

SUPERHARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND BOUNDED POINT EVALUATIONS

EDWIN WOLF

Department of Mathematics
University of Lowell
Lowell, Massachusetts 01854

(Received October 18, 1988)

ABSTRACT. Let E be a compact subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We denote by $R_0(E)$ the algebra consisting of the (restrictions to E of) rational functions with poles off E . Let m denote the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Let $R^2(E)$ be the closure of $R_0(E)$ in $L^2(E, dm)$.

In this paper we consider points $x \in E$ such that "evaluation at x " extends from $R_0(E)$ to a continuous linear functional on $R^2(E)$. These points are bounded point evaluations on $R^2(E)$. Hedberg, Fernström and Polking used capacity to identify bounded point evaluations. We use their results to show that the existence of a bounded point evaluation $x \in E$ is equivalent to the existence of a superharmonic function $u(y)$ that grows sufficiently fast as y approaches x through the complement of E .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Rational functions, compact set, L^p -spaces, bounded point evaluation, superharmonic function, balayage, Borel measure, Green function, Green potential, fine topology, thin, potential theoretic capacity, polar set.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 31A05, 46E30, and 46E15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Subharmonic and superharmonic functions have been useful in solving the Dirichlet problem: Given an open set $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ with compact closure and a real-valued, continuous function h defined on S , find a function v harmonic in S and continuous on the closure of S such that

$$v(x) = h(x) \text{ for each } x \in \partial S.$$

O. Perron showed that for many sets S one can get a solution by taking the supremum of the family of subharmonic functions on S whose boundary values are not greater than $h(x)$. A point $x \in \partial S$ is an irregular boundary point for S if and only if there is a superharmonic function u on a neighborhood D of x such that

$$u(x) < \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \in (D \setminus S) \setminus \{x\}}} u(y) = +\infty.$$

We will be particularly interested in those superharmonic functions that are the Green potentials of measures supported on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . Using these measures we will define a capacity that is equivalent to the Wiener capacity. Hedberg, Polking, and Fernström have shown that this capacity is helpful in identifying bounded point evaluations. For compact sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ we will relate the existence of a bounded point evaluation x on $R^2(E)$ to the existence of a superharmonic function in a neighborhood of x . We will prove that x is a bounded point evaluation on $R^2(E)$ if and only if there is a superharmonic function u such that $u(x) < \infty$, and

$$u(y) > |y|^{-2} \left| \log |y| \right|^{-1} \\ y \in (D \setminus E) \setminus \{x\}$$

where D is a neighborhood of x .

2. SUPERHARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND BALAYAGE.

One way to define a superharmonic function u is to say that u is superharmonic if and only if $-u$ is subharmonic. To be more specific let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and let $u(x)$ be a function defined for $x \in S$.

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $u(x)$ is called superharmonic on S if for $x \in S$

- (i) $u(x) < +\infty$, and $u(x) \neq +\infty$,
- (ii) u is lower semi-continuous, and
- (iii) $u(x) > \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$ whenever the disk with radius $r > 0$ and center at x is contained in S .

EXAMPLES. If $f(z)$ is analytic in S and $\lambda > 0$, then $-\log|f|$ and $-|f|^\lambda$ are superharmonic in S .

Although superharmonic functions need not be continuous, one can define a new topology on \mathbb{C} in which all superharmonic functions are continuous. The smallest such topology is called the fine topology. A set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is thin at x if x is not a fine limit point of E . The following theorem is part of Brelot's contribution to potential theory. For the proof see [1, p. 210].

THEOREM 2.1. A set E is thin at a limit point x of E if and only if there is a superharmonic function u on a neighborhood D of x such that

$$u(x) < \lim_{y \rightarrow x} u(y) = +\infty. \\ y \in (D \setminus E) \setminus \{x\}$$

Later we will construct a monotone increasing sequence $\{u_i\}$ of superharmonic functions on a set S that is open in the ordinary topology. By a lemma in [1, p.68]

$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{I}} u_i$ is either harmonic or identically ∞ .

