

**MEASURABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS AND THEIR  
APPLICATIONS TO CONVEX INTEGRAL FUNCTIONALS**

**NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU**

University of California  
1015 Department of Mathematics  
Davis, California 95616

(Received June 6, 1988 and in revised form September 26, 1988)

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to establish some new properties of set valued measurable functions and of their sets of integrable selectors and to use them to study convex integral functionals defined on Lebesgue-Bochner spaces. In this process we also obtain a characterization of separable dual Banach spaces using multifunctions and we present some generalizations of the classical "bang-bang" principle to infinite dimensional linear control systems with time dependent control constraints.

**KEY WORD AND PHRASES.** Measurable multifunction, integrably bounded, measurable selection, Souslin space, Weak Randon-Nikodym property, set valued conditional expectation, infinite dimensional linear control systems, bang-bang principle, convex normal integrand, subgradient, subdifferential, conjugate, effective domain, absolutely continuous functional, singular functional, Yosida-Hewitt theorem.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** Primary 28A45, 46G10, 46E30, Secondary 54C60.

**1. INTRODUCTION.**

In the last decade the study of measurable set valued functions has been developed extensively, both in the theoretical direction and the direction of applications. Many mathematicians have contributed significant results in this area, which combines challenging theoretical problems with important applications in a variety of fields, like optimization theory, optimal control, statistics and mathematical economics. In all those areas the systematic use of multifunctions has allowed people to make significant progress and solve many problems.

With a series of recent papers [18] + [25] the author has started an effort to extend the general theory of Banach space valued multifunctions and the closely related theory of multimeasures. The present paper continues this effort and provides some applications of the theoretical results obtained.

Briefly this paper is organized as follows. In the next section we establish our notation and for the convenience of the reader we recall some basic definitions and

facts from the general theory of multifunctions and the theory of measurable integrands. In section 3 we have gathered some results, in which starting from properties of the set of integrable selectors of a multifunction we extract information about its pointwise properties, the structure of its conditional expectation and the properties of the underlying Banach space. Some other related observations of functional analytic nature are also included. In section 4, we proceed to a detailed study of the properties of the set of integrable selectors of a multifunction and we present an application to control theory ("bang-bang" type results). Finally in section 5, we use the results obtained earlier in order to study convex integral functionals that appear often in problems of optimization, optimal control and mathematical economics. With this combination of theoretical and applied results, we want to emphasize the importance and the versatility of the theory of multifunctions and attract the interest of mathematicians from different areas.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. Throughout this work we will be using the following notations:

$$P_f(c) = \{ A \subseteq X: \text{nonempty, closed, (convex)} \}$$

$$P_{(w)k}(c) = \{ A \subseteq X: \text{nonempty, (w-) compact, (convex)} \}$$

Also we will be using the following additional three pieces of notation. Let

$A \in 2^{X \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$ . By  $|A|$  we will denote the norm of  $A$  i.e.,  $|A| = \sup_{\alpha \in A} \|\alpha\|$ , by

$\sigma(\cdot, A)$  the support function of  $A$  i.e.,  $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} (x^*, \alpha)$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and by  $d(\cdot, A)$  the

distance function from  $A$  i.e.,  $d(x, A) = \inf_{\alpha \in A} \|x - \alpha\|$ .

A multifunction  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is said to be measurable if for every  $x \in X$ , the function  $w \mapsto d(x, F(w))$  is measurable. This definition is equivalent to saying that there exist  $f_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$  measurable functions s.t. for every  $w \in \Omega$

$$F(w) = \text{cl} \{f_n(w)\}_{n \geq 1} \text{ ("Castaing's representation" - see Castaing - Valadier [5])}.$$

A function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  s.t.  $f(w) \in F(w)$  is said to be a selector of  $F(\cdot)$ . The problem of existence of measurable selectors is central in the theory of multifunctions. In applications the most widely used selection theorem, is the following one which was first proved by Aumann [1] for Polish spaces and was later extended to Souslin spaces by Saint-Beuve [30]. By  $\Sigma$  we will denote the universal  $\sigma$ -field corresponding to  $\Sigma$ .

**THEOREM 2.1 [30].** If  $X$  is a Souslin space and  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{X \setminus \{\emptyset\}}$  is a multifunction s.t.  $\text{Gr}F = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X: x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ , where  $B(X)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$ , then there exist  $f_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$ ,  $\Sigma$ -measurable selectors of  $F(\cdot)$  s.t.  $F(\omega) \subseteq \text{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$

REMARKS. a) If  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite complete measure space, then  $\Sigma = \Sigma$ .  
 b) Recall that a Souslin space is always separable, but it need not be metrizable (for example a separable Banach space with the weak topology). c) If  $F(\cdot)$  is closed valued and measurable in the sense defined earlier then  $\text{Gr} F \in \Sigma \times B(X)$  (graph measurability). The converse is true if  $\Sigma = \Sigma$  (i.e.  $\Sigma$  is complete).

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $S_F^1 = \{f(\cdot) \in L_X^1 : f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\}$ .  $\mu$ -a.e.

Using  $S_F^1$  we can define a set valued integral for  $F(\cdot)$  by

$\int_{\Omega} F(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) : f \in S_F^1 \right\}$ . We say that  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is integrably bounded if it is measurable and  $\omega + |F(\omega)| \in L_+^1$ . Using theorem 2.1 we can see that if  $F(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded then  $S_F^1$  and  $\int_{\Omega} F$  are both nonempty.

Let  $K \subseteq L_X^1$  be nonempty. We say that  $K$  is decomposable (also known as "convex with respect to switching") if for all  $A \in \Sigma$  and all  $(f_1, f_2) \in K \times K$ ,  $\chi_A f_1 + \chi_{\bar{A}} f_2 \in K$ . In [9], theorem 3.1., Hiai-Umegaki proved that if  $K$  is closed and decomposable, then there exists  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  measurable s.t.  $K = S_F^1$ . Furthermore if  $K$  is bounded, then  $F(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded. Using this fact, Hiai-Umegaki [9], went on and defined as set valued conditional expectation for  $F(\cdot)$  as follows. Let  $\Sigma_0$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\Sigma$  let  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  be measurable with  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ . Define  $K = \text{cl} \{E^{\Sigma_0} f : f \in S_F^1\}$ . Then  $K$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -decomposable and so there exists

$E^{\Sigma_0} F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$   $\Sigma_0$ -measurable s.t.  $K = S_{E^{\Sigma_0} F}^1$ . The multifunction  $E^{\Sigma_0} F(\cdot)$  is the set valued conditional expectation of  $F(\cdot)$ .

Next let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. Let  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow RU\{+\infty\}$ ,  $f \neq +\infty$ . Following Rockafellar [28] we say that  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a normal integrand if the following conditions are satisfied:

i)  $(\omega, x) \mapsto f(\omega, x)$  is  $\Sigma \times B(X)$  measurable

ii) for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x \mapsto f(\omega, x)$  is lower semicontinuous (l.s.c)

Using the celebrated "Von Neumann projection theorem" (see Castaing - Valadier [5], theorem III - 23) we can show that the above two conditions are equivalent to the following. Recall that if  $g: X \rightarrow RU\{+\infty\}$ , then  $\text{epig} = \{(x, \lambda) \in X \times R : g(x) < \lambda\}$ .

i') the multifunction  $\omega \mapsto \text{epig}(f(\cdot, \cdot))$  is graph measurable

ii') for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\text{epig}(f(\omega, \cdot)) \in P_f(X \times R)$

Note that ii') immediately implies that  $\omega \mapsto \text{epig}(f(\cdot, \cdot))$  is measurable. Because of condition i) a normal integrand  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is superpositionally measurable i.e. if  $x: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is measurable, then  $\omega \mapsto f(\omega, x(\omega))$  is measurable. A well known example of normal integrands are the Caratheodory integrands. A normal integrand  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is said

to be convex, if for all  $w \in \Omega$ ,  $f(w, \cdot)$  is convex.

Let us also recall some notions from convex analysis. Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^X$ . We define  $\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : (x^*, y-x) \leq f(y) - f(x) \text{ for all } y \in X\}$ . This is called the subdifferential of  $f(\cdot)$  at  $x$ . Also we define  $f^* \in \mathbb{R}^{X^*}$  by  $f^*(x^*) = \sup\{(x^*, x) - f(x) : x \in X\}$  and this is known as the conjugate of  $f(\cdot)$ . The conjugate and the subdifferential are related by the Young-Fenchel equality, namely:  $x^* \in \partial f(x)$  if and only if  $f^*(x^*) + f(x) = (x^*, x)$ . Since we are dealing here with extended real valued functions we define the effective domain of  $f$  to be:  $\text{dom } f = \{x \in X : f(x) < \infty\}$ .

Finally, recall that  $X$  has the weak Randon-Nikodym property (WRNP) if it has the Radon-Nikodym property for the Pettis integral.

### 3. MEASURABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS.

We start with a result in which, knowing the structure of the set of integrable selectors of a multifunction, we deduce some pointwise properties of the multifunction. Another such result was obtained by the author in [25] (theorem 5.1).

Assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a weakly sequentially complete, separable Banach space.

**THEOREM 3.1.** If  $X^*$  has the WRNP and  $S_F^1$  nonempty, bounded, closed and convex.

then  $F(w) \in P_{wkc}(X)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

**PROOF.** From Hiai-Umegaki [9] (theorem 3.2) we know that  $F(\cdot)$  is integrably bounded and so for all  $w \in \Omega \setminus N, \mu(N)=0$ ,  $F(w)$  is bounded.

Suppose that for some  $w \in \Omega \setminus N, F(w)$  is not  $w$ -compact. Then the Eberlein-Smulian theorem and the fact that  $X$  is  $w$ -sequentially complete give us a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with no Cauchy subsequence. Recalling that  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded, we can apply the result of Rosenthal [29] and deduce that  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is an  $\ell^1$ -sequence. Hence  $\ell^1 \hookrightarrow X$  a contradiction to the fact that  $X^*$  has the WRNP (see Musial-Ryll Nardzewski [17]).

**REMARK.** If  $X^*$  has the RNP, then the result is immediate, since  $X$  is reflexive (see Diestel-Uhl [7], corollary 11, p. 198). But we know that in general the WRNP does not imply the RNP.

In fact, when  $X$  is a Banach lattice we can have a partial converse of the above theorem. So assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach lattice.

**THEOREM 3.2.** If the following implication holds: " $S_F^1$  is nonempty, convex and  $w$ -compact  $\Rightarrow F(w) \in P_{wkc}(X)$   $\mu$ -a.e.", then  $X^*$  is separable and  $w$ -sequentially complete.

**PROOF.** We will show that  $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$ . Suppose not. Then from our hypothesis if

$S_F^1 \subset L^1(\Omega)$  is nonempty, convex and  $w$ -compact then  $F(w) \in P_{wkc}(\ell^1)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Set  $M(A) = \{ \int f(w) d\mu(w) : f \in S_F^1 \}, A \in \Sigma$ . Then  $M(\cdot)$  is a  $P_{wkc}(\ell^1)$ -valued multimeasure with a

$P_{wkc}(\ell^1)$  -valued density, a contradiction to example 2 of Coste [6]. So  $\ell_1 \not\subset X$  and then from a result of Lotz (see Diestel-Uhl [7], p.95) we deduce that  $X^*$  has the RNP. Since  $X$  is separable from corollary 8, p.98 of Diestel-Uhl [7], we deduce that  $X^*$  is separable. Also  $c_0 \not\subset X^*$  and so theorem 1, c.4 of Lindenstrauss - Tzafriri [16] tells us that  $X^*$  is  $w$ -sequentially complete. Q.E.D.

Also we have a weak compactness result for the set of integrable selectors of a multifunction. Another such result can be found in [25]. But first we will need a property of decomposable subsets of  $L_X^1$ , which was proved by the author in [26] (Proposition 5.1 - see also Diestel-Uhl [7], Theorem 4, p. 104). For the convenience of the reader we recall the result here. Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a Banach space.

PROPOSITION 3.1 [26]. If  $K \subset L_X^1$  is nonempty, decomposable and bounded then  $K$  is uniformly integrable.

Now assume that in addition  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete.

THEOREM 3.3. If  $K \subset L_X^1$  is nonempty, decomposable, bounded and  $w$ -closed, with no  $\ell^1$ -sequence then  $K$  is  $w$ -compact.

PROOF. From Proposition 4.1 we know that  $K$  is uniformly integrable. Also since  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, so is  $L_X^1$  (see Talagrand [31]). Combining these facts with Corollary 8 of Bourgain [4] and the Eberlein-Smulian theorem we get that  $K$  is  $w$ -compact. Q.E.D.

REMARK. If  $X$  is separable then  $K = S_F^1$  where  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is integrably bounded. So indeed our result is a  $w$ -compactness result for the set of integrable selectors of a multifunction.

#### 4. PROPERTIES OF THE SET OF INTEGRABLE SELECTORS.

This section is devoted to a detailed study of the properties of the set of integrable selectors of measurable (or graph measurable) multifunctions. Those results are then applied to the analysis of a family of infinite dimensional linear control systems with time dependent control constraints. The material of this section will also be used in the next section, in the study of convex integral functionals.

We will start with an auxilliary result that we will need in what follows and which also generalizes Theorem 1.5 of Hiai-Umegaki [9].

Assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space.

LEMMA A. If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable and  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1 = S_{\overline{\text{conv}} F}^1$

PROOF. Clearly  $\overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1 \subset S_{\overline{\text{conv}} F}^1$ . Suppose that the inclusion is strict so

we can find  $f \in S_{\overline{\text{conv}} F}^1$  s.t.  $f \notin \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1$ . From the strong separation theorem there

exists  $u(\cdot) \in L_{X^*}^{\infty}_{w^*} = [L_X^1]^*$  s.t.  $\sigma(u, \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1) < \langle u, f \rangle$

But from [23] we know that:

$$\sigma(u, \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1) = \sigma(u, S_F^1) = \sup_{h \in S_F^1} \int_{\Omega} (u(\omega), h(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \sup_{x \in F(\omega)} (u(\omega), x) d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma(u(\omega), F(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$$

$$\text{Hence } \sigma(u, \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1) < \int_{\Omega} u(\omega), f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

On the other hand since  $f(\cdot) \in \overline{\text{conv}} F$ , we have  $f(\omega) \in \overline{\text{conv}} F(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

$$(u(\omega), f(\omega)) \in \sigma(u(\omega), F(\omega)) \mu\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (u(\omega), f(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \in \int_{\Omega} \sigma(u(\omega), F(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \Rightarrow$$

a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Using this lemma we can have the following Lyapunov type result. So we assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite, nonatomic measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space.

**THEOREM 4.1.** If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable and  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\overline{S_F^1}^w = \overline{S_F^1}_{\text{conv} F}$  (here  $w$  indicates the weak topology on  $L_X^1$ ).

**PROOF.** Note that  $\overline{S_F^1}_{\text{conv} F}$  is a closed, convex set. Hence we immediately have:

$$\overline{S_F^1}^w \subseteq \overline{S_F^1}_{\text{conv} F} = \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1 \text{ (lemma a)} \quad (4.1)$$

Next let  $g \in \overline{\text{conv}} S_F^1$ ,  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i, f_i \in S_F^1$ . Let  $V(g, \{u_k\}_{k=1}^m, \epsilon)$  be a weak neighborhood of  $g$ . So

$$V = \{h \in L_X^1 : |\langle u_k, g - h \rangle| < \epsilon, k=1, \dots, m\}$$

where  $u_k \in L_{X^*}^{\infty}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $L: L_X^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined by

$$L(h) = \langle u_k, h \rangle_{k=1}^m$$

Clearly  $L(\cdot)$  is a continuous linear operator. We claim that  $L(S_F^1)$  is convex. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in F$  and consider  $A \in \mathbb{m}(A) = L(\chi_A(f_1 - f_2))$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{m}(\cdot)$  is a vector measure of bounded variation which is  $\mu$ -continuous. So applying Lyapunov's theorem we get that  $\text{Range}(\mathbb{m})$  is

$$\text{convex} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{A \in \Sigma} L(\chi_A(f_1 - f_2)) + L(f_2) = \bigcup_{A \in \Sigma} L(\chi_A f_1 + \chi_A c f_2)$$

is convex. But since  $K$  is decomposable.

$$\bigcup_{A \in \Sigma} L(\chi_A f_1 + \chi_A c f_2) \subseteq L(S_F^1) \text{ and } L(f_1), L(f_2) \in \bigcup_{A \in \Sigma} L(\chi_A f_1 + \chi_A c f_2).$$

So indeed  $L(S_F^1)$  is convex.

Thus we can find  $f \in S_F^1$  s.t.

$$L(g) = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle u_i, f_i \rangle \}_{k=1}^m = L(f).$$

Therefore  $V(g, \{u_k\}_{k=1}^m, \varepsilon) \cap \overline{S_F^1} \neq \emptyset$  and so.

$$\overline{S_F^1} = \overline{\text{conv}} \ S_F^1 \quad (4.2)$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2) we finally have:

$$\overline{S_F^1} = \overline{\text{conv}} \ S_F^1 \quad \underline{\text{Q.E.D.}}$$

An immediate important consequence of theorem 4.1 is the following result. The spaces remain as before.

THEOREM 4.2. If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$  is measurable and  $S_F^1, S_{\text{ext}F}^1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\overline{S_{\text{ext}F}^1} = S_F^1$ .

PROOF. From Benamara [2] we know that  $\omega \mapsto \text{ext}F(\omega)$  is graph measurable. So

applying Theorem 4.1 we get that  $\overline{S_{\text{ext}F}^1} = S_{\text{convext}F}^1 = S_F^1$  (Krein-Milman theorem).

Another interesting consequence is the following result about the set valued conditional expectation. Let  $\Sigma_0$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\Sigma$  and assume that  $\mu(\cdot)$  has no  $\Sigma_0$ -atoms.

THEOREM 4.3. If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is integrably bounded and  $S_F^1$  is w-compact in

$L_X^1$  then  $E^{\Sigma_0}F(\omega)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. convex.

PROOF. From Theorem 4.1 we have that  $\overline{S_{E^{\Sigma_0}F}^1}$  is convex. Since  $E^{\Sigma_0}F$  is continuous linear,  $E^{\Sigma_0}(S_F^1)$  is w-compact  $\Rightarrow S_{E^{\Sigma_0}F}^1$  is w-compact in  $L_X^1(\Sigma_0)$ . Therefore  $S_{E^{\Sigma_0}F}^1$  is convex  $E^{\Sigma_0}F(\omega)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. convex.

Now we examine the strong closure of  $S_F^1$ . So let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space.

THEOREM 4.4. If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable and  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\overline{S_F^1} = S_F^1$

PROOF. Since  $S_F^1$  is closed in  $L_X^1$ , we get that  $\overline{S_F^1} \subseteq S_F^1$ . Let  $f(\cdot) \in \overline{S_F^1}$  For

$n \geq 1$  let  $L_n: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  be defined by:

$$L_n(\omega) = \{x \in F(\omega) : \|x - f(\omega)\| < \frac{1}{n}\}$$

$$GrL_n = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : \|x - f(\omega)\| < \frac{1}{n}\} \cap GrF$$

Note that  $(\omega, x) \mapsto \|x - f(\omega)\|$  is a Caratheodory function and so it is jointly

measurable. Hence  $\{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : |x - f(\omega)| < \frac{1}{n}\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ . Also by hypothesis

$\text{Gr}f \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ . Therefore for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Gr}L_n \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ . Apply Theorem 2.1 to find

$f_n : \Omega \rightarrow X$  measurable s.t.  $f_n(\omega) \in L_n(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then clearly for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $f_n(\omega) \neq f(\omega)$ . Since  $\|f_n(\omega)\| < \|f(\omega)\| + 1$ , applying the dominated convergence theorem, we get that

$$f_n \xrightarrow{s-L_X^1} f \Rightarrow f \in \overline{S_F^1} \Rightarrow \overline{S_F^1} = S_F^1. \quad \underline{\text{Q.E.D.}}$$

The result has an interesting consequence. However before passing to it, we need to have the following lemma. It generalizes a similar result of Hiai-Umegaki [9], who required the multifunctions to be closed valued (see Corollary 1.2 of [9]). The spaces remain as before.

LEMMA B. If  $F_1, F_2 : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  are graph measurable and  $S_{F_1}^1 = S_{F_2}^1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $F_1(\omega) = F_2(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

PROOF. Suppose not. Then there exists  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  s.t.  $F_1(\omega) \cap F_2(\omega) = \emptyset$  for all  $\omega \in A$ . Let  $R : A \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  be defined by  $R(\omega) = F_1(\omega) \cap F_2(\omega)$ . Then  $\text{Gr}R \in \Sigma_A \times B(X)$  (where  $\Sigma_A = \Sigma|_A$ ). Apply theorem 2.1. to find  $g : A \rightarrow X$  measurable s.t.

$g(\omega) \in R(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in A$ . Let  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -partition of  $\Omega$  s.t.  $\mu(\Omega_n) < \infty$ .

Define

$$C_{mn} = \{\omega \in A : m-1 < \|g(\omega)\| < m\} \cap \Omega_n$$

Then clearly  $\{C_{mn}\}_{m,n \geq 1}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -partition of  $A$ . Since  $\mu(A) > 0$  we can

find  $m, n \geq 1$  s.t.  $\mu(C_{mn}) > 0$ . Set

$$g(\omega) \text{ for } \omega \in C_{mn} \\ f(\omega) = \begin{cases} g(\omega) & \text{for } \omega \in C_{mn} \\ f(\omega) & \text{for } \omega \in \Omega \setminus C_{mn} \end{cases}$$

where  $f(\cdot) \in S_{F_1}^1$ . Then because of the decomposability of  $S_{F_1}^1$ ,  $f \in S_{F_1}^1$ , while  $f \notin S_{F_2}^1$ . This produces the derived contradiction. Q.E.D.

Now we are ready for the theorem.

THEOREM 4.5. If  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable and  $S_F^1$  is nonempty and closed in  $L_X^1$

then  $F(\omega) \in P_F(X)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

PROOF. From Theorem 4.4 we have that  $\overline{S_F^1} = S_F^1 = S_F^1$ . Apply lemma B to get that  $F(\omega) = \overline{F}(\omega)$   $\mu$ -a.e.  $\Rightarrow F(\cdot)$  is valued  $\mu$ -a.e. Q.E.D.

Now we will apply the results of this section to obtain versions of the "bang-bang principle", for infinite dimensional linear control systems with time dependent control constraints. So consider the following system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) \quad (4.3)$$

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad u(t) \in U(t) \text{ a.e.}, \quad u(\cdot) \in L_X^1$$

Here  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $A(t)$  is an unbounded, linear operator and  $B(\cdot) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L(X))$ , where  $L(X)$  is the space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into itself. We will assume that the linear evolution problem  $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$  admits a fundamental solution  $\Phi: \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\} \rightarrow L(X)$ . Conditions on  $A(\cdot)$  that guarantee the existence of  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  can be found in Kato [12]. Then if  $B(\cdot)u(\cdot) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ , we know that (4.3) has a mild solution  $x_u(\cdot) \in C_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  given by:

$$x_u(t) = \Phi(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t \Phi(t, s)B(s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

Let  $R(t)$  be the set of the attainable points of system (4.3) using all feasible controls and let  $R_e(t)$  be the set of attainable points of (4.3) using extremal controls (i.e.  $u(t) \in \text{ext}U(t)$  a.e.).

The next theorem establishes the relation between those two sets and can be viewed as an infinite dimensional generalization of the classical "bang-bang principle" (see Hermes - LaSalle [8]).

**THEOREM 4.6.** If  $U: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow R_{wkc}(X)$  is measurable and  $S_U^1, S_{\text{ext}U}^1 \neq 0$

then for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\overline{R_e(t)}^w = \overline{R(t)}^w = \text{convex}$

**PROOF.** Clearly we need to show that  $R(t) \subseteq \overline{R_e(t)}^w$ . So let  $x \in R(t)$ . By definition there exists  $u(\cdot) \in S_U^1$  s.t.

$$x(t) = \Phi(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t \Phi(t, s)B(s)u(s)ds$$

From Theorem 4.2 we know that there exists net  $u_b(\cdot) \in S_{\text{ext}U}^1$  s.t.  $u_b \xrightarrow{w-L_X^1} u$ . Then for every  $x^* \in X^*$  we have:

$$\int_0^t (x^*, \Phi(t, s)B(s)u_b(s))ds = \int_0^t (B^*(s)\Phi^*(t, s)x^*, u_b(s))ds$$

Note that  $\|B^*(s)\Phi^*(t, s)x^*\| < \|B^*(s)\| \|\Phi^*(t, s)\| \|x^*\|$ .

Also from the properties of  $\Phi(\cdot, \cdot)$  (see Kato [12]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(t, s)\| &= \|\Phi^*(t, s)\| < M, \text{ while by hypothesis } \|B(s)\| = \|B^*(s)\| \\ &< \|B\|_{L^\infty[0, t]}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $s \mapsto B^*(s)\Phi^*(t, s)x^*$  belongs in  $L_{w^*}^\infty[0, t]$  and so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t (B^*(s)\Phi^*(t, s)x^*, u_b(s))ds \rightarrow \int_0^t (B^*(s)\Phi^*(t, s)x^*, u(s))ds \\ \Rightarrow &\int_0^t (x^*, \Phi(t, s)B(s)u(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^t \Phi(t, s)B(s)u_b(s)ds \not\leq \int_0^t \Phi(t, s)B(s)u_b(s)ds$$

$$\text{Set } x_b = \Phi(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t \Phi(t, s)B(s)u_b(s)ds$$

Then

$$\Rightarrow x_b \not\leq x. \text{ Clearly } x_b \in R_e(t) \Rightarrow x(t) \overline{\in R_e(t)}^w \Rightarrow \overline{R_e(t)}^w = \overline{R(t)}^w.$$

The convexity of the set is clear from the convexity of the values of  $U(\cdot)$ . Q.E.D.  
 With an  $L^1_{loc}(R_+)$ - boundedness hypothesis on the control constraint multifunction

$u(\cdot)$ , we can improve the conclusion of Theorem 4.6.

**THEOREM 4.7.** If  $U:R_+ \rightarrow P_{wkc}(X)$  is measurable and  $|U(\cdot)| \in L^1_{loc}(R_+)$

then for all  $t \in R_+$ ,  $R(t) = \overline{R_e(t)}^w \in P_{wkc}(X)$ .

**PROOF.** By definition  $R(t) = \Phi(t, \omega) x_0 + \int_0^t \Phi(t, s) B(s) U(s) ds$ .

Note that for all  $x^* \in X^*$

$$\sigma(x^*, \Phi(t, s) B(s) U(s)) = \sigma(B^*(s) \Phi^*(t, s) x^*, U(s))$$

Also since  $U(\cdot)$  is  $P_{wkc}(X)$ -valued and measurable,  $(s, z^*) \mapsto \sigma(z^*, U(s))$  is a Caratheodory function from  $\Omega \times X^*$  into  $R$ . Therefore it is jointly measurable and so  $s \mapsto \sigma(B^*(s) \Phi^*(t, s) x^*, U(s))$  is measurable. Invoking theorem III-37 of Castaing-Valadier [5], we conclude that  $s \mapsto \Phi(t, s) B(s) U(s)$  is a  $P_{wkc}(X)$ -valued, integrably bounded multifunction. So we can apply proposition 3.1 of [18] (see also [22]) and get that

$$\int_0^t \Phi(t, s) B(s) U(s) ds \in P_{wkc}(X) \implies R(t) \in P_{wkc}(X) \quad t \in R_+.$$

Q.E.D.

When  $X$  is a finite dimensional Banach space, we obtain an extension of LaSalle's "bang-bang principle" (see Hermes-LaSalle [8]), to linear control systems with time dependent, nonconvex control constraints. Recall that if  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable,  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu(\cdot)$  is nonatomic, then  $\int_F$  is convex (see Klein-Thompson [13]),

Theorem 17.1.6 and for a generalization to Banach spaces [23]).

**THEOREM 4.8.** If  $U:R_+ \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is measurable and  $|U(\cdot)| \in L^1_{loc}(R_+)$

then for all  $t \in R_+$ ,  $\overline{R_e(t)} = R(t) \in P_{kc}(X)$ .

## 5. CONVEX INTEGRAL FUNCTIONALS ON LEBESGUE-BOCHNER SPACES.

In this section we use the theoretical results obtained previously, to conduct a study of convex integral functionals which are defined on Lebesgue-Bochner spaces. Our work in this section extends earlier results of Rockafellar [27] (finite dimensions) and Bismut [3] (finite dimensional or separable, reflexive Banach spaces).

It is well known that if  $X$  is finite dimensional space and an integral functional is weakly lower semicontinuous on  $L^1_X$ , then the integrand is automatically convex in the state variable (See Bismut [3], Theorem 1 and Rockafellar [27] Theorem 1). Here we extend this result to separable Banach spaces and we present a different, simpler proof using Theorem 4.1.

First we need a Lemma. Assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite, nonatomic measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space.

**LEMMA A.** If  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is graph measurable and  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ ,

then  $S_F^1$  is  $w$ -closed if and only if  $F(\omega) \in P_{fc}(X)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

PROOF. First assume that  $S_F^1$  is  $w$ -closed in  $L_X^1$ . Then from Theorem 4.1. we have  $S_F^1 = \overline{S_{convF}^1}$ . Using Lemma B of section 4 we conclude that

$$F(\omega) = \overline{\text{conv}F(\omega)} \mu\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow F(\omega) \in P_{fc}(X) \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Now assume that  $F(\omega) \in P_{fc}(X) \mu\text{-a.e.}$  Then  $S_F^1$  is closed and convex. So it is  $w$ -closed. Q.E.D.

Now we are ready for our theorem. The spaces are as above.

Also if  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \overline{R}$  is an integrand for  $x: \Omega \rightarrow X$  measurable we set  $I_f(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$  (if the integral is not defined then we set  $I_f(x) = +\infty$ ).

**THEOREM 5.1.** If  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \overline{R}$  is a normal integrand s.t.

a) there exist  $x_0(\cdot) \in L_X^1$  s.t.  $I_f(x_0) < \infty$ ,

b)  $I_f(\cdot)$  is  $w(L_X^1, L_{X*}^{\infty})$ -lower semicontinuous,

then  $f(\omega, \cdot)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e convex

PROOF. Let  $E: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  be the multifunction defined by  $E(\omega) = \text{epif}(f(\omega, \cdot))$ . Since the integrand  $f(\cdot, \cdot)$  is normal  $E(\cdot)$  is closed valued and measurable. Also note

that  $(x_0(\cdot), f^+(., x_0(\cdot))) \in S_E^1 \neq \emptyset$ . We claim that  $S_E^1$  is  $w$ -closed in  $L_{X \times R}^1(\Omega)$ . So

let  $(x_b, \lambda_b) \xrightarrow{w-L_{X \times R}^1} (x, \lambda)$ . Then for all  $A \in \Sigma$  we have:

$$I_f^A(x_b) = \int_A f(\omega, x_b(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \leq \int_A \lambda_b(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

$$\text{Note that } I_f^A(z) = I_f(x_A z + x_{A^c} x_0) - \int_{A^c} f(\omega, x_0(\omega)) d\mu(\omega)$$

and  $\int_{A^c} f(\omega, x_0(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ . Also  $z(\cdot) + (x_A z + x_{A^c} x_0)$  is affine continuous.

So  $z \rightarrow I_f^A(z)$  is  $w$ -l.s.c. Hence

$$I_f^A(x) \leq \lim I_f^A(x_b) \leq \int_A \lambda(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \Rightarrow f(\omega, x(\omega)) \leq \lambda(\omega) \mu\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow (x, \lambda) \in S_E^1$$

So indeed  $S_E^1$  is  $w$ -closed in  $L_{X \times R}^1$ . Applying Lemma A we get that  $E(\omega) \in P_{fc}(X) \mu\text{-a.e.} \Rightarrow f(\omega, \cdot)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. convex. Q.E.D.

Now we pass to the subdifferential of  $I_f(\cdot)$ . So assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite, measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. We will need the decomposition theorem for  $[L_X^{\infty}]^*$ . This result was first proved by Yosida-Hewitt [32] for  $X=R$  (see also Rockafellar [27]). Then it was extended to separable Banach spaces by Ioffe-Levin [10] and Rockafellar [28] and later to nonseparable Banach space by

Levin [15]. A functional  $u(\cdot) \in [L_X^\infty]^*$  is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , if there exists  $g \in L_w^1$  s.t.

$$\langle u, x \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (g(\omega), x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \text{ for all } x(\cdot) \in L_X^\infty.$$

A functional  $v \in [L_X^\infty]^*$  is said to be singular with respect to  $\mu$  if there exist  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \Sigma$  s.t.

i)  $\Omega_{n+1} \subseteq \Omega_n$   $n \geq 1$  ii)  $\mu(\Omega_n \cap A) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$ ,  $\mu(A) < \infty$  and

iii)  $\langle v, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in L_X^\infty$  s.t.  $x|_{\Omega_n} = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** [15] Every functional  $y \in [L_X^\infty]^*$  admits a unique decomposition

$y = y_a + y_s$  where  $y_a$  is absolutely continuous and  $y_s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ . Furthermore  $\|y\| = \|y_a\| + \|y_s\|$ .

**THEOREM 5.3.** If  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex, normal integrand and  $I_f(\cdot)$  is strongly continuous on  $L_X^\infty$  at  $x_0(\cdot)$

then  $\partial I_f(x_0) \subseteq L_{X^*}^1$  is nonempty and  $w(L_{X^*}^1, L_X^\infty)$ -compact.

Furthermore if  $X^*$  is separable then  $\omega \mapsto \partial f(\omega, x_0(\omega))$  is a  $P_{wkc}(X)$ -valued integrably bounded multifunction.

**PROOF.** Let  $x^* \in [L_X^\infty]^*$  and let  $x^* = x_a^* + x_s^*$  be its decomposition according to Theorem

5.2. From Levin [15], Theorem 6.4, we know that:

$$(I_f)^*(x^*) = I_f(x_a^*) + \sigma(x_s^*, \text{dom } I_f)$$

Since  $I_f(\cdot)$  is s-continuous at  $x_0$ ,  $\partial I_f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $x^* \in \partial I_f(x_0)$ . Then by definition we have:

$$\langle x_a^* + x_s^*, x_0 \rangle = I_f(x_0) + (I_f)^*(x^*) = I_f(x_0) + I_f(x_a^*) + \sigma(x_s^*, \text{dom } I_f) \quad (4.4)$$

Note that because of the continuity of  $I_f(\cdot)$  at  $x_0, x_0 \in \text{intdom } I_f$  and so if  $x_s^* \neq 0$  then

$$\langle x_s^*, x_0 \rangle < \sigma(x_s^*, \text{dom } I_f)$$

which when used back in (4.4) produces a contradiction.

Therefore  $x_s^* = 0 \implies x^* = x_a^* \implies \partial I_f(x_0) \subseteq L_{X^*}^1$ .

Also the continuity at  $x_0(\cdot)$  tells us that  $\partial I_f(x_0)$  is  $w^*$ -compact in  $[L_X^\infty]^*$ . But the restriction of the  $w^*$ -topology on  $L_{X^*}^{1*}$  coincides with the  $w(L_{X^*}^{1*}, L_X^\infty)$ -topology. Therefore  $\partial I_f(x_0)$  is  $w(L_{X^*}^{1*}, L_X^\infty)$ -compact.

Now, if  $X^*$  is separable, then  $L_{X^*}^{1*} = L_{X^*}^1$  (see Ionescu-Tulcea [11]).

Also from Rockafellar [28], we know that  $\partial I_f(x_0) = S_{\partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1$ . So applying proposition 5.1 of [25], we conclude that  $\omega + \partial f(\omega, x_0(\omega))$  is  $P_{wkc}(X)$ -valued integrably bounded multifunction. Q.E.D.

REMARK. Our result generalizes Theorem 2 and its corollary in Bismut [3]. In this paper  $X$  was assumed to be separable, reflexive.

Next we look at some special type of subgradients namely extremal subgradients. These spaces are as above.

THEOREM 5.4. If  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \bar{R}$  is a convex, normal integrand and  $I_f(\cdot)$  is strongly continuous on  $L_X^\infty$  at  $x_0(\cdot)$ , then  $\text{ext} \partial I_f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $u \in \text{ext} \partial I_f(x_0)$ ,  $u(\omega) \in \text{ext} \partial f(\omega, x_0(\omega))$   $\mu$ -a.e.

PROOF. We know that  $\partial I_f(x_0)$  is  $w(L_{X^*}^{1*}, L_X^\infty)$ -compact. So by the Krein-Milman theorem we have that  $\text{ext} \partial I_f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $\partial I_f(x_0) = S_{\partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1 \Rightarrow \text{ext} \partial I_f(x_0) = \text{ext} S_{\partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1$ . But from Benamara [2],  $\text{ext} S_{\partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1 = S_{\text{ext} \partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1$ . Hence  $u \in S_{\text{ext} \partial f(\cdot, x_0(\cdot))}^1 \Rightarrow u(\omega) \in \text{ext} \partial f(\omega, x_0(\omega))$   $\mu$ -a.e. Q.E.D.

Now we turn our attention for the conjugate of the convex integral functional  $I_f: L_X^1 \rightarrow \bar{R}$ .

Assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete, finite, nonatomic measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. Recall that  $f: X \rightarrow RU\{+\infty\}$  is  $w$ -inf-compact, if for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : f(x) \leq \lambda\}$  is  $w$ -compact.

THEOREM 5.5. If  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \bar{R}$  is a convex, normal integrand which is  $w$ -inf compact in  $x$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and there exists  $x(\cdot) \in L_X^1$ , s.t.  $I_f(x(\cdot)) < +\infty$ ,

then  $I_{f^*}(\cdot)$  is  $m(L_{X^*}^{1*}, L_X^1)$ -continuous (Here  $m(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Mackey topology).

PROOF. Since by hypothesis  $I_f$  is  $w$ -inf-compact, from Moreau's theorem (see Laurent [14]) we have that  $(I_f)^*$  is  $m$ -continuous at 0. Also  $[I_f]^* = I_{f^*}$  (see Levin [15] or Rockafellar [28]). Since from convex analysis we know that  $I_{f^*}(\cdot)$  is  $m$ -continuous in the interior of its domain, we have to show that  $I_{f^*}(\cdot)$  is finite everywhere.

From the fact that  $I_{f^*}(\cdot)$  is  $m$ -continuous at 0, we get that there exists

$V \in \mathcal{N}_m(0) = \{\text{filter of } m(L_{X^*}^{\infty, w^*}, L_X^1) \text{-neighborhoods of the origin}\} \text{ s.t. for all } x^* \in V$   
we have

$$I_{f^*}(x^*) \leq I_{f^*}(0) + 1$$

From the definition of the Mackey topology, we know that  $V$  is the polar set of a relatively  $w$ -compact set  $W$  in  $L_X^1$ . So we can write:

$$V = \{x^*(.) \in L_{X^*}^{\infty, w^*} : \sup_{u \in W} \int_{\Omega} (x^*(\omega), u(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \leq 1\}$$

Since  $W$  is relatively  $w$ -compact in  $L_X^1$ , from Theorem 4, p. 104 of Diestel-Uhl [7], we have that  $W$  is uniformly integrable. So for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\mu(A) < \delta$ , then  $\sup_{u \in W} \int_A \|u(\omega)\| d\mu(\omega) < \epsilon$ . Let  $x^* \in L_{X^*}^{\infty, w^*}$ ,  $x^* \neq \phi$ .

Take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\|x^*\|_{\infty}}$ . Then  $\sup_{u \in W} \int_A (x^*(\omega), u(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \leq 1$ , for  $\mu(A) < \delta$ .

Because  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is finite, nonatomic, from Saks lemma we known that we can find  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \Sigma$ .

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = \Omega \text{ with } \mu(A_k) < \delta, \text{ for all } k=1, \dots, n. \text{ Then}$$

$$\int_{A_k} f^*(\omega, x^*(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} f^*(\omega, x^*(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) - \int_{A_k^c} f^*(\omega, 0) d\mu(\omega)$$

since  $I_{f^*}(.)$  is  $m$ -continuous at 0,  $\int_{A_k^c} f^*(\omega, 0) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ .

Also  $\sup_{u \in W} \int_{A_k} (x_{A_k}(\omega) x^*(\omega), u(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \sup_{u \in W} \int_{A_k} (x^*(\omega), u(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) < 1$ .

Therefore  $x_{A_k} x^*(.) \in V$  and so:

$$\int_{\Omega} f^*(\omega, x_{A_k}(\omega) x^*(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = I_{f^*}(x_{A_k} x^*) \leq I_{f^*}(0) + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{A_k} f^*(\omega, x^*(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) < +\infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow I_{f^*}(x^*) < \infty$$

and since  $x^* \in L_{X^*}^{\infty, w^*}$  was arbitrary, we have that  $\text{dom } I_{f^*} = L_{X^*}^{\infty, w^*}$ . Q.E.D.

Now we will obtain a description for  $\overline{\text{dom } I_{f^*}}$ . So assume that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $X$  a separable Banach space.

We recall that if  $f: X \rightarrow RU\{+\infty\}$ ,  $f \neq +\infty$  is convex, then the recession function

$f: X \rightarrow RU\{+\infty\}$  is defined by  $f_{\infty}(h) = \sup\{f(x+h) - f(x) : x \in \text{dom } f\}$ . If  $f(.)$  is in addition lower semicontinuous, then  $f_{\infty}(h) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \frac{f(x+\lambda h) - f(x)}{\lambda}$

THEOREM 5.6. If  $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  is a convex, normal integrand, there exist

$x \in L_X^1$  and  $x^* \in L_{X^*}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $I_f(x) < \infty$  and  $I_{f^*}(x^*) < +\infty$  and  $I_f(\cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $L_X^1$ , then we have  $\overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*} = S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}$  and for all  $x^*(\cdot) \in \text{ext} \overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*}$  we have  $x^*(\omega) \in \text{ext} \overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\omega, \cdot)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

PROOF. Since by hypothesis  $I_f(\cdot)$  is l.s.c. on  $L_X^1$  and convex, from Theorem 6.8.5. of Laurent [14], we have:

$$(I_f)_\infty(x) = \sigma(x, \overline{\text{dom}}(I_f)^*).$$

Since by hypothesis  $\text{dom} I_f \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(I_f)^* = I_{f^*}$ , while from a simple application of the monotone convergence theorem (see also Bismut [3], Proposition 1) we have that:

$$(I_f)_\infty(x) = I_{f_\infty}(x)$$

Therefore  $\int f_\infty(\omega, x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \sigma(x, \overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*})$ . But  $f_\infty(\omega, x(\omega)) = \sigma(x(\omega), \overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\omega, \cdot))$ .

Observe that  $\text{dom} f^*(\omega, \cdot) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{x^* \in X^* : f^*(\omega, x^*) \leq n\} \Rightarrow \omega + \overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\omega, \cdot)$  is graph measurable. Also  $x^* \in S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}$ . So applying Theorem 2.2 of Hiai-Umegaki [9] we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sigma(x(\omega), \overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\omega, \cdot)) d\mu(\omega) &= \int \sup_{\Omega} \underline{(x^*, x(\omega))} d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \sup_{x^*(\cdot) \in S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}} \int (x^*(\omega), x(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) \\ \Rightarrow \sigma(x, \overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*}) &= \sigma(x, S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

Since both sets are clearly convex, we conclude that:

$$\overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*} = S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}$$

The second part of the conclusion, follows from the following equalities (see Benamara [2]):

$$\text{ext} \overline{\text{dom}} I_{f^*} = \text{ext} S_{\overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty} = S_{\text{ext} \overline{\text{dom}} f^*(\cdot, \cdot)}^{\infty}$$

Q.E.D.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research was supported by N.S.F. Grants D.M.S. -8602313 and D.M.S.-8802688.

## REFERENCES

1. AUMANN, R. Measurable utility and the measurable choice theorem, La Decision, Colloque International du C.N.R.S., Paris (1967), 15-26.
2. BENAMARA, M. Sections mesurables extremales d'une multi-application. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t.278 (1974), 1249-1252.
3. BISMUT, J.M. Integrales Convexes et Probabilites, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 639-673.
4. BOURGAIN, J. An averaging result for  $\ell^1$ -sequences and applications to weakly conditionally compact sets in  $L^1_X$ , Israel J. Math. 32 (1979), 289-298.
5. CASTAING, C. and VALADIER, M. Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions. Lecture Notes in Math., 580 Springer, Berlin, (1977).
6. COSTE, A. La propriete de Radon-Nikodym en integration multivoque. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris t.280 (1975), 1515-1518.
7. DIESTEL, J. and UHL, J.J. Vector Measures, Math. Surveys, 15, Providence, RI, (1977).
8. HERMES, H. and LASALLE, J. Functional Analysis and Time Optimal Control, Academic Press, New York (1969).
9. HIAI, F. and UMEGAKI, H. Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions. J. Multiv. Anal. 7 (1977), 149-182.
10. IOFFE, A. and LEVIN, V. Subdifferentials of convex functions, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 26 (1972) 3-74.
11. IONESCU-TULCEA, A. and IONESCU-TULCEA, C. Topics in the theory of Liftings, Springer, Berlin (1969).
12. KATO, T. Linear evolution equations of hyperbolic type. I.Fac. Sc. Univ. Tokyo Sec. I. 17 (1970) 241-258.
13. KLEIN, E. and THOMPSON, A. Theory of Correspondences, John Wiley, New York (1984).
14. LAURENT, P.J. Approximation et Optimisation, Hermann, Paris (1972).
15. LEVIN, V. Convex Integral functionals and the theory of lifting, Russian Math. Surveys 30 (1975), 119-184.
16. LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and TZAFRIRI, L. Classical Banach Spaces II: Function Spaces Springer, Berlin (1979).
17. MUSIAL, K. and NARDZEWSKI, C.R. Liftings of vector measures and their applications to RNP and WRNP. Lecture Notes in Math. 645, Springer, Berlin (1977).
18. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part. 1: Integration and conditional expectation. J. Multiv. Anal. 17 (1986), 185-206.

19. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions. Part 2: Set valued martingales and set valued measures. J. Multiv. Anal. 17 (1986), 207-227.
20. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. Efficiency and optimality in economies described by coalitions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116, (1986), 497-512.
21. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. Trajectories of set valued integrals. Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 31 (1985), 389-411.
22. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. Representation of set valued operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), 557-572.
23. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. On the properties of the Aumann integral, with applicattions to differential inclusions and control systems. Czechoslovak Math. Journ. To appear.
24. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. Random fixed point theorems for measurable multifunctions in Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Soc. 97 (1986), 507-514.
25. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. Contributions to the theory of set valued functions and set valued measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 (1987), 245-265.
26. PAPAGEORGIOU, N.S. On the properties of multimeasures with values in a Banach space. submitted.
27. ROCKAFELLAR, R.T. Weak compactness of level sets of integral functionals. Proc. of 3rd Coll. Anal. Fonct. (CBRM) H.G. Garnir ed, Vender, Lourain (1971), 85-98.
28. ROCKAFELLAR, R.T. Convex integral functionals and duality in Contributions to Nonl. Anal. E. Zarantonello ed, Acad. Press, New York (1971), 215-236.
29. ROSENTHAL, H. A characterization of Banach spaces containing  $\ell^1$ . Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. 71 (1974), 2411-2413.
30. SAINT-BEUVÉ, M.F. On the extension of Von Neumann-Aumann's theorem. J. Funct. Anal. 17 (1974), 112-129.
31. TALAGRAND, M. Weak Cauchy sequences in  $L^1(E)$ . Amer. Jour. of Math. 106 (1984), 703-724.
32. YOSIDA, K. and HEWITT, E. Finctely additive measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 46-66.

## Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

### Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Edson Denis Leonel**, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; [edleonel@rc.unesp.br](mailto:edleonel@rc.unesp.br)

**Alexander Loskutov**, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; [loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru](mailto:loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru)