

GENERALIZED FERMIONIC DISCRETE TODA HIERARCHY

V. V. GRIBANOV, V. G. KADYSHEVSKY, AND A. S. SORIN

Received 16 November 2003

To the memory of Professor I. Prigogine

We describe bi-Hamiltonian structure and Lax-pair formulation with the spectral parameter of the generalized fermionic Toda lattice hierarchy as well as its bosonic and fermionic symmetries for different (including periodic) boundary conditions. Its two reductions— $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetric Toda lattice hierarchies—in different (including canonical) bases are investigated. Its r -matrix description, monodromy matrix, and spectral curves are discussed.

1. Introduction

At present, two different nontrivial supersymmetric extensions of the two-dimensional (2D) infinite bosonic Toda lattice (TL) hierarchy are known. They are the $N = (2|2)$ [6, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16] and $N = (0|2)$ [11] supersymmetric TL hierarchies. Actually, besides a different number of supersymmetries, they have different bosonic limits which are decoupled systems of two infinite bosonic TL hierarchies and single infinite bosonic TL hierarchy, respectively. One-dimensional (1D) reductions of these hierarchies— $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL hierarchies—were studied in [3, 4], while their finite reductions corresponding to different boundary conditions (e.g., fixed ends, periodic boundary conditions, etc.) were investigated in [1, 2, 5, 17, 18]. Quite recently, a dispersionless limit of the $N = (1|1)$ supersymmetric TL hierarchy was constructed in [10, 12].

The present paper continues studies of the above-mentioned hierarchies and is addressed to yet unsolved problems of constructing their periodic counterparts, bi-Hamiltonian structure in different (including canonical) bases, $2m \times 2m$ matrix and 4×4 matrix (3×3 matrix) Lax-pair descriptions with the spectral parameter, r -matrix approach, and spectral curves.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2.1, starting with the zero-curvature representation, we introduce the 2D generalized fermionic TL equations and describe their two reductions related to the $N = (2|2)$ and $N = (0|2)$ supersymmetric TL equations. Then, in Section 2.2, we construct the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy and its fermionic and bosonic Hamiltonians.

Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the 1D $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL hierarchies, respectively. We construct their bi-Hamiltonian structure in Sections 3.1 and 4.1, fermionic symmetries in Section 3.2, and in Sections 3.3 and 4.2, we investigate a transition to the canonical basis which spoils a number of supersymmetries.

In Section 5, we consider periodic supersymmetric TL hierarchies. Thus, in Section 5.1, we construct the $2m \times 2m$ matrix zero-curvature representation with the spectral parameter for the periodic 2D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy. Then, in Section 5.2, we obtain the bi-Hamiltonian structure of its 1D reduction. In Section 5.3, we construct the 4×4 matrix Lax-pair representation of this hierarchy, calculate its r -matrix, and analyze monodromy matrix. We next calculate its spectral curves in Section 5.4. In Section 5.5, we give a short summary of the 3×3 matrix Lax-pair representation and the r -matrix formalism for the periodic 1D $N = 2$ TL hierarchy, and calculate spectral curves of the latter. In Section 5.6, we discuss periodic TL equations in the canonical basis and their fermionic symmetries.

2. Generalized fermionic TL hierarchy

2.1. 2D generalized fermionic TL equations. In this subsection, we define two-dimensional generalized fermionic TL equations and describe their two different representations which being reduced relate them with the $N = (2|2)$ [5, 6] and $N = (0|2)$ [5, 11] supersymmetric TL equations.

Our starting point is the following zero-curvature representation:

$$[\partial_1 + L^-, \partial_2 - L^+] = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for the infinite matrices

$$(L^-)_{i,j} = \rho_i \delta_{i,j+1} + d_i \delta_{i,j+2}, \quad (L^+)_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j-2} + \gamma_i \delta_{i,j-1} + c_i \delta_{i,j},$$

$$L^- = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & & & & & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ & \rho_{j+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & d_{j+2} & \rho_{j+2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & d_{j+3} & \rho_{j+3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & d_{j+4} & \rho_{j+4} & 0 & 0 & \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{j+5} & \rho_{j+5} & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & & & & & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$L^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & & & & & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & c_j & \gamma_j & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ & 0 & c_{j+1} & \gamma_{j+1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & c_{j+2} & \gamma_{j+2} & 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{j+3} & \gamma_{j+3} & 1 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{j+4} & \gamma_{j+4} & \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{j+5} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & & & & & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, z_1 and z_2 are the bosonic coordinates ($\partial_{1,2} \equiv \partial/\partial z_{1,2}$); the matrix entries d_j , c_j (ρ_j, γ_j) are the bosonic (fermionic) fields with Grassmann parity 0 (1) and length dimensions $[d_j] = -2$, $[c_j] = -1$, $[\rho_j] = -3/2$, and $[\gamma_j] = -1/2$. The zero-curvature representation (2.1) leads to the following system of evolution equations with respect to the bosonic evolution derivatives $\partial_{1,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2 d_j &= d_j(c_j - c_{j-2}), & \partial_1 c_j &= d_{j+2} - d_j + \gamma_j \rho_{j+1} + \gamma_{j-1} \rho_j, \\ \partial_1 \gamma_j &= \rho_{j+2} - \rho_j, & \partial_2 \rho_j &= \rho_j(c_j - c_{j-1}) + d_{j+1} \gamma_j - d_j \gamma_{j-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Keeping in mind that in the bosonic limit (i.e., when all fermionic fields are put equal to zero) these equations describe a system of two decoupled bosonic 2D TLs, we call (2.3) the 2D generalized fermionic TL equations.

Our next goal is to describe fermionic symmetries of the 2D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3). Before doing so, we first supply the fields $(d_j, c_j, \gamma_j, \rho_j)$ with boundary conditions. In what follows we consider the boundary conditions of the following four types:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \rho_j = 0; \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_j = 1, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \rho_j = 0; \quad (2.4b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_{2j+1} &= 1, & \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_{2j} &= 0, & \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_j &= 0, \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma_j &= 0, & \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \rho_j &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (2.4c)$$

$$d_j = d_{j+n}, \quad c_j = c_{j+n}, \quad \gamma_j = \gamma_{j+n}, \quad \rho_j = \rho_{j+n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.4d)$$

The first three types specify the behavior of the fields at the lattice points at infinity, while the boundary condition of the fourth type is periodic and corresponds to the closed 2D generalized fermionic TL.

For the boundary conditions (2.4a) and (2.4b), the above-described (2.3) possess the $N = (2|2)$ supersymmetry. Indeed, in this case, there exist four fermionic symmetries of (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^1 d_j &= g_{j-1} \rho_j + g_j \rho_{j-1}, & D_2^1 d_j &= (-1)^j (g_{j-1} \rho_j - g_j \rho_{j-1}), \\ D_1^1 c_j &= g_j \gamma_{j-1} + g_{j+1} \gamma_j, & D_2^1 c_j &= (-1)^j (g_{j+1} \gamma_j - g_j \gamma_{j-1}), \\ D_1^1 \rho_j &= -\partial_1 g_j, & D_2^1 \rho_j &= (-1)^j \partial_1 g_j, \\ D_1^1 \gamma_j &= g_j - g_{j+2}, & D_2^1 \gamma_j &= (-1)^j (g_{j+2} - g_j), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_3^2 d_j &= d_j (\gamma_{j-1} + \gamma_{j-2}), & D_4^2 d_j &= (-1)^j d_j (\gamma_{j-1} - \gamma_{j-2}), \\ D_3^2 c_j &= \partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-1} \gamma_k, & D_4^2 c_j &= -\partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-1} (-1)^k \gamma_k, \\ D_3^2 \rho_j &= d_{j+1} - d_j - \rho_j \gamma_{j-1}, & D_4^2 \rho_j &= (-1)^j (d_{j+1} - d_j - \rho_j \gamma_{j-1}), \\ D_3^2 \gamma_j &= c_{j+1} - c_j, & D_4^2 \gamma_j &= (-1)^j (c_{j+1} - c_j), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where D_1^1 , D_2^1 , D_3^2 , and D_4^2 are the fermionic evolution derivatives; g_j denotes the infinite product

$$g_j \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_{j-2k}}{d_{j-2k-1}} \quad (2.7)$$

with the properties $g_j g_{j-1} = d_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^1 g_j &= \rho_j, & D_2^1 g_j &= (-1)^j \rho_j, & D_3^2 g_j &= g_j \gamma_{j-1}, \\ D_4^2 g_j &= (-1)^j g_j \gamma_{j-1}, & \partial_2 g_j &= g_j (c_j - c_{j-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Now, using (2.3), (2.5), and (2.6), one can easily check that the bosonic and fermionic evolution derivatives satisfy the algebra of the $N = (2|2)$ supersymmetry

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_a, \partial_b] &= [\partial_a, D_s^b] = 0, \\ \{D_s^1, D_p^1\} &= (-1)^s 2\delta_{s,p} \partial_1, & \{D_s^2, D_p^2\} &= -(-1)^s 2\delta_{s,p} \partial_2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which can be realized via

$$\partial_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}, \quad D_s^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_s} + (-1)^s \theta_s \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad D_p^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_p} - (-1)^p \theta_p \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad (2.10)$$

where z_a ($a = 1, 2$) and θ_s, θ_p ($s = 1, 2$; $p = 3, 4$) are the bosonic and fermionic evolution times of the $N = (2|2)$ superspace, respectively.

Looking at (2.5) and (2.6), one can see that they are not consistent with the boundary conditions (2.4c). Thus, it is impossible to simultaneously satisfy the boundary conditions for the fields g_j entering into (2.5)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_{2j} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} g_{2j} g_{2j-1} = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} d_{2j+1} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} g_{2j+1} g_{2j} = 1, \quad (2.11)$$

while (2.6) contain a contradiction at infinity in the equation for the field ρ_j . Thus, one can conclude that the boundary conditions strictly restrict the symmetries of (2.3). The periodic boundary conditions will be considered in Section 5.

Now we present other two related representations of the 2D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3) which will be useful in what follows.

The first representation can be easily derived if one introduces a new basis $\{g_j, c_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-\}$ in the space of the fields $\{d_j, c_j, \gamma_j, \rho_j\}$,

$$d_j = g_j g_{j-1}, \quad \rho_j = g_j \gamma_j^-, \quad \gamma_j = \gamma_j^+, \quad (2.12)$$

and eliminates the fields c_j from (2.3) in order to get the conventional form of the 2D $N = (2|2)$ supersymmetric TL equations [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \partial_2 \ln g_j &= g_{j+1} g_{j+2} - g_j (g_{j+1} + g_{j-1}) + g_{j-1} g_{j-2} + g_{j+1} \gamma_{j+1}^+ \gamma_{j+1}^- - g_{j-1} \gamma_{j-1}^+ \gamma_{j-1}^-, \\ \partial_1 \gamma_j^+ &= g_{j+1} \gamma_{j+1}^- - g_{j-1} \gamma_{j-1}^-, & \partial_2 \gamma_j^- &= g_{j+1} \gamma_{j+1}^+ - g_{j-1} \gamma_{j-1}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

together with their fermionic $N = (2|2)$ symmetries

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1^1 g_j &= g_j \gamma_j^-, & D_2^1 g_j &= (-1)^j g_j \gamma_j^-, \\
D_1^1 \gamma_j^- &= -\partial_1 \ln g_j, & D_2^1 \gamma_j^- &= (-1)^j \partial_1 \ln g_j, \\
D_1^1 \gamma_j^+ &= g_{j-1} - g_{j+1}, & D_2^1 \gamma_j^+ &= (-1)^j (g_{j-1} - g_{j+1}), \\
D_3^2 g_j &= g_j \gamma_j^+, & D_4^2 g_j &= (-1)^j g_j \gamma_j^+, \\
D_3^2 \gamma_j^- &= g_{j+1} - g_{j-1}, & D_4^2 \gamma_j^- &= (-1)^j (g_{j+1} - g_{j-1}), \\
D_3^2 \gamma_j^+ &= \partial_2 \ln g_j, & D_4^2 \gamma_j^+ &= -(-1)^j \partial_2 \ln g_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

In order to derive the second representation, we introduce a new notation for the fields at odd and even values of the lattice coordinate j :

$$\begin{aligned}
a_j &\equiv c_{2j+1}, & b_j &\equiv d_{2j+1}, & \alpha_j &\equiv \gamma_{2j-1}, & \beta_j &\equiv \rho_{2j+1}, \\
\bar{a}_j &\equiv c_{2j}, & \bar{b}_j &\equiv d_{2j}, & \bar{\alpha}_j &\equiv -\gamma_{2j}, & \bar{\beta}_j &\equiv \rho_{2j},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

and rewrite (2.3), (2.5), and (2.6) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2 b_j &= b_j (a_j - a_{j-1}), & \partial_1 a_j &= b_{j+1} - b_j + \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j + \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\beta}_{j+1}, \\
\partial_2 \bar{b}_j &= \bar{b}_j (\bar{a}_j - \bar{a}_{j-1}), & \partial_1 \bar{a}_j &= \bar{b}_{j+1} - \bar{b}_j + \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j + \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_j, \\
\partial_1 \alpha_j &= \beta_j - \beta_{j-1}, & \partial_2 \beta_j &= (a_j - \bar{a}_j) \beta_j - b_j \alpha_j + \bar{b}_{j+1} \alpha_{j+1}, \\
\partial_1 \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{\beta}_{j+1}, & \partial_2 \bar{\beta}_j &= (\bar{a}_j - a_{j-1}) \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{b}_j \bar{\alpha}_j + \bar{b}_j \alpha_{j-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1^1 b_j &= e_j \bar{\beta}_j + \bar{e}_j \beta_j, & D_2^1 b_j &= -e_j \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{e}_j \beta_j, \\
D_1^1 \bar{b}_j &= e_{j-1} \bar{\beta}_j + \bar{e}_j \beta_{j-1}, & D_2^1 \bar{b}_j &= e_{j-1} \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{e}_j \beta_{j-1}, \\
D_1^1 a_j &= \bar{e}_{j+1} \alpha_{j+1} - e_j \bar{\alpha}_j, & D_2^1 a_j &= -\bar{e}_{j+1} \alpha_{j+1} - e_j \bar{\alpha}_j, \\
D_1^1 \bar{a}_j &= \bar{e}_j \alpha_j - e_j \bar{\alpha}_j, & D_2^1 \bar{a}_j &= -\bar{e}_j \alpha_j - e_j \bar{\alpha}_j, \\
D_1^1 \beta_j &= -\partial_1 \bar{e}_j, & D_2^1 \beta_j &= -\partial_1 \bar{e}_j, \\
D_1^1 \bar{\beta}_j &= -\partial_1 \bar{e}_j, & D_2^1 \bar{\beta}_j &= \partial_1 \bar{e}_j, \\
D_1^1 \alpha_j &= e_{j-1} - e_j, & D_2^1 \alpha_j &= e_{j-1} - e_j, \\
D_1^1 \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{e}_{j+1} - \bar{e}_j, & D_2^1 \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{e}_j - \bar{e}_{j+1}, \\
D_3^2 b_j &= b_j (\alpha_j - \bar{\alpha}_j), & D_4^2 b_j &= b_j (\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_j), \\
D_3^2 \bar{b}_j &= \bar{b}_j (\alpha_j - \bar{\alpha}_{j-1}), & D_4^2 \bar{b}_j &= \bar{b}_j (\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_{j-1}), \\
D_3^2 a_j &= \partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^j (\alpha_k - \bar{\alpha}_k), & D_4^2 a_j &= \partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^j (\alpha_k + \bar{\alpha}_k),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3^2 \bar{a}_j &= \partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^j (\alpha_k - \bar{\alpha}_{k-1}), & D_4^2 \bar{a}_j &= \partial_2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^j (\alpha_k + \bar{\alpha}_{k-1}), \\
D_3^2 \beta_j &= \bar{b}_j - b_j - \bar{b}_j + \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j, & D_4^2 \beta_j &= b_j - \bar{b}_{j+1} - \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j, \\
D_3^2 \bar{\beta}_j &= b_j - \bar{b}_j - \bar{\beta}_j \alpha_j, & D_4^2 \bar{\beta}_j &= b_j - \bar{b}_j - \bar{\beta}_j \alpha_j, \\
D_3^2 \alpha_j &= \bar{a}_j - a_{j-1}, & D_4^2 \alpha_j &= a_{j-1} - \bar{a}_j, \\
D_3^2 \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{a}_j - a_j, & D_4^2 \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{a}_j - a_j,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where e_j and \bar{e}_j are the composite fields

$$e_j \equiv g_{2j+1} \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{j-k}}{\bar{b}_{j-k}}, \quad \bar{e}_j \equiv g_{2j} \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{b}_{j-k}}{b_{j-k-1}}, \tag{2.19}$$

which obey the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2 e_j &= e_j (a_j - \bar{a}_j), & \partial_2 \bar{e}_j &= \bar{e}_j (\bar{a}_j - a_{j-1}), \\
D_1^1 e_j &= \beta_j, & D_2^1 e_j &= -\beta_j, & D_3^2 e_j &= e_j \alpha_j, & D_4^2 e_j &= -e_j \alpha_j, \\
D_1^1 \bar{e}_j &= \bar{\beta}_j, & D_2^1 \bar{e}_j &= \bar{\beta}_j, & D_3^2 \bar{e}_j &= -\bar{e}_j \bar{\alpha}_j, & D_4^2 \bar{e}_j &= -\bar{e}_j \bar{\alpha}_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The reduction

$$\bar{b}_j = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

of (2.16) leads to the 2D $N = (0|2)$ supersymmetric TL equations [5, 11]. One can easily see that fermionic symmetries (2.17) are not consistent with this reduction, while fermionic symmetries (2.18) are consistent and form the algebra of the $N = (0|2)$ supersymmetry.

2.2. Bi-Hamiltonian structure of the 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy. Our further purpose is to construct a bi-Hamiltonian structure of the generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3) (consequently, originating from them (2.13) and (2.16)) in 1D space when all the fields depend on only one bosonic coordinate $z = z_1 + z_2$. This task was solved in [3] for the 1D $N = 2$ TL hierarchy obtained by reduction (2.21) of the 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy. Here we solve this task for the original 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy.

At the reduction to 1D space,

$$\partial_1 = \partial_2 \equiv \partial, \tag{2.22}$$

the zero-curvature representation (2.1) can be identically rewritten in the form of the Lax-pair representation

$$\partial L = [L, L^-], \quad L \equiv L^+ + L^-,$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & & & & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & c_j & \gamma_j & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ & \rho_{j+1} & c_{j+1} & \gamma_{j+1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & d_{j+2} & \rho_{j+2} & c_{j+2} & \gamma_{j+2} & 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & d_{j+3} & \rho_{j+3} & c_{j+3} & \gamma_{j+3} & 1 & \\ & 0 & 0 & d_{j+4} & \rho_{j+4} & c_{j+4} & \gamma_{j+4} & \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{j+5} & \rho_{j+5} & c_{j+5} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & & & & \cdots & \cdots & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

Using the Lax-pair representation (2.23), it is easy to derive the general expression for bosonic Hamiltonians which are in involution via the standard formula

$$H_k = \frac{1}{k} \text{str} L^k \equiv \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (-1)^p (L^k)_{pp}. \quad (2.24)$$

The first two of them have the following explicit form:

$$H_1 = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i c_i, \quad H_2 = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{2} c_i^2 + d_i + \rho_i \gamma_{i-1} \right). \quad (2.25)$$

A bi-Hamiltonian system of evolution equations can be represented in the following general form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{H_k}} q_i = \{H_{k+1}, q_i\}_1 = \{H_k, q_i\}_2, \quad (2.26)$$

where t_{H_k} are the evolution times, q_j denotes any field from the set $q_i = \{d_i, c_i, \rho_i, \gamma_i\}$, and the brackets $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1(2)}$ are appropriate Poisson brackets corresponding to the first (second) Hamiltonian structure. Using (2.26) and the 2D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3) at the reduction to 1D space (2.22)—the 1D generalized fermionic TL equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial d_i &= d_i(c_i - c_{i-2}), & \partial c_i &= d_{i+2} - d_i + \gamma_i \rho_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1} \rho_i, \\ \partial \gamma_i &= \rho_{i+2} - \rho_i, & \partial \rho_i &= \rho_i(c_i - c_{i-1}) + d_{i+1} \gamma_i - d_i \gamma_{i-2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

as well as Hamiltonians (2.25), we have found the first two Hamiltonian structures of the hierarchy. As a result, we have the following explicit expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \{d_i, c_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j d_i (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j}), \\ \{c_i, \rho_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j \rho_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j}), \\ \{\rho_i, \rho_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j (d_i \delta_{i,j+1} - d_j \delta_{i,j-1}), \\ \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

for the first and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{d_i, d_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i d_j (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j-2}), \\
\{d_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i c_j (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j}), \\
\{c_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (d_i \delta_{i,j+2} - d_j \delta_{i,j-2} - \gamma_j \rho_i \delta_{i,j+1} - \gamma_i \rho_j \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{d_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j+2} + \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{d_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i \gamma_j (\delta_{i,j+2} + \delta_{i,j+1}), \\
\{c_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (c_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-1}) - d_j \gamma_i \delta_{i,j-2} - d_i \gamma_j \delta_{i,j+1}), \\
\{c_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (\rho_i \delta_{i,j+2} + \rho_j \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{\rho_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (\rho_i \gamma_j \delta_{i,j+1} + d_i \delta_{i,j+3} - d_j \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{\rho_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j ((\rho_i \rho_j - d_j c_i) \delta_{i,j-1} + (\rho_i \rho_j + d_i c_j) \delta_{i,j+1}), \\
\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (c_i \delta_{i,j+1} - c_j \delta_{i,j-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

for the second Hamiltonian structures, where only nonzero brackets are written down.

Note that the first $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1$ in (2.28) and the second $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ in (2.29) Hamiltonian structures are obviously compatible: the deformation of the fields $c_j \rightarrow c_j + \nu$, where ν is an arbitrary constant, transforms $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ into the Hamiltonian structure which is their sum

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2 \longrightarrow \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2 + \nu \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1. \tag{2.30}$$

Thus, one concludes that the corresponding recursion operator

$$R = \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2 \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1^{-1} \tag{2.31}$$

is hereditary like the operator obtained from the compatible pair of Hamiltonian structures.

We have checked that the 1D reduction (2.22) of the fermionic symmetries (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 d_i &= g_{i-1} \rho_i + g_i \rho_{i-1}, & D_2 d_i &= (-1)^i (g_{i-1} \rho_i - g_i \rho_{i-1}), \\
D_1 c_i &= g_i \gamma_{i-1} + g_{i+1} \gamma_i, & D_2 c_i &= (-1)^i (g_{i+1} \gamma_i - g_i \gamma_{i-1}), \\
D_1 \rho_i &= g_i (c_{i-1} - c_i), & D_2 \rho_i &= (-1)^i g_i (c_i - c_{i-1}), \\
D_1 \gamma_i &= g_i - g_{i+2}, & D_2 \gamma_i &= (-1)^i (g_{i+2} - g_i), \\
D_3 d_i &= d_i (\gamma_{i-1} + \gamma_{i-2}), & D_4 d_i &= (-1)^i d_i (\gamma_{i-1} - \gamma_{i-2}), \\
D_3 c_i &= \rho_{i+1} + \rho_i, & D_4 c_i &= (-1)^i (\rho_{i+1} - \rho_i), \\
D_3 \rho_i &= d_{i+1} - d_i - \rho_i \gamma_{i-1}, & D_4 \rho_i &= (-1)^i (d_{i+1} - d_i - \rho_i \gamma_{i-1}), \\
D_3 \gamma_i &= c_{i+1} - c_i, & D_4 \gamma_i &= (-1)^i (c_{i+1} - c_i),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

and the equations for the composite fields g_j (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial g_j &= g_j (c_j - c_{j-1}), & D_1 g_j &= \rho_j, & D_2 g_j &= (-1)^j \rho_j, \\
D_3 g_j &= g_j \gamma_{j-1}, & D_4 g_j &= (-1)^j g_j \gamma_{j-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

can also be represented in a bi-Hamiltonian form with fermionic Hamiltonians $S_{s,k}$ and Hamiltonian structures (2.28) and (2.29),

$$D_{t_{S_{s,k}}} q_i = \{S_{s,k+1}, q_i\}_1 = \{S_{s,k}, q_i\}_2, \quad (2.34)$$

where $D_{t_{S_{s,k}}}$ are the fermionic evolution derivatives. In Section 3.2, we show how fermionic Hamiltonians can be derived in an algorithmic way, but now we only mention that there are four infinite towers of fermionic Hamiltonians $S_{s,k}$ ($s = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $k \in \mathbb{N}$) and present without any comments only explicit expressions for the first few of them:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,1} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \rho_i g_i^{-1}, & S_{1,2} &= - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-1)^i g_i \gamma_{i-1} + \rho_i g_i^{-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^j c_j \right), \\ S_{2,1} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_i g_i^{-1}, & S_{2,2} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(g_i \gamma_{i-1} - (-1)^i \rho_i g_i^{-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^j c_j \right), \\ S_{3,1} &= - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \gamma_i, & S_{3,2} &= - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-1)^i \rho_i + \gamma_{i-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^j c_j \right), \\ S_{4,1} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_i, & S_{4,2} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\rho_i - (-1)^i \gamma_{i-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^j c_j \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

For completeness, we also present the nonzero Poisson brackets of the composite field g_j (2.7) with other fields of the hierarchy which are useful when producing fermionic Hamiltonian flows

$$\begin{aligned} \{g_i, c_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j g_i (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\ \{g_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i \gamma_j \delta_{i,j+1}, \\ \{g_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i c_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\ \{g_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-1}), \\ \{g_i, d_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i d_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-1} - \delta_{i,j-2}), \\ \{g_i, g_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i g_j (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Now we have all the necessary ingredients to derive Hamiltonian flows of the 1D generalized TL hierarchy. We end this section with a few remarks.

First, the Hamiltonians H_1 in (2.25) and $S_{s,1}$ in (2.35) give trivial flows via the first Hamiltonian structure (2.28) because they belong to the center of the algebra (2.28),

$$\{H_1, q_j\}_1 = \{S_{s,1}, q_j\}_1 = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

Second, while the densities corresponding to the fermionic Hamiltonians $S_{p,k}$ in (2.35) have a nonlocal character with respect to the lattice indices, the fermionic flows (2.32) have no nonlocal terms.

Finally, the algebras of the first and second Hamiltonian structures (2.28) and (2.29) together with (2.36) possess a discrete inner automorphism f which transforms nontrivially only fermionic fields,

$$\gamma_j \xrightarrow{f} (-1)^j \rho_{j+1} g_{j+1}^{-1}, \quad \rho_j \xrightarrow{f} (-1)^j \gamma_{j-1} g_j. \quad (2.38)$$

Using (2.33), one can easily check that the automorphism f transforms (2.27), (2.32), and Hamiltonians (2.25) and (2.35) according to the following rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial, D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4\} &\xrightarrow{f} \{\partial, D_4, D_3, -D_2, -D_1\}, \\ \{H_k, S_{1,k}, S_{2,k}, S_{3,k}, S_{4,k}\} &\xrightarrow{f} \{H_k, -S_{4,k}, -S_{3,k}, -S_{2,k}, -S_{1,k}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

3. Reduction: 1D $N = 4$ supersymmetric TL hierarchy

3.1. Bi-Hamiltonian structure of the 1D $N = 4$ TL hierarchy. In this section, we consider the bi-Hamiltonian formulation of the 1D reduction (2.22) of the 2D $N = (2|2)$ supersymmetric TL equations (2.13) and their fermionic symmetries (2.14). Starting with Hamiltonians (2.25) and (2.35) and Hamiltonian structures (2.28) and (2.29) as well as using relations (2.12), it is easy to represent (2.13) in 1D space as a bi-Hamiltonian system of first-order evolution equations.

Thus, we obtain the following bosonic and fermionic Hamiltonians:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i c_i, & H_2^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{2} c_i^2 + g_i g_{i-1} + g_i \gamma_i^- \gamma_i^+ \right), \\ S_{1,1}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \gamma_i^-, & S_{1,2}^{N=4} &= - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^+ + \gamma_i^- \sum_{k=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^k c_k \right), \\ S_{2,1}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_i^-, & S_{2,2}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(g_i \gamma_i^+ - (-1)^i \gamma_i^- \sum_{k=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^k c_k \right), \\ S_{3,1}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i \gamma_i^+, & S_{3,2}^{N=4} &= - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left((-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^- + \gamma_i^+ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^k c_k \right), \\ S_{4,1}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_i^+, & S_{4,2}^{N=4} &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(g_i \gamma_i^- - (-1)^i \gamma_i^+ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{i-1} (-1)^k c_k \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

the first Hamiltonian structure

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma_i^\pm, \gamma_j^\pm\}_1 &= \pm (-1)^j (\delta_{i,j-1} - \delta_{i,j+1}), \\ \{g_i, c_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j g_i (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and the second Hamiltonian structure

$$\begin{aligned}
\{g_i, g_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i g_j (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{g_i, \gamma_j^\pm\}_2 &= -(-1)^j g_i \gamma_j^\mp \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{\gamma_i^\pm, \gamma_j^\pm\}_2 &= \pm(-1)^j (c_i \delta_{i,j-1} - c_j \delta_{i,j+1}), \\
\{\gamma_i^-, \gamma_j^+\}_2 &= (-1)^j (g_{i+1} \delta_{i,j-2} - g_{i-1} \delta_{i,j+2}), \\
\{c_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (g_i g_{i-1} \delta_{i,j+2} - g_j g_{j-1} \delta_{i,j-2} - g_i \gamma_i^+ \gamma_i^- \delta_{i,j+1} - g_j \gamma_j^+ \gamma_j^- \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{g_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j g_i c_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\
\{c_i, \gamma_j^\pm\}_2 &= -(-1)^j (g_i \gamma_i^\mp \delta_{i,j+1} + g_{j-1} \gamma_{j-1}^\mp \delta_{i,j-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where only nonzero brackets are presented. For the first nontrivial bosonic and fermionic flows, one obtains in a standard way, using (2.26), (2.34), (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial g_i &= g_i (c_i - c_{i-1}), & \partial c_i &= -g_{i-1} g_i + g_{i+1} g_{i+2} + g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^+ \gamma_{i+1}^- + g_i \gamma_i^+ \gamma_i^-, \\
\partial \gamma_i^+ &= g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^- - g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1}^-, & \partial \gamma_i^- &= g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^+ - g_{i-1} \gamma_{i-1}^+,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 g_i &= g_i \gamma_i^-, & D_2 g_i &= (-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^-, \\
D_1 \gamma_i^- &= c_{i-1} - c_i, & D_2 \gamma_i^- &= (-1)^i (c_i - c_{i-1}), \\
D_1 \gamma_i^+ &= g_{i-1} - g_{i+1}, & D_2 \gamma_i^+ &= (-1)^i (g_{i-1} - g_{i+1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 c_i &= g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^+ + g_i \gamma_i^+, & D_2 c_i &= (-1)^i (g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^+ - g_i \gamma_i^+), \\
D_3 g_i &= g_i \gamma_i^+, & D_4 g_i &= (-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^+, \\
D_3 \gamma_i^- &= g_{i+1} - g_{i-1}, & D_4 \gamma_i^- &= (-1)^i (g_{i+1} - g_{i-1}), \\
D_3 \gamma_i^+ &= c_i - c_{i-1}, & D_4 \gamma_i^+ &= (-1)^i (c_{i-1} - c_i), \\
D_3 c_i &= g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^- + g_i \gamma_i^-, & D_4 c_i &= (-1)^i (g_{i+1} \gamma_{i+1}^- - g_i \gamma_i^-).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For the system (3.4), we consider the boundary conditions at infinity of the following two types:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} g_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma_j^\pm = 0; \tag{3.7a}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} g_j = 1, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} c_j = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \gamma_j^\pm = 0, \tag{3.7b}$$

which are the consequences of the boundary conditions (2.4a) and (2.4b). Flows (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) are compatible with these boundary conditions and form the $N = 4$ supersymmetry algebra.

The fields c_i can be dropped out of the system (3.4) and finally (3.4) takes the form of (2.13) in 1D space (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2 \ln g_i &= g_{i+1}g_{i+2} - g_i(g_{i+1} + g_{i-1}) + g_{i-1}g_{i-2} + g_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1}^+\gamma_{i+1}^- - g_{i-1}\gamma_{i-1}^+\gamma_{i-1}^-, \\ \partial\gamma_i^+ &= g_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1}^- - g_{i-1}\gamma_{i-1}^-, \quad \partial\gamma_i^- = g_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1}^+ - g_{i-1}\gamma_{i-1}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

with the $N = 4$ supersymmetry transformations

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 g_i &= g_i \gamma_i^-, & D_2 g_i &= (-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^-, \\ D_1 \gamma_i^- &= -\partial \ln g_i, & D_2 \gamma_i^- &= (-1)^i \partial \ln g_i, \\ D_1 \gamma_i^+ &= g_{i-1} - g_{i+1}, & D_2 \gamma_i^+ &= (-1)^i (g_{i-1} - g_{i+1}), \\ D_3 g_i &= g_i \gamma_i^+, & D_4 g_i &= (-1)^i g_i \gamma_i^+, \\ D_3 \gamma_i^- &= g_{i+1} - g_{i-1}, & D_4 \gamma_i^- &= (-1)^i (g_{i+1} - g_{i-1}), \\ D_3 \gamma_i^+ &= \partial \ln g_i, & D_4 \gamma_i^+ &= -(-1)^i \partial \ln g_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, (3.8) reproduce the 1D $N = 4$ supersymmetric TL equations.

In terms of the new variables (2.12), the automorphism f in (2.38) becomes

$$\gamma_j^- \xrightarrow{f} (-1)^j \gamma_j^+, \quad \gamma_j^+ \xrightarrow{f} -(-1)^j \gamma_j^-, \quad (3.10)$$

and it transforms the flows (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) and Hamiltonians (3.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial, D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4\} &\xrightarrow{f} \{\partial, D_4, D_3, -D_2, -D_1\}, \\ \{H_k^{N=4}, S_{1,k}^{N=4}, S_{2,k}^{N=4}, S_{3,k}^{N=4}, S_{4,k}^{N=4}\} &\xrightarrow{f} \{H_k^{N=4}, S_{4,k}^{N=4}, S_{3,k}^{N=4}, -S_{2,k}^{N=4}, -S_{1,k}^{N=4}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

3.2. Fermionic Hamiltonians. The above-described 1D $N = 4$ supersymmetric TL hierarchy is a bi-Hamiltonian system, and it includes both bosonic and fermionic flows which are generated via bosonic and fermionic Hamiltonians. The bosonic Hamiltonians are produced by means of formula (2.24), while the origin of the fermionic Hamiltonians is rather mysterious so far. In this section, we deduce general expressions generating fermionic Hamiltonians.

The $N = (2|2)$ TL equations (2.13) can be derived as a subsystem of the more general $N = (1|1)$ 2D supersymmetric TL hierarchy defined via the following Lax-pair representation [12]:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n^\pm (L^\alpha)_*^m &= \mp \alpha (-1)^{nm} \left[\left(((L^\pm)_*)^n \right)_{-\alpha}^{*(m)}, (L^\alpha)_*^m \right], \quad \alpha = +, -, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(L^\alpha)_*^{2m} &\equiv \left(\frac{1}{2} [(L^\alpha)^*, (L^\alpha)] \right)^m, \quad (L^\alpha)_*^{2m+1} \equiv L^\alpha (L^\alpha)_*^{2m}, \\
L^+ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{k,j} e^{(1-k)\partial}, \quad u_{0,j} = 1, \quad L^- = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k,j} e^{(k-1)\partial}, \\
(L^+)^* &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k u_{k,j} e^{(1-k)\partial}, \quad (L^-)^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k v_{k,j} e^{(k-1)\partial}, \\
d_{(L^\pm)_*^{2m+1}} &= 1, \quad d_{(L^\pm)_*^{2m}} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

All details concerning the $N = (1|1)$ 2DTL hierarchy can be found in [11, 12], here we only explain the notation. The generalized graded bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$, entering into (3.12), on the space of operators \mathbb{O} with the grading $d_{\mathbb{O}}$ and the involution $*$, is defined as

$$[\mathbb{O}_1, \mathbb{O}_2] := \mathbb{O}_1 \mathbb{O}_2 - (-1)^{d_{\mathbb{O}_1} d_{\mathbb{O}_2}} \mathbb{O}_2^{*(d_{\mathbb{O}_1})} \mathbb{O}_1^{*(d_{\mathbb{O}_2})}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\mathbb{O}^{*(m)}$ denotes the m -fold action of the involution $*$ on the operator \mathbb{O} . Equations (3.12) are written for the composite Lax operators

$$(L^\pm)_*^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{k,j}^{(m)} e^{(m-k)\partial}, \quad u_{0,j}^{(m)} = 1, \quad (L^\pm)_*^m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_{k,j}^{(m)} e^{(k-m)\partial}, \tag{3.14}$$

where $u_{k,j}^{(m)}$ and $v_{k,j}^{(m)}$ (with $u_{k,j}^{(1)} \equiv u_{k,j}$, $v_{k,j}^{(1)} \equiv v_{k,j}$) are the functionals of the original bosonic $u_{2k,j}$, $v_{2k,j}$ and fermionic $u_{2k+1,j}$, $v_{2k+1,j}$ lattice fields which parameterize the Lax operators L^\pm in (3.12). The operator $e^{l\partial}$ ($l \in \mathbb{Z}$) acts on these fields as the discrete lattice shift

$$e^{l\partial} u_{k,j}^{(m)} \equiv u_{k,j+l}^{(m)}, \quad e^{l\partial} v_{k,j}^{(m)} \equiv v_{k,j+l}^{(m)}, \tag{3.15}$$

and the subscript $+$ ($-$) in (3.12) means the part of the corresponding operators which includes the operators $e^{l\partial}$ at $l \geq 0$ ($l < 0$). The explicit form for the functionals $u_{k,j}^{(m)}$ and $v_{k,j}^{(m)}$ can be obtained through the representation of the composite Lax operators $(L^\pm)_*^m$ in (3.12) and (3.14) in terms of the Lax operators L^\pm in (3.12). The fields $u_{k,j}$ and $v_{k,j}$ depend on the bosonic t_{2n}^\pm and fermionic t_{2n+1}^\pm times, and D_{2n}^\pm (D_{2n+1}^\pm) in (3.12) means bosonic (fermionic) evolution derivatives with the algebra,

$$[D_n^+, D_l^-] = [D_n^\pm, D_{2l}^\pm] = 0, \quad \{D_{2n+1}^\pm, D_{2l+1}^\pm\} = 2D_{2(n+l+1)}^\pm, \tag{3.16}$$

which can be realized via

$$D_{2n}^\pm = \partial_{2n}^\pm, \quad D_{2n+1}^\pm = \partial_{2n+1}^\pm + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t_{2l-1}^\pm \partial_{2(k+l)}^\pm, \quad \partial_n^\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^\pm}. \tag{3.17}$$

Now using the above-described definitions, one can derive flows for the functionals $u_{k,j}^{(m)}$ and $v_{k,j}^{(m)}$ corresponding to the Lax-pair representation (3.12). Thus, we obtain [11, 12]

$$D_n^+ u_{k,j}^{(2m)} = \sum_{p=0}^n \left(u_{p,j}^{(n)} u_{k-p+n,j-p+n}^{(2m)} - (-1)^{(p+n)(k-p+n)} u_{p,j-k+p-n+2m}^{(n)} u_{k-p+n,j}^{(2m)} \right), \quad (3.18)$$

$$D_{2n+1}^+ u_{k,j}^{(2m+1)} = \sum_{p=1}^k \left((-1)^{p+1} u_{p+2n+1,j}^{(2n+1)} u_{k-p,j-p}^{(2m+1)} + (-1)^{p(k-p)} u_{p+2n+1,j-k+p+2m+1}^{(2n+1)} u_{k-p,j}^{(2m+1)} \right), \quad (3.19)$$

$$D_{2n}^+ u_{k,j}^{(2m+1)} = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} \left((-1)^p u_{p,j}^{(2n)} u_{k-p+2n,j-p+2n}^{(2m+1)} - (-1)^{p(k-p)} u_{p,j-k+p-2n+2m+1}^{(2n)} u_{k-p+2n,j}^{(2m+1)} \right), \quad (3.20)$$

$$D_n^- u_{k,j}^{(m)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left((-1)^{(p+n)m} v_{p,j}^{(n)} u_{k+p-n,j+p-n}^{(m)} - (-1)^{(p+n)(k+p-n)} v_{p,j-k-p+n+m}^{(n)} u_{k-p-n,j}^{(m)} \right), \quad (3.21)$$

$$D_n^+ v_{k,j}^{(m)} = \sum_{p=0}^n \left((-1)^{(p+n)m} u_{p,j}^{(n)} v_{k+p-n,j+p-n}^{(m)} - (-1)^{(p+n)(k+p-n)} u_{p,j+k+p-n-m}^{(n)} v_{k-p-n,j}^{(m)} \right), \quad (3.22)$$

$$D_{2n}^- v_{k,j}^{(2m+1)} = \sum_{p=0}^{2n-1} \left((-1)^p v_{p,j}^{(2n)} v_{k-p+2n,j+p-2n}^{(2m+1)} - (-1)^{p(k-p)} v_{p,j+k-p+2n-2m-1}^{(2n)} v_{k-p+2n,j}^{(2m+1)} \right), \quad (3.23)$$

$$D_{2n+1}^- v_{k,j}^{(2m+1)} = \sum_{p=0}^k \left((-1)^{p+1} v_{p+2n+1,j}^{(2n+1)} v_{k-p,j+p}^{(2m+1)} + (-1)^{p(k-p)} v_{p+2n+1,j+k-p-2m-1}^{(2n+1)} v_{k-p,j}^{(2m+1)} \right), \quad (3.24)$$

$$D_n^- v_{k,j}^{(2m)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left(v_{p,j}^{(n)} v_{k-p+n,j+p-n}^{(2m)} - (-1)^{(p+n)(k-p+n)} v_{p,j+k-p+n-2m}^{(n)} v_{k-p+n,j}^{(2m)} \right), \quad (3.25)$$

where in the right-hand sides of these equations, all the fields $\{u_{k,j}^{(m)}, v_{k,j}^{(m)}\}$ with $k < 0$ must be set equal to zero.

The $N = (2|2)$ supersymmetric 2D TL equation belongs to the system of (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), (3.23), (3.24), and (3.25). In order to see that, we consider (3.21) at $\{n = m = k = 1\}$,

$$D_1^- u_{1,j} = -v_{0,j} - v_{0,j+1}, \quad (3.26)$$

and (3.22) at $\{n = m = 1, k = 0\}$,

$$D_1^+ v_{0,j} = v_{0,j} (u_{1,j} - u_{1,j-1}). \quad (3.27)$$

Then, eliminating the field $u_{1,j}$ from (3.26) and (3.27), we obtain

$$D_1^+ D_1^- \ln v_{0,j} = v_{0,j+1} - v_{0,j-1}. \quad (3.28)$$

Equation (3.28) reproduces the $N = (1|1)$ superfield form of the $N = (2|2)$ superconformal 2D TL equation (2.13) (see, e.g., [5, 6] and the references therein). Indeed, in terms of the superfield components

$$g_j \equiv v_{0,j} |, \quad \gamma_j^\pm \equiv (D_1^\pm \ln v_{0,j}) |, \quad (3.29)$$

where g_j (γ_j^\pm) are the bosonic (fermionic) fields and $|$ means the $t_1^\pm \rightarrow 0$ limit, (3.28) coincides with (2.13) at $D_2^- \rightarrow -\partial_1$ and $D_2^+ \rightarrow \partial_2$.

Now we define the supertrace of the operators \mathbb{O}_m ,

$$\mathbb{O}_m = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k,j}^{(m)} e^{(k-m)\partial}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.30)$$

parameterized by the bosonic (fermionic) lattice functions $f_{2k,j}^{(m)}$ ($f_{2k+1,j}^{(m)}$), as a sum of all their diagonal elements of the trivial shift operator with $l = 0$ ($e^{0\partial} = 1$) multiplied by the factor $(-1)^j$,

$$\text{str } \mathbb{O} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j f_{m,j}^{(m)}. \quad (3.31)$$

One can easily verify that the main property of supertraces

$$\text{str} [\mathbb{O}_1, \mathbb{O}_2] = 0 \quad (3.32)$$

is indeed satisfied for the case of the generalized graded bracket operation (3.13). Using this definition of the supertrace and Lax-pair representation (3.12), one can easily obtain conserved Hamiltonians of the $N = (1|1)$ 2D TL hierarchy

$$H_m^\alpha = \text{str} (L^\alpha)_*^m, \quad D_n^\pm H_m^\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = +, -, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.33)$$

From this formula it is obvious that all bosonic Hamiltonians corresponding to even values of m are trivial $H_{2n}^\alpha = 0$ like a supertrace of the generalized graded bracket operation, while fermionic Hamiltonians at odd values of m are not equal to zero $H_{2n-1}^\alpha \neq 0$ in general. Using (3.14), we obtain more explicit superfield formulae for the latter,

$$H_{2n-1}^+ = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j u_{2n-1,j}^{(2n-1)}, \quad H_{2n-1}^- = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j v_{2n-1,j}^{(2n-1)}, \quad (3.34)$$

which in terms of superfield components look like

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^+(u) &\equiv H_{2n-1}^+ | = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j u_{2n-1,j}^{(2n-1)} |, \\ s_n^-(v) &\equiv H_{2n-1}^- | = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j v_{2n-1,j}^{(2n-1)} |. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

The functionals $u_{m,j}^{(m)}$ and $v_{m,j}^{(m)}$ can be expressed in terms of the fields $v_{0,j}$ only (for details see [11]) and then using (3.19), (3.21), (3.24), and (3.4), in terms of the fields (g_j, c_j, γ_j^\pm) in such a way that $s_m^-(v)$ and $s_m^+(u)$ become fermionic integrals of motion for the $N = 4$ TL equations (3.4).

To understand better how formulae (3.35) work, we finish this section with the examples and reproduce all fermionic Hamiltonians $S_{s,k}^{N=4}$ given by (3.1).

From (3.27) and the component correspondence (3.29), it directly follows that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1^+(u) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j u_{1,j} \Big| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j (D_1 \ln v_{0,j}) \Big| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j \gamma_j^+ = \frac{1}{2} S_{3,1}^{N=4}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where $S_{3,1}^{N=4}$ is the fermionic integral in (3.1).

A more complicated problem is to obtain the next fermionic integral $s_2^+(u)$. First, using (3.12), one can find the explicit form of the functional $u_3^{(3)}$,

$$s_2^+(u) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j u_{3,j}^{(3)} \Big| = 3 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j (u_{3,j} + u_{2,j} (u_{1,j} - u_{1,j-1})) \Big|. \quad (3.37)$$

Then, we consider (3.19) at $\{n = m = 0, k = 2\}$ and $\{n = m = 0, k = 1\}$,

$$D_1^+ u_{2,j} = u_{2,j} (u_{1,j-1} - u_{1,j}) - u_{3,j} + u_{3,j+1}, \quad (3.38)$$

$$D_1^+ u_{1,j} = u_{2,j} + u_{2,j+1}, \quad (3.39)$$

respectively. From (3.38), it follows that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j u_{3,j} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j (D_1^+ u_{2,j} + u_{2,j} (u_{1,j} - u_{1,j-1})); \quad (3.40)$$

the consequence of (3.39) and (3.27) is

$$D_1^+ (u_{1,j} - u_{1,j-1}) = u_{2,j+1} - u_{2,j-1} = \partial_2^+ \ln v_{0,j} \longrightarrow u_{2,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial \ln v_{0,j-2k-1}; \quad (3.41)$$

and, at last, from (3.27) one can find that

$$u_{1,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_1^+ \ln v_{0,j-k}. \quad (3.42)$$

Now it remains to substitute (3.40), (3.41), and (3.42) into (3.37) and to reproduce fermionic Hamiltonian $S_{3,2}^{N=4} = 2/3 s_2^+(u)$ in (3.1) using (3.4) and (3.29).

Analogously, one can find that

$$S_{1,1}^{N=4} = -2s_1^-(v), \quad S_{1,2}^{N=4} = -\frac{2}{3}s_2^-(v). \quad (3.43)$$

The two remaining series of fermionic Hamiltonians in (3.1) can be easily derived from the obtained ones if one applies the automorphism transformations (3.10),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2,m} &= S_{3,m}(\gamma_j^+ \longrightarrow -(-1)^j \gamma_j^-, \gamma_j^- \longrightarrow (-1)^j \gamma_j^+), \\ S_{4,m} &= -S_{1,m}(\gamma_j^+ \longrightarrow -(-1)^j \gamma_j^-, \gamma_j^- \longrightarrow (-1)^j \gamma_j^+). \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

3.3. Transition to the canonical basis for the $N = 4$ TL equations. Our next task is to rewrite the $N = 4$ TL equations (3.4) in a canonical basis where these equations admit a Lagrangian formulation that is important in connection with the quantization problem.

We introduce the new basis $\{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\}$ in the phase space $\{g_j, c_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= ie^{x_j - x_{j-1}}, & \gamma_j^+ &= \chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+, \\ c_j &= -(-1)^j p_j, & \gamma_j^- &= i(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+), \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where i is the imaginary unity and we suppose that the new fields go to zero at infinity:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\} = 0. \quad (3.46)$$

In terms of the new coordinates, the first Hamiltonian structure (3.2) becomes canonical,

$$\{x_i, p_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\chi_i^-, \chi_j^+\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (3.47)$$

and the Hamiltonians in (3.1) take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} p_j, \\ H_2 &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{2} p_j^2 - e^{x_j - x_{j-2}} - e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} (\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+) (\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+) \right), \\ S_{1,1} &= i \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j (\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+), \\ S_{2,1} &= i \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+), \\ S_{3,1} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j (\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+), \\ S_{4,1} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

The Hamiltonian H_2 generates the following equations via the first Hamiltonian structure (3.47):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial x_j &= -(-1)^j p_j, \\
 \partial p_j &= -(-1)^j \left(e^{x_j - x_{j-2}} - e^{x_{j+2} - x_j} + e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + e^{x_{j+1} - x_j} \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j+1}^+ \right) \left(\chi_{j+1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \right), \\
 \partial \chi_j^- &= - \left(e^{x_{j+1} - x_j} \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j+1}^+ \right) + e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \right), \\
 \partial \chi_j^+ &= -(-1)^j \left(e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) + e^{x_{j+1} - x_j} \left(\chi_{j+1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Following the standard procedure, one can derive the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and the action \mathcal{S} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S} &= \int dt \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j + \chi_j^- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_j^+ - H_2 \right] \\
 &= \int dt \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j \right)^2 + \chi_j^- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_j^+ \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^j \left(e^{x_j - x_{j-2}} + e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \right) \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

The variation of the action \mathcal{S} with respect to the fields $\{x_j, \chi_j^-, \chi_j^+\}$ produces the equations of motion (3.49) for them with reversed sign of the time ($\partial \rightarrow -\partial/\partial t$) where the momenta p_j are replaced by $(-1)^j (\partial/\partial t) x_j$.

One important remark is in order. There is no one-to-one correspondence between the phase space bases $\{g_j, c_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-\}$ and $\{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\}$ in (3.45). Transformation (3.45) can rather be treated as a reduction of the primary phase space $\{g_j, c_j, \gamma_j^+, \gamma_j^-\}$ to the subspace $\{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\}$ with a smaller symmetry. Indeed, the direct consequence of (3.45) and (3.46) is the following constraints on the original fields:

$$\prod_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-ig_k) = 1, \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma_k^- - i\gamma_k^+) = 0, \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\gamma_k^- + i\gamma_k^+) = 0. \tag{3.51}$$

Equations (3.51) restrict the phase space of (3.4) and change the symmetry properties of the latter. The first manifestation of such a restriction is the fact that Hamiltonians H_1 and $S_{n,1}$ in (3.48) no longer belong to the center of the first Hamiltonian structure and generate the following nontrivial flows via the algebra (3.47):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_{H_1} x_j &= 1, & D_{S_{1,1}} \chi_j^- &= -i, & D_{S_{1,1}} \chi_j^+ &= -(-1)^j i, \\
 D_{S_{2,1}} \chi_j^- &= -(-1)^j i, & D_{S_{2,1}} \chi_j^+ &= i, & D_{S_{3,1}} \chi_j^- &= 1, \\
 D_{S_{3,1}} \chi_j^+ &= (-1)^j, & D_{S_{4,1}} \chi_j^- &= -(-1)^j, & D_{S_{4,1}} \chi_j^+ &= 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Furthermore, conditions (3.51) break the $N = 4$ supersymmetry transformations (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) and in order to restore the $N = 4$ supersymmetry, one needs to impose

the following additional constraints on the fields:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \gamma_k^{\pm} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k^{\pm} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k c_k = 0. \quad (3.53)$$

However, the following $N = 2$ supersymmetry transformations in terms of fermionic flows (3.5) and (3.6):

$$\tilde{D}_1 = iD_1 + D_3, \quad \tilde{D}_2 = iD_2 - D_4, \quad \tilde{D}_1^2 = 2\partial, \quad \tilde{D}_2^2 = -2\partial \quad (3.54)$$

are consistent with the constraints (3.51) and provide the $N = 2$ supersymmetry for the infinite TL in the canonical basis (3.49),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_1 x_j &= \chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_j^+, \\ \tilde{D}_1 p_j &= e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} (\chi_{j+1}^+ - \chi_j^+ + (-1)^j (\chi_j^- + \chi_{j+1}^-)) + e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} (\chi_{j-1}^+ - \chi_j^+ + (-1)^j (\chi_j^- + \chi_{j-1}^-)), \\ \tilde{D}_1 \chi_j^- &= e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} - e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} - (-1)^j p_j, \\ \tilde{D}_1 \chi_j^+ &= (-1)^j (e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} - e^{x_{j+1}-x_j}) - p_j, \\ \tilde{D}_2 x_j &= \chi_j^+ - (-1)^j \chi_j^-, \\ \tilde{D}_2 p_j &= e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} (\chi_{j+1}^- - \chi_j^- - (-1)^j (\chi_j^+ + \chi_{j+1}^+)) + e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} (\chi_{j-1}^- - \chi_j^- - (-1)^j (\chi_j^+ + \chi_{j-1}^+)), \\ \tilde{D}_2 \chi_j^+ &= e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} - e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} + (-1)^j p_j, \\ \tilde{D}_2 \chi_j^- &= (-1)^j (e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} - e^{x_j-x_{j-1}}) - p_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Equation (3.49) can be represented in the superfield form

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{D}_- \Phi_j = 2(-1)^j (e^{\Phi_{j+1}-\Phi_j} - e^{\Phi_j-\Phi_{j-1}}), \quad (3.56)$$

where Φ_j is the bosonic $N = 2$ superfield with the components

$$x_j = \Phi_j |, \quad \chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_j^+ = \mathcal{D}_+ \Phi_j |, \quad \chi_j^+ - (-1)^j \chi_j^- = \mathcal{D}_- \Phi_j |. \quad (3.57)$$

Here, $|$ means the $\theta^{\pm} \rightarrow 0$ limit and \mathcal{D}_{\pm} are the fermionic covariant derivatives

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\pm}} \pm 2\theta^{\pm} \partial, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\pm}^2 = \pm 2\partial, \quad \{\mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_-\} = 0. \quad (3.58)$$

In order to rewrite the second Hamiltonian structure (3.3) in terms of the new fields $\{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\}$, we invert (3.45),

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_j &= c \sum_{k=-\infty}^j \left(\ln g_k - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) + (c-1) \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\ln g_k - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right), \\
 p_j &= -(-1)^j c_j, \\
 \chi_j^+ &= (-1)^j \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(c_+ \gamma_{j+2k+1}^+ + i c_- \gamma_{j+2k+2}^- \right) + (-1)^j \sum_0^{\infty} \left((c_+ - 1) \gamma_{j+2k+1}^+ + i (c_- - 1) \gamma_{j+2k+2}^- \right), \\
 \chi_j^- &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \left(c_+ \gamma_{j+2k+2}^+ + i c_- \gamma_{j+2k+1}^- \right) - \sum_0^{\infty} \left((c_+ - 1) \gamma_{j+2k+1}^+ + i (c_- - 1) \gamma_{j+2k+2}^- \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

and find the Poisson brackets between the fields $\{x_j, p_j, \chi_j^+, \chi_j^-\}$ using relations (3.3). Equations (3.59) contain three arbitrary parameters c and c_{\pm} . However, the second Hamiltonian structure (3.3) is not consistent with constraints (3.51) in general, and it is not guaranteed *a priori* that the Poisson brackets obtained in such a way obey the Jacobi identities. The test of the Jacobi identities shows that the Poisson brackets obtained form a closed algebra only at $c = 1$ and $c_{\pm} = 0$ and have the following explicit form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{x_i, x_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j \delta_{i,j}^+ - (-1)^i \delta_{i,j}^-, \\
 \{x_i, p_j\}_2 &= -(-1)^j p_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
 \{p_i, p_j\}_2 &= -(-1)^j \left(e^{x_i - x_j} \left(\chi_i^- + (-1)^i \chi_{i-1}^+ \right) \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \delta_{i,j+1} + e^{x_i - x_j} \delta_{i,j+2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + e^{x_j - x_i} \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \left(\chi_{i-1}^- - (-1)^i \chi_i^+ \right) \delta_{i,j-1} - e^{x_j - x_i} \delta_{i,j-2} \right), \\
 \{p_i, \chi_j^{\pm}\}_2 &= \varrho_{i+j}^+ \left[e^{x_{i+1} - x_i} \left(\mp \chi_i^{\pm} + (-1)^i \chi_{i+1}^{\mp} \right) \delta_{i,j-1}^+ + e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} \left(\pm \chi_i^{\pm} - (-1)^i \chi_{i-1}^{\mp} \right) \delta_{i,j+1}^+ \right] \\
 &\quad + \varrho_{i+j}^- \left[e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} \left(\pm \chi_{i-1}^{\pm} + (-1)^i \chi_i^{\mp} \right) \delta_{i,j+1}^+ + e^{x_{i+1} - x_i} \left(\mp \chi_{i+1}^{\pm} - (-1)^i \chi_i^{\mp} \right) \delta_{i,j-2}^+ \right], \\
 \{x_i, \chi_j^{\pm}\}_2 &= \varrho_{i+j}^+ \left[(-1)^j \left(\chi_j^{\pm} - \chi_i^{\pm} \right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(i-j)/2} \left(\mp \chi_{i-2k+1}^{\pm} \pm (-1)^j \chi_{j+2k}^{\pm} \right) \right] \delta_{i,j+1}^+ \\
 &\quad + \varrho_{i+j}^- \left[\left(\mp \chi_i^{\mp} + (-1)^j \chi_j^{\pm} \right) \delta_{i,j}^+ + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(i-j-1)/2} \left(\mp \chi_{i-2k}^{\mp} + (-1)^j \chi_{j+2k}^{\pm} \right) \delta_{i,j+1}^+ \right], \\
 \{\chi_i^-, \chi_j^+\}_2 &= \varrho_{i+j}^+ \left[2(-1)^j \delta_{i,j} \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} p_k + \left(e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} + e^{x_{i+1} - x_i} - (-1)^j \left(p_i + 2 \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} p_k \right) \right) \delta_{i,j}^+ \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} + e^{x_{j+1} - x_j} + (-1)^j \left(p_j + 2 \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} p_k \right) \right) \delta_{i,j}^- \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\{\chi_i^\pm, \chi_j^\pm\}_2 = \varrho_{i+j}^- \left[\left(-(-1)^i e^{x_{i+1}-x_i} \mp p_i \mp 2 \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} p_k \right) \delta_{i,j}^+ - (-1)^i e^{x_i-x_{i-1}} \delta_{i,j+1}^+ \right. \\ \left. + \left(-(-1)^j e^{x_{j+1}-x_j} \mp p_j \mp 2 \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} p_k \right) \delta_{i,j}^- - (-1)^j e^{x_j-x_{j-1}} \delta_{i,j-1}^- \right], \quad (3.60)$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$\varrho_j^\pm = \frac{(1 \pm (-1)^j)}{2}, \quad \delta_{i,j}^+ = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i > j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \leq j, \end{cases} \quad \delta_{i,j}^- = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i < j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \geq j, \end{cases} \quad (3.61)$$

with the property

$$\delta_{i,j}^- + \delta_{i,j}^+ + \delta_{i,j} \equiv 1. \quad (3.62)$$

One can check that the Hamiltonian H_1 in (3.48) reproduces (3.49) via the second Hamiltonian structure (3.60).

4. Reduction: 1D $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL hierarchy

4.1. Bi-Hamiltonian structure of the 1D $N = 2$ TL hierarchy. The 1D $N = 2$ TL hierarchy was proposed and studied in detail in [3]. In this section, we reproduce its bi-Hamiltonian description [3] reducing the bi-Hamiltonian structure (2.28) and (2.29) of the 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy by reduction constraint (2.21).

Our starting point is (2.16) with boundary conditions (2.4a) and (2.4c) in 1D space (2.22). Substituting reduction constraint (2.21) into (2.16), we obtain the following equation for the fields \bar{a}_j :

$$\partial \bar{a}_j = \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j + \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_j, \quad (4.1)$$

which can easily be solved. Here, we note that the system (2.16) is scale-invariant and length dimensions of the involved fields are $[b_j] = [\bar{b}_j] = -2$, $[a_j] = [\bar{a}_j] = -1$, $[\beta_j] = [\bar{\beta}_j] = -3/2$, and $[\alpha_j] = [\bar{\alpha}_j] = -1/2$. Keeping this in mind, we obtain the scale-invariant solution to (4.1),

$$\bar{a}_j = -\frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j}. \quad (4.2)$$

Substituting this solution into (2.16) at $\partial_2 = \partial_1 = \partial$, we obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial b_j &= b_j(a_j - a_{j-1}), & \partial a_j &= b_{j+1} - b_j + \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j + \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\beta}_{j+1}, \\ \partial \beta_j &= a_j \beta_j - b_j \alpha_j, & \partial \bar{\beta}_j &= -a_{j-1} \bar{\beta}_j - b_j \bar{\alpha}_j, \\ \partial \alpha_j &= \beta_j - \beta_{j-1}, & \partial \bar{\alpha}_j &= \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{\beta}_{j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Fermionic symmetries (2.17) become inconsistent after reduction $\bar{b}_j = 0$ because in this case the fields e_j in (2.19) become singular. As concerns fermionic symmetries in (2.18),

they are consistent and take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 b_j &= b_j(\alpha_j - \bar{\alpha}_j), & D_2 b_j &= b_j(\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_j), \\
D_1 a_j &= \bar{\beta}_{j+1} + \beta_j, & D_2 a_j &= -\bar{\beta}_{j+1} + \beta_j, \\
D_1 \beta_j &= -b_j + \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j, & D_2 \beta_j &= b_j - \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j, \\
D_1 \bar{\beta}_j &= b_j - \bar{\beta}_j \alpha_j, & D_2 \bar{\beta}_j &= b_j - \bar{\beta}_j \alpha_j, \\
D_1 \alpha_j &= -a_{j-1} - \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j}, & D_2 \alpha_j &= a_{j-1} + \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j}, \\
D_1 \bar{\alpha}_j &= -a_j - \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j}, & D_2 \bar{\alpha}_j &= -a_j - \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The system (4.3) is supplied with the boundary conditions (2.4a) and (2.4c). We recall that for the boundary conditions (2.4c), the system (2.16) does not possess any supersymmetry (see the paragraph with (2.11)). In terms of fields (2.15), the boundary conditions (2.4a) and (2.4c) are

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \{b_j, a_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\} = 0, \tag{4.5a}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} b_j = 1, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \{a_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\} = 0, \tag{4.5b}$$

respectively. Therefore, we conclude that system (4.3) possesses $N = 2$ supersymmetry only for the boundary conditions (4.5a), while for the boundary conditions (4.5b), it is not supersymmetric.

The first (2.28) and second (2.29) Hamiltonian structures in the basis $\{b_j, \bar{b}_j, a_j, \bar{a}_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\}$ in (2.15) look like

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b_i, a_j\}_1 &= b_i(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}), & \{\bar{b}_i, \bar{a}_j\}_1 &= \bar{b}_i(\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\
\{a_i, \beta_j\}_1 &= -\beta_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{\bar{a}_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_1 &= \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{\bar{a}_i, \beta_j\}_1 &= -\beta_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{a_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_1 &= \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_1 &= b_j \delta_{i,j} - \bar{b}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_1 &= \delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}, \\
\{b_i, b_j\}_2 &= -b_i b_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}), & \{\bar{b}_i, \bar{b}_j\}_2 &= \bar{b}_i \bar{b}_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{a_i, a_j\}_2 &= -(b_i \delta_{i,j+1} - b_j \delta_{i,j-1}), & \{\bar{a}_i, \bar{a}_j\}_2 &= \bar{b}_i \delta_{i,j+1} - \bar{b}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{a_i, \bar{a}_j\}_2 &= \bar{\alpha}_j \beta_i \delta_{i,j} - \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_i \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{b_i, a_j\}_2 &= -b_i a_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\
\{\bar{b}_i, \bar{a}_j\}_2 &= \bar{b}_i \bar{a}_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), & \{b_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -b_i \alpha_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{\bar{b}_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= \bar{b}_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{b_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= b_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{\bar{b}_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -\bar{b}_i \alpha_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{b_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -b_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j+1}, \\
\{\bar{b}_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= \bar{b}_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{b_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -\bar{b}_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{b_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= b_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{a_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -a_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j} + b_j \alpha_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{\bar{a}_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= \bar{a}_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j} + \bar{b}_j \bar{\alpha}_i \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{a_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= a_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1} + b_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\bar{a}_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -\bar{a}_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j} + \bar{b}_i \alpha_i \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{\bar{\beta}_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -(\bar{\beta}_i \alpha_j \delta_{i,j} + \bar{b}_i \delta_{i,j+1} - b_i \delta_{i,j-1}), \\
\{\bar{a}_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= -\bar{\beta}_i \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= -a_j \delta_{i,j+1} + \bar{a}_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{\bar{a}_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -\bar{\beta}_i \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= \beta_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j} - b_i \delta_{i,j+1} + \bar{b}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{a_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -\beta_i \delta_{i,j}, & \{\bar{\beta}_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= (\beta_i \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{b}_j a_i) \delta_{i,j-1} + (\beta_i \bar{\beta}_j + b_i \bar{a}_j) \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{a_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= -\bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & &
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

respectively. One can easily see that the algebras in (4.6) are consistent with the reduction constraints (2.21) and (4.2), so the 1D $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL equations (4.3) can be represented as a bi-Hamiltonian system with the first Hamiltonian structure:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b_i, a_j\}_1 &= b_i(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}), & \{a_i, \beta_j\}_1 &= -\beta_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{a_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_1 &= \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_1 &= b_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_1 &= \delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}, & &
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and the second Hamiltonian structure:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b_i, b_j\}_2 &= -b_i b_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1}), & \{b_i, a_j\}_2 &= -b_i a_j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j}), \\
\{a_i, a_j\}_2 &= -b_i \delta_{i,j+1} + b_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{b_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -b_i \alpha_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{b_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= b_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{b_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -b_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j+1}, \\
\{b_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= b_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{a_i, \beta_j\}_2 &= -a_i \beta_j \delta_{i,j} + b_j \alpha_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{a_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= a_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1} + b_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j}, & \{a_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -\beta_i \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{a_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= -\bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{\bar{\beta}_i, \alpha_j\}_2 &= -\bar{\beta}_i \alpha_j \delta_{i,j} + b_i \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{\beta_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= \beta_i \bar{\alpha}_j \delta_{i,j} - b_i \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{\beta_i, \bar{\beta}_j\}_2 &= \beta_i \bar{\beta}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{\alpha_i, \bar{\alpha}_j\}_2 &= -a_j \delta_{i,j+1} - \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} \delta_{i,j}, & &
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where when calculating we have substituted the reduction constraints (2.21) and (4.2) into the original algebras (4.6). We also present a few first bosonic and fermionic Hamiltonians of the 1D $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL hierarchy obtained from Hamiltonians (2.25) and (2.35) using reduction constraints (2.21) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1^{N=2} &= - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(a_j + \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} \right), \\
H_2^{N=2} &= - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} a_j^2 + b_j + \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_j - \beta_j \bar{\alpha}_j \right), \\
S_{1,1}^{N=2} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_j), \\
S_{2,1}^{N=2} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha_j - \bar{\alpha}_j),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,2}^{N=2} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\beta_j - \bar{\beta}_j - \bar{\alpha}_j \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} + (\alpha_j - \bar{\alpha}_j) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-1} \left(a_k + \frac{\beta_k \bar{\beta}_k}{b_k} \right) \right), \\
S_{2,2}^{N=2} &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\beta_j + \bar{\beta}_j + \bar{\alpha}_j \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} + (\alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}_j) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{j-1} \left(a_k + \frac{\beta_k \bar{\beta}_k}{b_k} \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

4.2. Transition to the canonical basis for the 1D $N = 2$ TL equations. The transition to the canonical basis for the 1D $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL equations (4.3) with nonperiodic boundary conditions is possible only for the boundary conditions (4.5b). As we have already mentioned, in this case the system (4.3) is not supersymmetric, nevertheless it can serve as a basement for the building of the periodic $N = 2$ TL equations in the canonical basis. In this section, we briefly discuss the representation of the system (4.3) in the canonical basis.

Following [3], we introduce the new basis $\{x_j, p_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j, \eta_j, \bar{\eta}_j\}$ in the phase space $\{a_j, b_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i &= p_i, & b_i &= e^{x_i - x_{i-1}}, & \beta_i &= e^{x_i} \xi_i, \\
\bar{\beta}_i &= e^{-x_{i-1}} \bar{\xi}_i, & \bar{\alpha}_i &= -\bar{\eta}_i, & \alpha_i &= \eta_{i-1} - \eta_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

with the zero boundary conditions at infinity

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm\infty} \{x_j, p_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j, \eta_j, \bar{\eta}_j\} = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

In this basis the first Hamiltonian structure becomes canonical:

$$\{x_i, p_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\eta_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \tag{4.12}$$

while the second Hamiltonian structure takes a more complicated form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{x_i, x_j\}_2 &= \delta_{i,j}^- - \delta_{i,j}^+, & \{x_i, p_j\}_2 &= p_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{p_i, p_j\}_2 &= e^{x_j - x_i} \delta_{i,j-1} - e^{x_i - x_j} \delta_{i,j+1}, & \{p_i, \xi_j\}_2 &= e^{-x_i} (\eta_i - \eta_j) \delta_{i,j-1}, \\
\{p_i, \eta_j\}_2 &= e^{x_i} \xi_i (\bar{c} - \delta_{i,j}^+), & \{x_i, \xi_j\}_2 &= \xi_j (1 - c - \delta_{i,j}^-), \\
\{x_i, \eta_j\}_2 &= \eta_i (1 - \bar{c} - \delta_{i,j}^-) + \eta_j (\delta_{i,j}^- - c), & \{\xi_i, \eta_j\}_2 &= \xi_i \eta_i (\bar{c} - \delta_{i,j}^+) + \xi_i \eta_j (c - 1 + \delta_{i,j}^+), \\
\{p_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_2 &= e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_j \delta_{i,j-1}, & \{p_i, \bar{\xi}_j\}_2 &= -e^{x_i} \bar{\eta}_j \delta_{i,j}, \\
\{x_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_2 &= \bar{\eta}_j (c - \delta_{i,j}^-), & \{x_i, \bar{\xi}_j\}_2 &= \bar{\xi}_j (c - 1 + \delta_{i,j}^-), \\
\{\bar{\xi}_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_2 &= \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\eta}_j (c - 1 + \delta_{i,j}^+), & \{\xi_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_2 &= \xi_i \bar{\eta}_j (1 - c - \delta_{i,j}^+) + e^{-x_j} \delta_{i,j+1}, \\
\{\eta_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_2 &= \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j (\delta_{i,j}^- - \bar{c}) + p_j (1 - \bar{c} - \delta_{i,j}^+), \\
\{\bar{\xi}_i, \eta_j\}_2 &= (\bar{\xi}_i \eta_i + e^{x_i}) (1 - \bar{c} - \delta_{i,j}^-) + \bar{\xi}_i \eta_j (\delta_{i,j}^- - c),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where c is an arbitrary parameter and $\tilde{c} = 1$ or 0 . One can trace the origin of these parameters if one writes down the most general form of the inverse transformations (4.10):

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= c \sum_{k=-\infty}^i \ln b_k + (c-1) \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \ln b_k, & \eta_i &= -\tilde{c} \sum_{k=-\infty}^i \alpha_k + (1-\tilde{c}) \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k, \\ p_i &= a_i, & \xi_i &= e^{-x_i} \beta_i, & \bar{\xi}_i &= e^{x_{i-1}} \bar{\beta}_i, & \bar{\eta}_i &= \bar{\alpha}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

From the Jacobi identities, one can fix the parameter \tilde{c} to be 1 or 0, while the second parameter c is left arbitrary.

In the canonical basis (4.10), the bosonic Hamiltonians (4.9) become

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i + \xi_i \bar{\xi}_i), \\ H_2 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} p_i^2 + e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} + e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i) + e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and they generate, via the first (4.12) and second (4.13) Hamiltonian structures, the following equations [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x_i &= p_i, & \partial \bar{\xi}_i &= e^{x_i} \bar{\eta}_i, & \partial \xi_i &= e^{-x_{i-1}} (\eta_i - \eta_{i-1}), \\ \partial p_i &= e^{x_{i+1} - x_i} - e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} - e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i - e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_i - \eta_{i+1}), \\ \partial \eta_i &= -e^{x_i} \xi_i, & \partial \bar{\eta}_i &= e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} - e^{-x_{i-1}} \bar{\xi}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

The parameters c and \tilde{c} do not affect (4.16) via the second Hamiltonian structure (4.13).

We also present the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and the action \mathcal{S} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \int dt \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j + \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\xi}_j + \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\eta}_j - H_2 \right] \\ &= \int dt \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j \right)^2 + \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\xi}_j + \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\eta}_j + e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} + e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i) + e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

One can easily verify that the variation of the action \mathcal{S} with respect to the fields $\{x_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j, \eta_j, \bar{\eta}_j\}$ produces equations of motion (4.16) for them with reversed sign of the time ($\partial \rightarrow -\partial/\partial t$) where the momenta p_j are replaced by $-(\partial/\partial t)x_j$.

5. Periodic TL hierarchies

5.1. Periodic 2D generalized fermionic TL equations. The n -periodic 2D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3) are characterized by the boundary conditions (2.4d). This system has completely different symmetry properties for odd and even values of the period n . From now on we concentrate on the case with even value $n = 2m$ of the period.

The $2m$ -periodic 2D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.3) admit the zero-curvature representation

$$[\partial_1 + L_{2m}^-, \partial_2 - L_{2m}^+] = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

with the $2m \times 2m$ matrices L_{2m}^\pm :

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{2m}^-)_{i,j} &= \rho_i \delta_{i,j+1} + d_i \delta_{i,j+2} + w^{-1} (\rho_1 \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,2m} + d_1 \delta_{i,1} \delta_{j,2m-1} + d_2 \delta_{i,2} \delta_{j,2m}), \\ (L_{2m}^+)_{i,j} &= \delta_{i,j-2} + \gamma_i \delta_{i,j-1} + c_i \delta_{i,j} + w (\delta_{i,2m-1} \delta_{j,1} + \delta_{i,2m} \delta_{j,2} + \gamma_{2m} \delta_{i,2m} \delta_{j,1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{2m}^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_1}{w} & \frac{\rho_1}{w} \\ \rho_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_2}{w} \\ d_3 & \rho_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_4 & \rho_4 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & & \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{2m-2} & \rho_{2m-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_{2m-1} & \rho_{2m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & d_{2m} & \rho_{2m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$L_{2m}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \gamma_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & \gamma_2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_3 & \gamma_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_4 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & & \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_{2m-2} & \gamma_{2m-2} & 1 \\ w & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_{2m-1} & \gamma_{2m-1} \\ w\gamma_{2m} & w & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{2m} \end{pmatrix},$$

where w is the spectral parameter of length dimension $[w] = -m$.

Now, following [14], we give some definitions concerning supermatrices. For any $n \times n$ supermatrix F , one can define the Grassmann parities of rows and columns as $p_{\text{row}}(i) \equiv p(F_{i,1})$ and $p_{\text{col}}(j) \equiv p(F_{1,j})$, respectively, where $p(F_{i,j})$ is the Grassmann parity of the matrix element $F_{i,j}$. For the matrices L_{2m}^\pm , one has $p_{\text{row}}(i) = p_{\text{col}}(i) \equiv p(i)$. Matrix F has certain Grassmann parity if the expression

$$p(F) = p(i) + p(j) + p(F_{i,j}) \quad (5.3)$$

does not depend on i and j . For even $n = 2m$, the matrices L_n^\pm have Grassmann parity $p(L_{2m}^\pm) = 0$, while for odd $n = 2m + 1$, matrices L_{2m+1}^\pm have no definite parity and, therefore, for odd n , the zero-curvature representation (5.1) (as well as the Lax-pair representation in 1D space) does not make sense.

5.2. Bi-Hamiltonian structure of the periodic 1D generalized fermionic TL hierarchy.

The periodic 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27) with the $2m$ -periodic boundary conditions (2.4d) can be reproduced via the following Lax-pair representation:

$$\partial L_{2m} = [L_{2m}, L_{2m}^-], \quad L_{2m} = L_{2m}^+ + L_{2m}^-,$$

$$L_{2m} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \gamma_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_1}{w} & \frac{\rho_1}{w} \\ \rho_2 & c_2 & \gamma_2 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{d_2}{w} \\ d_3 & \rho_3 & c_3 & \gamma_3 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_4 & \rho_4 & c_4 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & \\ & & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & c_{2m-3} & \gamma_{2m-3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \rho_{2m-2} & c_{2m-2} & \gamma_{2m-2} & 1 \\ w & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & d_{2m-1} & \rho_{2m-1} & c_{2m-1} & \gamma_{2m-1} \\ w\gamma_{2m} & w & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & d_{2m} & \rho_{2m} & c_{2m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.4)$$

where L_{2m}^\pm are defined according to (5.2).

The $2m$ -periodic 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27) possess the bi-Hamiltonian structure which can be easily derived from the first and second Hamiltonian structures (2.28) and (2.29), if one makes changes there according to the substitution

$$\delta_{i,j+k} \longrightarrow \delta_{i,j+k} + \delta_{i,j-2m+k}, \quad \delta_{i,j-k} \longrightarrow \delta_{i,j-k} + \delta_{i,j+2m-k}, \quad k > 0, \quad (5.5)$$

and changes the sum limits in the Hamiltonians (2.25) as

$$H_1^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^i c_i, \quad H_2^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{2} c_i^2 + d_i + \rho_i \gamma_{i-1} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, the first and second Hamiltonians structures are explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \{d_i, c_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j d_i (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2}), \\ \{c_i, \rho_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j \rho_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1}), \\ \{\rho_i, \rho_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j (d_i (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1}) - d_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1})), \\ \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}_1 &= (-1)^j (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1} - \delta_{i,j+2m-1}), \\ \{d_i, d_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i d_j (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j-2} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2} - \delta_{i,j+2m-2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{d_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i c_j (\delta_{i,j+2} - \delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2}), \\
\{c_i, c_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (d_i (\delta_{i,j+2} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2}) - d_j (\delta_{i,j-2} + \delta_{i,j+2m-2}) \\
&\quad - \gamma_j \rho_i (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1}) - \gamma_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1})), \\
\{d_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j+2} + \delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1}), \\
\{d_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j d_i \gamma_j (\delta_{i,j+2} + \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1}), \\
\{c_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (c_i \rho_j (\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1}) - d_j \gamma_i (\delta_{i,j-2} + \delta_{i,j+2m-2}) \\
&\quad - d_i \gamma_j (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1})), \\
\{c_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (\rho_i \delta_{i,j+2} + \rho_j \delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+2} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1}), \\
\{\rho_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (\rho_i \gamma_j (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1}) + d_i (\delta_{i,j+3} + \delta_{i,j-2m+3}) - d_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1})), \\
\{\rho_i, \rho_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j ((\rho_i \rho_j - d_j c_i) (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1}) + (\rho_i \rho_j + d_i c_j) (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1})), \\
\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}_2 &= (-1)^j (c_i (\delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-2m+1}) - c_j (\delta_{i,j-1} + \delta_{i,j+2m-1})),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

respectively.

Bosonic integrals of motion of the $2m$ -periodic 1D generalized TL hierarchy can be derived via the following general formula:

$$\text{str } L_{2m}^k = \sum_{p=1}^{2m} (-1)^p (L_{2m}^k)_{pp} = k \left(H_k^{2m} + w I_{k-m}^{2m} + \frac{\hat{I}_{k+m}^{2m}}{w} + \delta_{k,2m} \frac{\hat{I}_{4m}^{2m}}{w^2} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, 2m. \tag{5.8}$$

Here, H_k^{2m} are the bosonic Hamiltonians in (5.6), and I_k^{2m} and \hat{I}_k^{2m} are the additional conserved quantities. We analyzed attentively the quantities I_k^{2m} for the case $m = 2, 3$ and found that I_k^{2m} can be decomposed into a sum of a few terms which are conserved separately and besides H_k^{2m} contain two more independent integrals of motion of length dimension $k < -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_p^{2m} &= 0, \quad \text{if } p \leq 0, \quad I_1^{2m} = H_1^{2m} + \frac{1}{2} S_3^{2m} S_4^{2m}, \\
I_2^{2m} &= H_2^{2m} + U_2^{2m} + V_2^{2m} + \frac{1}{2} H_1^{2m} S_3^{2m} S_4^{2m}, \\
\hat{I}_{m+1}^{2m} &= 0, \quad \hat{I}_{2m}^{2m} = U_{2m}^{2m} - V_{2m}^{2m},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where U_k^{2m} and V_k^{2m} are additional bosonic integrals of motion,

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2^{2m} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m c_{2j+1} \gamma_{2i} \gamma_{2k-1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{m-1} \sum_{p=k}^{m-1} (-1)^j \gamma_j \gamma_{j+2i+1} \gamma_{j+2k} \gamma_{j+2p+1}, \\
V_2^{2m} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{2} c_j c_{j+2i} - \gamma_{j-1} \rho_{j+2i} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(c_{2j} \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sum_{k=i}^{m-2} \gamma_{2j+2i+1} \gamma_{2j+2k+2} - c_{2j-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \gamma_{2j+2i-1} \gamma_{2j+2k} \right), \\
U_{2m}^{2m} &= \prod_{i=1}^m d_{2i}, \quad V_{2m}^{2m} = \prod_{i=1}^m d_{2i-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

and S_3^{2m} and S_4^{2m} are fermionic integrals (see (5.11)). Our conjecture is that formulae (5.9) and (5.10) are valid not only for the values $m = 2, 3$ for which they were actually calculated, but also for an arbitrary value of m .

The first fermionic Hamiltonians (2.35) in the $2m$ -periodic case become

$$S_1^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^i \rho_i g_i^{-1}, \quad S_2^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \rho_i g_i^{-1}, \quad S_3^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^i \gamma_i, \quad S_4^{2m} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \gamma_i. \tag{5.11}$$

Note that in the periodic case the fields g_j are connected with the fields d_j via the irreversible relation $d_j = g_j g_{j-1}$. For the fields g_j , there are (2.33) and it seems reasonable to consider (2.27), (2.32), and (2.33) as a single joined system of equations. In this case, the system possesses the $N = 4$ supersymmetry and has additional bosonic integrals of motion \hat{V}_k^{2m} and \hat{U}_k^{2m} which can be derived using automorphism (2.38),

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}_k^{2m} &= V_k^{2m}(\gamma_j \rightarrow (-1)^j \rho_{j+1} g_{j+1}^{-1}, \rho_j \rightarrow (-1)^j \gamma_{j-1} g_j), \\
\hat{U}_k^{2m} &= U_k^{2m}(\gamma_j \rightarrow (-1)^j \rho_{j+1} g_{j+1}^{-1}, \rho_j \rightarrow (-1)^j \gamma_{j-1} g_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We suppose that the Hamiltonians (5.11) are the only independent fermionic integrals of motion which exist for the $2m$ -periodic 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27). Thus, we have checked that higher fermionic Hamiltonians of length dimensions $-3/2$ and $-5/2$ in the $2m$ -periodic case for $m = 2$ become composite and can be expressed via the fermionic Hamiltonians (5.11) and bosonic integrals of motion as a sum of composite terms.

5.3. The r -matrix formalism. There is another approach to reproduce bosonic integrals of motion [7]. We consider the $2m$ -periodic auxiliary linear problem

$$\lambda \psi_j = (L_{2m})_{ij} \psi_j \equiv \rho_j \psi_{j-1} + d_j \psi_{j-2} + \psi_{j+2} + \gamma_j \psi_{j+1} + c_j \psi_j, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\partial \psi_j = (L_{2m}^-)_{ij} \psi_j \equiv \rho_j \psi_{j-1} + d_j \psi_{j-2}, \tag{5.14}$$

for the wave functions ψ_j such that $\psi_{j+2m} = w \psi_j$. One can check that (5.13) and (5.14) are equivalent to the following linear problem:

$$\Phi_{j+1} = \mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda) \Phi_j, \quad \partial \Phi_j = \mathfrak{U}_j(\lambda) \Phi_j, \quad \Phi_j = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{j+1} \\ \psi_{j-1} \\ \psi_j \\ \psi_{j-2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_j & -\rho_j & \lambda - c_j & -d_j \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{U}_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d_{j+1} & -\rho_{j+1} & 0 \\ 1 & c_{j-1} - \lambda & \gamma_{j-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho_j & 0 & -d_j \\ 0 & \gamma_{j-2} & 1 & c_{j-2} - \lambda \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

and the 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27) result from the consistency condition

$$\partial \mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda) = \mathfrak{U}_{j+1}(\lambda) \mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda) - \mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda) \mathfrak{U}_j(\lambda)\tag{5.17}$$

of the linear system (5.15). We note that the 4×4 matrix Lax operator $\mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda)$ in (5.16) has the fermionic Grassmann parity $p(\mathfrak{L}_j(\lambda)) = 1$, according to the definition (5.3). The transformations (2.15) to the new basis $\{a_j, \bar{a}_j, b_j, \bar{b}_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\}$ in the space of the functions $\{c_j, d_j, \rho_j, \gamma_j\}$ together with the new definitions

$$\mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) \equiv \mathfrak{L}_{2j+1}(\lambda) \mathfrak{L}_{2j}(\lambda), \quad V_j \equiv \mathfrak{U}_{2j}(\lambda), \quad F_j = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ \phi_{j-1} \\ \varphi_j \\ \varphi_{j-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \Phi_{2j} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{2j+1} \\ \psi_{2j-1} \\ \psi_{2j} \\ \psi_{2j-2} \end{pmatrix}\tag{5.18}$$

allow us to rewrite (5.13), (5.14), (5.15), (5.16), and (5.17) in the following equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\beta}_j \phi_{j-1} + \bar{b}_j \varphi_{j-1} + \varphi_{j+1} - \bar{\alpha}_j \phi_j + (\bar{a}_j - \lambda) \varphi_j &= 0, \\ \beta_j \varphi_j + b_j \phi_{j-1} + \phi_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+1} \varphi_{j+1} + (a_j - \lambda) \phi_j &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_{j+1} &= \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) F_j, \quad \partial F_{j+1} = V_j(\lambda) F_{j+1}, \\ \partial \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) &= V_{j+1}(\lambda) \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) - \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) V_j(\lambda),\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\alpha}_j - a_j & \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\beta}_j - b_j & (\bar{a}_j - \lambda) \alpha_{j+1} - \beta_j & \bar{b}_j - \alpha_{j+1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\alpha}_j & -\bar{\beta}_j & \lambda - \bar{a}_j & -\bar{b}_j \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ V_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_j & -\beta_j & 0 \\ 1 & a_{j-1} - \lambda & \alpha_j & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{\beta}_j & 0 & -\bar{b}_j \\ 0 & -\bar{\alpha}_{j-1} & 1 & \bar{a}_{j-1} - \lambda \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.21}$$

Now, we introduce a new basis $\{p_j, \bar{p}_j, x_j, \bar{x}_j, \eta_j, \bar{\eta}_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j\}$ in the space of the functions $\{a_j, \bar{a}_j, b_j, \bar{b}_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= p_i, & b_i &= e^{x_i - x_{i-1}}, & \alpha_i &= \eta_{i-1} - \eta_i, & \beta_i &= e^{x_i - \bar{x}_i} \xi_i, \\ \bar{a}_i &= -\bar{p}_i, & \bar{b}_i &= e^{\bar{x}_i - \bar{x}_{i-1}}, & \bar{\alpha}_i &= -\bar{\eta}_i, & \bar{\beta}_i &= e^{-x_{i-1} + \bar{x}_i} (\bar{\xi}_i - \bar{\xi}_{i-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

such that the first Hamiltonian structure (2.28) becomes canonical,

$$\{x_i, p_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\bar{x}_i, \bar{p}_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\xi_i, \bar{\xi}_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\eta_i, \bar{\eta}_j\}_1 = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (5.23)$$

and, after gauge transformation,

$$F_j = \Omega_j \tilde{F}_j, \quad \Omega_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \eta_j & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{x_{j-1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\bar{x}_{j-1}} \bar{\xi}_{j-1} & 0 & -e^{\bar{x}_{j-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.24)$$

the linear problem in (5.20) looks like

$$\tilde{F}_{j+1} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \tilde{F}_j, \quad (5.25)$$

where all matrix entries of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ are defined at *the same lattice node*,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) &= \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) \Omega_j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - p_j + \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j & e^{x_j} + e^{\bar{x}_j} \bar{\xi}_j \eta_j & -e^{x_j - \bar{x}_j} \xi_j - (p_j + \bar{p}_j) \eta_j & -e^{\bar{x}_j} \eta_j \\ -e^{-x_j} & 0 & -e^{-x_j} \eta_j & 0 \\ -\bar{\eta}_j & e^{\bar{x}_j} \bar{\xi}_j & \lambda + \bar{p}_j + \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j & e^{\bar{x}_j} \\ e^{-x_j} \bar{\xi}_j & 0 & -e^{-\bar{x}_j} + e^{-x_j} \bar{\xi}_j \eta_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Here, we note that the 4×4 matrix Lax operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ in (5.26) has the bosonic Grassmann parity $p(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)) = 0$, according to the definition (5.3), and

$$\text{sdet} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) = 1. \quad (5.27)$$

The matrices $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ obey the r -matrix Poisson brackets which are equivalent to the algebra (5.23),

$$\{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_i(\lambda), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\mu)\} = [r(\lambda - \mu), \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_i(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\mu)] \delta_{i,j}, \quad (5.28)$$

where

$$r(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{P}{\mu - \lambda} \quad (5.29)$$

and

$$P_{ij;kl} = (-1)^{p(i)p(j)} \delta_{i,l} \delta_{j,k} \quad (5.30)$$

is the permutation matrix. The Grassmann parity function $p(j) = 0(1)$ for bosonic (fermionic) rows and columns of a supermatrix, and for the supermatrix $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ in (5.26), we have $p(1) = p(2) = 0$ and $p(3) = p(4) = 1$. In (5.28), we have used the graded tensor product of two even supermatrices A and B [14]

$$(A \otimes B)_{ij;kl} = (-1)^{p(j)(p(i)+p(k))} A_{ik} B_{jl} \quad (5.31)$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes B &= P(B \otimes A)P, & \{A \otimes B\} &= -P\{B \otimes A\}P, \\ \{A \otimes BC\} &= \{A \otimes B\}(I \otimes C) + (I \otimes B)\{A \otimes C\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

As a consequence of (5.28) and (5.32), the monodromy matrix

$$\tilde{T}_m(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\hat{m}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \quad (5.33)$$

satisfies the following Poisson bracket relation:

$$\{\tilde{T}_m(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{T}_m(\mu)\} = [r(\lambda - \mu), \tilde{T}_m(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{T}_m(\mu)]. \quad (5.34)$$

It follows from (5.34) that m bosonic integrals of motion are in involution since

$$\text{str } \tilde{T}_m(\lambda) = (\tilde{T}_m(\lambda))_{11} + (\tilde{T}_m(\lambda))_{22} - (\tilde{T}_m(\lambda))_{33} - (\tilde{T}_m(\lambda))_{44} \quad (5.35)$$

is a polynomial of degree m in λ with integrals of motion as the coefficient functions and

$$\{\text{str } \tilde{T}_m(\lambda), \text{str } \tilde{T}_m(\mu)\} = \text{str } \{\tilde{T}_m(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{T}_m(\mu)\} = \text{str } [r(\lambda - \mu), \tilde{T}_m(\lambda) \otimes \tilde{T}_m(\mu)] = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

We note that the operator $\mathcal{L}_j(\lambda)$ in (5.18) can be represented as a product of two fermionic operators $l_j(\lambda)$ and $\bar{l}_j(\lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) = l_j(\lambda) \bar{l}_j(\lambda), \quad l_j(\lambda) = \mathfrak{L}_{2j+1}(\lambda) W_j, \quad \bar{l}_j(\lambda) = W_j^{-1} \mathfrak{L}_{2j}(\lambda), \quad (5.37)$$

where we have introduced the supermatrix W_j which we define as

$$W_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{x_j-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.38)$$

Then, after the gauge transformation (5.24), the Lax operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ in (5.26) has the form of the product of two fermionic operators $\tilde{l}_j(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\bar{l}}_j(\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) &= \tilde{l}_j(\lambda) \tilde{\bar{l}}_j(\lambda), & \tilde{l}_j(\lambda) &= \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} l_j(\lambda) \equiv \Omega_{j+1}^{-1} \mathfrak{L}_{2j+1}(\lambda) W_j, \\ \tilde{\bar{l}}_j(\lambda) &= \bar{l}_j(\lambda) \Omega_j \equiv W_j^{-1} \mathfrak{L}_{2j}(\lambda) \Omega_j, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

and each of them is defined at *the same lattice node*,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{l}_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\eta_j & -e^{x_j - \bar{x}_j} \xi_j & \lambda - p_j & -e^{x_j} \\ 0 & 0 & -e^{-x_j} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{\bar{x}_j} & e^{x_j} \bar{\xi}_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\bar{l}}_j(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\eta}_j & e^{\bar{x}_j} \bar{\xi}_j & \lambda + \bar{p}_j + \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j & e^{\bar{x}_j} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \eta_j & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\quad (5.40)$$

It would be interesting to establish r -matrix Poisson bracket relations (if any) between the fermionic supermatrices $\tilde{l}_j(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{\bar{l}}_j(\lambda)$.

In order to rewrite the monodromy matrix in terms of the original fields $\{d_j, c_j, \rho_j, \gamma_j\}$, one can perform the inverse gauge transformations

$$\mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) = \Omega_{j+1} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \Omega_j^{-1} \quad (5.41)$$

and define the monodromy matrix

$$T_m(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\hat{m}} \mathcal{L}_j(\lambda) = \Omega_1 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\hat{m}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \right) \Omega_1^{-1} = \Omega_1 \tilde{T}_m(\lambda) \Omega_1^{-1}, \quad (5.42)$$

where all the matrix entries are expressed in terms of the fields $\{d_j, c_j, \rho_j, \gamma_j\}$. In (5.42), the periodicity property $\Omega_{m+1} = \Omega_1$ of the gauge transformation matrix (5.24) has been used. Relation (5.36) is also true for the monodromy matrix $T(\lambda)$ because of the relation $\text{str } T_m^k(\lambda) = \text{str } \tilde{T}_m^k(\lambda)$. In other words, we have shown that m integrals of the motion being expressed in terms of the original fields $\{d_j, c_j, \rho_j, \gamma_j\}$ are in involution. However, as the decomposition (5.8) shows, for the $2m$ -periodic problem, there are more than m integrals of motion. In order to obtain them, we investigate the decomposition

$$\text{str } T_m^2(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} J_{2m-k}^{2m} \lambda^k. \quad (5.43)$$

The first several coefficients J_p^{2m} have the following explicit form:

$$J_1^{2m} = 2H_1^{2m} - 2S_3^{2m} S_4^{2m}, \quad J_2^{2m} = 2H_2^{2m} - 4V_2^{2m} + 8U_2^{2m} + H_1^{2m} S_3^{2m} S_4^{2m}. \quad (5.44)$$

One can see that the additional integrals V_2^{2m} and U_2^{2m} are contained in the coefficient J_2^{2m} in a different combination than in I_2^{2m} in (5.9). This is the way to detect them. We suppose that for integrals of higher length dimensions the situation is the same: there are three independent coefficients H_k^{2m} , I_k^{2m} , and J_k^{2m} of length dimension k in decompositions (5.8) and (5.43) and each of them is an independent integral of motion.

Having bosonic and fermionic integrals of motion for the $2m$ -periodic 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27), it is easy to obtain integrals of motion for the periodic

$N = 4$ (3.4) and $N = 2$ (4.3) TL equations. This can be done, respectively, using transformations (2.12) and (2.15) together with the reduction constraints (2.21) and (4.2).

5.4. Spectral curves. The Lax operator L_{2m} in (5.4) and monodromy matrix T_m in (5.42) have the common spectrum

$$L_{2m}\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad T_m\psi = w\psi; \quad (5.45)$$

so there exist relations $h(\lambda, w) = 0$ and $h^{-1}(\lambda, w) = 0$ between them, which are formulated in terms of the characteristic function

$$h(\lambda, w) = \text{sdet}(w - T_m(\lambda)). \quad (5.46)$$

Calculating the superdeterminant and applying the modified Euclidean algorithm [13] to an arbitrary supermatrix M with the parities $p(1) = p(2) = 0$ and $p(3) = p(4) = 1$, one can find

$$\text{sdet}(w - M) = \frac{w^2 + \sigma_1 w + \sigma_2}{w^2 + \sigma_3 w + \sigma_4}, \quad (5.47)$$

where all the coefficients σ_m are expressed in terms of four invariants

$$\mu_k = \text{str} M^k = (M^k)_{11} + (M^k)_{22} - (M^k)_{33} - (M^k)_{44}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (5.48)$$

of the matrix M ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sigma_3 + \epsilon_1, & \sigma_3 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_4}{\epsilon_3} + \epsilon_2 \right), & \sigma_2 &= \sigma_4 + \frac{\epsilon_4}{\epsilon_3}, & \sigma_4 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_1^2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2 \epsilon_4}{\epsilon_3} + \epsilon_3 \right), \\ \epsilon_1 &= -\mu_1, & \epsilon_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(\mu_1^2 - \mu_2), & \epsilon_3 &= \frac{1}{12}\mu_1^4 + \frac{1}{4}\mu_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}\mu_1\mu_3, \\ \epsilon_4 &= -\frac{1}{4}\mu_4\mu_1^2 + \mu_2 \left(\frac{1}{24}\mu_1^4 - \frac{1}{8}\mu_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}\mu_3\mu_1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

Now, we adapt formulae (5.47) and (5.49) obtained for an arbitrary matrix M to the case when M is the monodromy matrix $T_m(\lambda)$ in (5.42). For the monodromy matrix $T_m(\lambda)$, there is a relation

$$\text{sdet} T_m(\lambda) = 1 \quad (5.50)$$

which is a consequence of (5.27) and imposes constraints on the coefficients σ_k . Taking $h(\lambda, w)$ at $w = 0$ and using (5.50), one finds $\sigma_4^{T_m} = \sigma_2^{T_m}$, $\epsilon_4^{T_m} = 0$, and

$$h(\lambda, w) = \frac{w^2 + \sigma_1^{T_m} w + \sigma_4^{T_m}}{w^2 + \sigma_3^{T_m} w + \sigma_4^{T_m}}, \quad (5.51)$$

where $\sigma_k^{T_m} = \sigma_k(\epsilon_s \rightarrow \epsilon_s^{T_m})$, $\epsilon_4^{T_m} = 0$, and $\epsilon_s^{T_m} = \epsilon_s(\mu_k \rightarrow \text{str } T_m^k(\lambda))$, $s = 1, 2, 3$. From (5.51), one can obtain two spectral curves as zeros of the numerator and denominator:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{\text{num}}(\lambda, w) &= w^2 + \sigma_1^{T_m} w + \sigma_4^{T_m} = 0, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\text{den}}(\lambda, w) &= w^2 + \sigma_3^{T_m} w + \sigma_4^{T_m} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{5.52}$$

The eigenvalues w of the curve $\mathcal{P}_{\text{num}}(\lambda, w) = 0$ correspond to the eigenvectors with the even Grassmann parity $p(\psi) = 0$, while the eigenvalues of the curve $\mathcal{P}_{\text{den}}(\lambda, w) = 0$ correspond to the odd eigenvectors $p(\psi) = 1$.

5.5. Reduction: r -matrix approach and spectral curves for the periodic 1D $N = 2$ TL hierarchy. For completeness, in this section we give a short summary of the r -matrix formalism for the periodic 1D $N = 2$ supersymmetric TL equations (4.3). In the case under consideration, the auxiliary linear problem (5.19), being reduced by constraints (2.21) and (4.2), becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\beta}_j \phi_{j-1} + \varphi_{j+1} - \bar{\alpha}_j \phi_j - \left(\frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} + \lambda \right) \varphi_j &= 0, \\ \beta_j \varphi_j + b_j \phi_{j-1} + \phi_{j+1} + \alpha_{j+1} \varphi_{j+1} + (a_j - \lambda) \phi_j &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.53}$$

and it is equivalent to the following linear problem:

$$\hat{F}_{j+1} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \hat{F}_j,\tag{5.54}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\alpha}_j - a_j & \alpha_{j+1} \bar{\beta}_j - b_j & -\left(\frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} + \lambda \right) \alpha_{j+1} - \beta_j \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\alpha}_j & -\bar{\beta}_j & \lambda + \frac{\beta_j \bar{\beta}_j}{b_j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{F}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_j \\ \phi_{j-1} \\ \varphi_j \end{pmatrix}.\tag{5.55}$$

As concerns the periodic 1D $N = 2$ TL equations (4.3), they are equivalent to the lattice zero-curvature representation

$$\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) = \hat{V}_{j+1}(\lambda) \hat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \hat{V}_j(\lambda)\tag{5.56}$$

with

$$\hat{V}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_j & -\bar{\beta}_j \\ 0 & -\lambda - a_{j-1} & \alpha_j \\ 0 & -\bar{\beta}_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\tag{5.57}$$

In the canonical basis $\{x_j, p_j, \alpha_j, \bar{\alpha}_j, \beta_j, \bar{\beta}_j\}$ in (4.10) after the gauge transformation

$$\widehat{F}_j = \widehat{\Omega}_j \widehat{F}_j, \quad \widehat{\Omega}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \eta_j \\ 0 & -e^{x_{j-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.58)$$

(5.54) takes the form

$$\widehat{F}_{j+1} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \widehat{F}_j, \quad (5.59)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) = \widehat{\Omega}_{j+1}^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \widehat{\Omega}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j - p_j & e^{x_j} + \bar{\xi}_j \eta_j & -e^{x_j} \xi_j - (p_j + \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j) \eta_j \\ -e^{-x_j} & 0 & -e^{-x_j} \eta_j \\ -\bar{\eta}_j & \bar{\xi}_j & \lambda + \xi_j \bar{\xi}_j + \eta_j \bar{\eta}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.60)$$

and is defined at the same lattice node. The matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda)$ have the Grassmann parities $p(1) = p(2) = 0$ and $p(3) = 1$ and obey the same r -matrix Poisson bracket relations (5.28) with the appropriate r -matrix.

The equation for eigenvalues

$$\text{sdet}(w - \widehat{T}_m(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \infty \quad (5.61)$$

of the monodromy matrix

$$\widehat{T}_m(\lambda) = \widehat{\Omega}_1^{-1} \widehat{T}_m(\lambda) \widehat{\Omega}_1 = \widehat{\Omega}_1^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{\widehat{m}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \right) \widehat{\Omega}_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{\widehat{m}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) \quad (5.62)$$

is defined by the characteristic function

$$\widehat{h}(\lambda, w) = \frac{w^2 + \widehat{\sigma}_1 w + \widehat{\sigma}_2}{w + \widehat{\sigma}_3}, \quad (5.63)$$

where all the coefficients are expressed in terms of the invariants of the monodromy matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}_k &= \text{str } \widehat{T}_m^k = (\widehat{T}_m^k)_{11} + (\widehat{T}_m^k)_{22} - (\widehat{T}_m^k)_{33}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_1 &= \widehat{\sigma}_3 + \widehat{\epsilon}_1, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_2 = \widehat{\epsilon}_1 \widehat{\sigma}_3 + \widehat{\epsilon}_2, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_3 = \frac{\widehat{\epsilon}_3}{\widehat{\epsilon}_2}, \\ \widehat{\epsilon}_1 &= -\widehat{\mu}_1, \quad \widehat{\epsilon}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\mu}_1^2 - \widehat{\mu}_2), \quad \widehat{\epsilon}_3 = \frac{1}{3}\widehat{\mu}_3 - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mu}_2 \widehat{\mu}_1 + \frac{1}{6}\widehat{\mu}_1^3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.64)$$

From the relation $\text{sdet } \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_j(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$, it follows that $\text{sdet } \widehat{T}_m = \lambda^{-m}$ and, consequently, $\widehat{\sigma}_3 = -\lambda^m \widehat{\sigma}_2$.

5.6. Periodic TL equations in the canonical basis. In the previous subsections, we considered the 1D generalized fermionic TL equations (2.27) with the periodic boundary conditions (2.4d). All results obtained there can be easily transferred to the case of the 1D $N = 4$ (3.4) and $N = 2$ (4.3) TL equations after transition to the new bases, (2.12) and (2.15), respectively, supplied with the reduction constraints (2.21) and (4.2). In particular, (3.4) and (4.3) with the periodic boundary conditions are $N = 4$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetric, respectively, and admit a bi-Hamiltonian representation which can be derived if one changes the first and second Hamiltonian structures (3.2), (3.3) and (4.7), (4.8), according to the rule (5.5). In this subsection, we consider (3.4) and (4.3) with the $2m$ -periodic and m -periodic boundary conditions, respectively, in the canonical basis.

The system (3.4) with the $2m$ -periodic boundary conditions in the canonical basis (3.45) is quite similar to the infinite system (3.4) in the canonical basis considered in Section 3.3. Thus, the constraint

$$\prod_{k=1}^{2m} (-ig_k) = 1 \quad (5.65)$$

breaks the $N = 4$ supersymmetry to the $N = 2$ supersymmetry, and the $2m$ -periodic TL equations (3.4) in the canonical basis have exactly the form (3.49) with the $N = 2$ supersymmetric flows (3.55). However, the $2m$ -periodic $N = 2$ TL equations (3.49) besides the $N = 2$ supersymmetry possess additional four nonlocal fermionic nilpotent symmetries. We present only nonzero flows which generate these symmetries:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s_1} x_j &= \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (\chi_k^- - (-1)^k \chi_k^+), & D_{s_2} x_j &= \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (\chi_k^+ + (-1)^k \chi_k^-), & D_{s_3} \chi_j^+ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2m} p_k, \\ D_{s_3} \chi_j^- &= -(-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^{2m} p_k, & D_{s_4} \chi_j^+ &= (-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^{2m} p_k, & D_{s_4} \chi_j^- &= \sum_{k=1}^{2m} p_k. \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

These flows anticommute with each other and with the supersymmetric flows in (3.55), except the following nonzero anticommutators:

$$\{\tilde{D}_1, D_{s_2}\} = \partial_T, \quad \{\tilde{D}_1, D_{s_4}\} = -\partial_T, \quad \{\tilde{D}_2, D_{s_1}\} = \partial_T, \quad \{\tilde{D}_2, D_{s_3}\} = -\partial_T, \quad (5.67)$$

where we have introduced the new evolution derivative

$$\partial_T q_j \equiv \{H_1^2, q_j\}_1 \quad (5.68)$$

which gives nontrivial flows only for the fields x_j ,

$$\partial_T x_j = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{2m} p_k, \quad \partial_T p_j = 0, \quad \partial_T \chi_j^\pm = 0. \quad (5.69)$$

The $2m$ -periodic $N = 2$ TL equations (3.49) can be generated using the Hamiltonian

$$H_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{2} p_j^2 - e^{x_j - x_{j-2}} - e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \right) \quad (5.70)$$

and the canonical first Hamiltonian structure (3.47). Following the standard procedure, one can derive the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and the action \mathcal{S} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \int dt \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2m} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j + \chi_j^- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_j^+ - H_2 \right] \\ &= \int dt \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j \right)^2 + \chi_j^- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_j^+ \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^j \left(e^{x_j - x_{j-2}} + e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} \left(\chi_{j-1}^- - (-1)^j \chi_j^+ \right) \left(\chi_j^- + (-1)^j \chi_{j-1}^+ \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.71)$$

The variation of the action \mathcal{S} with respect to the fields $\{x_j, \chi_j^-, \chi_j^+\}$ produces the equations of motion (3.49) for them with reversed sign of the time ($\partial \rightarrow -\partial/\partial t$) where the momenta p_j are replaced by $(-1)^j (\partial/\partial t) x_j$.

The situation with the system (4.3) with the m -periodic boundary conditions is completely different. We recall that the infinite system (4.3) with the boundary conditions (4.5b) for the fields b_j at infinity,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \pm \infty} b_j = 1, \quad (5.72)$$

is not supersymmetric because the condition (5.72) spoils the supersymmetric flows (4.4). However, in the m -periodic case there is no condition (5.72), and, as we will show, it is possible to build at least the $N = 1$ supersymmetric $2m$ -periodic TL equations (4.3) in the canonical basis.

The representation of the fields b_j in the m -periodic canonical basis (4.10) leads to the following constraint:

$$\prod_{k=j}^m b_k = 1, \quad (5.73)$$

and in order to preserve both supersymmetry flows (4.4), one needs to provide simultaneously two additional constraints

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j \pm \bar{\alpha}_j) = 0. \quad (5.74)$$

It appears that one can preserve one supersymmetry if one modifies the transition to the canonical basis (4.10) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= p_i, & b_i &= e^{x_i - x_{i-1}}, & \beta_i &= e^{x_i} \xi_i, & \bar{\beta}_i &= e^{-x_{i-1}} \bar{\xi}_i, \\ \bar{\alpha}_i &= -\bar{\eta}_i, & \alpha_i &= \eta_{i-1} - \eta_i + s(\bar{\eta}_{i-1} + \bar{\eta}_i), \end{aligned} \quad (5.75)$$

where s is an arbitrary parameter. In this basis, the m -periodic first Hamiltonian structure still has the canonical form (4.12), and using the Hamiltonian

$$H_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} p_i^2 + e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} + e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i - s(\bar{\eta}_{i+1} + \bar{\eta}_i)) + e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i \right), \quad (5.76)$$

it generates the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x_i &= p_i, & \partial \bar{\xi}_i &= e^{x_i} \bar{\eta}_i, & \partial \xi_i &= -e^{-x_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - \eta_i + s(\bar{\eta}_i + \bar{\eta}_{i-1})), \\ \partial p_i &= e^{x_{i+1} - x_i} - e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} - e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i - e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_i - \eta_{i+1} + s(\bar{\eta}_i + \bar{\eta}_{i+1})), \\ \partial \eta_i &= -e^{x_i} \xi_i + s(e^{-x_{i-1}} \bar{\xi}_i + e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1}), & \partial \bar{\eta}_i &= e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} - e^{-x_{i-1}} \bar{\xi}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (5.77)$$

One can standardly generate the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} and the action \mathcal{S} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \int dt \mathcal{L} = \int dt \left[\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j + \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\xi}_j + \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\eta}_j - H_2 \right] \\ &= \int dt \sum_{j=1}^n \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_j \right)^2 + \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\xi}_j + \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\eta}_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{x_i - x_{i-1}} + e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1} (\eta_{i+1} - \eta_i - s(\bar{\eta}_{i+1} + \bar{\eta}_i)) + e^{x_i} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.78)$$

The variation of the action \mathcal{S} with respect to the fields $\{x_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j, \eta_j, \bar{\eta}_j\}$ produces the equations of motion (5.77) for them with reversed sign of the time ($\partial \rightarrow -\partial/\partial t$) where the momenta p_j are replaced by $-(\partial/\partial t)x_j$. If, in addition to the momenta, the fields η_j and $\bar{\eta}_j$ are also eliminated from (5.77) by means of the corresponding equations expressing them in terms of the fields $\{x_j, \xi_j, \bar{\xi}_j\}$ and their derivatives, the remaining equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 x_j &= e^{x_{j+1} - x_j} - e^{x_j - x_{j-1}} - \xi_j \partial \bar{\xi}_j + \bar{\xi}_{j+1} \partial \xi_{j+1}, \\ \partial(e^{x_{j-1}} \partial \xi_j) &= e^{x_{j-1}} \xi_{j-1} - e^{x_j} \xi_j, & \partial(e^{-x_j} \partial \bar{\xi}_j) &= e^{-x_j} \bar{\xi}_{j+1} - e^{-x_{j-1}} \bar{\xi}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (5.79)$$

It is interesting to remark that the dependence of (5.79) on the parameter s completely disappears.

For every m , the system (5.77) possesses the $N = 1$ supersymmetry at the unique value $s = \pm 1/2$, and the supersymmetry flows ($D_{\pm}^2 = \mp \partial_t$) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{\pm} x_i &= -\eta_i \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \bar{\eta}_{i+k}, \\
 D_{\pm} p_i &= e^{x_i} \xi_i \pm e^{-x_i} \bar{\xi}_{i+1}, \\
 D_{\pm} \xi_i &= \pm e^{-x_{i-1}} - \xi_i \eta_i \pm \xi_i \bar{\eta}_i \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \xi_i \bar{\eta}_{i+k}, \\
 D_{\pm} \bar{\xi}_i &= e^{x_i} + \bar{\xi}_i \eta_i \mp \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\eta}_i \mp \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \bar{\xi}_i \bar{\eta}_{i+k}, \\
 D_{\pm} \eta_i &= \pm p_i \pm \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (p_{i+k} + \xi_{i+k} \bar{\xi}_{i+k}), \\
 D_{\pm} \bar{\eta}_i &= p_i + \xi_i \bar{\xi}_i.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.80}$$

To close this section, we only mention that besides the supersymmetry flows in (5.80), the system (5.77) possesses an additional nilpotent symmetry

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_p x_i &= \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{\eta}_k, & D_p p_i &= 0, & D_p \xi_i &= \xi_i \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{\eta}_k, \\
 D_p \bar{\xi}_i &= -\bar{\xi}_i \sum_{k=1}^m \bar{\eta}_k, & D_p \eta_i &= \sum_{k=1}^m (p_k + \xi_k \bar{\xi}_k), & D_p \bar{\eta}_i &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.81}$$

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank A. P. Isaev, P. P. Kulish, F. Magri, and M. A. Olshanetsky for useful discussions. We would also like to thank P. P. Kulish for giving us reference [13]. This work was partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) Grant 03-01-00781, RFBR-DFG Grant 02-02-04002, DFG Grant 436 RUS 113/669, and by the Heisenberg-Landau program.

References

- [1] V. A. Andreev, *Odd bases of Lie superalgebras and integrable equations*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **72** (1987), no. 1, 112–119.
- [2] G. Au and B. Spence, *Hamiltonian reduction and supersymmetric Toda models*, Modern Phys. Lett. A **10** (1995), no. 29, 2157–2168.
- [3] L. Bonora and A. Sorin, *The $N = 2$ supersymmetric Toda lattice hierarchy*, Nuclear Phys. B **521** (1998), no. 3, 444–470.
- [4] F. Delduc, L. Gallot, and A. Sorin, *$N = 2$ local and $N = 4$ non-local reductions of supersymmetric KP hierarchy in $N = 2$ superspace*, Nuclear Phys. B **558** (1999), no. 3, 545–572.
- [5] V. B. Derjagin, A. N. Leznov, and A. Sorin, *The solution of the $N = (0|2)$ superconformal f -Toda lattice*, Nuclear Phys. B **527** (1998), no. 3, 643–656.
- [6] J. Evans and T. Hollowood, *Supersymmetric Toda field theories*, Nuclear Phys. B **352** (1991), no. 3, 723–768.

- [7] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtadjan, *Hamiltonian Approach to the Theory of Solitons*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [8] K. Ikeda, *A supersymmetric extension of the Toda lattice hierarchy*, Lett. Math. Phys. **14** (1987), no. 4, 321–328.
- [9] ———, *The super-Toda lattice hierarchy*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **25** (1989), no. 5, 829–845.
- [10] V. G. Kadyshesky and A. S. Sorin, *Continuum limit of the $N = (1|1)$ supersymmetric Toda lattice hierarchy*, JHEP Proceedings, PrHEP unesp2002, Workshop on Integrable Theories, Solitons and Duality (São Paulo, 2002), <http://jhep.sissa.it>.
- [11] ———, *Supersymmetric Toda lattice hierarchies*, Integrable Hierarchies and Modern Physical Theories (Chicago, Ill, 2000) (H. Aratyn and A. S. Sorin, eds.), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 18, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 289–316.
- [12] ———, *$N = (1|1)$ supersymmetric dispersionless Toda lattice hierarchy*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **132** (2002), no. 2, 1080–1093.
- [13] Y. Kobayashi and S. Nagamachi, *Characteristic functions and invariants of supermatrices*, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), no. 11, 2726–2730.
- [14] A. G. Kuliš and E. K. Skljanin, *Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **95** (1980), 129–161.
- [15] O. Lechtenfeld and A. Sorin, *Fermionic flows and tau function of the $N = (1|1)$ superconformal Toda lattice hierarchy*, Nuclear Phys. B **557** (1999), no. 3, 535–547.
- [16] A. N. Leznov and A. S. Sorin, *Two-dimensional superintegrable mappings and integrable hierarchies in the $(2|2)$ superspace*, Phys. Lett. B **389** (1996), no. 3, 494–502.
- [17] ———, *The solution of the $N = 2$ supersymmetric f -Toda chain with fixed ends*, Phys. Lett. B **402** (1997), no. 1-2, 87–100.
- [18] M. A. Olshanetsky, *Supersymmetric two-dimensional Toda lattice*, Comm. Math. Phys. **88** (1983), no. 1, 63–76.

V. V. Griбанov: Dzhelepov Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

E-mail address: gribanov@thsun1.jinr.ru

V. G. Kadyshesky: Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

E-mail address: kadyshhev@jinr.dubna.su

A. S. Sorin: Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

E-mail address: sorin@thsun1.jinr.ru

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk