Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
Vol. 10 No. 2 (1987) 259-266

ON COEFFICIENT BOUNDS OF A CERTAIN CLASS p-VALENT λ-SPIRAL FUNCTIONS OF ORDER α

M.K. AOUF

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
University of Mansoura
Mansoura, Egypt

and

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
University of Qatar
P.O. Box 2713
Doha - Qatar

(Received April 11, 1986)

ABSTRACT. Let $S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p)$, denote the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic in $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$, which satisfy for $z = re^{i\theta} \in U$

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan\lambda = \frac{p + [pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

$w(z)$ is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in U$. In this paper we obtain the bounds of a_n and we maximize $|a_{p+2} - \mu a^2_{p+1}|$ over the class $S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)$ for complex values of μ.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. p-Valent, analytic, bounds, λ-spirallike functions of order α.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 30A32, 30A36.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A_p (p a fixed integer greater than zero) denote the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic in $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. We use Ω to denote the class of bounded analytic functions $w(z)$ in U satisfies the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ for $z \in U$. Also let $P(p,\alpha)$(with p a postive integer) denote the class of functions with positive real part of order α that have the form

$$P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in U and satisfy the conditions $P(0) = p$ and $Re\{P(z)\} > \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) in U. The class $P(p,\alpha)$ was introduced by Patil and Thakare [1]. It was shown in [1] that the function $P \in P(p,\alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{p-(p-2\alpha)w(z)}{1 + w(z)} , \quad w \in \Omega . \tag{1.2}$$

For $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and p a fixed integer greater than zero, let $S^{\lambda}(p,\alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) \in A_p$ which satisfy

$$\text{Re } \{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \} > \alpha \cos \lambda \tag{1.3}$$

for $z \in U$ and $o \leq \alpha < p$. We say the functions in $S^{\lambda}(p,\alpha)$ are p-valent λ-spiral-like of order α. The class $S^{\lambda}(p,\alpha)$ was introduced by Patil and Thakare [1]. It was shown in [1] that $f \in S^{\lambda}(p,\alpha)$ if and only if there exists a function $P \in P(p,\alpha)$ such that

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \cos \lambda . \; P(z) + ip \sin \lambda . \tag{1.4}$$

Let $P(A,B)(-1 \leq A < B \leq 1)$ denote the class of functions $P_1(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ analytic in U and such that $P_1(z) \in P(A,B)$ if and only if

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)} , \quad w \in \Omega , \quad z \in U . \tag{1.5}$$

The class $P(A,B)$ was introduced by Janowski [2].

For $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ and $o \leq \alpha < p$, denote by $P(A,B,p,\alpha)$ the class of functions $P_2(z)$ of form (1.1) which satisfy that $P_2(z) \in P(A,B,p,\alpha)$ if and only if

$$P_2(z) = (p-\alpha)P_1(z) + \alpha, \quad P_1(z) \in P(A,B) \tag{1.6}$$

Using (1.5) in (1.6), one can show that $P_2(z) \in P(A,B,p,\alpha)$ if and only if

$$P_2(z) = \frac{p + [pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} , \; w \in \Omega . \tag{1.7}$$

Also let $S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)(|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2} , -1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ and $o \leq \alpha < p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z) \in A_p$ which satify

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \cos \lambda \; P_2(z) + ip \sin \lambda, \; P_2(z) \in P(A,B,p,\alpha) . \tag{1.8}$$

Using (1.7) in (1.8) one can easily show that:

$f(z) \in S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)$ if and only if

(i) $$e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan \lambda = \frac{p + [pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} , \; w \in \Omega. \tag{1.9}$$

(ii) $$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p + [pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)\cos \lambda \; e^{-i\lambda}]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} , \quad w \in \Omega. \tag{1.10}$$

We shall need the following lemma in our investigation:

LEMMA 1[3]. Let $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \Omega$, if ν is any complex number, then

$$|b_2 - \nu b_1^2| \leq \max \{1, |\nu|\}. \tag{1.11}$$

Equality is attained for $w(z) = z^2$ and $w(z) = z$.

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE CLASS $S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)$.

LEMMA 2. If integers p and m are greater than zero; $o \leqq \alpha < p$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $-1 \leqq A < B \leqq 1$, then

$$\prod_{j=o}^{m-1} \frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2} =$$

$$\frac{\cos^2\lambda}{m^2}\Big\{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m=1}\Big[k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)\Big]\prod_{j=o}^{k-1}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2}\Big\}. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on m. For $m=1$, the lemma is obvious. Next suppose that the result is true for $m=q-1$. We have

$$\frac{\cos^2\lambda}{q^2}\Big\{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\Big[k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)\Big]\times\prod_{j=o}^{k-1}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2}\Big\} =$$

$$\frac{\cos^2\lambda}{q^2}\Big\{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{q-2}\Big[k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)\Big]\times\prod_{j=o}^{k-1}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2} +$$

$$\Big[(q-1)^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + 2(q-1)B(B-A)(p-\alpha)\Big]\times\prod_{j=o}^{q-2}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2}\Big\}$$

$$= \prod_{j=o}^{q-2}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj\right|^2}{(j+1)^2}\times$$

$$\Big\{\frac{(q-1)^2B^2 + (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2\cos^2\lambda + 2(q-1)\cdot B(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos^2\lambda}{q^2}\Big\}$$

$$= \prod_{j=o}^{q-1}\left|\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj}{(j+1)}\right|^2 .$$

Showing that the result is valid for $m=q$. This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 1. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leqq \prod_{k=o}^{n-(p+1)}\frac{\left|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bk\right|}{k+1} \quad (2.2)$$

for $n \geq p+1$ and these bounds are sharp for all admissible A,B,λ and α and for each n.

PROOF. As $f \in S^{\lambda}(A,B,p,\alpha)$, from (1.9), we have

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda \cdot \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan\lambda = \frac{p+[pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1+Bw(z)}, \quad w \in \Omega .$$

This may be written as

$$\{Be^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda\, sf'(z) + [-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ipB \tan\lambda]f(z)\} \; w(z)$$

$$= (p+ip \tan\lambda)f(z) - e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda\, zf'(z) .$$

Hence

$$\Big[Be^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda \{pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k}\} +$$

$$[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ipB \tan\lambda] \; \{z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k}\}\Big] \; w(z)$$

$$= (p+ip \tan\lambda) \{ z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k}\} -$$

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda \{ pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k}\}$$

or

$$\Big[pBe^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda + [-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha) -ip\ B \tan\lambda] +$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ (p+k)Be^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda + [-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha) - ip\ B \tan\lambda] \} a_{p+k}z^{k}\Big] \; w(z)$$

$$= (p+ip \tan\lambda - p\, e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ p+ip \tan\lambda -(p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda\} a_{p+k}z^{k}$$

which may be written as

$$\sum_{k=o}^{\infty} \Big[\{(p+k)Be^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda + [-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha) - ip\ B \tan\lambda] \} \; a_{p+k}z^{k}\Big] \; w(z)$$

$$= \sum_{k=o}^{\infty} [p+ip \tan\lambda - (p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda]a_{p+k}z^{k} \tag{2.3}$$

where $a_p=1$ and $w(z) = \sum_{k=o}^{\infty} b_{k+1}\, z^{k+1}$.

Equating coefficients of z^m on both sides of (2.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=o}^{m-1} \{(p+k)Be^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda + [-pB + (B-A)(p-\alpha) - ip\ B \tan\lambda]\} \; a_{p+k}\; b_{m-k}$$

$$= \{ p+ip \tan\lambda - (p+m)e^{i\lambda} \sec\lambda\} a_{p+m} ;$$

which shows that a_{p+m} on right-hand side depends only on

$$a_p,\ a_{p+1},\ \cdots,\ a_{p+(m-1)}$$

of left-hand side. Hence we can write

$$\sum_{k=o}^{m-1}\left[\{(p+k)B\ e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda+[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ip\ B\tan\lambda]\}\ a^{p+k}\ z^k\right]w(z)=$$

$$=\sum_{k=o}^{m}[p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+k)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda]a_{p+k}\ z^k+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}A_k z^k$$

for $m=1,2,3,\ldots$ and a proper choice of $A_k (k \geq 0)$.

Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, then

$$\sum_{k=o}^{m-1}\left|(p+k)Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda+[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ip\ B\tan\lambda]\right|^2\ |a_{p+k}|^2\ r^{2k}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left|\sum_{k=o}^{2m-1}\{(p+k)Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda+[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ipB\cdot\tan\lambda]\}a_{p+k}\ r^k\ e^{i\theta k}\right|^2 d\theta$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2\pi}\cdot\int_0^{2\pi}\left|\sum_{k=o}^{m-1}\{(p+k)Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda+[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ip\cdot B\cdot\tan\lambda]\}a_{p+k}r^k e^{i\theta k}\right|^2\left|w(re^{i\theta})\right|^2\cdot d\theta$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left|\sum_{k=o}^{m}\{p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+k)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\}a_{p+k}r^k e^{i\theta k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}A_k r^k e^{i\theta k}\right|^2\cdot d\theta$$

$$\geq\sum_{k=o}^{m}\left|p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+k)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\right|^2\ |a_{p+k}|^2\ r^{2k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}|A_k|^2\ r^{2k}$$

$$\geq\sum_{k=o}^{m}\left|p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+k)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\right|^2\ |a_{p+k}|^2\ r^{2k}\ . \qquad (2.4)$$

Setting $r \to 1$ in (2.4), the inequality (2.4) may be written as

$$\sum_{k=o}^{m-1}\{\left|(p+k)Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda+[-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ip\ B\tan\lambda]\right|^2-$$

$$-\left|p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+k)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\right|^2\}\ |a_{p+k}|^2$$

$$\geq\left|p+ip\tan\lambda-(p+m)e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\right|^2\ |a_{p+m}|^2\ . \qquad (2.5)$$

Simplification of (2.5) leads to

$$|a_{p+m}|^2\leq\frac{\cos^2\lambda}{m^2}\cdot\sum_{k=o}^{m-1}\{k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda+(B-A)(p-\alpha)[(B-A)(p-\alpha)+2kB]\}|a_{p+k}|^2 \qquad (2.6)$$

Replacing $p+m$ by n in (2.6), we are led to

$$|a_n|^2\leq\frac{\cos^2\lambda}{(n-p)^2}\cdot\sum_{k=o}^{n-(p+1)}\{k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda+(B-A)(p-\alpha)[(B-A)(p-\alpha)+2kB]\}\ |a_{p+k}|^2, \qquad (2.7)$$

where $n \geq p+1$.

For $n=p+1$, (2.7) reduces to

$$|a_{p+1}|^2 \leq (B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2\cos^2\lambda$$

or
$$|a_{p+1}|^2 \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda \tag{2.8}$$

which is equivalent to (2.2).

To establish (2.2) for $n > p+1$, we will apply induction argument.

Fix n, $n \geq p+2$, and suppose (2.2) holds for $k = 1,2,\ldots, n-(p+1)$. Then

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \frac{\cos^2\lambda}{(n-p)^2}\{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-(p+1)}\{k^2(B^2-1)\sec^2\lambda + (B-A)(p-\alpha)[(B-A)(p-\alpha) + 2kB]\} \times \prod_{j=o}^{k-1}\frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2}\} . \tag{2.9}$$

Thus from (2.7), (2.9) and lemma 2 with $m=n-p$, we obtain

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \prod_{j=o}^{n-(p+1)}\frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda\, e^{-i\lambda} + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} .$$

This completes the proof of (2.2). This proof is based on a technique found in Clunie [4].

For sharpness of (2.2) consider

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-B\delta z)(\frac{B-A}{B})(p-\alpha)\cos\lambda e^{-i\lambda}} , \quad |\delta| = 1,\ B \neq 0$$

Remarks on Theorem 1:

(1) Setting $B=1$ and $A=-1$ in Theorem 1, we get the result of Patil and Thakare [1].

(2) Setting $B=1$, $A=-1$ and $p=1$ in Theorem 1, we get the result of Libera [5].

(3) Setting $B=1$, $A=-1$, $p=1$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result of Zamorski [6].

(4) Setting $B=1$, $A=-1$, $p=1$ and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 1, we get the result of Robertson [7] and Schild [8].

THEOREM 2. If $f(z)=z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S^\lambda(A,B,p,\alpha)$ and μ is any complex number, then

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2}\cos\lambda \max\{1, |(B-A)(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)\cos\lambda - e^{i\lambda}|\} \tag{2.10}$$

This inequality is sharp for each μ.

PROOF. As $f \in S^\lambda(A,B,p,\alpha)$, from (1.9) we have

$$e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip\tan\lambda = \frac{p+[pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]w(z)}{1+Bw(z)} \tag{2.11}$$

where $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \Omega$.

Rewriting the form (2.11) as

$$w(z) = \frac{p - e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + ip\tan\lambda}{Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + [-pB+(B-A)(p-\alpha)-ip\tan\lambda B]}$$

$$= \frac{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda[pf(z)-zf'(z)]}{Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\cdot(zf'(z)) + [-Bpe^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda + (B-A)(p-\alpha)]f(z)}$$

$$= \frac{-e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{p+k} z^k}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^k] + Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{p+k} z^k}$$

$$= \frac{-e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{p+k} z^k}{(B-A)(p-\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\{(B-A)(p-\alpha) + \kappa Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\} a_{p+k}] z^k}$$

$$-e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\left[\frac{a_{p+1}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)} z + \frac{1}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)} x\right.$$

$$\left. x\{2a_{p+2} - (\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+Be^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)})a^2_{p+1}\} z^2 + \ldots\right]$$

and then comparing coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides, we have

$$b_1 = -\frac{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)} a_{p+1}$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2}[2(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{p+2} - \{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda\} a^2_{p+1}].$$

Thus

$$a_{p+1} = -\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} b_1$$

and

$$a_{p+2} = -\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} b_2 + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)} a^2_{p+1}$$

Hence

$$a_{p+2} - \mu a^2_{p+1} =$$

$$-\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} b_2 + [\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)} - \mu]a^2_{p+1}$$

$$= -\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} b_2 + [\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)} - \mu]\frac{(B-A)^2(p-\alpha)^2}{e^{2i\lambda}\sec^2\lambda} b_1^2 . \qquad (2.12)$$

Thus taking modulus of both sides of (2.12), we are led to

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a^2_{p+1}| =$$

$$\frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2} \cos\lambda \left| b_2 - \left\{ \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)+e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda}{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)} - \mu \right\} \frac{2(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} b_1^2 \right|$$

$$= \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2} \cos\lambda \left| b_2 - \left\{ \frac{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda - (B-A)(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)}{e^{i\lambda}\sec\lambda} \right\} b_1^2 \right|. \qquad (2.13)$$

Using lemma 1 in (2.13), we get

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a^2_{p+1}| \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)}{2} \cos\lambda \max\{1, |(B-A)(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)\cos\lambda - e^{i\lambda}|\}$$

and since (1.11) is sharp, then (2.10) is also sharp.

Remark on Theorem 2. Setting (i) B=1 and A=-1, (ii) B=1, A=-1 and p=1, (iii) B=1, A=-1, p=1 and α=0, (iv) B=1, A=-1 and λ=0, in Theorem 2, we get the results of Patil and Thakare [1].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. In conclusion, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. D. K. Thomas for his kind encouragement and helpful guidance in preparing this paper.

REFERENCES

1. PATIL, D.A. and THAKARE, N.K. On Coefficients Bound of p-Valent λ-Spiral Functions of Order α, Indian J. Pure appl. Math. 10(7) (1979). 842-853.

2. JANOWSKI, W. Some Extremal Problems for Certain Families of Analytic Functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 28(1973), 297-326.

3. KEOGH, F.R. and MERKES, E.P. A Coefficient Inequality for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20(1969), 8-12.

4. CLUNIE, J. On Meromorphic Schlicht Functions, J. London Math. Soc. 34(1959), 215-216.

5. LIBERA, R.J. Univalent α-Spiral Function, Canad. J. Math. 19(1967), 449-456.

6. ZAMORSKI, J. About the Extremal Spiral Schlicht Functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 9 (1962), 265-273.

7. ROBERTSON, M.S. On the Theory of Univalent Functions, Ann. of Math. 37(1936), 374-408.

8. SCHILD, A. On Starlike Functions of Order α, Amer. J. Math. 87(1) (1965), 65-70.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on
Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk