unbounded solution  $x(t) = e^t$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. The equation

$$(x(t) - 2x(t-1))' = \frac{(e-2)t+e}{t-1}x(t-1), \quad \text{for } t \geq 2 \quad (2.10)$$

satisfies the assumptions of theorem 2.1(iii). In fact, (2.10) has a solution  $x(t) = te^t$ .

EXAMPLE 2.4. Consider the equation

$$\left(x(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t-2\pi)\right)' = \frac{1}{2}x(t - \frac{3}{2}\pi). \quad (2.11)$$

One can easily check that  $x(t) = \sin t$  is a bounded oscillatory solution of (2.11).

EXAMPLE 2.5. The equation

$$\left(x(t) - \frac{1}{2}x(t-1)\right)' = \left(\frac{e}{2} - 1\right)x(t), \quad (2.12)$$

satisfies the hypotheses of theorem 2.1(v). In fact, (2.12) has a solution  $x(t) = e^{-t}$ .

OPEN PROBLEM. What is a criterion for the existence of oscillatory solutions for Eq.(1.2)?

THEOREM 2.2. Consider the Eq.(1.2) and assume that there exists a function  $f$  such that  $F(t) = f'(t)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) &= +\infty, \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) &= -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Then every bounded solution of Eq. (1.2) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Set

$$z(t) = x(t) + cx(t - \tau)$$

and let  $x(t)$  be a bounded positive solution of (1.2). Then (1.3) reduces to

$$(z(t) - f(t))' = p(t)x(g(t)) \geq 0.$$

If  $z(t) - f(t) \leq 0$  eventually, then  $0 \leq z(t) \leq f(t)$  eventually, a contradiction. Hence  $z(t) - f(t) > 0$  eventually, which is impossible, in view of that fact that  $z(t)$  is bounded. This completes the proof.

EXAMPLE 2.6. The equation

$$(x(t) + x(t - \pi))' - tx(t - 2\pi) = -t \sin t, \quad (2.14)$$

satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. Hence every bounded solution of (2.14) is oscillatory. In fact,  $x(t) = \sin t$  is such a solution.

### 3. NONLINEAR NEUTRAL EQUATION (1.3)

(i)  $h \in C(R_+, R)$ ;

(ii)  $f_i \in C(R, R)$ ,  $xf_i(x) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , as  $x \neq 0$

$$|f_1(x) - f_1(y)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad x, y \in [0, 1]$$

$f_2$  is a nondecreasing function,  $L$  is a positive constant;

(iii)  $\tau, g \in C(R_+, R)$ ,  $0 \leq t - \tau(t) \leq M$ ,  $M$  is a constant

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$$

(iv) there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$Lh(t)e^{\alpha(t-\tau)} \leq c < 1,$$

and

$$Lh(t)e^{\alpha(t-\tau)} + \frac{e^{\alpha t}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{n-1} p(s) f_2(e^{-\alpha g(s)}) ds \leq 1.$$

Then Eq.(1.3) has an eventually positive solution  $x(t)$  which tends to zero exponentially as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $t_0$  be sufficiently large so that

$$T = \min \left\{ \inf_{t \geq t_0} \tau(t), \inf_{t \geq T_0} g(t) \right\}$$

As before,  $BC([T, \infty))$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded and continuous real valued functions defined on  $[T, \infty)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a subset of  $BC$  as defined in Se. 2. Define operators  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  on  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$(S_1 y)(t) = \begin{cases} h(t)e^{\alpha t} f_1(y(\tau(t))e^{-\alpha \tau(t)}), & t \geq t_0 \\ \frac{t}{t_0} (S_1 y)(t_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{t_0}), & \text{for } T \leq t \leq t_0, \end{cases}$$

$$(S_2 y)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\alpha t}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{(n-1)} p(s) f_2(y(g(s))e^{-\alpha g(s)}) ds, & \text{if } t \geq t_0 \\ \frac{t}{t_0} (S_2 y)(t_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{t_0}), & \text{for } T \leq t \leq t_0. \end{cases}$$

By (iv), for every  $x, y \in \Omega$  we have  $S_1 x + S_2 y \in \Omega$ . Condition (iv) implies that  $S_1$  is a contraction on  $\Omega$ . It is easy to see that

$$|\frac{d}{dt} (S_2 y)(t)| \leq M_1 \quad \text{for } t \in \Omega$$

where  $M_1$  is a positive constant. From this it follows that  $S_2$  is completely continuous. By Lemma

there exists a  $y \in \Omega$  such that

$$(S_1 + S_2)y = y.$$

That is,

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} h(t)e^{\alpha t} f_1(y(\tau(t)))e^{-\alpha \tau(t)} + \frac{e^{\alpha t}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{(n-1)} p(s) f_2(y(g(s)))e^{-\alpha g(s)} ds, & \text{if } t \geq t_0 \\ \frac{t}{t_0} y(t_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{t_0}), & \text{for } T \leq t \leq t_0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ . Set  $x(t) = y(t)e^{-\alpha t}$ .

Then

$$x(t) = h(t) f_1(x(\tau(t))) + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{n-1} [(s) f_2(x(g(s)))] ds, \quad t \geq t_0$$

or

$$(x(t) - h(t) f_1(x(\tau(t))))^n + p(t) f_2(x(g(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

This completes the proof.

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** Consider a nonlinear neutral equation of the form

$$\left( x(t) - \frac{1}{4} x^3(t-1) \right)' + p(t) x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$p(t) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}t} - \frac{3}{4} e^3 e^{-\frac{8}{3}t} > 0$$

for all large values of  $t$ . In our notation

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad f_1(x) = x^3, \quad L = 3, \quad f_2(x) = x^{1/3}.$$

Obviously the hypotheses of theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore Eq.(3.1) has a solution  $x(t)$  which tends to zero exponentially as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In fact,  $x(t) = e^{-t}$  is such a solution of (3.1).

Now we establish an oscillation criterion for Eq.(1.3) for the case  $n = 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Assume that

(i)  $\tau, g, f_1$  and  $f_2$  are continuous,  $f_2$  is nondecreasing

$$x f_i(x) > 0, \quad \text{for } x \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad |f_1(x)| \leq L |x|,$$

(ii)  $0 \leq h(t) \leq c$  and  $(cL) < 1$

(iii)  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_2(y)}{y} = q,$

(iv)  $\tau(t) < t, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \infty, \quad g(t) < t, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty,$

(v)  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{g(t)}^t p(s) ds = p, \quad pq > \frac{1}{e}.$

Then every solution of Eq. (1.3) is oscillatory.

**REMARK.** We first prove a lemma which we need in the proof of the theorem.

**LEMMA 3.2.** Let  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3). Set

$$z(t) = x(t) - h(t) f_1(x(\tau(t))). \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $z(t) > 0$  eventually.

**PROOF.** For convenience we put  $\sigma = \tau, \sigma^0 = I = \text{identity}, \sigma^n = \sigma \circ \sigma^{n-1}, n = 0, 1, \dots$ . From

(1.3) it follows that  $z$  is nonincreasing. In case  $z(t) < 0$  eventually, then

$$z(t) \leq cf_1(x(\tau(t))) \leq cLx(\sigma(t)) \leq \cdots \leq (cL)^n x(\sigma^n(t)),$$

which implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**PROOF OF THEOREM.** Suppose the contrary, and let  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of Eq. (1.3). Then  $z(t) > 0$ , eventually. Since  $x(t) \geq z(t)$  we have

$$f_2(x(t)) \geq f_2(z(t)) \quad (3.3)$$

Then from (1.3) and (3.3) we have

$$z'(t) + p(t)f_2(z(g(t))) \leq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

which implies that (3.4) has an eventually positive solution. However (v) implies that (3.4) cannot have a positive solution, by a known result [4]. This completes the proof.

**EXAMPLE.** Consider

$$(x(t) - cx(t - 2\pi) \sin^2 x(t - 2\pi))' + p(t)x(t - \frac{\pi}{2}) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq 2\pi \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$p(t) = 1 - c \sin^2(\sin t) - c \cos t \sin(2 \sin t) \geq 0, \quad \text{and } 0 < c < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{e\pi}\right).$$

It is obvious that

$$\int_{t - \frac{\pi}{2}}^t p(s) ds \geq (1 - 2c) \frac{\pi}{2} > \frac{1}{e}.$$

Therefore the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence every solution of (3.5) is oscillatory. In fact,  $x(t) = \sin t$  is such a solution.

#### REFERENCES

1. HALE, J.K., *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1977).
2. JAROS, J. and KUSANO, T., Asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of nonlinear functional differential equations of neutral type, *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 32 (1989), 251-261.
3. JAROS, J. and KUSANO, T., Oscillation theory of higher order linear functional differential equations of neutral type, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 18 (1988), 509-531.
4. LADDE, G.S., LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V. and ZHANG, B.G., *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1987).
5. NATO, Y., Nonoscillatory solutions of neutral differential equations, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 20 (1990), 231-258.
6. NASHED, M. and WONG, J.S.W., Some variations of a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and applications to nonlinear integral equations, *J. Math. Mech.*, 18 (1969), 767-777.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)