

UNIQUENESS RESULTS FOR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH SINGULAR DATA

LOREDANA CASO

Received 22 December 2005; Revised 22 May 2006; Accepted 12 June 2006

We obtain some uniqueness results for the Dirichlet problem for second-order elliptic equations in an unbounded open set Ω without the cone property, and with data depending on appropriate weight functions. The leading coefficients of the elliptic operator are VMO functions. The hypotheses on the other coefficients involve the weight function.

Copyright © 2006 Loredana Caso. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. Consider in Ω the uniformly elliptic differential operator with measurable coefficients

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a, \quad (1.1)$$

and the Dirichlet problem

$$Lu = 0, \quad u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad (D)$$

with $p \in]1, +\infty[$.

Suppose that Ω verifies suitable regularity assumptions.

If $p \geq n$, $a_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$), and the coefficients a_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), a satisfy certain local summability conditions (with $a > 0$), then it is possible to obtain a uniqueness result for the problem (D) using a classical result of Alexandrov and Pucci (see [17] for the case of bounded open sets and [6, Section 1] for the unbounded case).

If $p < n$, some more assumptions on the a_{ij} 's are necessary to get uniqueness results for the problem (D). If Ω is bounded, problem (D) has been widely studied by several authors under various hypotheses on the leading coefficients. In particular, if the coefficients a_{ij}

2 Uniqueness results for elliptic problems with singular data

belong to the space $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, then uniqueness results for problem (D) have been obtained (see [12–15]). On the other hand, when the coefficients a_{ij} are required to be discontinuous, the classical result by Miranda [16] must be quoted, where the author assumed that the a_{ij} 's belong to $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ (and consider the case $p = 2$). More recently, a relevant contribution has been given in [11, 22], where the coefficients a_{ij} are supposed to be in the class VMO and $p \in]1, \infty[$; observe here that VMO contains both classes $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ (see [10]). If Ω is unbounded, uniqueness results for problem (D), under assumptions similar to those required in [16], have been for instance obtained in [4, 18, 19] with $p = 2$ and in [5] with $p \in]1, \infty[$. Moreover, further uniqueness results for (D), when the a_{ij} 's are in VMO and $p \in]1, \infty[$, can be found in [6, 9].

Suppose now that Ω has singular boundary. In [8], a problem of type (D) has been investigated, with $(a_{ij})_{x_k}$, a_i and a singular near a nonempty subset S_ρ of $\partial\Omega$, and $p = 2$. In particular, the data are supposed to be depending on an appropriate weight function ρ related to the distance function from S_ρ .

The aim of this paper is to obtain uniqueness results for a Dirichlet problem of type (D) under hypotheses weaker than those of [8] on the a_{ij} 's, and with $p > 1$. More precisely, if there exist extensions a_{ij}^ρ of the coefficients a_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) in $\text{VMO}(\Omega_o) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_o)$, where Ω_o is a regular open set containing Ω , and the functions ρa_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $\rho^2 a$ are assumed to be bounded with $\text{ess inf}_\Omega \rho^2 a > 0$, we can prove a uniqueness result for the problem

$$Lu = 0, \quad u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap L_t^p(\Omega), \quad (\text{D}_1)$$

where $L_t^p(\Omega)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a weighted Sobolev space.

Observe that if $S_\rho = \partial\Omega$ and Ω has the segment property, we are able to deduce from the above result that the problem

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega), \quad Lu = 0, \quad (\text{D}_2)$$

admits only the trivial solution.

2. Notation and function spaces

Let G be any Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $\Sigma(G)$ be the collection of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of G . If $F \in \Sigma(G)$, denote by $|F|$ the Lebesgue measure of F and by $\mathcal{D}(F)$ the class of restrictions to F of functions $\zeta \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\overline{F} \cap \text{supp } \zeta \subseteq F$. Moreover, for $p \in [1, +\infty]$, let $L_{\text{loc}}^p(F)$ be the class of functions g such that $\zeta g \in L^p(F)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(F)$.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We put

$$\Omega(x, r) = \Omega \cap B(x, r) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.1)$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the open ball of radius r centered at x .

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ the class of all measurable functions $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\gamma^{-1} \rho(y) \leq \rho(x) \leq \gamma \rho(y) \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \quad \forall x \in \Omega(y, \rho(y)), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of x and y . For $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, we put

$$S_\rho = \left\{ z \in \partial\Omega : \lim_{x \rightarrow z} \rho(x) = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

It is known that

$$\rho \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \rho^{-1} \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho), \quad (2.4)$$

and, if $S_\rho \neq \emptyset$,

$$\rho(x) \leq \text{dist}(x, S_\rho) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (2.5)$$

(see [7, 20]).

If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, we consider the space $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ of distributions u on Ω such that $\rho^{s+|\alpha|-r} \partial^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq r$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \|\rho^{s+|\alpha|-r} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, we denote by $\overset{o}{W}_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ and put $W_s^{0,p}(\Omega) = L_s^p(\Omega)$. A detailed account of properties of the above-defined function spaces can be found in [21].

If Ω has the property

$$|\Omega(x, r)| \geq Ar^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall r \in]0, 1], \quad (2.7)$$

where A is a positive constant independent of x and r , it is possible to consider the space $\text{BMO}(\Omega, t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) of functions $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$[g]_{\text{BMO}(\Omega, t)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, t]}} \left| \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g - \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g \right| dy < +\infty, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\int_{\Omega(x, r)} g dy = (1/|\Omega(x, r)|) \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g dy$. We will say that $g \in \text{VMO}(\Omega)$ if $g \in \text{BMO}(\Omega) = \text{BMO}(\Omega, t_A)$, where

$$t_A = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, t]}} \frac{r^n}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \leq \frac{1}{A} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

and $[g]_{\text{BMO}(\Omega, t)} \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

4 Uniqueness results for elliptic problems with singular data

3. Some density results

Let $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$. We consider the following conditions on ρ .

(i₁) There exists an open subset Ω_o of \mathbb{R}^n with the segment property such that

$$\Omega \subset \Omega_o, \quad \partial\Omega \setminus S_\rho \subset \partial\Omega_o. \quad (3.1)$$

(i₂) $H = \inf_{\Omega} \rho^{-n}(x) |\Omega(x, \rho(x))| \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Remark 3.1. If condition (i₂) holds, then it is possible to find a function $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ which is equivalent to ρ and such that

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)| \leq c_\alpha \sigma^{1-|\alpha|}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_o^n, \quad (3.2)$$

where c_α is independent of x (see [20]).

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [1, +\infty[$. We denote by $\overset{o}{W}{}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ the space of distributions u on Ω such that

$$u \in W^{r,p}(\Omega), \quad \text{supp } u \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho. \quad (3.3)$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume that condition (i₁) holds. Then $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ is dense in $\overset{o}{W}{}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$.*

Proof. Fix $u \in \overset{o}{W}{}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ and denote by u_o the zero extension of u to Ω_o . It is easy to prove that u_o belongs to $W^{r,p}(\Omega_o)$. It follows from (i₁) that there exists a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega}_o)$ such that

$$u_k \rightarrow u_o \quad \text{in } W^{r,p}(\Omega_o) \quad (3.4)$$

(see [1, Theorem 3.18]).

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $\text{supp } u$. Observe that $\{\psi u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ and

$$\|\psi u_k - u\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|\psi(u_k - u_o)\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega_o)} \leq c_1 \|u_k - u_o\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega_o)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where c_1 depends on n, ψ . Thus the statement is a consequence of (3.4). \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Assume that conditions (i₁) and (i₂) hold. Then $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ is dense in $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. It follows from (i₁), (i₂), and [20, Theorem 4.1] that there exists a sequence $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \partial^\alpha (1 - \delta_k(x)) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_o^n, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\partial^\alpha \delta_k(x)| \leq c_\alpha \rho^{-|\alpha|}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_o^n, \quad (3.7)$$

where c_α is independent of x .

Fix $u \in W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$. Observe that condition (3.7) implies that $\delta_k u \in W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by (3.6) we have that

$$\delta_k u \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_s^{r,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, using (2.4), it is easy to show that $\delta_k u \in W^{r,p}(\Omega)$, and so $\delta_k u \in W^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Lemma 3.2 yields that there exists a sequence $\{u_h^k\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ such that

$$u_h^k \longrightarrow \delta_k u \quad \text{in } W^{r,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, let $\psi_k \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_k = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\delta_k u)$. Thus by (2.4), we have

$$\|\psi_k u_h^k - \delta_k u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \|u_h^k - \delta_k u\|_{W^{r,p}(\Omega)}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on ρ, r, s, k . It follows from (3.9) that there exists $h_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\psi_k u_{h_k}^k - \delta_k u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k}. \quad (3.11)$$

If $\varphi_k = \psi_k u_{h_k}^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain from (3.8) and (3.11) that

$$\varphi_k \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_s^{r,p}(\Omega), \quad (3.12)$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, we will denote by $W_{\text{loc}}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ the set of distributions u on Ω such that $\zeta u \in W^{r,p}(\Omega)$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume that conditions (i₁) and (i₂) hold. Then*

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap W_s^{r,p}(\Omega) = W_s^{r,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. It is clearly enough to show that

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap W_s^{r,p}(\Omega) \subseteq W_s^{r,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.14)$$

Let $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{r,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ and consider a sequence $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ satisfying (3.6) and (3.7). Since each $\delta_k u$ belongs to $W^{r,p}(\Omega)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $\{u_h^k\}_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_o^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_h^k \longrightarrow \delta_k u \quad \text{in } W^{r,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.15)$$

Let $\psi_k \in C_o^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_k = 1$ on $\text{supp}(\delta_k u)$. Since $\psi_k u_h^k \in C_o^\infty(\Omega)$, the same argument used in Lemma 3.3 allows to deduce from (3.15) that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $h_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\psi_k u_{h_k}^k - \delta_k u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k}. \quad (3.16)$$

We put $\varphi_k = \psi_k u_{h_k}^k$ for each k . Therefore it follows from (3.16) that

$$\|\varphi_k - u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k} + \|\delta_k u - u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)}. \quad (3.17)$$

6 Uniqueness results for elliptic problems with singular data

As the sequence $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (3.8), (3.17) yields that the sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to u in $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$, and hence (3.14) holds. \square

4. Main results

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, with the segment property. Fix $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and consider the following condition on Ω .

(h₁) There exists an open subset Ω_o of \mathbb{R}^n with the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property, such that

$$\Omega \subset \Omega_o, \quad \partial\Omega \setminus S_\rho \subset \partial\Omega_o. \quad (4.1)$$

Remark 4.1. If condition (h₁) holds and $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then Ω satisfies (i₂) (see [20]).

Let $p \in]1, +\infty[$, and let L be the differential operator in Ω defined by

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a. \quad (4.2)$$

Consider the following conditions on the coefficients of L :

(h₂) there exist extensions a_{ij}^o of a_{ij} to Ω_o such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}^o = a_{ji}^o &\in L^\infty(\Omega_o) \cap \text{VMO}(\Omega_o), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \exists \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^o \xi_i \xi_j &\geq \nu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_o, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

(h₃)

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &\in L_1^\infty(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a \in L_2^\infty(\Omega), \\ a_o &= \text{ess inf}_\Omega (\sigma^2(x)a(x)) > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where σ is the function defined in Remark 3.1.

Moreover, we suppose that the following hypothesis on ρ holds:

(h₄)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_k} \left((\sigma(x))_x + \sigma(x) (\sigma(x))_{xx} \right) \right) = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\Omega_k = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \sigma(x) > \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.6)$$

In the proof of our main theorem, we need the following uniqueness result.

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that conditions (h₁)–(h₄) hold and also that p > n/2. Then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} Lu = 0, \quad u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (\sigma^s u)(x) = 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} (\sigma^s u)(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } \Omega \text{ is unbounded,} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

admits only the zero solution.

Proof. The statement can be proved as [2, Corollary 5.4]. In fact, the proof of that result also works if the condition $S_\rho = \partial\Omega$ is replaced by the assumption (h₁). \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Suppose that conditions (h₁)–(h₄) are satisfied. Then for any t ∈ ℝ, the problem*

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho) \cap L_t^p(\Omega), \quad Lu = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

admits only the zero solution.

Proof. Let u be a solution of the problem (4.8). It follows from [3, Theorem 5.2] that $u \in W_{t+2}^{2,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, u belongs to $W_{t+1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and hence Lemma 3.4 yields that $u \in W_{t+2}^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{t+1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Using Remark 3.1, it is easy to prove that

$$\sigma^{t+2} u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega). \quad (4.9)$$

Put $v = \sigma^{t+2} u$ and denote by v_o the zero extension of v to Ω_o . Then

$$v_o \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_o) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_o) \quad (4.10)$$

by Lemma 3.3. Suppose first that $p > n/2$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, v_o belongs to $C^0(\overline{\Omega}_o) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega_o)$, and hence $v_o|_{\partial\Omega_o} = 0$. On the other hand, $v_o \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_o)$, so that another application of the Sobolev embedding theorem gives that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} v_o(x) = 0$. Thus by (h₁), we have that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} (\sigma^{t+2} u)(x) = 0, \quad (\sigma^{t+2} u)(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

In this case the statement follows now from Lemma 4.2.

Assume now that $p \in]1, n/2]$. Then by the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have that $v_o \in L^q(\Omega_o)$, where $1/q \geq 1/p - 2/n$. It follows from [3, Theorem 5.2] that $v_o \in W_2^{2,q}(\Omega_o)$, and hence v_o belongs to $W^{2,q}(\Omega_o)$ by (2.4). If $q > n/2$, the previous case can be used to complete the proof. If finally $q \leq n/2$, an iterated application of [3, Theorem 5.2] yields that $v_o \in W^{2,q'}(\Omega_o)$ with $q' > n/2$. Thus the first case applies again to complete the proof. \square

As an application of Theorem 4.3, we consider the case $S_\rho = \partial\Omega$ (examples of such situation can for instance be found in [20]). The condition (h₁) is obviously satisfied by

8 Uniqueness results for elliptic problems with singular data

each $\Omega_o \supset \Omega$ with the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property; in this case, condition (h₂) means that the coefficients a_{ij} admit extensions outside Ω in the class $L^\infty(\Omega_o) \cap \text{VMO}(\Omega_o)$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Assume that (h₂), (h₃), (h₄) hold and that $S_p = \partial\Omega$. Then the problem*

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega), \quad Lu = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

admits only the zero solution.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 4.3 observing that, in this case, u belongs to $\overset{o}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] L. Caso, *Bounds for elliptic operators in weighted spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications **2006** (2006), Article ID 76215, 14 pages.
- [3] ———, *Regularity results for singular elliptic problems*, Journal of Function Spaces and Applications **4** (2006), Article ID J171, 17 pages.
- [4] L. Caso, P. Cavaliere, and M. Transirico, *Existence results for elliptic equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **274** (2002), no. 2, 554–563.
- [5] ———, *Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in $W^{2,p}$ for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Le Matematiche (Catania) **57** (2002), no. 2, 287–302 (2005).
- [6] ———, *Uniqueness results for elliptic equations VMO-coefficients*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **13** (2004), no. 4, 499–512.
- [7] L. Caso and M. Transirico, *Some remarks on a class of weight functions*, Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae **37** (1996), no. 3, 469–477.
- [8] ———, *The Dirichlet problem for second order elliptic equations with singular data*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **76** (1997), no. 1–2, 1–16.
- [9] P. Cavaliere, M. Transirico, and M. Troisi, *Uniqueness result for elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Le Matematiche (Catania) **54** (1999), no. 1, 139–146 (2000).
- [10] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, and P. Longo, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for nondivergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ricerche di Matematica **40** (1991), no. 1, 149–168.
- [11] ———, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **336** (1993), no. 2, 841–853.
- [12] M. Chicco, *Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in $H^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for a class of linear second order elliptic partial differential equations*, Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana **4** (1971), 374–387.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd ed., Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 224, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [14] D. Greco, *Nuove formole integrali di maggiorazione per le soluzioni di un'equazione lineare di tipo ellittico ed applicazioni alla teoria del potenziale*, Ricerche di Matematica **5** (1956), 126–149.
- [15] A. I. Košelev, *On boundedness of L_p of derivatives of solutions of elliptic differential equations*, Matematicheskij Sbornik. Novaya Seriya **38(80)** (1956), 359–372.
- [16] C. Miranda, *Sulle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale, a coefficienti discontinui*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta **63** (1963), no. 1, 353–386.
- [17] C. Pucci, *Limitazioni per soluzioni di equazioni ellittiche*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta **74** (1966), no. 1, 15–30.
- [18] M. Transirico and M. Troisi, *Second-order nonvariational elliptic equations in unbounded open sets*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta **152** (1988), 209–226 (Italian).
- [19] ———, *Further contributions to the study of second-order elliptic equations in unbounded open sets*, Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana. Serie VII. B **4** (1990), no. 3, 679–691 (Italian).

- [20] M. Troisi, *On a class of weight functions*, Rendiconti della Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL. Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni. Serie V. Parte I **10** (1986), no. 1, 141–152 (Italian).
- [21] ———, *On a class of weighted Sobolev spaces*, Rendiconti della Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze detta dei XL. Memorie di Matematica e Applicazioni. Serie V. Parte I **10** (1986), no. 1, 177–189 (Italian).
- [22] C. Vitanza, *A new contribution to the $W^{2,p}$ regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Le Matematiche (Catania) **48** (1993), no. 2, 287–296 (1994).

Loredana Caso: Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Facoltà di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Naturali (MM. FF. NN.), Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Ponte don Melillo, Fisciano 84084, Italy

E-mail address: lorcaso@unisa.it

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk