

# EXISTENCE AND NONEXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR QUASILINEAR SYSTEMS

HAIYAN WANG

Received 14 October 2005; Revised 6 February 2006; Accepted 14 February 2006

The paper deals with the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for a class of  $p$ -Laplacian systems. We investigate the effect of the size of the domain on the existence of positive solution for the problem in sublinear cases. We will use fixed point theorems in a cone.

Copyright © 2006 Haiyan Wang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions to the boundary value problem of the  $p$ -Laplacian system

$$\begin{aligned} (t^{N-1} |u'_i(t)|^{p-2} u'_i(t))' + t^{N-1} f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < R, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u'_i(0) &= u_i(R) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ , and  $f_i$  is nonnegative continuous,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Such a problem arises when we seek the radial solutions of the following elliptic system:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u_i &= f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad \text{in } B, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\Delta_p u_i = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_i|^{p-2} \nabla u_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ .

Equation (1.2) covers several important cases. When  $p = 2$ , (1.2) becomes the elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_i &= f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad \text{in } B, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

## 2 Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions

When  $n = 1$ , (1.2) becomes the usual  $p$ -Laplacian

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= f(u) \quad \text{in } B, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

When  $n = 1$  and  $p = 2$ , (1.2) becomes the usual Laplacian

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(u) \quad \text{in } B \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

In several papers [6, 8], Wang studied the existence of nontrivial solutions of (1.1) for a fixed  $R > 0$ . It was shown that (1.1), for a fixed  $R > 0$ , has a nontrivial solution for sublinear nonlinearities. Related results can also be found in [1].

In this paper we investigate the effect of the size of the domain on the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of the quasilinear elliptic system (1.1) in sublinear cases.

Let  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , and  $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}^+$ . Also, for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , let  $\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|$  and

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{u})) = (f_1(u_1, \dots, u_n), \dots, f_n(u_1, \dots, u_n)). \tag{1.6}$$

We now turn to the general assumptions for this paper.

(H1)  $f_i : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(H2) There exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}} = \infty \tag{1.7}$$

for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

(H3) For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}} = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

The main results of this paper are Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume (H1) and (H2) hold. Then there is an  $R_0 > 0$  such that (1.1) has a positive solution for  $0 < R < R_0$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Assume (H1), (H2), and (H3) hold. Then (1.1) has a positive solution for all  $R > 0$ .*

The following assumption will allow us to establish a nonexistence theorem.

(H4) For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\limsup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}} < \infty, \quad \limsup_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}} < \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Assume (H1) and (H4) hold. Then there is an  $R_0 > 0$  such that (1.1) has no positive solution for  $0 < R < R_0$ .*

We now give two examples to demonstrate the theorems.

*Example 1.4.*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_1|^{p-2}\nabla u_1) + e^{(u_1+\dots+u_n)} &= 0 \quad \text{in } B, \\ \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_i|^{p-2}\nabla u_i) + f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) &= 0 \quad \text{in } B, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, \\ u_i &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $f_i$  are any nonnegative continuous functions. Then (1.10) has a positive solution for sufficiently small  $R > 0$  according to Theorem 1.1.

*Example 1.5.*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_i|^{p-2}\nabla u_i) + (u_1 + \dots + u_n)^{p_i} &= 0 \quad \text{in } B \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ u_i &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $0 < p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n < p - 1$ ,  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ . Then (1.11) has a nontrivial solution for all  $R > 0$  according to Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\varphi(t) = |t|^{p-2}t$ , then, for  $t > 0$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t^{p-1}$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(t) = t^{1/(p-1)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\varphi^{-1}(\sigma\varphi(t)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma)t$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$ .

We will deal with classical solutions of (1.1), namely a vector-valued function  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$  with  $u_i \in C^1[0, R]$ , and  $\varphi(u'_i) \in C^1(0, R)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , which satisfies (1.1). A solution  $\mathbf{u}(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_n(t))$  is positive if  $u_i(t) \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , for all  $t \in (0, R)$  and there is at least one nontrivial component of  $\mathbf{u}$ . In fact, it is easy to prove that such a nontrivial component of  $\mathbf{u}$  is positive on  $(0, R)$ .

Applying the change of variables,  $t = Rr$ , we can transform (1.1) into the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left(r^{N-1}\varphi\left(\frac{u'_i(r)}{R}\right)\right)' + Rr^{N-1}f_i(\mathbf{u}) &= 0, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \mathbf{u}'(0) &= \mathbf{u}(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Note that we still use  $u_i(r)$  and  $v_i(r)$  for the new functions,  $u_i(Rr)$  and  $v_i(Rr)$ . Thus  $du_i(t)/dt = (du_i(Rr)/dr)(dr/dt) = (du_i(Rr)/dr)(1/R) = (du_i(r)/dr)(1/R)$ .

We now recall some concepts and conclusions on the fixed point index in a cone in [2, 3]. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $K$  be a closed, nonempty subset of  $X$ .  $K$  is said to be a cone if (i)  $\alpha u + \beta v \in K$  for all  $u, v \in K$  and all  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and (ii)  $u, -u \in K$  imply  $u = 0$ . Assume  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset in  $X$  with the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and let  $T : K \cap \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow K$  be completely continuous such that  $Tx \neq x$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega \cap K$ , then the fixed point index  $i(T, K \cap \Omega, K)$  is defined. If  $i(T, K \cap \Omega, K) \neq 0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap \Omega$ . The following well-known result of the fixed point index is crucial in our arguments.

#### 4 Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions

LEMMA 2.1 [2, 3]. *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $K$  a cone in  $E$ . Further let  $r > 0$ ,  $K_r = \{u \in K : \|x\| < r\}$ , and  $\partial K_r = \{u \in K : \|x\| = r\}$ . Assume that  $T : \bar{K}_r \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.*

(i) *If there exists an  $x_0 \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that*

$$x - Tx \neq tx_0 \quad \forall x \in \partial K_r, t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

*then*

$$i(T, K_r, K) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

(ii) *If  $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in \partial K_r$  and  $Tx \neq x$  for  $x \in \partial K_r$ , then*

$$i(T, K_r, K) = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to (1.1), let  $X$  be the Banach space  $\underbrace{C[0,1] \times \cdots \times C[0,1]}_n$  and, for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |u_i(t)|. \quad (2.5)$$

For  $\mathbf{u} \in X$  or  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $\|\mathbf{u}\|$  denotes the norm of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $X$  or  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , respectively.

Define  $K$  to be a cone in  $X$  defined by

$$K = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X : u_i(t) \geq 0, t \in [0,1], i = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Also, for each  $r$  positive number, define  $\Omega_r$  by

$$\Omega_r = \{\mathbf{u} \in K : \|\mathbf{u}\| < r\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that  $\partial \Omega_r = \{\mathbf{u} \in K : \|\mathbf{u}\| = r\}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{T} : K \rightarrow X$  be a map with components  $(T^1, \dots, T^n)$ . We define  $T^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , by

$$T^i \mathbf{u}(t) = R \int_t^1 \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} f_i(\mathbf{u}(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \quad t \in [0,1]. \quad (2.8)$$

It is straightforward to verify that the problem of finding positive solutions to (1.1) is equivalent to the fixed point equation

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \quad \text{in } K. \quad (2.9)$$

It is easy to show that  $\mathbf{T}(K) \subset K$  and is completely continuous. In particular, we have the following assertion.

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume (H1) holds. Then  $\mathbf{T}(K) \subset K$  and  $\mathbf{T} : K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.*

*For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , define new function  $\hat{f}_i(t) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by*

$$\hat{f}_i(t) = \max \{f_i(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq t\}. \quad (2.10)$$

LEMMA 2.3 [7, Lemma 2.8]. *Let (H1) hold and assume  $\lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow \infty} (f_i(\mathbf{u})/\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}) = f_\infty^i$  and  $\lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow 0} (f_i(\mathbf{u})/\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}) = f_0^i$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $f_0^i, f_\infty^i \in [0, \infty]$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\hat{f}_i(t)/\varphi(t)) = f_0^i$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{f}_i(t)/\varphi(t)) = f_\infty^i$ .*

LEMMA 2.4. *Assume (H1) holds and let  $r > 0$ . If there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that*

$$\hat{f}_i(r) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(r), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.11)$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}\| \leq nR\varphi^{-1}\left[\frac{R}{N}\right]\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}\| \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_r. \quad (2.12)$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $T$ , for  $\mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}\| &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |T^i \mathbf{u}(t)| = R \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \varphi^{-1}\left[\frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} f_i(\mathbf{u}(\tau)) d\tau\right] ds \\ &\leq R \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \varphi^{-1}\left[\frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} d\tau \hat{f}_i(r)\right] ds \leq nR\varphi^{-1}\left[\frac{R}{N}\varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(r)\right] \\ &= nR\varphi^{-1}\left[\frac{R}{N}\varphi(\varepsilon r)\right] = nR\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{N}\right)\varepsilon\|\mathbf{u}\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

□

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume (H1) holds and  $r > 0$ . Then*

$$\|\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}\| \leq nR\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{N}\right)\varphi^{-1}(\hat{M}_r) \quad \text{holds } \forall \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_r, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\hat{M}_r = 1 + \max\{f_i(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ and } \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq r, i = 1, \dots, n\} > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f_i(\mathbf{u}(t)) \leq \hat{M}_r = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\hat{M}_r))$  for  $t \in [0,1]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , it is easy to see that this lemma can be shown in a similar manner as Lemma 2.4. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Fix a number  $r_2 > 0$ . Lemma 2.5 implies that there exists an  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}\| < \|\mathbf{u}\| \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_{r_2}, \quad 0 < R < R_0. \quad (3.1)$$

Now let  $0 < R < R_0$  and  $\eta > 0$  be such that

$$R\frac{\eta}{2}\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{R}{N4^N}\right) \geq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

## 6 Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions

Since

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^{p-1}} = \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

there is  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  such that

$$f_i(\mathbf{u}) \geq \varphi(\eta)\varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|) \quad (3.4)$$

for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq r_1$ .

If  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} = 0$  for some  $\mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}$ , we already find the desired solution of (1.1). Therefore we assume that

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} \neq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}, \quad (3.5)$$

we now claim that

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} \neq t\mathbf{v} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}, t \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\mathbf{v} = (\theta(r), \dots, \theta(r))$ , and  $\theta \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $0 \leq \theta(r) \leq 1$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\theta(r) \equiv 1$  on  $[0, 1/4]$  and  $\theta(r) \equiv 0$  on  $[1/2, 1]$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{v} \in K \setminus \{0\}$ . If there exists  $\mathbf{u}^* = (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) \in \partial\Omega_{r_1}$  and  $t_0 \geq 0$  such that  $\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}^* = t_0\mathbf{v}$ , we will show that this leads to a contradiction. Since (3.5) is true, we have  $t_0 > 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{T}(K) \subset K$ , we obtain  $u_i^*(r) \geq t_0\theta(r)$  for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Let

$$t^* = \sup \{t : u_i^*(r) \geq t\theta(r) \quad \forall r \in [0, 1]\}. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows that  $t_0 \leq t^* < \infty$  and  $u_i^*(r) \geq t^*\theta(r)$  for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Now, for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^*(r) &= \mathbf{T}^i \mathbf{u}^*(r) + t_0\theta(r) \\ &= R \int_r^1 \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} f_i(\mathbf{u}^*(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + t_0\theta(r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that  $\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^*(r) \leq r_1$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Formula (3.4) implies that, for  $r \in [0, 1/2]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^*(r) &\geq R \int_{1/2}^1 \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} \varphi(\eta) \varphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^*(\tau) \right) d\tau \right) ds + t_0\theta(r) \\ &\geq R \int_{1/2}^1 \varphi^{-1} \left( R \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} \varphi(\eta) \varphi(u_i^*(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + t_0\theta(r) \\ &\geq \frac{R}{2} \varphi^{-1} \left( R \int_0^{1/4} \tau^{N-1} \varphi(\eta) \varphi(t^* \theta(\tau)) d\tau \right) + t_0\theta(r) \\ &= \frac{R}{2} \varphi^{-1} \left( R \int_0^{1/4} \tau^{N-1} d\tau \varphi(\eta) \varphi(t^*) \right) + t_0\theta(r) \\ &= \frac{R}{2} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{N4^N} \varphi(\eta t^*) \right) + t_0\theta(r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now, in view of the fact that  $\varphi^{-1}(\sigma\varphi(t)) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma)t$ , we have, for  $r \in [0, 1/2]$ ,

$$u_i^*(r) \geq t^* \frac{\eta R}{2} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{N4^N} \right) + t_0 \theta(r) \geq t^* + t_0 \theta(r) \geq (t^* + t_0) \theta(r), \quad (3.10)$$

and hence

$$u_i^*(r) \geq (t^* + t_0) \theta(r), \quad r \in [0, 1], \quad (3.11)$$

which is a contradiction to the definition of  $t^*$ . Thus, in view of Lemma 2.1,

$$i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_1}, K) = 0, \quad i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 1. \quad (3.12)$$

It follows from the additivity of the fixed point index that  $i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{T}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}$ , which is the desired positive solution of (1.1).

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary positive number. Since (H3) is true, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{f}_i(t)/\varphi(t)) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence, there is an  $r_2 > 0$  such that

$$\hat{f}_i(r_2) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) \varphi(r_2), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.1)$$

where the constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfies

$$nR\varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{N} \right) \varepsilon < 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, we have by Lemma 2.4 that

$$\|\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u})\| \leq nR\varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R}{N} \right) \varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}\| < \|\mathbf{u}\| \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \partial\Omega_{r_2}. \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Next using exactly the same argument as in Theorem 1.1, we can determine a  $0 < r_1 < r_2$  from (H2) such that (3.6) holds. Note that  $R$  can be any positive number for Theorem 1.2. Thus it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_1}, K) = 0, \quad i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_2}, K) = 1, \quad (4.5)$$

and hence,  $i(\mathbf{T}, \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}, K) = 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{T}$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{r_1}$ . Consequently, (1.1) has a positive solution for all  $R > 0$ .

## 8 Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions

### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Since (H4) is true, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there exist positive numbers  $\varepsilon_1^i, \varepsilon_2^i, r_1^i$ , and  $r_2^i$  such that  $r_1^i < r_2^i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\mathbf{u}) &\leq \varepsilon_1^i \varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq r_1^i, \\ f_i(\mathbf{u}) &\leq \varepsilon_2^i \varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \|\mathbf{u}\| \geq r_2^i. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$\varepsilon^i = \max \left\{ \varepsilon_1^i, \varepsilon_2^i, \max \left\{ \frac{f_i(\mathbf{u})}{\varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|)} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, r_1^i \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \leq r_2^i \right\} \right\} > 0 \quad (5.2)$$

and  $\varepsilon = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \{\varepsilon^i\} > 0$ . Thus, we have

$$f_i(\mathbf{u}) \leq \varepsilon \varphi(\|\mathbf{u}\|) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.3)$$

Assume  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is a positive solution of (1.1). We will show that this leads to a contradiction for  $0 < R < R_0$ , where

$$nR_0 \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R_0 \varepsilon}{N} \right) < 1. \quad (5.4)$$

In fact, for  $0 < R < R_0$ , since  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}(t) = \mathbf{v}(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}\| &= \|\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |T^i \mathbf{v}(t)| \leq R \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{R}{s^{N-1}} \int_0^s \tau^{N-1} d\tau \varepsilon \varphi(\|\mathbf{v}\|) \right] ds \\ &\leq nR \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R \varepsilon}{N} \varphi(\|\mathbf{v}\|) \right) = nR \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{R \varepsilon}{N} \right) \|\mathbf{v}\| < \|\mathbf{v}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

which is a contradiction.

### Acknowledgments

The author thanks the three reviewers for their comments to improve the presentation of the paper.

### References

- [1] R. Dalmasso, *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems*, Nonlinear Analysis **39** (2000), no. 5, 559–568.
- [2] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [3] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 5, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1988.
- [4] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [5] P.-L. Lions, *On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, SIAM Review **24** (1982), no. 4, 441–467.
- [6] D. O'Regan and H. Wang, *Positive solutions for  $p$ -laplacian systems in a ball*, in preparation.

- [7] H. Wang, *On the number of positive solutions of nonlinear systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **281** (2003), no. 1, 287–306.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, *An existence theorem for quasilinear systems*, to appear in Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society.

Haiyan Wang: Department of Mathematical Sciences & Applied Computing,  
Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ 85069-7100, USA  
E-mail address: wangh@asu.edu

## Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

### Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often reveals the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Alberto Cabada**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [alberto.cabada@usc.es](mailto:alberto.cabada@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Victoria Otero-Espinar**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [mvictoria.oter@usc.es](mailto:mvictoria.oter@usc.es)