Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 11 NO. 3 (1988) 449-456

S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

BOGOLJUB STANKOVIĆ

Institute of Mathematics
University of Novi Sad
Yugoslavia

(Received December 2, 1986 and in revised form April 28, 1987)

ABSTRACT. This paper contains first a definition of the asymptotic expansion at infinity of distributions belonging to $\mathcal{D}'(R^n)$, named S-asymptotic expansion, as also its properties and application to partial differential equations.

KEYS WORDS AND PHRASES. Convex cone, distribution, behaviour of a distribution at infinity, asymptotic expansion.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 41A60, Secondary 46F99.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The basic idea of the asymptotic behaviour at infinity of a distribution one can find already in the book of L. Schwartz [1]. To these days many mathematicians tried to find a good definition of the asymptotic behaviour of a distribution. We shall mention only "equivalence at infinity" explored by Lavoine and Misra [2] and the "quasiasymptotic" elaborated by Vladimirov and his pupils [3]. Brichkov [4] introduced the asymptotic expansion of tempered distributions as a useful mathematical tool in quantum field theory. His investigations and definitions were turned just towards these applications. In [4] one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique, introduced by Brichkov, was used in the quantum field theory. This is a reason to study S-asymptotic expansion.

2. DEFINITION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

In the classical analysis we say that the sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ of numerical functions is asymptotic if and only if $\psi_{n+1}(t) = o(\psi_n(t))$, $t \to \infty$. The formal series $\sum_{n\geq 1} u_n(t)$ is an asymptotic expansion of the function $u(t)$ related to the asymptotic sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ if

$$u(t) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} u_n(t) = o(\psi_k(t)), \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.1}$$

for every $k \in N$ and we write

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \mid \{\psi_n(t)\}, \quad t \to \infty \tag{2.2}$$

When for every $n \in N$ $u_n(t) = c_n\psi_n(t)$, c_n are complex numbers, expansion (2.2) is unique, that means the numbers c_n can be determined in only one way.

In this text Γ will be a convex cone with vertex at zero belonging to R^n and $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ the set of all real valued and positive functions $c(h)$, $h \in \Gamma$. Notations for the spaces of distributions are as in the books of Schwartz [1].

DEFINITION 1. The distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ has the S-asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence $\{c_n(h)\} \subset \Sigma(\Gamma)$, we write it

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma \tag{2.3}$$

where $U_n(t,h) \in \mathcal{D}'$ for $n \in N$ and $h \in \Gamma$, if for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$

$$<T(t+h), \rho(t)> \sim \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} < U_n(t,h), \rho(t) > \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ \|h\| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma \tag{2.4}$$

REMARK. 1) In the special case $U_n(t,h) = u_n(t)c_n(h)$, $u_n \in \mathcal{D}$, $n \in N$, we shall write

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \ c_n(h) \ , \quad \|h\| \to \infty \ , \ h \in \tag{2.5}$$

and the given S-asymptotic expansion is unique.

2) To define the S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{S}'(R^n)$, we have only to suppose that in relation (2.4) T and U_n are in $\mathcal{S}'$ and ρ in $\mathcal{S}$.

Brichkov's general definition is slightly different [5].

DEFINITION 1´. The distribution $g \in \mathcal{S}'$ has the asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ on the ray $\{\lambda h_o, \lambda > 0\}$, $h_o \in R^n$

$$g(\lambda h_o - t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_n(t,\lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\} \ , \ \lambda \in R, \ \lambda \to \infty \tag{2.6}$$

where $\hat{c}_n(t,\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}'$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_o > 0$, if for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$

$$<g(\lambda h_o - t), \phi(t)> \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} < \hat{c}_n(t,\lambda), \phi(t)> \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \ \lambda \to \infty \tag{2.7}$$

Relation 2.6 can be transformed in

$$f(x) \ e^{i\lambda \ll x,h_o \gg} \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(x,\lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \to \infty \tag{2.8}$$

by the Fourier transform, if we take $f(x) = F^{-1}[g(t)]$; $\rho(x) = F^{-1}[\phi(t)]$ and $F[\hat{c}_n(t,\lambda)] = (2\pi)^n \ c_n(x,\lambda)$. We denote by $F[\rho]$ the Fourier transform of ρ and by $F^{-1}[g]$ the inverse Fourier transform of g. Also, for $x,t \in R^n$ $\ll x,t \gg = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i t_i$.

In his papers Bričhkov considered only the asymptotic expansinons (2.8) and in one dimensional case. We shall study the asymptotic expansion not in $\mathcal{S}'(R)$ but in the whole $\mathcal{D}'(R^n)$, not only on a ray but on a cone in R^n. Our results enlarge Brichkov's to be valued for the elements of $\mathcal{D}'(R^n)$ (Corollary 1), they are proved with less suppositions (Propositions 5 and 6) or give new properties of the S-asymptotic.

A distribution belonging to $\mathcal{S}'$ can have S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{D}'$ without having the same S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{S}'$. Such an example is the regular distribution $\tilde{f}$ defined by the function

$$f(t) = H(t) \exp(1/(1+t^2)) \exp(-t) \ , \quad t \in R$$

where

$$H(t) = 1,\ t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H(t) = 0, \quad t < 0.$$

It is easy to prove that for $h \in R_+$

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1 + (t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h) \,|\, \{e^{-h} h^{2(1-n)}\},\ h \to \infty.$$

But

$$U_n(t,h) = (1 + (t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h), \quad n \in N, \quad h > 0$$

do not belong to $\mathcal{S}'$.

The regular distribution $\tilde{g}$ defined by the function

$$g(t) = \exp(1 + (1+t^2)) \exp(t), \quad t \in R$$

belongs to $\mathcal{D}'$ but it is not in $\mathcal{S}'$. It has S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{D}'$:

$$\tilde{g}(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1 + (t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(t+h) \;|\; \{e^h h^{2(1-n)}\},\ h \to \infty$$

where $\Gamma = R_+$.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $S \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'$. If

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \;|\; \{c_n(h)\},\ \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma$$

then the convolution

$$(S*T)(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S * U_n)(t,h) \;|\; \{c_n(h)\} \quad \|h\| \to \infty \quad h \in \Gamma \tag{3.1}$$

PROOF. We know that

$$\langle (S*T)(t+h), \rho(t)\rangle - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \langle (S*U_n)(t,h), \rho(t)\rangle = \langle S*[T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} U_n(t,h)], \rho(t)\rangle .$$

It remains only to use the continuity of the convolution.

COROLLARY 1. If

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \;|\; \{c_n(h)\} \quad \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T^{(k)}(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n^{(k)}(t,h) \;|\; \{c_n(h)\},\ \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma \tag{3.2}$$

where $T^{(k)} = (D_{t_1}^{k_1} \ldots D_{t_n}^{k_n}) T$, $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in N_o^n$, $N_o = N \cup \{0\}$.

PROOF. We have only to take $S = \delta^{(k)}$ in Proposition 1.

REMARK. Proposition 1. is valued as well if we suppose that $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ and $S \in \mathcal{O}_c'$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let f, $U_n(t,h)$ and $V_n(t)$, $n \in N$ and $h \in \Gamma$, be the local integrable functions such that for every compact set $K \subset R^n$

$$f(t+h) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \;|\; \{c_n(h)\},\ \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma,\ t \in K$$

and

$$|f(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} U_n(t,h)| / c_k(h) \leq V_k(t), \quad t \in K, \ h \in \Gamma$$

and $||h|| \geq r(k,K)$, then for the regular distribution $\tilde{f}$ defined by f we have

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \overset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ ||h|| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma .$$

PROOF. The proof is a consequence of the Lebesgue's theorem.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 belong to $\mathcal{D}'$ and equal over the open set Ω which has the property: for every $r > 0$ there exists a β_o such that the ball $B(0,r) = \{x \in R^n, \ ||x|| \leq r\}$ is in $\{\Omega\text{-h}, h \in \Gamma, \ ||h|| \geq \beta_o\}$. If

$$T_1(t+h) \overset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ ||h|| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T_2(t+h) \overset{S}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ ||h|| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma$$

as well.

PROOF. We have only to prove that for every $c_k(h)$

$$\lim_{||h|| \to \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)] / c_k(h) \ , \ \rho(t) \rangle = 0, \ \rho \in \mathcal{D} \tag{3.3}$$

Let supp $\rho \subset B(0,r)$. The distribution $T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)$ equals zero over Ω-h. By the supposition there exists a β_o such that the ball $B(0,r)$ is in $\{\Omega - h, \ h \in \Gamma, \ ||h|| \geq \beta_o\}$. This proves out relation (3.3).

PROPOSITION 4. Let $S \in \mathcal{D}'$ and for $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$D_{t_m} S(t+h) \overset{S}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t,h) \mid \{c_i(h)\}, \ ||h|| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma .$$

If the family $\{V_i(t,h), \ i \in N, \ h \in \Gamma\}$ has the properties: $D_{t_m} V_i(t,h) = U_i(t,h)$, $i \in N$, $h \in \Gamma$ and for a $\rho_o \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, $\int_R \rho_o(\tau)d\tau = 1$, and for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$, $k \in N$

$$\lim_{||h|| \to \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=4}^{k} V_i(t,h)]/c_k(h), \ \rho_o(t_m)\lambda_m(t) \rangle = 0$$

where $\lambda_m(t) = \int_R \rho(t_1,\ldots,t_m,\ldots,t_n) \, dt_m$, then

$$S(t+h) \overset{S}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t,h) \mid \{c_i(h)\}, \ ||h|| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma .$$

PROOF. If $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ then $\rho(t) = \rho_o(t_m)\lambda_m(t) + \psi(t)$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\int_R \psi(t_1,\ldots,t_m,\ldots,t_n) \, dt_m = 0$.

Now we have the following equality

$$\langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i(t,h)], \ \rho(t) \rangle = \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i(t,h)], \ \rho_o\lambda_m(t) \rangle$$

$$- \langle [D_{t_m} S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_i(t+h)], \ \int_{-\infty}^{t_m} \psi(t_1,\ldots,u_m,\ldots,t_n) \, du_m \rangle .$$

It remains only to use the limit in it and Corollary 1.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that $S \in \mathcal{D}'$, $\Gamma = \{h \in R^n,\ h = (0,\dots,h_m,\dots,0)\}$, where m is fixed, $1 \leq m \leq n$ and

$$(D_{t_m} S)(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t,h) \mid \{c_i(h)\},\ \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma$$

If there exists $V_i(t,h)$, $D_{h_m} V_i(t,h) = U_i(t,h)$, $i \in N$ and if $c_i(h)$, $i \in N$ are local integrable in h_m and such that

$$\hat{c}_i(h) = \int_1^{h_m} c_i(u)\, du_m \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad h_m \to \infty$$

then

$$S(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t,h) \mid \{\hat{c}_i(h)\},\ \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma\ .$$

PROOF. By L'Hospital's rule with the Stolz's improvement we have for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in N$

$$\lim_{h\to\infty,h\in\Gamma} \frac{\langle S(t+h),\ \rho(t)\rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i(t,h),\ \rho(t)\rangle}{\hat{c}_k(h)}$$

$$= \lim_{h\to\infty,h\in\Gamma} \frac{\langle (D_{t_m} S)(t+h),\ \rho(t)\rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^{k} U_i(t,h),\ \rho(t)\rangle}{c_k(h)}\ .$$

These five propositions give how is related the S-asymptotic with convolution, derivative, classical expansion and the primitive of a distribution. The next proposition gives the analytical expression of $U_n(t,h) = u_n(t)\ c_n(h)$.

PROPOSITION 6. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{D}'$, Γ with nonempty interior,

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t)\ c_n(h),\quad \|h\| \to \infty,\ h \in \Gamma\ .$$

If $u_m \neq 0$, $m \in N$, then u_m has the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} P_k^m(t_1,\dots,t_n)\ \exp(\langle\!\langle a^k, t \rangle\!\rangle),\ m \in N \tag{3.4}$$

where $a^k = (a_1^k,\dots,a_n^k) \in R^n$ and P_k^m are polynomials, the power of them less of k in every t_i, $i = 1,\dots,n$: $\langle\!\langle x,t \rangle\!\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i t_i$.

PROOF. By Definition 1 and our supposition

$$\lim_{\|h\|\to\infty,h\in\Gamma} T(t+h)/c_1(h) = u_1(t) \neq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

From relation (3.5) follows that u_1 satisfies the equation

$$u_1(t+h_o) = d(h_o)\ u_1(t),\ h_o \in \Gamma \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$d(h_o) = \lim_{\|h\|\to\infty,h\in\Gamma} c_1(h+h_o)/c_1(h)$$

If h_o is an interior point of Γ and e_k is such element from R^n for which all the coordinates equal zero except the k-th which is 1. Then

$$u_1(t+h_o+\varepsilon e_k) - u_1(t+h_o) = [d(\varepsilon e_k) - d(0)]u_1(t+h_o).$$

Hence the existence of $D_{h_k} d(h)_{h=o} = a_k^1$ and

$$D_{t_k} u_1(t+h_o) = a_k^1 u_1(t+h_o), \; k = 1,\ldots,n. \tag{3.7}$$

We know that all the solutions of equation (3.7) are of the form $u_1(t) = C_1 \exp(\ll a^1,t\gg)$, where C_1 is a constant and $a^1 = (a_1^1,\ldots,a_n^1)$.

The following limit gives u_2

$$\lim_{||h||\to\infty, h\in\Gamma} \frac{<T(t+h),\rho(t)> - <u_1(t),\rho(t)> c_1(h)}{c_2(h)} = <u_2,\rho>$$

By Corollary 1 follows for $i = 1,\ldots,n$

$$\lim_{||h||\to\infty, h\in\Gamma} \frac{<(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)T(t+h),\rho(t)>}{c_2(h)} = <(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t),\rho(t)>$$

Two cases are possible. a) If $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)\, u_2 = 0$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, then $u_2(t) = C_2 \exp(\ll a^1,t\gg)$.

b) If $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2 \neq 0$ for some i, then $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t) = c \exp(\ll a^2,t\gg)$ and u_2 has the form $C_2 \exp(\ll a^1,t\gg) + P_2^2(t_1,\ldots,t_n) \exp(\ll a^2,t\gg)$, where P_2^2 is a polynomial of the power less of 2 in every t_i, $i=1,\ldots,n$.

In the same way we prove for every u_m.

PROPOSITION 7. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $\Omega \in R^n$ be an open set with the property: for every $r > 0$ there exists a β_r such that the ball $B(h,r) \subset \Omega$ for all $h \in \Gamma$, $||h|| \geq \beta_r$. Suppose

$$T(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n(t+h) \mid \{c_1(h),\ldots,c_m(h)\}, \; ||h|| \to \infty, \; h \in \Gamma$$

for any function $c_m(h)$ from $\Sigma(\Gamma)$, then $T = \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n$ over Ω.

PROOF. The statement of this Proposition can be obtained from a proposition proved in [6]. However, for completeness, we shall give the proof on the whole.

First we shall prove that if for every $c_m(h) \in \Sigma(\Gamma)$

$$\lim_{||h||\to\infty, h\in\Gamma} < \frac{T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n(t+h)}{c_m(h)}, \rho(t)> = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

then there exists a $\beta(\rho)$ such that

$$<[T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n(t+h)], \rho(t)> = 0, \; h \in \Gamma, \; ||h|| \geq \beta(\rho).$$

Suppose the opposite. We would have a sequence $h_n \in \Gamma$, $||h_n||\to\infty$ such that

$$<[T(t+h_n) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n(t+h_n)], \rho(t)> = p_n \neq 0, \; n \in N$$

then we choose $c_m(h)$ in such a way that $c_m(h_n) = p_n$ and relation (3.8) would be false.

We denote by $\beta_o(\rho) = \inf\beta(\rho)$. We shall prove that the set $\{\beta_o(\rho),\ \rho \in \mathcal{D}_K\}$ for every compact set $K \subset R^n$ is bounded. Let us suppose the opposite; then there exists a sequence $\{h_k\}$, $h_k \in \Gamma$, $\|h_k\| \to \infty$ and the sequence $\{\phi_k(t)\}$, $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$ such that

$$<\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \phi_p(t)> = A_{k,p} = \begin{cases} a_k \neq 0\ , & p = k \\ 0\ , & p < k \end{cases}\ ;\ \overline{T} = T - \sum_{n=1}^{m} U_n .$$

The construction of the sequence $\{h_k\}$ and ϕ_k can be the following. Let $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$ be such that $\beta_o(\phi_k)$ is a strict monotone sequence which tends to infinity, then there exist $\{h_k\} \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon_k > 0$, $k \in N$ such that $\beta_o(\phi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k \leq \|h_k\| \leq \beta_o(\phi_k) - \varepsilon_k$. Now, we shall construct the sequence $\{\psi_p(t)\}$, $\psi_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$ for which we have

$$<\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \psi_p(t)> = \begin{cases} 0, & p\neq k \\ a_k, & p=k \end{cases} .$$

Let $\psi_p(t) = \phi_p(t) - \lambda_1^p\phi_1(t)-\ldots-\lambda_{p-1}^p\phi_{p-1}(t)$, $p > 1$. The numbers λ_i^p we can find in such a way that $\psi_p(t)$ satisfies the sought property.

It is easy to see that $<\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \psi_k(t)> = a_k$ and $<\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \psi_p(t)> = 0$, $k > p$. For a fixed p and $k < p$ we can find λ_i^p, $i=1,\ldots,p-1$ so that for $k=1,\ldots,p-1$

$$0 = <\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \psi_p(t)> = A_{k,p}-\lambda_1^p\ A_{k,1}-\ldots-\lambda_{p-1}^p\ A_{k,p-1}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1^p\ A_{k,1} + \ldots + \lambda_{p-1}^p\ A_{k,p-1} = A_{k,p},\ k=1,\ldots,p-1,\ p > 1.$$

As $A_{k,k} \neq 0$ for every k, this system has always a solution.

We introduce now a sequence of numbers $\{b_k\}$, $b_k = \sup\{2^k|\psi_k^{(i)}(t)|,\ i < k\}$. Then the function

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \psi_p(t)/b_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$$

and this series converges in $\mathcal{D}_K$, thus in $\mathcal{D}$ as well. With this

$$<\overline{T}(t+h_k),\psi(t)> = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} <\overline{T}(t+h_k),\ \psi_p(t)/b_p> = a_k/b_k$$

If we choose $c(h)$ such that $c(h_k) = a_k/b_k$ then $<[\overline{T}(t+h)/c(h)],\psi(t)>$ does not converge to zero when $\|h\| \to \infty$, $h \in \Gamma$. This is in contradiction with (3.8). Hence, for every compact set K there exists a $\beta_o(K)$ such that $<\overline{T}(t+h),\phi(t)> = 0, \|h\| \geq \beta_o(K)$, $h \in \Gamma$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K$. That means that $\overline{T}(t+h) = 0$ over $B(0,r)$, $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$, $h \in \Gamma$ and $\overline{T}(t) = 0$ over $B(h,r)$, $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$, $h \in \Gamma$.

4. APPLICATION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

As we mentioned in [4], one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique (in $\mathcal{S}'$ and in one dimensional case) was used in the quantum field theory. We show how the S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{D}'$ can be applied to solutions of partial differential equations.

PROPOSITION 8. Suppose that E is a fundamental solution of the operator

$$L(D) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} a_\alpha D^\alpha,\ a_\alpha \in R,\ \alpha \in (N\cup 0)^n;\ L(D) \not\equiv 0 \tag{4.1}$$

such that

$$E(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ \|h\| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma. \tag{4.2}$$

Then there exists a solution X of the equation

$$L(D)\, X = G, \ G \in \mathcal{E}' \tag{4.3}$$

which has S-asymptotic expansion

$$X(t+h) \overset{s}{\sim} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (G * u_n(t,h)) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \ \|h\| \to \infty, \ h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. The well-known Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem (see for example [7], p. 212) asserts that there exists a fundamental solution of the operator (4.1) belonging to $\mathcal{D}'$. The solution of equation (4.3) exists and can be expressed by the formula $X = E * G$. To find the S-asymptotic of X we have only to apply Propostition 1.

REMARKS. If we denote by A(L(D),E) the collection of those $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ for which the convolution $E * T$ and $L(D)\delta * E * T$ exist in $\mathcal{D}'$, then the solution $X = E * G$ is unique in the class A(L(D),E) ([7], p. 87).

We can enlarge the space to which belongs G ([7], p. 216).

The fundamental solutions are known for the most important operators L(D).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This material is based on work supported by the U.S.-Yugoslavia Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Cooperation, in cooperation with the NSF under Grant (JFP) 544.

REFERENCES

1. SCHWARTZ, L. Théorie des distributions, T. I, II, Herman, Paris, 1957-1951.

2. LAVOINE, J. and MISRA, O.P. Théorèmes Abélians pour la transformation de Stieltjes des distributions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. T. 279 (1974), 99-102.

3. VLADIMIROV, V.S., DROŽŽINOV, Yu.N., and ZAVJALOV, B.I. Multidimensional Theorems of Tauberian Type for Generalized Functions, Moskva, "Nauka", 1986.

4. BRICHKOV, Yu.A. Asymptotical Expansions of the Generalized Functions I, Theoret. Mat. Fiz. T. 5 (1970), 98-109.

5. ZEMANIAN, A.H. Generalized Integral Transformations, Appendix I in the Russian translation, Moskva "Nauka", 1974.

6. STANKOVIĆ, B. Characterization of Some Subspaces of $\mathcal{D}'$ by S-asymptotic, Publ. Inst. Mat. Beograd 41 (1987), in print.

7. VLADIMIROV, V.S. Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1979.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk

Hindawi Publishing Corporation
http://www.hindawi.com