

A NOTE ON WEAKLY θ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

M. Mršević

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
University of Belgrade
Yugoslavia

and I.L. Reilly

Department of Mathematics
University of California, Davis
Davis, California 95616
U.S.A.

(Received June 8, 1987 and in revised form February 9, 1988)

ABSTRACT. Recently a new class of functions between topological spaces, called weakly θ -continuous functions, has been introduced and studied. In this paper we show how an appropriate change of topology on the domain of a weakly θ -continuous function reduces it to a weakly continuous function. This paper examines some of the consequences of this result.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Weak continuity, weakly θ -continuous, change of topology.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 54C10, 54A10.

PRELIMINARIES.

Throughout this paper X and Y denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. A subset S of a space X is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) if $\text{int}(\text{cl}S) = S$ (resp. $\text{cl}(\text{int}S) = S$), where $\text{cl}S$ and $\text{int}S$ denote the closure of S and the interior of S , respectively. Henceforth we denote $\text{int}(\text{cl}S)$ by the Bourbaki notation $\alpha(S)$. A point $x \in X$ is called a δ -cluster (resp. θ -cluster) point of S if $S \cap \alpha(U) \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $S \cap \text{cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$) for each open set U containing x . The set of all δ -cluster (resp. θ -cluster) points of S is called the δ -closure (resp. θ -closure) of S and is denoted by $\text{cl}_\delta(S)$ (resp. $\text{cl}_\theta(S)$). A subset S is said to be δ -closed (resp. θ -closed) if $\text{cl}_\delta(S) = S$ (resp. $\text{cl}_\theta(S) = S$).

A subset is called δ -open (resp. θ -open) if its complement is δ -closed (resp. θ -closed). The family of all δ -open (resp. θ -open) subsets of (X, τ) forms a topology τ_δ (resp. τ_θ) on X .

Since the intersection of two regular open subsets of (X, τ) is regular open, the family of all regular open subsets of (X, τ) forms a base for a topology τ_s on X ,

called the *semi-regularization* of T . It turns out that $T_s = T_\delta$, and that $T_\theta \subset T_s \subset T$ for any topological space (X, T) . The relationship between T and T_s is considered in [MRV]. In particular, we note that (X, T) is semi-regular if and only if $T = T_s$.

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *weakly continuous* (resp. θ -continuous) if for each point $x \in X$ and each open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$, there is an open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(U) \subset \text{cl}V$ (resp. $f(\text{cl}U) \subset \text{cl}V$). These concepts were considered by Levine [L] and Fomin [F] respectively.

CHANGE OF TOPOLOGY

DEFINITION 1. A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is called *weakly θ -continuous* if for each point $x \in X$ and each open neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ there is an open neighbourhood U of x such that $f(\text{cl}(U)) \subset \text{cl}V$.

Cammaroto and Noiri [CN] point out with their Examples 3.2 and 3.3 that the class of weakly θ -continuous functions lies strictly between the class of θ -continuous functions and the class of weakly continuous functions. In a recent paper [GMRV], Gauld, Vamanamurthy and the present authors observed that there are many properties closely related to the notion of continuity which in fact coincide with continuity if the topology on either the domain or the range or both is changed. They called such a property a *continuity property* of functions.

PROPOSITION 1. Weak θ -continuity is not a continuity property.

PROOF. We refer to Lemma 2 of [GMRV]. It is shown in the proof of Theorem 2 of [GMRV] that f and g are θ -continuous, and hence they are weakly θ -continuous, while h is not weakly continuous and hence not weakly θ -continuous. So the result follows from Lemma 2 of [GMRV].

We now show that a change of topology is germane to the discussion of weak θ -continuity. We observe that if the domain of a weakly θ -continuous function is retopologized appropriately, the function reduces to a weakly continuous function. Baker [B2] has also made this observation. He used the term weakly δ -continuous in place of weakly θ -continuous. The point should be made that weak continuity is not a continuity property [GMRV, Theorem 2]. Recently, Noiri [N2] has studied another class of functions, the weakly α -continuous functions, which also reduce to weakly continuous functions when the domain is retopologized appropriately [N2, Lemma 2.2]. The proof of Theorem 1 follows directly from Definition 1.

THEOREM 1. A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is weakly θ -continuous if and only if $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is weakly continuous.

Now most of the results of Cammaroto and Noiri [CN] can be reinterpreted in the light of Theorem 1. For example, their Theorem 3.4 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, their Theorem 3.5 (f) is a restatement of Theorem 1 of Levine [L], while Theorem 3.5(e) follows from Theorem 1(e) of Espelie and Joseph [EJ]. Other parts of Theorem 1 of [EJ] enable us to provide some further alternative characterizations of weak θ -continuity.

PROPOSITION 2. For a function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow Y$ the following are equivalent:

- (a) f is weakly θ -continuous.
- (b) For each $B \subset Y$, $T_S \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}_\theta B))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\theta B)$.
- (c) For each open set V in Y , $T_S \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\alpha(V))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}V)$.
- (d) For each regularly closed subset B of Y , $T_S \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{int}B)) \subset f^{-1}(B)$.

On the other hand, we can go in the opposite direction and use Theorem 3.7 of [CN] to obtain the following result for weak continuity.

PROPOSITION 3. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly continuous then

- (a) $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed for each θ -closed subset F of Y ,
- (b) $f^{-1}(V)$ is open for each θ -open subset V of Y .

The Example 3.9 of [CN] can be modified to show that the converse of Proposition 3 is false.

We observe that weak continuity is preserved under restriction of domain and under products. This observation together with Theorem 1 can be used to obtain the results of [CN, §4]. For example, Lemma 4 of [MRV] gives Theorem 4.1 of [CN], while Lemma 3 of [MRV] provides a proof of Theorem 4.2 and 4.3 of [CN].

DEFINITION 2. A function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is called

- (i) *almost open* if $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is open,
- (ii) *δ -open* if $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is open,
- (iii) *super open* if $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is open.

DEFINITION 3. A subset S of (X, T) is called N -closed if for any open cover C of S there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{D} of C such that $S \subset \bigcup \{\alpha(V) : V \in \mathcal{D}\}$.

Applying this change of topology technique to Lemma 2 of Rose [R] gives the following result.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$.

- (a) If $g \circ f$ is weakly θ -continuous and f is an almost open surjection, then g is weakly continuous.

(b) If $g \circ f$ is weakly θ -continuous and f is an δ -open surjection, then g is weakly θ -continuous.

(c) If $g \circ f$ is weakly continuous and f is a super open surjection, then g is weakly θ -continuous.

PROPOSITION 5. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly θ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then for each N -closed subset C of X , $f(C)$ is δ -closed in Y .

PROOF. Since $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is weakly continuous and $U_S \subset U$, we have $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is weakly continuous and hence subweakly continuous. If C is N -closed in (X, T) then C is compact in (X, T_S) so by Corollary 3 to Theorem 2 of Baker [B1], $f(C)$ is closed in (Y, U_S) and hence δ -closed in (Y, U) .

Using a similar argument to that in the proof of Proposition 5, and Corollary 2 to Theorem 2 of Baker [B1], we obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 6. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly θ -continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then for each N -closed subset C of Y , $f^{-1}(C)$ is δ -closed in X .

PROPOSITION 7. Let Y be a Hausdorff space, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be δ -continuous and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be weakly θ -continuous. Then the set $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$ is a δ -closed subset of X .

PROOF. We have $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is continuous, $g : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is weakly continuous and (Y, U_S) is Hausdorff, and apply Theorem 6 of Baker [B1].

Finally we observe that Theorem 7.8 of [CN] is a corollary of Theorem 10 of [N1]. For, if $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U)$ is weakly θ -continuous then $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is weakly θ -continuous, so that $f : (X, T_S) \rightarrow (Y, U_S)$ is weakly continuous. Hence $G(f)$ is closed in $(X \times Y, T_S \times U_S)$, and thus δ -closed in $(X \times Y, T \times U)$.

REFERENCES

- [B1] BAKER, C.W. Properties of subweakly continuous functions, *Yokohama Math. J.* 32(1984), 39-43.
- [B2] BAKER, C.W. Characterizations of some near-continuous functions and near-open functions, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* 9(1986), 715-720.
- [CN] CAMMAROTO, F. and NOIRI, T. On weakly θ -continuous functions, *Mat. Vesnik* 38(1986), 33-44.

- [EJ] ESPELIE, M.S. and JOSEPH, J.E. Two weak forms of continuity, Canad. Math. Bull. 25(1982), 59-63.
- [F] FOMIN, S. Extensions of topological spaces, Ann. of Math. 44(1943), 471-480.
- [GMRV] GAULD, D.B., MRŠEVIĆ, M., REILLY, I.L. and VAMANAMURTHY, M.K. Continuity properties of functions, Colloquia Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 41(1983), 311-322.
- [L] LEVINE, N. A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 68(1961), 44-46.
- [MRV] MRŠEVIĆ, M., REILLY, I.L. and VAMANAMURTHY, M.K. On semiregularization topologies, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Series A 38(1985), 40-54.
- [N1] NOIRI, T. Between continuity and weak continuity, Boll. U.M.I. 9(1974), 647-654.
- [N2] NOIRI, T. Weakly α -continuous functions, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 10(1987), 483-490.
- [R] ROSE, D.A. Weak continuity and strongly closed sets, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 7(1984), 809-816.

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk