Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 11 NO. 1 (1988) 5-8

A NOTE ON RINGS WHICH ARE MULTIPLICATIVELY GENERATED BY IDEMPOTENTS AND NILPOTENTS

HAZAR ABU-KHUZAM

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Petroleum and Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

(Received June 2, 1986 and in revised form September 16, 1986)

ABSTRACT. We give the structure of certain rings which are multiplicatively generated by nilpotents or multiplicatively generated by idempotents and nilpotents.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Boolean ring, Nil ring, polynomial identity.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 16A70.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In a Boolean ring, every element is trivially a product of idempotents. On the other hand, in a nil ring, every element is trivially a product of nilpotents. This motivates the study of the structure of a ring, which as a semi-group, is generated by its idempotents, or is generated by its nilpotents, or more generally, is generated by its idempotents and nilpotents. Indeed, we prove that a ring which is multiplicatively generated by its nilpotents is nil if it is Artinian or if it satisfies the polynomial identity $x^m = x^{m+1} f(x)$ ($f(x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients). We also prove that if R is a ring which is multiplicatively generated by its idempotents and nilpotents such that the set N of nilpotent elements is commutative, then N forms an ideal of R and R/N is Boolean. We also give examples to show that our conditions are essential for the validity of our theorems.

We start with the following definitions, the first of which was introduced in [1].

DEFINITIONS. A ring R is called an I-ring if as a semigroup R is generated by its idempotents. A ring R is called an N-ring if as a semi-group R is generated by its nilpotents. R is said to be an NI-ring if as a semigroup R is generated by its idempotents and nilpotents.

The following two theorems were proved in [1].

THEOREM A. Let R be an I-ring with identity. Then R is Boolean.

THEOREM B. Let R be a finite I-ring. Then R is Boolean.

REMARKS.

1. A homomorphic image of an I-ring, N-ring, or an NI-ring is an I-ring, N-ring, or an NI-ring.
2. If R is an N-ring with identity, then $R = \{0\}$.
3. Trivially, every I-ring and every N-ring is an NI-ring.

4. An I-ring need not be Boolean as shown in [1]. An N-ring need not be nil (see Example 1 below). An NI-ring need not be neither Boolean nor nil (see Example 2 below).

2. MAIN RESULTS.

In preparation for the proofs of our theorems, we start with the following lemmas. Lemma 1 is known but we give its proof for completeness.

LEMMA 1. Let R be a ring such that for some positive integer m, and some polynomial f(x) with integer coefficients, $x^m = x^{m+1} f(x)$ for all x in R. Then $= x^m(f(x))^m$ is an idempotent of R for all x in R.

PROOF. $x^m = x^{m+1} f(x) = x^m xf(x) = x^{m+2} f(x))$. Continuing we get $x^m = x^{2m} (f(x))^m$ which implies that $e = x^m(f(x))^m$ is an idempotent.

LEMMA 2. If a ring R satisifes the polynomial identity $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$, then the Jacobson radical J of R is nil.

PROOF. Let $x \in J$. By Lemma 1, $x^m(f(x))^m$ is an idempotent element in J. So $x^m(f(x))^m = 0$ and since $x^m = x^{2m}(f(x))^m$ (Lemma 1), we obtain $x^m = 0$ for every x in J. So H is nil.

In [1], it is proved that a finite I-ring is Boolean. In the following two theorems we study the analogous case for N-rings. Indeed, we prove that an N-ring R is nil of R is Artinian or if R satisfies the polynomial identity $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$.

THEOREM 1. Let R be an Artinian N-ring. Then R is nilpotent.

PROOF. Let J be the Jacobson radical of R. Suppose $J \neq R$, then R/J (being semisimple Artinian) has an identity. So R/J is an N-ring with identity (Remark 1). Thus $R/J = \{0\}$, by Remark 2. This contradicts our assumption that $J \neq R$. So $R = J$, and hence R is nilpotent, since J is nilpotent in an Artinian ring.

THEOREM 2. Let R be an N-ring satisfying the polynomial identity $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$ (m is a positive and f(x) is a polynomial with integer coefficients). Then R is nil.

PROOF. By Lemma 2, the Jacobson radical J of R is nil. R/J being semisimple is semiprime, and hence R/J is a subdirect product of prime rings R_α. Each non-zero prime ring R_α satisfies the identity $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$, and hence by Theorem 1.4.2 of [2], R_α has a nontrivial center. Let $c_\alpha \neq 0$ be a central element of R_α. By Lemma 1, $e_\alpha = c_\alpha^m(f(c_\alpha))^m$ is an idempotent of R_α, and hence e_α is a central idempotent of R_α. $e_\alpha \neq 0$, otherwise $c_\alpha^m = c_\alpha^{2m}(f(c_\alpha))^m = 0$ which contradicts the fact that c_α is a nonzero central element of a prime ring and cannot be a zero divisor by Lemma 2.1.3 of [3]. But $e_\alpha R(e_\alpha x_\alpha - x_\alpha) = 0$ for all $x_\alpha \in R_\alpha$. So $e_\alpha x_\alpha - x_\alpha = 0$ for all x_α in R_α, and hence R_α has an identity element. So R_α is an N-ring (Remark 1) with identity. So $R_\alpha = 0$ (Remark 2). This implies that $R/J = \{0\}$, and $R = J$ is nil.

We now give an example to show that Theorem 1 need not be true if R is not Artinian and Theorem 2 need not be true if R does not satisfy the identity $x^m = x^{m+1}f(x)$. The ring used in the following example was used in [1] to show that an I-ring need not be Boolean.

EXAMPLE 1. Let D be any ring with identity, and let R be the ring of all $\infty\times\infty$ matrices over D in which at most a finite number of entries are nonzero. Let x be any element of R. Then, for some positive integer n and some $n\times n$ matrix

A over D we have

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \; ; \; A \text{ is } n\times n, \; 0\text{'s are zero matrices.}$$

Let $S = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; $T = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & 0 \\ A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; 0's are zero matrices.

It is easy to verify that S and T are nilpotent elements, and X = ST. Thus R is an N-ring which is not nil since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not nilpotent. This example shows that we cannot drop the hypothesis that R is Artinian in Theorem 1 or the hypothesis that R satisfies the identity $x^m - x^{m+1}f(x)$ in Theorem 2.

Next we study the structure of certain NI-rings. The following example shows that an NI-ring need not be neither Boolean nor nil.

Example 2. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

over GF(2). Trivially, R is a finite NI-ring which is neither Boolean nor nil.

In example 2 above, the NI-ring R has the property that the set N of nilpotent elements forms an ideal of R and R/N is Boolean. This motivates the study in the next theorem. Indeed, we prove that an NI-ring will have this property if the nilpotent elements of R commute.

THEOREM 3. Let R be an NI-ring such that the set N of nilpotent elements of R is commutative. Then N is an ideal of R and R/N is Boolean.

PROOF. If R has no nonzero idempotents, then R is multiplicatively generated by nilpotents only. So R = N is nil since N is commutative, and the theorem follows. So we may assume that R has nonzero idempotents. Let e be any nonzero idempotent of R and let x be any element of R. Clearly, $(ex - exe) \in N$ and $(xe - exe) \in N$. Now, since N is commutative

$$e(ex - exe)\,(xe - exe) = e(xe - exe)\,(ex - exe) = 0.$$

This implies that $ex^2 - exexe = 0$, and hence

(1) $(exe)^2 = ex^2e$.

Using induction, (1) implies that

(2) $(exe)^{2^n} = ex^{2^n}e$ for all positive integers n.

Let $a \in N$. Then using (2) we obtain

(3) $eae \in N$ for every $a \in N$.

Since N is commutative, N is a subring of R. So using (3) and the fact that $ea \cdot eae \in N$ and $ae - eae \in N$ we get

(4) $ea \in N$, $ae \in N$ for every $a \in N$ and every idempotent e.

Now since R is multiplicatively generated by idempotents and nilpotnets and since N is commutative, (4) implies that

(5) N is an ideal of R.

Let $\bar{x} = x + N$ be any nonzero element of R/N. Since R is an NI-ring, (5) implies that either $x \in N$ or $x = e_1 e_2 \ldots e_n$ for some idempotent elements $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$. So

$$\bar{x} = e_1 e_2 \ldots e_n + N = (e_1 + N)(e_2 + N)\ldots(e_n + N),$$

and hence

(6) R/N is an I-ring.

If $\bar{e}$ is any idempotent element of R/N, then $(\bar{\bar{e}}\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{e}}\bar{x}\bar{\bar{e}})$ and $(\bar{x}\bar{\bar{e}} - \bar{\bar{e}}\bar{x}\bar{\bar{e}})$ are nilpotent elements of R/N. But R/N has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Thus $\bar{\bar{e}}\bar{x} = \bar{\bar{e}}\bar{x}\bar{\bar{e}} = \bar{x}\bar{\bar{e}}$ for all x in R/N and hence

(7) The idempotents of R/N are central.

Now, by (6) and (7), R/N is I-ring with central idempotent elements, and hence R/N is Boolean. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

We now give an example to show that Theorem 3 need not be true if the nilpotents of R do not commute.

EXAMPLE 3. Let R be the ring of Example 1. Then R, being an N-ring, is an NI-ring. Clearly, the set N of nilpotent elements of R is not an ideal of R. This example shows that we cannot drop the hypothesis that the nilpotent commute in Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

1. PUTCHA, M.S. and YAQUB, A. "Rings which are Multiplicatively Generated by Idempotents," Communications in Algebra 8(1980), 153-159.

2. HERSTEIN, I.N. Rings With Involution, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.

3. HERSTEIN, I.N. Noncommutative Rings, The Carus Math. Monographs, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1968.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru