

## ABELIAN GROUPS IN A TOPOS OF SHEAVES: TORSION AND ESSENTIAL EXTENSIONS

KIRAN R. BHUTANI

Department of Mathematics  
The Catholic University of America  
Washington, D.C. 20064

(Received September 24, 1987)

**ABSTRACT.** We investigate the properties of torsion groups and their essential extensions in the category  $\text{AbShL}$  of Abelian groups in a topos of sheaves on a locale. We show that every torsion group is a direct sum of its  $p$ -primary components and for a torsion group  $A$ , the group  $[A, B]$  is reduced for any  $B \in \text{AbShL}$ . We give an example to show that in  $\text{AbShL}$  the torsion subgroup of an injective group need not be injective. Further we prove that if the locale is Boolean or finite then essential extensions of torsion groups are torsion. Finally we show that for a first countable hausdorff space  $X$  essential extensions of torsion groups in  $\text{AbShO}(X)$  are torsion iff  $X$  is discrete.

**KEYWORDS AND PHRASES.** Locale, Abelian groups in a topos, Sheaves on a locale.

**1980 AMS CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 18E15

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In [1] the author discusses the notion of injectivity and injective hulls of abelian groups in a topos of sheaves on a locale where as in [2] the notion of injectivity, injective hulls and the role played by the initial Boolean algebra in a topos is discussed. Our purpose here is to show how torsion groups and their essential extensions behave in the category  $\text{AbShL}$  of abelian groups in the topos  $\text{ShL}$  of sheaves on a locale  $L$ . We show that torsion is a local property (Theorem 3.1) but not a global one (3.2), that is,  $A$  torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$  does not necessarily imply that  $A$  is a torsion group in  $\text{Ab}$ . However if  $L$  has ACC, then torsion implies global torsion. We prove number of results about torsion groups in  $\text{AbShL}$  which are analogous to their counterparts in  $\text{Ab}$ , in particular, we show that every torsion group is a direct sum of its  $p$ -primary components (Theorem 3.5), and for a torsion group  $A$  the group  $[A, B]$  is reduced for all  $B \in \text{AbShL}$  (Proposition 3.10). Recall that in the category  $\text{Ab}$ , the torsion subgroup of an injective group is injective. We show by giving an example that this does not hold in  $\text{AbShL}$  for an arbitrary  $L$  (3.11).

In section 4 we show that in  $\text{AbShL}$ , essential extensions of torsion groups are torsion iff every injective group splits into a direct sum of a torsion group and a torsion free group (Proposition 4.2). For a Boolean locale and any finite locale the above result holds (4.3) and (4.4) respectively. We also give an example to show that the converse of (4.3) does not hold. In (4.7) we give an example of a space  $X$  and a torsion group in  $\text{AbShX}$  with a non torsion essential extension. After proving

some more results about essential extensions of torsion groups, we conclude our paper by showing that for a first countable Hausdorff space  $X$ , essential extensions of torsion groups in  $\text{AbSh}X$  are torsion groups iff  $X$  is discrete (Theorem 4.8). For basic facts about about abelian groups with which this paper is concerned see [3] and [4]. Details concerning presheaves and sheaves on a locale can be found in [5], category theory in [6] and topos theory in [7].

## 2. BACKGROUND

(2.1) Recall that a locale denoted by  $L$  is a complete lattice satisfying the following distribution law;

$$U \wedge \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} (U \wedge U_i)$$

for all  $U$ , and any family  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $L$ . The zero (= bottom) of  $L$  will be denoted by  $0$ , and the unit (= top) of  $L$  by  $E$ . A morphism of locales  $h: L \rightarrow M$  (also called local lattice homomorphism) is a map which preserves arbitrary joins and finite meets (hence preserves the zero and the unit).

An obvious example of a locale is the topology  $OX$  (that is the lattice of open sets) of any topolocial space  $X$  with joins as unions and meets as intersections.

REMARKS. A locale  $L$  satisfies both the Ascending and Descending Chain Conditions iff  $L$  is finite. To prove the non-trivial implication ( $\rightarrow$ ) note that such an  $L$  is spatial [8] and if  $L = O(X)$  and  $X$  is  $T_0$ , one has the following observations concerning  $X$ : Each  $x \in X$  has a smallest open neighbourhood  $W_x$  and for the partial order  $\leq$  given, such that  $x \leq y (x, y \in X)$  iff  $O(x) \subseteq O(y)$  (hence iff

$W_y \subseteq W_x$ ),  $W_x = \{y | y \geq x\}$ . Moreover DCC for  $L$  then implies that  $\{x\}$  is finite, and since  $X$  is compact by ACC,  $X$  itself is finite. It follows that  $L$  is also finite.

(2.2) ABELIAN GROUPS IN A CATEGORY. If  $E$  is any finitely complete category then by  $\text{Ab}E$  one means a category with objects as abelian groups in  $E$  and maps as homomorphisms between them [9]. For  $A \in \text{Ab}E$  and  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(i) The diagonal map  $\Delta_A: A \rightarrow A^n$  is a unique map such that

$\Delta_A \circ p_i = 1_A$  for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $p_i: A^n \rightarrow A$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  projection

(ii) The sum  $\Delta_A \circ +_A: A^n \rightarrow A$  is the unique map such that  $\Delta_A \circ +_A = 1_A$  where

$q_i: A \rightarrow A^n$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  injection for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . The composition  $+_A \circ \Delta_A: A \rightarrow A^n$  is

denoted by  $n_A$  and the kernel of  $n_A$  shall be denoted by  $k_n: k_n: n_A \rightarrow A$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. (1)  $A \in \text{Ab}E$  is called a torsion free group iff  $n_A$  is a monomorphism for all  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(2)  $A \in \text{Ab}E$  is called a torsion group iff all  $k_n$ ,  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$  are jointly epic, that is, for any two homomorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  with domain  $A$ , if  $f \circ k_n = g \circ k_n$  for all  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $f=g$ .

2.4. Recall that by  $\text{AbPSh}L$  and  $\text{AbSh}L$  one means the categories of Abelian groups in the topos  $PShL$  and  $ShL$  of presheaves and sheaves, respectively, on a locale  $L$  with

values in the category  $\text{Ab}$  of abelian groups. For any  $U, V \in L$  and  $A \in \text{AbShL}$ ,  $A_U$  will denote the component of  $A$  at  $U$  and if  $V \leq U$  the restriction map  $A_U \rightarrow A_V$  will be written as  $a \mapsto a|_V$ . If  $A$  is the sheaf reflection of the given presheaf  $B$  (also denoted by  $A = \tilde{B}$ ) then we shall write  $A_U = B_U$ . Also if  $h: A \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  $\text{AbShL}$  then its component at  $U \in L$  is denoted by  $h_U: A_U \rightarrow B_U$ .

NOTE.  $\text{AbSh}_2 \simeq \text{Ab}$  for the two-element locale  $2$  and if  $X$  is a discrete topological space then  $\text{AbSh}_X \simeq \text{Ab}^{|X|}$ . Further  $\text{AbSh}_3$  for the three-element locale is the same as  $\text{AbPSh}_2$  that is the arrow category of  $\text{Ab}$ . Further  $\text{AbSh}_3$  is also  $\text{AbSh}_S$  for the Sierpinski space  $S$  with points  $0$  and  $1$  and non-trivial open set  $\{1\}$ .

2.5. Recall that for any local lattice homomorphism  $\phi: L \rightarrow M$  we get a pair of adjoint functors  $\text{AbSh}_M \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{AbSh}_L$  where  $(\phi_*)_U = A(\phi(U))$  for  $U \in L$ , and for any  $V \in M$

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi^* \\ \downarrow \\ (\phi^* C)_V = \text{lt}_{C W} (W \in L). \end{array}$$

Then  $\phi^*$  is left exact, left adjoint to  $\phi_*$ . As a special case we get for each  $U \in L$  a pair of adjoint functors  $R_U: \text{AbShL} \rightarrow \text{AbSh}^+U$  and  $E_U: \text{AbSh}^+U \rightarrow \text{AbShL}$  defined by  $(R_U A)W = A(W \wedge U)$  and

$$(E_U A)V = \begin{cases} AV & \text{if } V \leq U \\ 0 & \text{if } V \nleq U \end{cases}$$

Then  $E_U$  is left adjoint left exact to  $R_U$ . We shall also denote  $R_U A$  by  $A|_U$ . Further  $R_U$  preserves all limits and co-limits.

2.6. Besides the obvious external  $\text{Ab}$  valued hom-functor  $H = H_L: \text{AbShL}^{\text{OPP}} \times \text{AbShL} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ ,  $\text{AbShL}$  also has an internal hom-functor  $[-, -]$ :

$\text{AbShL}^{\text{OPP}} \times \text{AbShL} \rightarrow \text{AbShL}$ , for which  $[A, B]U = H_{+U}(A|_U, B|_U)$ , with the restriction maps  $[A, B]U \rightarrow [A, B]V$  ( $V \leq U$ ), given by  $h = (h_W)_{W \leq U} \mapsto h|_V = (h_W)_{W \leq V}$  [10].

2.7. In (2.3) we described what we mean by torsion free and torsion groups in  $\text{AbE}$ . For the case  $E = \text{ShL}$ , we have the following:

(1)  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  is a torsion free group iff each  $A_U$  is torsion free in  $\text{Ab}$ .

(2)  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  is a torsion group iff  $A = \text{lt} \text{Ker } n_A$ . That is for  $a \in AU$ , there exists a cover  $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$ , and  $0 \neq m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $m_i a|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ .

PROPOSITION 2.8. For any  $U \in L$ , the functors  $R_U$  and  $E_U$  preserve torsion groups.

PROOF. Let  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  which is a torsion group. Then  $A = \text{lt} \text{Ker } n_A$  since  $R_U$  preserves all co-limits and limits (2.5), it follows  $R_U A = \text{lt } R_U(\text{Ker } n_A) = \text{lt } \text{Ker } n_{A|_U}$ , hence  $A|_U$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^+U$ . By a similar argument it can be shown that  $E_U$  preserves torsion groups.

## 3. TORSION GROUPS.

THEOREM 3.1.  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  is a torsion group iff there is a cover  $E = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$  such that  $A|_{U_i}$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

PROOF.  $(\rightarrow)$  Clear by taking the trivial cover of  $E$ . On the other hand if all  $A|_{U_i}$  are torsion groups in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$ , we claim  $A$  is torsion. So consider any  $b \in AU$ ,  $U \in L$ . Then  $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} (U \wedge U_i)$  and  $b|_{(U \wedge U_i)} \in A(U \wedge U_i) = A|_{U_i}(U \wedge U_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ , all  $i \in I$ .

But  $A|_{U_i}$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$ , and so for each  $i \in I$ , there is a cover

$$U \wedge U_i = \bigvee_{j \in J_i} W_{ji} \text{ and } 0 \neq n_{ji} \in N \text{ such that } n_{ji} b|_{W_{ji}} = 0, j \in J_i. \text{ Hence for}$$

$$b \in AU, \text{ we can find a cover } U = \bigvee_{j \in J_i} \bigvee_{i \in I} W_{ji} \text{ such that}$$

$n_{ji} b|_{W_{ji}} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ ,  $0 \neq n_{ji} \in N$ , which shows that  $A$  is a torsion group in  $\text{AbShL}$ .

COUNTEREXAMPLE 3.2. Proposition 3.1 shows that torsion is a local property. However it is not a global property as we shall see from the following counter example: Consider  $L = \omega + 1$  and  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Pi & Z/nZ + \dots + & Z/2Z & \times & Z/3Z + & Z/2Z + & 0 (= Z/Z) + 0 \\ n < \omega & & & & & & \\ \omega & > \dots \dots > & 3 & > & 2 & > & 1 > 0 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.1,  $A$  is torsion, since for the cover  $\omega = \bigvee_{n < \omega} n$ , the group

$$A|_n = \bigvee_{k < n} Z/kZ \text{ is torsion in } \text{AbSh}^+n \text{ for all } n < \omega. \text{ But } Aw = \bigvee_{n < \omega} Z/nZ$$

is not torsion in  $\text{Ab}$ , as the element  $(1+nZ)_{n < \omega}$  does not have a finite order.

DEFINITION 3.3. For a given prime  $p$ , by the  $p$ -primary component of a group  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  we mean the subgroup of  $A$  given by  $U_{0 \neq n \in N} \text{Ker } p^n A$ . We denote the  $p$ -primary component of  $A$  by  $A_p$ .  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  is called a  $p$ -primary group if  $A = A_p$ .

DEFINITION 3.4. By the torsion subgroup  $B$  of an any group  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  we mean the subgroup of  $A$  given by  $B = U_{0 \neq n \in N} \text{Ker } n A$ .

THEOREM 3.5. Every torsion group is a direct sum of its  $p$ -primary components.

PROOF. Let  $A$  be a torsion group and denote by  $B$  the presheaf  $BU = t(AU)$  the torsion subgroup of  $AU$ . Then  $A$  is the sheaf reflection of  $B^{(\wedge \perp)}$ . Now  $BU = t(AU) = \bullet(t(AU))_p$  where  $(t(AU))_p$  denotes the  $p$ -primary component of  $t(AU)$ . If  $B \subseteq_p B$

is the subpresheaf  $B_p U = (t(AU))_p$  then clearly  $B = \bullet B_p$  in  $\text{AbPShL}$ . The Sheaf reflection being a left adjoint preserves co-limits, in particular direct sums and so

$$A = \widetilde{B} = (\bullet B_p)^\sim = \bullet \widetilde{B}_p. \text{ But } B_p = A_p \text{ and hence we get } A = \bullet A_p.$$

DEFINTION 3.6. By the torsion type of a group  $A$  we mean the set of all prime numbers  $p$  such that  $A_p \neq 0$ .

PROPOSITION 3.7. If  $A$  is a torsion group and  $B \supseteq A$  is an essential extension then  $B$  and  $A$  have the same torsion type.

PROOF. Since  $A \subseteq B$ , it follows  $A_p \subseteq B_p$  and therefore  $A_p \subseteq A \cap B_p$  for all  $p$ . Consider any  $U \in L$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cap B_p)U &= AU \cap B_p U = AU \cap (U_{0 \neq n \in N} \text{Ker } p_B^n)U \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} AU \cap (U_{0 \neq n \in N} \text{Ker } p_{BU}^n) \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} U_{0 \neq n \in N} (AU \cap \text{Ker } p_{BU}^n) \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} U_{0 \neq n \in N} (A \cap \text{Ker } p_B^n)U \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} U_{0 \neq n \in N} (\text{Ker } p_A^n)U = A_p U \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A \cap B_p = A_p$  for all primes  $p$ . We now want to show that  $A_p \subseteq B_p$  is an essential extension. If  $0 \neq C \subseteq B_p$ , then since  $A \subseteq B$  is essential it follows  $A \cap C \neq 0$ . This means  $0 \neq A \cap C \cap B_p = A_p \cap C$ , thereby showing that  $A_p \subseteq B_p$  is essential. Hence  $B_p \neq 0$  iff  $A_p \neq 0$  which means that  $A$  and  $B$  have the same torsion type.

DEFINTION 3.8. We call an  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  to be a reduced group if it has no non zero injective subgroups. Recall that in the category  $\text{Ab}$ , for any torsion group  $B$  the group  $\text{Hom}(B, K)$  is reduced for all  $K \in \text{Ab}$ . We shall prove the analogue of this for the  $\text{Ab}$ -valued hom-functor  $H$  and the internal hom-functor  $[-, -]$  of  $\text{AbShL}$  (2.6).

LEMMA 3.9. If  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  is a torsion group then  $H(A, P)$  is reduced in  $\text{Ab}$  for all  $P \in \text{AbShL}$ .

PROOF. Let  $0 \neq C \subseteq H(A, P)$  be an injective subgroup. Consider any  $0 \neq a \in C$ , then for some  $U \in L$  and  $A \in AU$ ,  $\alpha_U(a) \neq 0$ . Since  $A$  is torsion and  $a \in AU$  there exists a cover  $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$  and  $0 \neq n_i \in N$  such that

$$n_i a|_{U_i} = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I. \text{ But } \alpha_U(a) \neq 0 \text{ implies that } \alpha_{U_k}(a|_{U_k}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } k$$

I. Consider now  $0 \neq n_k \in N$ , then  $C$  an injective hence divisible group in  $\text{Ab}$  implies that there exists some  $\beta \in C$  such that  $n_k \beta = a$ . Therefore  $n_k \beta|_{U_k} (a|_{U_k}) = \beta|_{U_k} (n_k a|_{U_k}) = \beta|_{U_k} (0) = 0$ , which means  $\alpha_{U_k}(a|_{U_k}) = 0$ , a contradiction,

hence  $C = 0$  which shows that  $\text{AbShL}(A, P) = H(A, P)$  is reduced in the category  $\text{Ab}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.10. If  $A$  is a torsion group in  $\text{AbShL}$ , then  $[A, P]$  is reduced in  $\text{AbShL}$  for all  $P \in \text{AbShL}$ .

PROOF. Let  $0 \neq B \subseteq [A, P]$  be an injective subgroup. Then for some  $U \in L$ ,  $BU \neq 0$  is an injective subgroup of  $[A, P]U = H_{\downarrow U}(A|_U, P|_U)$ . Since  $A$  is torsion, it follows  $A|_U$  is torsion (2.8) in  $\text{AbShL}$  and so by last lemma  $H_{\downarrow U}(A|_U, P|_U)$  is reduced in  $\text{Ab}$ . Thus  $BU = 0$  for all  $U \in L$ , hence  $B = 0$  which means  $[A, P]$  is reduced in  $\text{AbShL}$ .

REMARK. Recall that in the category  $\text{Ab}$ , the torsion subgroup of an injective group is always injective. We show in the following example that, for an arbitrary  $L$ , the torsion subgroup of an injective group need not be injective, except for some special locales which we shall discuss in the next section.

EXAMPLE 3.11. Consider the locale  $L = \omega + 2$  and  $A \in \text{AbShL}$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_1 & \rightarrow & \prod_{n < \omega} P_n & + \dots & + P_2 \times P_1 & + P_1 & + 0 \\ & & n < \omega & & & & \\ \omega + 1 & \rightarrow & \omega > \dots > & & 2 > 1 > 0 & & \end{array}$$

where the  $P_i$  are finite groups with increasing exponent. By one of our previous results ([1], proposition 2.3) the injective hull of  $A$  is given by the group

$$B = \prod_{n < \omega} E(P_n) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \prod_{n < \omega} E(P_n) + \dots + E(P_2) \times E(P_1) + E(P_1) + 0$$

where  $E(P_i)$  denotes the injective hull of group  $P_i$  in  $\text{Ab}$ . If  $TB$  is the torsion subgroup of  $B$ , then  $(TB)_n = B_n$  all  $n < \omega$ , and so

$$(TB)_\omega = B_\omega = \prod_{n < \omega} E(P_n), \text{ but } (TB(\omega + 1)) = T(B(\omega + 1)) = \bigoplus_{n < \omega} E(P_n). \text{ Hence } TB \subseteq B$$

and since  $A \subseteq TB$ , it follows  $TB$  is not injective since  $B$ , being the injective hull of  $A$ , is the minimal injective extension of  $A$ , hence the result.

#### 4. ESSENTIAL EXTENSIONS OF TORSION GROUPS.

If for any torsion group  $A \in \text{AbShL}$ , all essential extensions of  $A$  are torsion, then we say that essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion. The following proposition shows "essential extensions preserve torsion" is a local property.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$  iff there exists a cover  $E = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$  such that essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

PROOF. ( $\rightarrow$ ) Clear by taking the trivial cover of  $E$ . For the converse, consider any essential extension  $B$  of the torsion group  $A$  in  $\text{AbShL}$ . Since for each  $i \in I$  the functor  $R_{U_i}: \text{AbShL} \rightarrow \text{AbSh}^+U_i$ , preserves essential extensions and torsion [1] it

follows  $B|_{U_i}$  is an essential extension of the torsion group  $A|_{U_i}$  in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$ .

By hypothesis,  $B|_{U_i}$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^+U_i$  all  $i \in I$ , hence by Theorem 2.1,  $B$  is torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. For any  $L$ , essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion iff every injective group splits into a direct sum of a torsion group and a torsion free group.

PROOF. ( $\rightarrow$ ) Let  $B$  denote the torsion subgroup of an injective group  $A \in \text{AbShL}$ . If  $C \supseteq B$  is any essential extension, then by hypothesis  $C$  is a torsion group. Since  $A$  is injective we may assume that  $C \subseteq A$ , so  $C$  torsion implies  $C \subseteq B$  and hence  $C = B$ . Thus  $B$  has no proper essential extensions which means that  $B$  is injective. Therefore  $A = B \oplus E$  for some subgroup  $E$  of  $A$ . If  $TE$  denotes the torsion subgroup of  $E$ , then  $TE \subseteq B$  and so  $TE \subseteq B \cap E = 0$ , hence  $TE = 0$ . Thus  $E$  is torsion free.

( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $P$  be a torsion group and  $H$  the injective hull of  $P$ . By hypothesis  $H =$

$T \oplus F$  where  $T$  is a torsion group and  $F$  is a torsion free group. If  $F \neq 0$ , then since  $H$  is an essential extension of  $P$  it follows that  $P \cap F = 0$ , a contradiction, since  $P$  is torsion. Hence  $F = 0$  which shows that  $H$  is a torsion group. Since every essential extension of  $P$  has an embedding into  $H$ , it follows all essential extensions of  $P$  are torsion, hence the result.

**THEOREM 4.3.** For a Boolean locale, essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion.

**PROOF.** Consider an essential extension  $B$  of a torsion group  $A$  in  $\text{AbShL}$ . Let  $C$  denote the torsion subgroup of  $B$ (3.4). For any  $U \in L$  consider an arbitrary element  $b \in BU$ . Let  $W \leq U$  be the largest element in  $\uparrow U$  such that  $b|W \in CW$ . We claim  $W$  is dense in  $\uparrow U$ . If not, then there exists  $S \in \uparrow U$ ,  $S \neq 0$  such that  $S \wedge W = 0$ . Now for any  $V \leq S$ ,  $b|V \in CV$  gives  $V \leq W$  and so  $V + V \wedge W \leq S \wedge W = 0$  implies  $V = 0$ . In particular  $b|S \neq 0$ . Since  $B \supseteq A$  is an essential extension therefore there exists a  $V \leq S$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \neq mb|V \in AV \subseteq CV$ . Now  $C$  is the torsion subgroup of  $B$  and  $0 \neq mb|B \in CV$  implies  $b|V \in CV$ . But then  $V = 0$ , a contradiction, since  $0 \neq mb|V \in AV$ . Hence  $W$  is dense in  $\uparrow U$ . Since  $L$  is Boolean we have  $W = U$ , thus  $BU \subseteq CU$  for all  $U \in L$  and so  $B = C$ . Hence  $B$  is torsion.

**REMARK.** On the other hand, one can see that if essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$ , then it does not necessarily follow that  $L$  is Boolean. Here is a counterexample:

Consider  $L = 3$ . If  $B = \frac{B_1}{B_2} h$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh3}$ , then both  $B_1$  and  $B_2$

are torsion in  $\text{Ab}$ . By ([1], Proposition 2.3) the injective hull of  $B$  is

$$\text{given by } A = \begin{matrix} E(B_2) & \times & E(\text{Ker } h) \\ & + & \\ & E(B_2) & \end{matrix} \quad \text{which is torsion in } \text{AbSh3}. \quad \text{Hence}$$

Hence all essential extensions of  $B$  are torsion, although  $L = 3$  is not Boolean. Of course the remark is a special case of the following more general result which shows that there are non-Boolean  $L$  such that essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion.

**THEOREM 4.4.** For any finite  $L$  essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion.

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be any essential extension of the torsion group  $A$ . Then for an arbitrary  $a \in AU$ ,  $U \in L$ .  $A$  torsion implies that there is a cover  $U = U_1 \vee U_2 \vee \dots \vee U_k$  and  $0 \neq n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_i a|U_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If  $m = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$  then  $ma|U_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and therefore  $ma = 0$  and  $m \neq 0$ . This shows for each  $U \in L$ ,  $AU$  is a torsion group in the category  $\text{Ab}$ . Now, if there are  $V \in L$  such that  $BV$  is not a torsion group then let  $S$  be minimal such that  $BS$  is not torsion. Then  $S \neq 0$  and for all  $U \leq S$ ,  $BU$  is a torsion group in  $\text{Ab}$ . If  $W = \bigvee_{U \leq S} U$ , then since each  $BU$  is torsion it follows by proposition 3.1 that  $B|W$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}W$ . By the same argument as above it follows  $BW$  is torsion and hence  $W \leq S$ . Consider an arbitrary  $b \in BS$  of infinite order. Since  $B \supseteq A$  is an essential extension, there exists  $V \leq S$  and  $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \neq mb|V \in AV$ . Then  $V \neq S$ , for otherwise  $0 \neq mb \in AV$  has finite order and so  $b$  will have finite order, a contradiction, since  $b$  has infinite order. Hence  $V \leq W$ . This implies  $b|W \neq 0$ . But  $BW$  is torsion and so for some  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $nb|W = 0$ . But  $0 \neq nb \in BS$  is again of infinite order and so by the same argument  $0 \neq nb|W$ , a contradiction. Hence  $BS$  is a

torsion group which contradicts the definition of  $S$ . This shows  $B$  is a torsion group in  $\text{AbShL}$ .

REMARK 3.4. Recall from (2.1) that the finite locales  $L$  are exactly those  $L$  in which both ACC and DCC hold. It is therefore of interest to note that there exists an  $L$  which satisfies DCC but for which essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  do not preserve torsion. Here is an example which is actually the same as that considered in (3.11) for a different purpose: If  $A$  and its injective hull  $B \supseteq A$  are as in 3.11, then  $B$  is not torsion because its torsion subgroup is proper.

THEOREM 4.5. If essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$ , then for all  $U \in L$ , the following are true:

- (i) Essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ .
- (ii) Essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ .

PROOF. Let  $B$  be any essential extension of the torsion group  $A$  in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ . Since the functor  $E_U: \text{AbSh}+U \rightarrow \text{AbShL}$  preserves essential extensions [1] and also torsion (2.9), it follows  $E_U B$  is an essential extension of the torsion group  $E_U A$ . By hypothesis  $E_U B$  is torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$ . Therefore  $R_U(E_U B) = B$  is again torsion since the functor  $R_U$  preserves torsion (2.9), hence the result.

(ii) Consider the local lattice homomorphism  $\phi: L \rightarrow \uparrow U$  given by  $\phi(W) = W \vee U$ . Then  $\phi$  produces  $\phi_*: \text{AbSh}+U \rightarrow \text{AbShL}$  (2.6) where  $(\phi_* A)W = A(W \vee U)$ ,  $W \in L$ . Let  $B$  be an essential extension of the torsion group  $A$  in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ . We claim that  $B$  is torsion. We first show that  $\phi_*$  preserves torsion. Let  $0 \neq a \in (\phi_* A)W = A(W \vee U)$ . Since  $A$  is torsion, there is a cover  $(W \vee U) = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$  in  $\uparrow U$ , and  $0 \neq n_i \in N$  such

that  $n_i a|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . So we can for a cover  $W = (W \vee U) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (U_i \wedge W)$

in  $L$  such that  $(n_i a)|_{U_i \wedge W} = 0$  all  $i \in I$ . Hence for  $0 \neq a \in (\phi_* A)W$ , we can always

find a cover  $W = \bigvee_{i \in I} (U_i \wedge W)$  in  $L$ , such that  $0 = n_i a|_{(U_i \wedge W)}$ , and that proves  $\phi_* A$  is torsion in  $\text{AbShL}$ .

To show that  $\phi_*$  preserves essential extensions take  $0 \neq b$  in  $(\phi_* B)W = B(W \vee U)$ ,  $W \in L$ . Since  $B \supseteq A$  is essential in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ , there exists  $V \subset W \vee U$  and  $m \in Z$  such that  $0 \neq mb|_V \in A(V)$ . But  $U \subset V$  and  $V \subset W \vee U$  implies  $V = (V \wedge W) \vee U$  and therefore  $0 \neq mb|_{(V \wedge W) \vee U} \in A((V \wedge W) \vee U)$ . Thus for  $0 \neq b \in (\phi_* B)W$ , there

is  $(V \wedge W) \subset W$  such that  $0 \neq mb|_{V \wedge W} \in \phi_* A(V \wedge W)$  for some  $m \in Z$ . This shows  $\phi_* B$  is an essential extension of  $\phi_* A$  in  $\text{AbShL}$ . Finally we show that  $\phi_*$  reflects torsion. So, let  $\phi_* P$  be a torsion group in  $\text{AbShL}$  for some  $P \in \text{AbSh}+U$ . If  $0 \neq a \in PW$ ,  $W \in \uparrow U$ , then  $0 \neq a \in (\phi_* P)W = P(W \vee U) = PW$ , and so  $\phi_* P$  being a torsion group implies, that there is a cover  $W = \bigvee_{i \in I} W_i$  in  $L$ , and  $0 \neq n_i \in N$  such that

$n_i a|_{W_i} = 0$  all  $i \in I$ , where  $a|_{W_i} \in (\phi_* P)W_i = P(W_i \vee U)$ . If we consider the cover  $W = \bigvee_{i \in I} (W_i \vee U)$  in  $\uparrow U$ , then we get  $0 = n_i a|_{(W_i \vee U)}$  for all  $i \in I$ , which proves that  $P$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ . Thus, in order to prove (ii), we consider an essential extension  $D$  of the torsion group  $C$  in  $\text{AbSh}+U$ . Then by the above argument  $\phi_* D$  is an essential extension of  $\phi_* C$  in  $\text{AbShL}$ . But  $\phi_* C$  is torsion since  $C$  is torsion, hence

by hypothesis  $\phi_* D$  is torsion. Now  $\phi_*$  reflects torsion and that proves  $D$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t U$ . Hence the result.

REMARK 4.6. As a special case, if  $L = 0_X$  for some topological space  $X$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  is a closed subspace then  $\uparrow CY \simeq \uparrow Y$ , the isomorphism being given by  $U \mapsto U \cap Y$ ,  $U \in \uparrow CY$ . Hence, by the last proposition, essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t Y$ , if they do in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$ .

LEMMA 3.7. On the space  $X = \{0\} \cup \{1/n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  there is a torsion group  $C$  with a non-torsion essential extension.

PROOF. Consider  $A \in \text{Ab}^{\uparrow |X|}$  by  $A\{0\} = 0$ ,  $A(n) = z(p^\infty)$  for all  $n \neq 0$ . Then the functor  $F: \text{Ab}^{\uparrow |X|} \rightarrow \text{AbSh}^t X$  [1] produces  $B = FA$ ,  $(FA)U =$

$\prod_{x \in U} A\{x\} = \{\phi: U \rightarrow Z(p^\infty), \phi(0) = 0 \text{ if } 0 \in U\}$  in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$ . Let  $C$  be the torsion subgroup of  $B$ . Assume  $C = B$ , then  $CX = BX$  and so the function  $\phi \in BX$  given by  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi(1/n) = a_n$  where  $a_n$  has order  $p^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  is in  $CX$ . This means there exists a cover  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and  $0 \neq k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_i \phi|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $0 \in U_j$  for some  $j \in I$  and hence  $U_j$  contains infinitely many  $\{1/n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  thus  $k_j \phi|_{U_j} = 0$  a contradiction. Hence  $\phi \notin CX$ , which shows  $B$  is not a torsion group in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$ . We now show that  $B$  is an essential extension of  $C$ . Let  $0 \neq \alpha \in BU$ , then  $\alpha(1/n) \neq 0$  for some  $1/n \in U$ . If  $W = \{1/n\}$ , then  $\alpha|_W \neq 0$  is of finite order since  $\alpha(1/n) \in Z(p^\infty)$ , hence  $0 \neq \alpha|_W \in CW$ . Thus  $B$  is an essential extension of  $C$  which is torsion, although  $B$  itself is not torsion.

THEOREM 4.8. If  $X$  is a first countable Hausdorff space, then essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$  iff  $X$  is discrete.

PROOF. (+) Suppose that  $X$  is not discrete. Then there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  for which  $\{x_0\}$  is not open. Let the countable basic neighbourhoods of  $x_0$  be arranged in the form  $U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  pick an element  $x_n \in U_n - U_{n+1}$ . Denote by  $X_0$  the subspace of  $X$  consisting of the points  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Since the sequence

$\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x_0$ , it follows that the space  $X_0$  is compact in  $X$ . But  $X$  is Hausdorff and so  $X_0$  is closed in  $X$ . For any  $x_n$ ,  $n \neq 0$  the subset  $X_0 - \{x_n\}$  also being compact, is also closed in  $X$ . Hence  $\{x_n\} = \{X - (X_0 - \{x_n\})\} \cap X_0$  is open in the space  $X_0$ . It is then easy to see that the subspace  $X_0$  consisting of  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  is homeomorphic to the space  $\{0\} \cup \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . By the above lemma essential extensions of torsion groups need not be torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t X_0$ , a contradiction to Remark 4.6 hence  $X$  is discrete.

(+) If  $X$  is discrete then  $\text{AbSh}^t X \simeq \text{AbSh}^t |X|$  and so if  $A \in \text{AbSh}^t X$  is a torsion group then clearly each  $A\{x\}$ ,  $x \in X$  is a torsion group in  $\text{Ab}$ . So, if  $B \supseteq A$  is an essential extension in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$ , then  $B\{x\} = B\{x\} \supseteq A\{x\} = A\{x\}$  is essential in  $\text{Ab}$ , hence each  $B\{x\}$  is a torsion group in  $\text{Ab}$ . Thus  $B$  is torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$ .

COROLLARY 4.9. If  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , where each  $X_\alpha$  is a first countable, Hausdorff space, and essential extensions in  $\text{AbSh}^t X$  preserve torsion, then  $X$  is discrete.

PROOF. If  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , then each  $X_\alpha \simeq$  closed subspace of  $X$ , hence by Remark 4.6, essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbSh}^t X_\alpha$ . But  $X_\alpha$  is given to be first countable and Hausdorff, therefore by Proposition 4.8, each  $X_\alpha$  is discrete. Suppose  $X_\alpha$  is non-trivial for infinitely many  $\alpha$ , then  $2^\omega \simeq$  subspace of  $X$ . But  $2^\omega$  is compact, hence closed in  $X$ . Also  $2^\omega$  is first countable, Hausdorff. But it is not discrete, hence only finitely many  $X_\alpha$  are non-trivial which implies that  $X$  is discrete.

REMARK. All finite  $L$  are spatial, and for all finite  $L$ , essential extensions in  $\text{AbShL}$  preserve torsion. Hence there are many non-discrete spaces  $X$  such that essential extensions preserve torsion in  $\text{AbShX}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This is a part of my doctoral dissertation submitted to the Graduate School of McMaster University for partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The author is grateful to her supervisor, Professor Bernhard Banaschewski, for his valuable guidance, encouragement, and criticism through the research work. I would also like to thank the Laboratory of Statistical and Mathematical Methodology at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, for providing the facilities for the preparation and revision of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. BHUTANI, K.R. Injectivity and injective hulls of abelian groups in a localic topos, Bulleting of the Australian Mathematical Society Vol. 37 (1988), 43-59.
2. BANASCHEWSKI, B. and BHUTANI, K.R. Boolean algebras in a localic topos, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, Vol. 100, Part 1 43-56, July 1986.
3. FUCHS, L. Infinite abelian groups, Academic press, Vol. 1 (1970).
4. KAPLANSKY, I. Infinite abelian groups. University of Michigan.
5. JOHNSTONE, P.T. Stone spaces, Cambridge studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
6. MACLANE, S. Categories for the working Mathematician, Graduate Text in Mathematics 5, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
7. JOHNSTONE, P.T. Topos Theory. Academic Press, London (1977).
8. BANASCHEWSKI, B. Coherent Frames, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, 871 1-19. (1981).
9. FREYD, P. Abelian Categories. Harper & Row Publishers, 1964.
10. EBRAHIMI, M. Algebra in a topos of sheaves. Doctoral Dissertation, McMaster University, 1980.
11. BALBES, R. and DWINGER, P. Distributive lattices. University of Missouri Press, 1975.
12. GODEMENT, R. Theorie des Faisceaux, Hermann, Paris, 1958.

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)