There is a way to associate with each non-negative superharmonic function u on S and each set $E \subset S$ another superharmonic function that dominates u on E and satisfies a special property. This function can be defined so that when E is compact it equals a potential with respect to the Green function of S . We begin by letting G_S be the Green function of S . Let u be a non-negative superharmonic function on S . \mathcal{L}_S^u will denote the class of superharmonic functions on S . If $v \in \mathcal{L}_S^u$ is non-negative and E is any subset of S , let

$$\phi_E^u = \{v \in \mathcal{L}_S^u : v > 0 \text{ on } S, v > u \text{ on } E\}.$$

$$\text{Let } R_E^u = \inf \{v : v \in \phi_E^u\}.$$

The function R_E^u satisfies (i) and (iii) of the definition of superharmonic. R_E^u may not be lower semi-continuous. By defining

$$\hat{R}_E^u(x) = \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} R_E^u(y)$$

we get a function that is superharmonic on S . $\hat{R}_E^u(x)$ is called the balayage of u relative to E in S . When $E \subset S$ is compact, the following fact about $\hat{R}_E^u(x)$ will be useful [1, p.135]: $\hat{R}_E^u(x)$ is a Green potential, i.e. there is a Borel measure μ on S such that

$$R_E^u(x) = \int_S G_S(x, y) d\mu(y).$$

3. POTENTIAL THEORETIC CAPACITY.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set having a Green function G_S . Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact and let u be the function identically 1 on S . Then by [1, p.138] $\hat{R}_E^1(x)$ is a superharmonic function on S that is the potential of a measure with support in ∂E .

DEFINITION 3.1. The measure μ_E for which $\hat{R}_E^1(x) = G_S \mu_E$ is called the capacitary distribution of E .

DEFINITION 3.2. The capacity of E (relative to the set S) is defined to be $C(E) = \mu_E(E)$ with $C(\emptyset) = 0$.

The C capacity is equal to the Wiener capacity which we will denote by C_2 . For more information on why $C(E) = C_2(E)$ see [1, Lemma 7.19] and [2, Chap. II]. Also, in [3, p. 160] it is shown that if E is a continuum with diameter d , there are positive constants K_1 and K_2 depending only on the distance from E to ∂S , such that

$$K_1 / (\log 1/d)^{1/2} < C_2(E) < K_2 / (\log 1/d)^{1/2}.$$

There is a C_2 capacity series that converges at the points where the complement of a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is thin. To state this as a theorem we will need still more notation. Let $j < k$ be positive integers. Define

$$A(j, k) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: 2^{-k} < |z| < 2^{-j}\}$$

$$\text{and } A[j, k] = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: 2^{-k} < |z| \leq 2^{-j}\}$$

Now let $A_n = A[n, n+1]$. The next theorem is due to Wiener [2]. It is a statement about thinness at an arbitrary point $x \in \mathbb{C}$. We assume after a possible translation that $x=0$. The set E need not be compact.

THEOREM 3.1. (Wiener) Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the complement of E is thin at 0 if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n C_2(A_n \setminus E) < \infty.$$

Fernstrom and Polking used another C_2 series to identify bounded point evaluations [4]. Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact and let $R_0(E)$ denote the algebra of rational functions with poles off E . Let m be 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. $R^2(E)$ will denote the closure of $R_0(E)$ in the norm $L^2(dm)$.

DEFINITION 3.3. A point $x \in E$ is a bounded point evaluation (BPE) on $R^2(E)$ if there is a constant C such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C \left\{ \int_E |f|^2 dm \right\}^{1/2} \text{ for all } f \in R_0(E).$$

The next theorem applies to an arbitrary point $x \in \mathbb{C}$. We may assume after a possible translation that $x=0$.

THEOREM 3.2. (Hedberg, Ferström, and Polking) The point $0 \in E$ is a BPE on $\mathbb{R}^2(E)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n} C_2(A \setminus E) < \infty.$$

The existence of a BPE at $0 \in E$ is a local property; hence it is no restriction to assume that $E \subset \{z: |z| < 1/2\} = D$. The Green function for D is

$$G_D(0, z) = -\log 2|z| \quad \text{for } z \in D \setminus \{0\}.$$

We will need several lemmas to prove our theorem. These are modified versions of lemmas which can be found with their proofs in [1, Chap. 10].

LEMMA 3.1. There is a constant b independent of j such that

$$\frac{\log 2|y-z|}{\log 2|y|} < b$$

whenever $y \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z: 2^{-j-2} < |z| < 2^{-j+1}\}$ and $z \in \{z: 2^{-j-1} < |z| < 2^{-j}\}$ for each positive integer $j > 3$.

PROOF. We will consider two cases.

Case 1. $|y| > 2^{-j+1}$, $j > 3$. The absolute value of $\log 2|y-z|$ is no greater than $(j-1)\log 2$. The absolute value of $\log 2|y|$ is no less than $(j-2)\log 2$. Thus the quotient does not exceed $(j-1)/(j-2)$.

Case 2. $|y| < 2^{-j-2}$, $j > 3$. Then $\log 2|y-z|$ does not exceed $(j+1)\log 2$ in absolute value. Moreover, $\log 2|y|$ is greater in absolute value than $(j+1)\log 2$. Thus the quotient does not exceed 1. Any $b > 1$ will satisfy the statement of the lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. If S is an open set having a Green function G_S , and U is a nonempty open set having a compact closure $\bar{U} \subset S$, there is a measure μ on $\mathcal{A}U$ such that

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}U) = C_2(U), \text{ and } G_S \mu = 1 \text{ on } U.$$

PROOF. Let $\{U_j\}$ be an increasing sequence of open sets with compact closures $K_j = \bar{U}_j \subset U$ such that $U_j \uparrow U$. Each set K_j has a capacitary distribution which we denote by μ_j . Now $C_2(U) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_2(K_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_j(K_j)$. Since $C_2(\bar{U}) < \infty$, the measures μ_j are uniformly bounded. There must be a subsequence of the sequence μ_j which we can assume to be the sequence itself and a measure μ such that

$$\int_U f d\mu_j \rightarrow \int_U f d\mu$$

for every function f continuous on \bar{U} .

We claim that μ has support in $\mathcal{A}U$. If not, there is a compact set $S \subset U$, $S \cap \mathcal{A}U = \emptyset$, such that $\mu(S) > 0$. To get a contradiction, take a non-negative function f continuous on \bar{U}_1 equal to 1 on S and equal to 0 on ∂U_j for j sufficiently large.

Then $\int_U f d\mu > \mu(S) > 0$. Since each μ_j is supported in $\mathcal{A}U_j$, $\int_U f d\mu_j = 0$ for sufficiently large j . This is contradiction.

If $x \in U$, then $x \in U_j$ for all j sufficiently large. Using continuous functions with compact support to approximate G_S , we see that $G_S \mu_j(x) \rightarrow G_S \mu(x)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By the definition of a capacitary distribution $G_S \mu_j(x) = 1$ for sufficiently large j ; hence $G_S \mu(x) = 1$. The proof is complete.

DEFINITION 3.4. A set $Z \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a polar set if there is an open set $U \supset Z$ and a function u superharmonic on U such that $\{z: u(z) = +\infty\} \supset Z$.

The next two lemmas will be useful in proving that a certain C_2 capacity series converges.

LEMMA 3.3. Let ν be a measure having support $F \subset D$. If $G_D > \alpha$ on F except possibly for a polar subset of F , then $\nu(F) > \alpha C_2(F)$.

For the proof see [1, p. 219].

LEMMA 3.4. If ν is a finite measure on D such that $\nu = G_D \nu$ is finite at 0, there is a constant α depending only on ν , such that

$$\int_{D \setminus A(j-1, j+2)} G_D(y, z) d\nu(x) < \alpha$$

for all $y \in D \cap A[j, j+1]$.

PROOF. Since $G_D(y, z) < -\log|y-z|$, we may prove the lemma by proving the inequality with G_D replaced by $-\log|y-z|$. By Lemma 3.1. there is a constant b , independent of $j > 3$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D \setminus A(j-1, j+2)} -\log|y-z| d\nu(y) &= \int_{D \setminus A(j-1, j+2)} [-\log 2|y-z| + \log 2] d\nu(y) \\ &< -b \int_{D \setminus A(j-1, j+2)} \log 2|y| d\nu(y) + (\log 2) \nu(D) \\ &< -b \int_D \log 2|y| d\nu(y) + (\log 2) \nu(D) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in D \cap A[j, j+1]$. Since we have assumed that $-\int_D \log 2|y| d\nu(y)$ is finite, we can take $\alpha = -b \int_D \log 2|y| d\nu(y) + (\log 2) \nu(D)$.

4. THE MAIN THEOREM.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact. The property of being a BPE on $R^2(E)$ is local property and is invariant under translation. In stating our theorem about an arbitrary point $x \in E$, we may therefore assume that $E \subset \{z: |z| < 1/2\} = D$ and that $x = 0$. We will combine Theorem 3.2. with ideas of Wiener and Brelot to prove:

THEOREM 4.1. The point $0 \in E$ is a BPE on $R^2(E)$ if and only if there is a function u superharmonic in D such that $u(0) < \infty$, and

$$u(y) > |y|^{-2} |\log|y||^{-1} \quad y \in D \setminus E$$

PROOF. Suppose that $0 \in E$ is a BPE on $R^2(E)$.

Then by Theorem 3.2.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n} C_2(A_n \setminus E) < \infty.$$

Let $\{\epsilon_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n 2^{2n} < \infty.$$

For each $n > 1$ let U_n be a nonempty open subset of D containing $A_n \setminus E$ such that $\bar{U}_n \subset D$, and the following conditions hold:

$$(i) \quad U_n \cap \left\{z: |z| < \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right\} = \emptyset, \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad C_2(U_n) < C_2(A_n \setminus E) + \epsilon_n.$$

Then
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{2n} C_2(U_n) < \infty.$$

We will obtain the required function u as the limit of a sequence of superharmonic functions. Let G denote the Green function for D . By Lemma 3.2. there is a measure μ_n with support in ∂U_n such that

$$\mu_n(\partial U_n) = C_2(U_n), \text{ and } G\mu_n = 1 \text{ on } U_n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} G\mu_n(0) &= \int_{\partial U_n} G(0, z) d\mu_n(z) = \int_{\partial U_n} -\log 2|z| d\mu_n(z) \\ &< (n+1)(\log 2) \mu_n(\partial U_n) \\ &= (n+1)(\log 2) C_2(U_n). \end{aligned}$$

For $m > 1$, define $u_m = \sum_{n=2}^m 2^{2n+2} ((n+1)\log 2)^{-1} G\mu_n$. By a remark in Section 2

the u_m tend to a function u that is superharmonic in D and satisfies $u(0) < \infty$. Since $G\mu_n = 1$ on $U_n \supset A_n \setminus E$,

$$u > 2^{2k+2} ((k+1)\log 2)^{-1} \text{ on } \bigcup_{n \geq k} A_n \setminus E \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus E$$

for each $k > 2$. Thus

$$u(y) > |y|^{-2} |\log |y||^{-1} \text{ for } y \in D \setminus E.$$

Now suppose there is a function u superharmonic in D such that $u(0) < \infty$, and

$$u(y) > |y|^{-2} |\log |y||^{-1} \text{ for } y \in D \setminus E.$$

The function u is lower semi-continuous on D ; hence we may assume by taking a smaller D if necessary that u is positive on D . Moreover, the Riesz Decomposition Theorem implies that $u = G_\mu + h$ where μ is a measure supported on D , and h is harmonic in D . Since h is bounded, we may assume that $u = G\mu$. By Theorem 3.2. it suffices to show that

$$\sum 2^{2n} C_2(A_n \setminus E) < \infty.$$

For $n > 2$ consider the open sets

$$U_n = \{z: u(z) > \frac{2^{2n}}{n \log 2}\} \supset A_n \setminus E$$

and the sets $V_n = U_n \cap (A_n \setminus E)$. Since $V_n \supset A_n \setminus E$, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{2n} C_2(V_n) < \infty.$$

Let K_n be a compact subset of V_n such that

$$C_2(K_n) > C_2(V_n) - \epsilon_n.$$

Then it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{2n} c_2(K_n) < \infty.$$

One way to prove that this series converges is to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{8n+2} \ell c_2(K_{4n+\ell}) < \infty$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$, and 3 . We will do this for $\ell = 0$; the 3 other cases are similar.

Let K be the compact set defined by $K = \bigcup_{n \geq 2} K_{4n} \cup \{0\}$. Let $w = \hat{R}_K^u$. Since $u(0) > \hat{R}_K^u(0) = w(0)$, $w(0) < \infty$. Now w is the Green potential of a measure ν with support in K [1, p. 135]. We note that $\nu(D \setminus \bigcup K_{4n}) = 0$ because $w(0) < \infty$. For each $n > 2$

$$w = \int_{K_{4n}} G(\cdot, z) d\nu(z) + \int_{\bigcup_{m \neq n} K_{4m}} G(\cdot, z) d\nu(z)$$

provided we can show that the sets K_{4n} , $n > 2$, are disjoint.

Since $V_{4n} \subset A_{4n}$,

$$K_{4n} \subset V_{4n} \subset \{z: 2^{-4n-2} < |z| < 2^{-4n+1}\}$$

$$= \{z: 2^{-4n-3} < 2^{-1} |z| < 2^{-4n}\}$$

Suppose that $m \neq n$. Then

$$K_{4m} \subset \{z: 2^{-4m-3} < 2^{-1} |z| < 2^{-4m}\}$$

If $m = n + k$ with $k > 0$, then

$$2^{-4m} = 2^{-4n-4k} < 2^{-4n-3}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_{4m} \subset D \setminus \{z: 2^{-4n-3} < 2^{-1} |z| < 2^{-4n}\}.$$

If $m = n - k$ with $k > 0$, then

$$2^{-4m-3} = 2^{-4n+4k-3} > 2^{-4n}, \text{ and}$$

$$K_{4m} \subset D \setminus \{z: 2^{-4n-3} < 2^{-1} |z| < 2^{-4n}\}.$$

In either case $K_{4m} \subset D \setminus A(4n-1, 4n+2)$. The sets K_{4n} , $n > 2$, are disjoint.

Since $\bigcup K_{4m} \subset D \setminus A(4n-1, 4n+2)$, Lemma 3.4. implies there is a constant β depending only on ν such that

$$\int_{\bigcup_{m \neq n} K_{4m}} G(y, z) d\nu(z) < \beta$$

for all $y \in D \cap A_{4n}$.

Thus

$$w(y) < \beta + \int_{K_{4n}} G(y, z) d\nu(z)$$

for all $y \in D \cap A_{4n}$. The functions w and u are equal on K except perhaps for a polar set $Z \subset K$. Thus

$$w(y) > |y|^{-2} |\log|y||^{-1} \quad y \in K \setminus Z$$

Choose an integer N_0 such that

$$\frac{2^{8n}}{4n \log 2} > \beta \quad \text{for } n > N_0.$$

Then $\int_{K_{4n}} G(y, z) d\mathcal{V}(z) > \frac{2^{8n}}{4n \log 2} - \beta > 0$ for all $y \in K_{4n} \setminus Z$, and $n > N_0$. By

LEMMA 3.3.

$$\mathcal{V}(K_{4n}) > \left(\frac{2^{8n}}{4n \log 2} - \beta \right) C_2(K_{4n}) \quad \text{for all } n > N_0.$$

Hence $\sum_{n > N_0} n \mathcal{V}(K_{4n}) > \sum_{n > N_0} \left(\frac{2^{8n}}{4n \log 2} - \beta \right) n C_2(K_{4n})$.

The series $\sum n C_2(K_{4n})$ converges because the hypothesis on u implies that the complement of E is thin at 0, and Theorem 3.1. applies. It remains only to show that the series

$$\sum n \mathcal{V}(K_{4n}) \text{ converges.}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} -\int \log 2 |z| d\mathcal{V}(z) &> \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{K_{4n}} -\log 2 |z| d\mathcal{V}(z) \\ &> \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (4n-1)(\log 2) \mathcal{V}(K_{4n}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\infty > w(0) = \int G(0, z) d\mathcal{V}(z) = - \int \log 2 |z| d\mathcal{V}(z).$$

Thus the series $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (4n-1) \log 2 \mathcal{V}(K_{4n})$ converges, and so does the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \mathcal{V}(K_{4n})$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. HELMS, L.L., Introduction to Potential Theory, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company (1975).
2. LANDKOF, N.S., Foundations of modern Potential Theory, translated from the Russian by A.P. Doohovskoy, Springer-Verlag (1972).
3. HEDBERG, L.I., Approximation in the mean by analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **163**, (1972), 157-171.
4. FERNSTROM, C. and POLKING, J.C., Bounded point evaluations and approximation in L^p by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, J. Functional analysis, **28**, (1978), 1-20.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk