Oscillation of Certain Partial Difference Equations

SUNG KYU CHOI [a,*] and BING GEN ZHANG [b,†]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Chungnam National University, Taejon, 305-764, Korea;*
[b] *Department of Applied Mathematics, Ocean University of Qingdao, Qingdao 266003, China*

We first obtain sufficiency conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of linear partial difference equation

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1} - dA_{m,n} + P_{m,n}A_{m-k,n-l} = 0.$$

Next, we establish a linearized oscillation result for the nonlinear partial difference equation

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1} - A_{m,n} + P_{m,n}f(A_{m-k,n-l}) = 0.$$

Keywords: Linear and nonlinear partial difference equations, Oscillation

AMS Subject Classification: 39A10

1 INTRODUCTION

Partial difference equations have been posed from various practical problems [3,8] and in the approximation of solutions of partial difference equations by finite difference methods [1,2,8]. Recently, the qualitative analysis of partial difference equations has received much attention, see [4–7,9,10,12].

In this paper, we first consider the linear partial difference equation

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$- dA_{m,n} + P_{m,n}A_{m-k,n-l} = 0, \qquad (1.1)$$

where $P_{m,n} > 0$ on N_0^2, k, $l \in N_0$, $N_i = \{i, i+1, \ldots\}$ and i is an integer. Equation (1.1) can be regarded

as a discrete analogue of the delay partial difference equation

$$a\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x} + a_1\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + a_2\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$
$$+ P(x,y)u(x-\sigma, y-\tau) = 0. \qquad (1.2)$$

Next, we consider the nonlinear partial difference equation

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1}$$
$$- A_{m,n} + P_{m,n}f(A_{m-k,n-l}) = 0, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

The general theory of partial functional differential equations can be seen from Wu [11].

A double sequence $\{A_{m,n}\}$ is said to be a *solution* of (1.1) if it satisfies (1.1) for $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq n_0$. A solution $\{A_{i,j}\}$ of (1.1) is said to be *eventually positive* if $A_{i,j} > 0$ for all large i and j, and *eventually negative* if $A_{i,j} < 0$ for all large i and j. It is said to be *oscillatory* if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative.

In Section 2, we shall obtain sufficiency conditions for all solutions of (1.1) to be oscillatory. In Section 3, we shall show a linearized oscillation theorem for (1.3), i.e., we shall show that under some assumptions, (1.3) has the same oscillatory character as an associated linear equation.

2 EQUATION (1.1)

We assume that a, b, c, d and $P_{m,n}$ are positive in Eq. (1.1). Define a set E by

$$E = \{\lambda > 0 \mid d - \lambda P_{m,n} > 0, \text{ eventually}\}. \qquad (2.1)$$

THEOREM 2.1 *Assume that*

(i) $\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \sup P_{m,n} > 0$;
(ii) *for* $k \geq l \geq 1$, *there exist* $M, N \in N_1$ *such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in E, m \geq M, n \geq N} \lambda \prod_{i=1}^{l}(d - \lambda P_{m-i,n-i})$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{k-l}(d - \lambda P_{m-l-j,n-l}) < \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^l b^{k-l} \qquad (2.2)$$

and for $l \geq k$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in E, m \geq M, n \geq N} \lambda \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \lambda P_{m-i,n-i})$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{l-k}(d - \lambda P_{m-k,n-k-j}) < \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^k c^{l-k}. \qquad (2.3)$$

Then every solution of (1.1) *oscillates.*

Proof Suppose, to the contrary, we let $\{A_{m,n}\}$ be an eventually positive solution. We define a subset S of the positive numbers as follows:

$$S(A) = \{\lambda > 0 \mid aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n}$$
$$+ cA_{m,n+1}(d - \lambda P_{m,n})A_{m,n} \leq 0, \text{ eventually}\}.$$

From (1.1), we have

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1} < dA_{m,n}. \qquad (2.4)$$

If $k \geq l$, then

$$A_{m-k,n-l} > \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^l A_{m-k+l,n} > \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^l\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^{k-l} A_{m,n}. \qquad (2.5)$$

If $l \geq k$, then

$$A_{m-k,n-l} > \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^k A_{m,n-l+k} > \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^k\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{l-k} A_{m,n}. \qquad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (1.1) we obtain

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$- dA_{m,n} + \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^l\left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^{k-l} P_{m,n}A_{m,n} < 0 \qquad (2.7)$$

and

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$- dA_{m,n} + \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^k\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{l-k} P_{m,n}A_{m,n} < 0, \qquad (2.8)$$

respectively. Inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) show that $S(A)$ is nonempty. For $\lambda \in S$, we have eventually

$$d - \lambda P_{m,n} > 0,$$

which implies that $S \subset E$. Due to the condition (i), the set E is bounded, and hence $S(A)$ is bounded. Let $\mu \in S$. Then, from (2.4), we have

$$A_{m+1,n+1} \leq \frac{d}{b} A_{m,n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_{m+1,n+1} \leq \frac{d}{c} A_{m+1,n}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right) A_{m+1,n+1}$$
$$\leq aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$\leq (d - \mu P_{m,n}) A_{m,n}.$$

If $k \geq l$, then

$$A_{m,n} \leq \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{-l} \prod_{i=1}^{l} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i}) A_{m-l,n-l}$$

and

$$A_{m-l,n-l} \leq \frac{1}{b} (d - \mu P_{m-l-1,n-l}) A_{m-l-1,n-l}$$
$$\leq \cdots \leq \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{k-l} \prod_{j=1}^{k-l} (d - \mu P_{m-l-j,n-l}) A_{m-k,n-l}.$$

Hence

$$A_{m,n} \leq \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{-l} b^{l-k} \prod_{i=1}^{l} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{k-l} (d - \mu P_{m-l-j,n-l}) A_{m-k,n-l}. \qquad (2.9)$$

Similarly, if $l \geq k$, then

$$A_{m,n} \leq \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{-k} c^{k-l} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{l-k} (d - \mu P_{m-k,n-k-j}) A_{m-k,n-l}. \qquad (2.10)$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.10) into (1.3) we find respectively

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1} - dA_{m,n}$$
$$+ P_{m,n} \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{k} c^{l-k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.$$
$$\times \left.\prod_{j=1}^{l-k} (d - \mu P_{m-k,n-k-j})\right)^{-1} A_{m,n} \leq 0, \quad \text{for } l \geq k$$
$$(2.11)$$

and

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1} - dA_{m,n}$$
$$+ P_{m,n} \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{l} b^{k-l} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.$$
$$\times \left.\prod_{j=1}^{k-l} (d - \mu P_{m-l-j,n-l})\right)^{-1} A_{m,n} \leq 0, \quad \text{for } k \geq l.$$
$$(2.12)$$

Hence

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$- \left(d - P_{m,n} \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{k} c^{l-k}\right.$$
$$\times \sup_{m \geq M, n \geq N} \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.\right.$$
$$\times \left.\left.\left.\prod_{j=1}^{l-k} (d - \mu P_{m-k,n-k-j})\right)^{-1}\right]\right) A_{m,n} \leq 0, \quad l \geq k$$
$$(2.13)$$

and

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$
$$- \left(d - P_{m,n} \left(a + \frac{2bc}{d}\right)^{l} b^{k-l}\right.$$
$$\times \sup_{m \geq M, n \geq N} \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} (d - \mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.\right.$$
$$\times \left.\left.\left.\prod_{j=1}^{k-l} (d - \mu P_{m-l-j,n-l})\right)^{-1}\right]\right) A_{m,n} \leq 0, \quad k \geq l.$$
$$(2.14)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain

$$\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^k c^{l-k}\left(\sup_{m\geq M,n\geq N}\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}(d-\mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\left.\times \prod_{j=1}^{l-k}(d-\mu P_{m-k,n-k-j})\right)^{-1}\right]\right)\in S,\quad l>k$$

$$(2.15)$$

and

$$\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^l b^{k-l}\left(\sup_{m\geq M,n\geq N}\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l}(d-\mu P_{m-i,n-i})\right.\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\left.\times \prod_{j=1}^{k-l}(d-\mu P_{m-l-j,n-l})\right)^{-1}\right]\right)\in S,\quad k>l.$$

$$(2.16)$$

On the other hand, (2.2) implies that there exits $\beta\in(0,1)$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in E,m\geq M,n\geq N}\lambda\prod_{i=1}^{l}(d-\lambda P_{m-i,n-i})\prod_{j=1}^{k-l}(d-\lambda P_{m-l-j,n-l})$$

$$\leq\beta\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^l b^{k-l},\quad k\geq l$$

and (2.3) implies that there exists $\beta\in(0,1)$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in E,m\geq M,n\geq N}\lambda\prod_{i=1}^{k}(d-\lambda P_{m-i,n-i})\prod_{j=1}^{l-k}(d-\lambda P_{m-k,n-k-j})$$

$$\leq\beta\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^k c^{l-k},\quad l\geq k.$$

Hence, for $k\geq l$,

$$\sup_{m\geq M,n\geq N}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l}(d-\mu P_{m-i,n-i})\prod_{j=1}^{k-l}(d-\mu P_{m-l-j,n-l})\right)$$

$$\leq\frac{\beta}{\mu}\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^l b^{k-l}$$

$$(2.17)$$

and for $l\geq k$

$$\sup_{m\geq M,n\geq N}\prod_{i=1}^{k}(d-\mu P_{m-i,n-i})\prod_{j=1}^{l-k}(d-\mu P_{m-k,n-k-j})$$

$$\leq\frac{\beta}{\mu}\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^k c^{l-k}.$$

$$(2.18)$$

From (2.15) and (2.18) for $l\geq k$, (2.16) and (2.17) for $k\geq l$, we have that $\mu/\beta\in S$. Repeating the above procedure, we conclude that $\mu(1/\beta)^r\in S$, $r=1,2,\ldots$, which contradicts the boundedness of S. The proof is complete.

From Theorem 2.1, we can derive an explicit oscillation condition.

COROLLARY 2.1   *In addition to* (i) *of Theorem* 2.1, *assume that, for* $k\geq l$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\inf P_{m,n}=P>d^{k+1}\left(\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^l b^{k-l}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\times\frac{k^k}{(1+k)^{1+k}}$$

$$(2.19)$$

and for $l\geq k$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\inf P_{m,n}=P>d^{l+1}\left(\left(a+\frac{2bc}{d}\right)^k b^{l-k}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\times\frac{l^l}{(1+l)^{1+l}}.$$

$$(2.20)$$

Then the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 *holds.*

Proof   We see that

$$\max_{d/P>\lambda>0}\lambda(d-\lambda P)^k=\frac{d^{k+1}k^k}{P(1+k)^{1+k}}.$$

Hence (2.19) and (2.20) imply that (2.2) and (2.3) hold. By Theorem 2.1, every solution of (1.1) oscillates. The proof is complete.

Remark   Obviously, Theorem 2.1 is true for $a=0$. Hence Theorem 2.1 includes Theorem 2.3 in

[9] as a special case. From (2.4) we have

$$A_{m,n} < \frac{d}{b} A_{m-1,n} < \cdots < \left(\frac{d}{b}\right)^k A_{m-k,n}$$

$$< \left(\frac{d}{b}\right)^k \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) A_{m-k,n-1}$$

$$< \cdots < \left(\frac{d}{b}\right)^k \left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^l A_{m-k,n-l}.$$

Let $\mu \in S$. Then

$$A_{m,n} \leq \frac{1}{b}(d - \mu P_{m-1,n}) A_{m-1,n}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n}) A_{m-k,n}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n})$$

$$\times (d - \mu P_{m-k,n-1}) A_{m-k,n-1}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^l \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n})$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{l}(d - \mu P_{m-k,n-j}) A_{m-k,n-l}.$$

Substituting the above inequality into (1.1) we obtain

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1} - dA_{m,n}$$

$$+ P_{m,n} b^k c^l \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n}) \right.$$

$$\left. \times \prod_{j=1}^{l}(d - \mu P_{m-k,n-j}) \right]^{-1} A_{m,n} \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$aA_{m+1,n+1} + bA_{m+1,n} + cA_{m,n+1}$$

$$- \left(d - P_{m,n} b^k c^l \left[\sup_{m \geq M, n \geq N} \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n}) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \times \prod_{j=1}^{l}(d - \mu P_{m-k,n-j}) \right]^{-1} \right) A_{m,n} \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$b^k c^l \left[\sup_{m \geq M, n \geq N} \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \mu P_{m-i,n}) \right.$$

$$\left. \times \prod_{j=1}^{l}(d - \mu P_{m-k,n-j}) \right]^{-1} \in S.$$

We are ready to state the following proposition.

THEOREM 2.2 *In addition to* (i) *of Theorem 2.1, further assume that* (ii)$'$ *there exist* $M, N \in N_1$ *such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in E, m \geq M, n \geq N} \lambda \prod_{i=1}^{k}(d - \lambda P_{m-i,n})$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{l}(d - \lambda P_{m-k,n-j}) < b^k c^l. \qquad (2.21)$$

Then every solution of (1.1) *oscillates.*

Since

$$\max_{d/q > \lambda > 0} \lambda (d - \lambda P)^{k+l} = \frac{d^{k+l+1}(k+l)^{k+l}}{p(1+k+l)^{1+k+l}}$$

and (2.21), we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.2 *In addition to* (i) *of Theorem 2.1, assume that*

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \inf P_{m,n} = P > \frac{d^{1+k+l}(k+l)^{k+l}}{b^k c^l(1+k+l)^{1+k+l}}. \qquad (2.22)$$

Then every solution of (1.1) *is oscillatory.*

Example 2.1 Consider the partial difference equation

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + eA_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1}$$

$$- A_{m,n} + \frac{1+e}{e^4} A_{m-2,n-2} = 0. \qquad (2.23)$$

It is easy to see that (2.23) satisfies the conditions of Corollary 2.1, so every solution of this equation is oscillatory. In fact, $A_{m,n} = (-e)^{m+n}$ is such a solution.

3 EQUATION (1.3)

We consider (1.3) together with the associate linear equation

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1} - A_{m,n} + pA_{m-k,n-l} = 0,$$
$$(3.1)$$

where $p > 0$, k and l are positive integers.

For (3.1), the following result is known [12].

LEMMA 3.1 *Every solution of* (3.1) *oscillates if and only if its characteristic equation*

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + p\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l} = 0 \qquad (3.2)$$

has no positive roots.

LEMMA 3.2 *Assume that every solution* (3.1) *oscillates. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,p)$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in [0,\varepsilon_0]$ every solution of the equation*

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1} - A_{m,n}$$
$$+ (p - \varepsilon)A_{m-k,n-l} = 0 \qquad (3.3)$$

also oscillates.

Proof By Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + (p - \varepsilon)\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l} = 0 \qquad (3.4)$$

has no positive roots. Obviously, (3.4) has no positive roots in the region $\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 \geq 0$.

Let

$$F(\lambda,\mu) = \lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + p\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}.$$

Since (3.2) has no positive roots, so $F(\lambda,\mu) > 0$ for $(\lambda,\mu) \in (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$. Thus

$$\min_{\lambda > 0, \mu > 0} F(\lambda,\mu) = m > 0.$$

Let

$$G(s,t) = st + s + t - 1 + \tfrac{1}{2}ps^{-k}t^{-l},$$

$\varepsilon_0 \in (0, p/2)$ and $\varepsilon_0\alpha^{-(k+l)} \leq m/2$, where

$$0 < \alpha < \min\left\{ \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1/(k+l)}, \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1/k}, \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1/l} \right\}.$$

For $0 < \lambda \leq \alpha$, $0 < \mu \leq \alpha$, we have

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + (p - \varepsilon)\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$> \lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + \frac{p}{2}\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$> -1 + \frac{p}{2}\alpha^{-(k+l)} > 0.$$

For $\lambda > \alpha$, $\mu > \alpha$, we have

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + (p - \varepsilon)\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$\geq F(\lambda,\mu) - \varepsilon_0\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$\geq m - \varepsilon_0\alpha^{-(k+l)} \geq \frac{m}{2} > 0.$$

For $0 < \lambda \geq \alpha$, $\mu > \alpha$, $\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu < 1$, we have

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1(p - \varepsilon)\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$> \lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + \frac{p}{2}\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l}$$
$$> 1 - \frac{p}{2}\alpha^{-k} > 0.$$

Similarly, for $\lambda > \alpha$, $0 < \mu \leq \alpha$, $\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu < 1$, we have

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + (p - \varepsilon)\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l} > 0.$$

We have proved that (3.4) has no positive roots.

We are ready to state the following result.

THEOREM 3.1 *Assume that*

(i) $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \inf P_{m,n} = P > 0$,
(ii) $f \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $xf(x) > 0$ *as* $x \neq 0$, $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)/x = 1$.

Then every solution of (3.1) *oscillates implies that every solution of* (1.3) *oscillates.*

Proof If not, let $A_{m,n} > 0$, $m \geq m_0$, $n \geq n_0$ be a solution (1.3). Then $A_{m,n}$ is decreasing in m and n, and hence $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} A_{m,n} = 0$, $\lim_{m\to\infty} A_{m,n} = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_{m,n} = 0$. Let

$$\bar{P}_{m,n} = P_{m,n}\frac{f(A_{m-k,n-l})}{A_{m-k,n-l}}.$$

Then $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \inf \bar{P}_{m,n} = P$. For each $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, there exist M and N such that $\bar{P}_{m,n} > p - \varepsilon$, for $m \geq M, n \geq N$. Therefore

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1} - A_{m,n}$$
$$+ (p - \varepsilon)A_{m-k,n-l} \leq 0, \quad m \geq M, \ n \geq N.$$

Summing it in n from $n (\geq N)$ to ∞, we have

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{m+1,i+1} + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{m+1,i} - A_{m,n}$$
$$+ (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{m-k,i-l} \leq 0.$$

We rewrite the last inequality in the form

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{m+1,i+1} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{m+1,i} + A_{m+1,n} - A_{m,n}$$
$$+ (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{m-k,i-l} \leq 0.$$

Summing it in m from $m (\geq M)$ to ∞, we obtain

$$\sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j+1,i+1} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j+1,i}$$
$$- A_{m,n} + (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j-k,i-l} \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$A_{m,n} \geq \sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j+1,i+1} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j+1,i}$$
$$+ (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j-k,i-l}. \tag{3.5}$$

Define a set of real double sequences

$$X = \{\{B_{m,n}\} \mid 0 \leq B_{m,n} \leq 1,$$
$$m \geq M - k, \ n \geq N - l\}$$

and an operator T on X by

$$(TB)_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{A_{m,n}} \left[2 \displaystyle\sum_{j,i=m+1,n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i}B_{j,i} \right. \\ \qquad \left. + (p - \varepsilon) \displaystyle\sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j-k,i-l}B_{j-k,i-l} \right], \\ \qquad\qquad m \geq M, \ n \geq N, \\ 1, \qquad\quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3.6)

In view of (3.5), it is easy to see that $TX \subset X$. Define a set of sequences $\{B_{m,n}^{(r)}\}$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ as follows:

$$B_{m,n}^{(0)} \equiv 1, \qquad B_{m,n}^{(r)} = (TB)_{m,n}^{(r-1)}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of (3.5), we have

$$B_{m,n}^{(0)} \geq B_{m,n}^{(1)} \geq \cdots \geq B_{m,n}^{(r)} \geq \cdots,$$
$$\text{for } m \geq M - k, \ n \geq N - l.$$

Hence $\lim_{r\to\infty} B_{m,n}^{(r)} = B_{m,n}$ exists, for $m \geq M - k$, $n \geq N - l$. From (3.6),

$$B_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{A_{m,n}} \left[2 \displaystyle\sum_{j,i=m+1,n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i}B_{j,i} \right. \\ \qquad \left. + (p - \varepsilon) \displaystyle\sum_{j,i=m,n}^{\infty} A_{j-k,i-l}B_{j-k,i-l} \right], \\ \qquad\qquad m \geq M, \ n \geq N, \\ 1, \qquad\quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $B_{m,n} > 0$ for $m \geq M - k$, $n \geq N - l$. Let $x_{m,n} = A_{m,n}B_{m,n}$. Then $x_{m,n} > 0$ for $m \geq M - k$, $n \geq N - l$ and

$$x_{m,n} = 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_{j,i} + (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{j-k,i-l}.$$

Hence

$$x_{m+1,n} - x_{m,n} = -2 \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_{m+1,i} - (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{m-k,i-l}$$

or

$$x_{m,n} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_{m+1,i} + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{m+1,i} + (p - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} x_{m-k,i-l}.$$

Then we have

$$x_{m,n+1} - x_{m,n} = -x_{m+1,n+1} - x_{m+1,n}$$
$$- (p - \varepsilon)x_{m-k,n-l} = 0,$$

which implies that (3.3) has a positive solution $\{x_{m,n}\}$. By Lemma 3.2, (3.1) has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.2 *Assume that*

(i) $0 \leq P_{m,n} \leq P$,
(ii) *there exists $h > 0$ such that $f(x)$ is nondecresing and $0 \leq f(x)/x \leq 1$, for $0 < |x| < h$.*

If (3.1) has a positive solution, then (1.3) also has a positive solution.

Proof If (3.1) has a positive solution, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\lambda\mu + \lambda + \mu - 1 + p\lambda^{-k}\mu^{-l} = 0$$

has a positive root (λ, μ) with $0 < \lambda < 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$ and that $\{\lambda^m\mu^n\}$ is a positive solution of (3.1). Choose $a > 0$ such that

$$A_{m,n} = a\lambda^m\mu^n < h, \quad \text{for } m \geq -k, n - l.$$

$\{A_{m,n}\}$ is a positive solution of (3.1) and satisfies $f(A_{m,n}) \leq A_{m,n}$ by condition (ii). Similar to Theorem 3.1, summing (3.1) we get

$$A_{m,n} = 2\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i} + p\sum_{j=m}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} A_{j-k,i-l},$$
$$m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$A_{m,n} \geq 2\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i}$$
$$+ \sum_{j=m}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P_{j,i} f(A_{j-k,i-l}). \quad (3.7)$$

Define

$$X = \{\{B_{m,n}\} \mid 0 \leq B_{m,n} \leq 1, m \geq -k, n \geq -l\}$$

and an operator T on X by

$$(TB_{m,n}) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{A_{m,n}}\left[2\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i}B_{j,i} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P_{j,i} f(A_{j-k,i-l}B_{j-k,i-l})\right], \\ \qquad m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \\ 1, \qquad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In view of (3.7), $TX \subset X$. Similar to Theorem 3.1, we can prove that there exists $\{B_{m,n}\} \in X$ such that $(TB)_{m,n} = B_{m,n}$ for $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$. Let $x_{m,n} = A_{m,n}B_{m,n} > 0, m \geq 0, n \geq 0$. Then

$$x_{m,n} = 2\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} x_{j,i} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty}\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} P_{j,i} f(x_{j-k,i-l}).$$
$$(3.8)$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, (3.8) implies that $\{x_{m,n}\}$ is a positive solution of (1.3). The proof is complete.

From Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain

COROLLARY 3.1 *Assume that $P_{m,n} \equiv P > 0$, (ii) of Theorem 3.1 and (ii) of Theorem 3.2 hold. Then every solution of (1.3) oscillates if and only if every solution of (3.1) oscillates.*

Consider (1.3) together with the equation

$$A_{m+1,n+1} + A_{m+1,n} + A_{m,n+1}$$
$$- A_{m,n} + q_{m,n} f(A_{m-k,n-l}) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $xf(x) > 0$ as $x \neq 0$.

We have the comparison theorem as follows:

THEOREM 3.3 *Assume that $p_{m,n} \geq q_{m,n} > 0$ for all large m, n and that every solution of (3.9) oscillates. Then every solution of (1.3) oscillates.*

Proof Suppose to the contrary, $\{A_{m,n}\}$ is a positive solution, of (1.3). Summing (1.3), we

obtain

$$
A_{m,n} = 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} p_{j,i} f(A_{j-k,i-l})
$$

$$
\geq 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j,i} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} q_{j,i} f(A_{j-k,i-l}),
$$

from which and using the method in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain a positive solution of (3.9). This contradiction proves the theorem.

References

[1] R. Courant, K. Friedrichs and H. Lewy, On partial difference equations of mathematical physics, *IBMJ*, **11** (1967), 215–234.

[2] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference Equation and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1992).

[3] X.P. Li, Partial difference equations used in the study of molecular orbits (in Chinese), *Acta Chimica SINICA*, **40** (1982), 688–698.

[4] B.G. Zhang, S.T. Liu and S.S. Cheng, Oscillation of a class of delay partial difference equations, *J. Difference Eq. Appl.*, **1** (1995), 215–226.

[5] B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, Oscillation of partial difference equations, *Pan American Math. J.*, **5** (1995), 61–70.

[6] S.C. Fu and L.Y. Tsai, Oscillation in nonlinear difference equations, *Advance in Difference Equations II, Computers Math. Appl.* (to appear).

[7] B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, Oscillation of partial difference equations with variable coefficients, *Advance in Difference Equations II, Computers Math. Appl.* (to appear).

[8] R.E. Mickens, *Difference Equations, Theory and Applications*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York (1990).

[9] B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, On the oscillation of two partial difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **206** (1997), 480–492.

[10] B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of partial difference equations, *Nonlinear Studies*, **3** (1996), 187–192.

[11] Jianhong Wu, *Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1996).

[12] B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of linear delay partial difference equations, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, **1** (1998), 265–268.

Special Issue on
Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru