

SOME CONDITIONS FOR FINITENESS OF A RING

HOWARD E. BELL

Department of Mathematics
Brock University
St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada L2S 3A1

(Received May 11, 1987 and in revised form June 24, 1987)

ABSTRACT. Extending a result of Putcha and Yaqub, we prove that a non-nil ring must be finite if it has both ascending chain condition and descending chain condition on non-nil subrings. We also prove that a periodic ring with only finitely many non-central zero divisors must be either finite or commutative.

KEYS WORDS AND PHRASES. Finiteness of rings, Periodic rings, Commutativity.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 16A44, 16A70.

1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY.

Over the years several authors have given sufficient conditions for a ring R to be finite, among them the following:

- (I) (Szele, [9]) R has both ascending chain condition and descending chain condition on subrings;
- (II) (Ganesan, [4], [5]) R has non-trivial left zero divisors, of which there are only a finite number;
- (III) (Bell, [1]) R contains no infinite zero ring and no infinite subring without non-zero nilpotent elements;
- (IV) (Putcha and Yaqub, [8]) R is non-nil and has only finitely many non-nilpotent elements.

The present study, which presents some new conditions for finiteness, was motivated by the Putcha-Yaqub paper. Our first two theorems are ones suggested by that paper; the third is a new result on the old theme of commutativity and finiteness.

Throughout the paper the term zero divisor will refer to a one-sided (i.e. not necessarily two-sided) zero divisor. By a left (right) zero divisor we shall mean an element y for which there exists $x \neq 0$ such that $yx = 0$ ($xy = 0$).

If $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in R$, the subring generated by the x_i will be denoted by $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \rangle$; and for each $x \in R$, the symbols $A_L(x)$ and $A_R(x)$ will denote respectively the left and right annihilators of x . The symbols C and N will be used for the center of R and the set of nilpotent elements of R . The symbol Z will denote the ring of integers, and Z^+ the set of positive integers.

Finally, the ring R is called periodic if for each $x \in R$, there exist distinct $m, n \in Z^+$ for which $x^m = x^n$.

2. TWO FINITENESS THEOREMS FOR NON-NIL RINGS.

Our first theorem, which employs (IV) in its proof, is an extension of (II).

THEOREM 1. Let R be a ring, and let S be the set of non-nilpotent zero divisors of R . If S is finite and non-empty, then R is finite.

PROOF. Let $x \in S$. Applying the pigeonhole principle to the powers of x yields distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for which $x^m = x^n$; consequently, there exists a non-zero idempotent zero divisor e , which we assume to be a right zero divisor. Write $R = eR + A_r(e)$. Since each summand consists of zero divisors of R , each has only finitely many non-nilpotent elements, hence by (IV) is either finite or nil. It is immediate that eR is finite, and to complete the proof we proceed on the assumption that $A_r(e)$ is nil. Let $0 \neq x \in A_r(e)$, with $x^s = 0 \neq x^{s-1}$. Then $(e+x)x^{s-1} = 0$, so $e+x$ is a zero divisor. Moreover, $e+x$ is non-nilpotent, since for any $k \geq s$, we have $(e+x)^k = e + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x^i e$; and the assumption that $(e+x)^k = 0$ gives, on left multiplication by e , the contradiction $e = 0$. It follows that the set $\{e+x \mid x \in A_r(e)\}$ is finite, hence $A_r(e)$ is finite and so is R .

THEOREM 2. If R is any non-nil ring having both ascending chain condition and descending chain condition on non-nil subrings, then R is finite.

PROOF. Note that by (I) and (III), any infinite ring R satisfying our hypotheses, and indeed every infinite subring of R , must contain an infinite zero ring. Moreover, for any non-nilpotent element x , the chain $\langle x \rangle \subset \langle x^2 \rangle \subset \langle x^4 \rangle \subset \dots$ becomes stationary at some point, hence there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ for which $x^n = x^{n+1}p(x)$; and since this last condition is obviously satisfied by nilpotent elements as well, a result of Chacron ([3], [2, Theorem 1]) shows that R is periodic, hence contains non-zero idempotents. The following lemma gives crucial information about the idempotents.

LEMMA. If R satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and e is any non-zero idempotent, then $A_r(e)$ and $A_\ell(e)$ are finite.

PROOF. Assume without loss that e is a left zero divisor; note that in any periodic ring, idempotents have finite additive order. Recall our initial remark, which implies that if $A_r(e)$ is infinite, it must contain an infinite zero ring.

Let B be any zero ring contained in $A_r(e)$, and let u be an arbitrary element of B . Considering the chain $\langle e, u \rangle \subset \langle e, 2u \rangle \subset \langle e, 4u \rangle \subset \dots$ yields $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $2^k u \in \langle e, 2^{k+1} u \rangle$ - that is, there exist $p, q, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$2^k u = pe + q2^{k+1}u + t2^{k+1}ue.$$

Left-multiplying by e yields $pe = 0$, hence $(2^k - q2^{k+1})u = t2^{k+1}ue$, and the fact that e has finite additive order shows that u does also. We now know that any subring E of R generated by e and a finite number of elements of B is finite. Choosing a maximal E , say E_1 , and noting that $B \subseteq E_1$, we see that B is finite. The proof of the lemma is now complete.

Returning to the proof of Theorem 2, suppose that e is an idempotent which is a zero divisor, say a left zero divisor; and write $R = eR + A_r(e) = eRe + (eR \cap A_\ell(e)) + A_r(e)$. The last two summands are finite by the lemma, and the first is a ring satisfying our

original hypotheses and having a multiplicative identity element. Of course, if all idempotents of R are regular, then R has a multiplicative identity element; therefore, we have reduced the problem to proving the theorem under the additional hypothesis that R has 1, in which case the periodicity of R implies that R has non-zero characteristic.

If there exists a non-zero idempotent $f \neq 1$, the decomposition $R = fR + (1-f)R$ shows that R is finite, since both summands are finite by the lemma. Therefore, assume that 1 is the only non-zero idempotent, and use the periodicity of R to obtain the property that every element is either nilpotent or invertible - a property that forces N to be an ideal [7]. The factor ring $\frac{R}{N}$ has ascending chain condition and descending chain condition on subrings, hence is finite by (I). Now consider N , and let B_1 be any zero ring contained in N . Among subrings of R generated by 1 and finitely many elements of B_1 , choose M to be a maximal one. Note that M is finite and $B_1 \subseteq M$; hence B_1 is finite, N is finite, and R is finite.

3. A THEOREM ON PERIODIC RINGS.

The final theorem may be thought of as an extension of Herstein's result ([6], [2, Theorem 2]) that periodic rings with $N \cap C$ are necessarily commutative.

THEOREM 3. Let R be a periodic ring having only finitely many non-central zero divisors. Then R is either finite or commutative.

PROOF. Let $n(R)$ denote the number of non-central zero divisors, and note that Herstein's result implies commutativity of R if $n(R) = 0$. Assume henceforth that $n(R) \geq 1$; and consider first the case that every element of R is a left zero divisor or, more generally, the case that the set D of left zero divisors is a non-trivial additive subgroup of R . Then for $d \in D \setminus C$ and $u \in D \cap C$, $d+u \in D \setminus C$; hence $\{d+u \mid u \in D \cap C\}$ is finite. Thus, D is finite; and R is finite by (II). This argument covers the case $R=N$, so we assume that $R \neq N$ and therefore R contains non-zero idempotents.

If every non-zero idempotent is regular, there exists a unique non-zero idempotent, necessarily 1; and every element is invertible or nilpotent. It follows, again by [7], that N is an ideal; and since N is equal to the set D of left zero divisors, R is finite.

Assume now that we have a counterexample R with $n(R)$ as small as possible. Then there exists $y \notin D$ and therefore an idempotent $e \notin D$. Thus R has a left identity element; and since we can repeat our previous arguments for right zero divisors, R has a right identity as well, hence R has 1. Moreover, by the argument in the previous paragraph, R has an idempotent e which is a zero divisor. If $e \notin C$, then at least one of eR and Re must be non-commutative. On the other hand, if $e \in C$, then $R = eR \oplus (1-e)R$, where \oplus denotes a ring-theoretic direct sum; and since R was a counterexample, one of the summands must be non-commutative. Thus, in any event we may assume eR to be non-commutative.

Now eR must contain a non-central element d which is a left zero divisor in eR ; otherwise, eR would be commutative by Herstein's result. For $u = (1-e)x \in C \setminus (1-e)R$, we have $eu=ue=0$, hence u left-annihilates eR and $d+u$ is a non-central left zero divisor in R . Thus, $C \setminus (1-e)R$ is finite; and since $(1-e)R$ consists of zero divisors

in R , it contains only finitely many elements not in C , hence must be finite. Now eR cannot be finite as well, since $R = eR + (1-e)R$; therefore $n(eR) = n(R)$, and every non-central zero divisor in R must be a zero-divisor in eR . It follows that $(1-e)R \subseteq C$. But then for any non-central zero divisor d and any element $u \in (1-e)R$, $d+u$ is a non-central zero divisor, so both d and $d+u$ are in eR and therefore $u \in eR$. But this implies $(1-e)R = \{0\}$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

4. REMARKS.

In Theorem 3 the hypothesis of finitely many non-central zero divisors cannot be replaced by the assumption that R has only finitely many non-central nilpotent elements. A counterexample is the direct sum $F \oplus S$, where F is an infinite periodic field and S is a finite non-commutative nil ring.

A plausible extension of the Putcha-Yaqub result - namely, that a ring R having only a finite number of regular elements must either be finite or consist entirely of zero divisors - is also false, even for commutative rings. To see this, consider the algebra A over $GF(2)$ having basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, \dots\}$, where the e_i are pairwise orthogonal idempotents. Certainly A is not finite, and it is easily shown that 1 is the unique regular element.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant No. A3961.

REFERENCES

1. BELL, H.E. Infinite Subrings of Infinite Rings and Near-rings, Pacific J. Math. 59 (1975), 345-358.
2. BELL, H.E. On Commutativity of Periodic Rings and Near-rings, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 36 (1980), 293-302.
3. CHACRON, M. On a Theorem of Herstein, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 1348-1353.
4. GANESAN, N. Properties of Rings with a Finite Number of Zero Divisors, Math. Ann. 157 (1964), 215-218.
5. GANESAN, N. Properties of Rings with a Finite Number of Zero Divisors II, Math. Ann. 161 (1965), 241-246.
6. HERSTEIN, I.N. A Note on Rings with Central Nilpotent Elements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 620.
7. OUTCALT, D. and YAQUB, A. A Commutativity Theorem for Rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 95-99.
8. PUTCHA, M.S. and YAQUB, A. Rings with a Finite Set of Nonnilpotents, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 2 (1979), 121-126.
9. SZELE, T. On a Finiteness Criterion for Modules, Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1954), 253-256.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru