

RESEARCH NOTES

A NEW PROOF OF A THEOREM OF TOEPLITZ IN SUMMABILITY THEORY

P. ANTOSIK and V.K. SRINIVASAN

Department of Mathematics
University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, Texas 79968

(Received January 24, 1985 and in revised form June 11, 1985)

ABSTRACT. The object of this paper is to give a new proof of a Theorem in Summability, as an application of a result of Antosik.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Summability.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 40C.

1. INTRODUCTION.

From time to time as general concepts develop, new proofs of old theorems are made possible. The so called theorem of Toeplitz in summability theory gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an infinite matrix $A = (a_{m,n})$ of real (or complex numbers) numbers to be regular. The first proof, entirely analytical is given in Hardy [1]. The second proof is obtained by using the uniform boundedness principle of Functional analysis. In this paper in section 2, we obtain a new proof of Toeplitz's theorem based on a result of Antosik given in his paper [2]. The above method has other applications also.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be an infinite matrix of real numbers. Given a sequence $[s_n]$ of real numbers if

$$t_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} s_n \quad (2.1)$$

exists for each m and if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = L \quad (2.2)$$

exists, then L is called the $A(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n)$ and we write

$$A(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n) = L \quad (2.3)$$

We also say that $\{s_n\}$ is A -summable to L if (2.3) holds.

Given $A = (a_{m,n})$ let A^* be the linear space of all A -summable sequences. If (c) denotes the space of convergent sequences, then A is called a convergence preserving transformation if $(c) \subseteq A^*$. A is called a regular transformation if A is convergence preserving and in addition for each convergent sequence $[s_n]$

$$A(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n \quad (2.4)$$

We now state the diagonal theorem of Antosik [2] used in this paper.

THEOREM A (Diagonal Theorem for Non-negative Matrices). Let $A = (x_{i,j})$ be a matrix of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_{i,j}) = 0 \quad (\text{for all } i \in N) \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{i,j}) = 0 \quad (\text{for all } j \in N) \quad (2.5b)$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{i,i}) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

then there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{p_i\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j} x_{p_i, p_j} < \infty \quad (2.7a)$$

N being the set of natural numbers. Hence there exists $\{p_i\}$

$$\text{such that } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{p_i, p_j} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_{p_i, p_j} \right) = 0 \quad (2.7b)$$

We now state and prove the theorem of Toeplitz.

THEOREM 1. Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be an infinite matrix. The necessary and sufficient conditions for A to be regular are that the following three conditions must hold.

$$(a) \quad \sup_m \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n}| \right) < \infty.$$

$$(b) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} = 0 \quad \text{for each } n.$$

$$(c) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \right) = 1.$$

PROOF. We now prove the sufficiency part of the theorem.

Let A be an infinite matrix satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c). Let $\sigma = \{s_n\}$ be a sequence such that $s_n \rightarrow s$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then t_m converges for each m . We will now show that $t_m \rightarrow s$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is an integer N such that $|s - s_n| < \epsilon$ for $n > N$. By (c) there is an integer M such that

$$\left| 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } m > M. \quad (2.8)$$

For $m > M$, using (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s - t_m| &= \left| s - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} s_n \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} (s - s_n) + s \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^N + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \right) |a_{m,n}| |s - s_n| + |s| \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N |a_{m,n}| |s - s_n| + K\epsilon + |s| \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

But by (b), there is an integer $M' > M$ such that

$$|a_{m,n}| < \epsilon / N \quad (2.10)$$

for $M' < m$. If $L = \sup_n (|s - s_n|)$, we have for $m > M'$

$$|s - t_m| < NL (\epsilon / N) + K \epsilon + |s| \epsilon \leq (L + K |s|) \epsilon \quad (2.11)$$

(2.11) shows that $t_m \rightarrow s$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

We now prove the necessity part. We first set

$$e_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = k \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq k \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

$$e = \{e_n\} \text{ where for all } n, e_n = 1. \quad (2.13)$$

$\{e_n^{(k)}\}$ and e belong to (c). The necessity of (b) in Theorem 1 is obtained by noting that A is convergence preserving and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e_n^{(k)}) = 0 = A \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n^{(k)} \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (a_{m,k})$$

The necessity of condition (c) in Theorem 1 is obtained by observing that

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e_n) = A \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n \right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \right)$$

Thus we need to demonstrate the validity of condition (a).

Suppose (a) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence of finite subsets $\{\sigma_m\}$ of positive integers such that

$$\max (\sigma_m) < \min (\sigma_{m+1}) \quad (2.14)$$

for $m \in N$ (where N is the set of positive integers) with the additional property

$$\sum_{j \in \sigma_m} a_{m,j} \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.15)$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha_m = \left| \sum_{j \in \sigma_m} a_{m,j} \right|^{-1/2} \text{ for } m \in N \quad (2.16a)$$

$$\text{Let } b_{m,n} = \alpha_m \alpha_n \left(\sum_{j \in \sigma_m} a_{m,j} \right) \quad (2.16b)$$

We note that the columns and rows of the matrix $(b_{m,n})$ converge to zero. Further it is not difficult to show that there is a submatrix (b_{i_m, i_n}) of $(b_{m,n})$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n \neq m} |b_{i_m, i_n}| \right) = 0 \quad (\text{See [2], diagonal theorem}). \quad (2.17)$$

We now define a sequence $\{s_n\}$ as follows. Let

$$s_n = \begin{cases} \beta_n & \text{with } \beta_n = \alpha_{i_k} \text{ if } n = i_k \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \bigcup \sigma_{i_k} \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Obviously $\{s_n\} \in (c_0)$, the space of null sequences. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{i_m, i_n} = \alpha_{i_m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i_m, j} s_j \right) \quad (2.19)$$

By the regularity of A it is seen that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i_m, j} s_j \right) \text{ exists} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\text{Hence } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{i_m, i_n} \right) = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Clearly we have

$$\left| b_{i_m, i_n} \right| < \sum_{n \neq m} \left| b_{i_m, i_n} \right| + \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{i_m, i_n} \right| \quad (2.22)$$

for $m \geq N$.

We use (2.17) and (2.21) to obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| b_{i_m, i_n} \right| \right) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

$$\text{On the other hand } \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| b_{i_m, i_n} \right| \right) = 1. \quad (2.24)$$

The apparent contradiction in steps (2.23) and (2.24) shows that condition (a) must be valid. This now completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK 1. The Diagonal theorem is mainly used to show the necessity of condition (a). The remaining parts of the proof are quite standard and we presented them for the sake of making the proof complete.

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his suggestions that resulted in the present form of this paper. It is also possible to prove the non-archimedean analogue of Toeplitz's theorem, proved by Monna [3], who derived it by using the analogue of uniform boundedness principle over complete non-archimedean valued fields. As the proof is a trivial modification of the same techniques used in the classical case, this is left out.

REFERENCES

1. HARDY, G.H. Divergent Series, Oxford University Press, London, 1949.
2. ANTOSIK, P. A Diagonal Theorem for non-negative Matrices and Equicontinuous Sequences of mappings, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. Astronom. Phys., 24 (1976), 855-859.
3. MONNA, A.F. Sur le Theoreme de Banach-Steinhaus, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetensch., A 66, (1963), 121-131.

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk