

A MODIFIED QUASI-BOUNDARY VALUE METHOD FOR A CLASS OF ABSTRACT PARABOLIC ILL-POSED PROBLEMS

M. DENCHE AND S. DJEZZAR

Received 14 October 2004; Accepted 9 August 2005

We study a final value problem for first-order abstract differential equation with positive self-adjoint unbounded operator coefficient. This problem is ill-posed. Perturbing the final condition, we obtain an approximate nonlocal problem depending on a small parameter. We show that the approximate problems are well posed and that their solutions converge if and only if the original problem has a classical solution. We also obtain estimates of the solutions of the approximate problems and a convergence result of these solutions. Finally, we give explicit convergence rates.

Copyright © 2006 M. Denche and S. Djeddar. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

We consider the following final value problem (FVP)

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < T \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(T) = f \quad (1.2)$$

for some prescribed final value f in a Hilbert space H ; where A is a positive self-adjoint operator such that $0 \in \rho(A)$. Such problems are not well posed, that is, even if a unique solution exists on $[0, T]$ it need not depend continuously on the final value f . We note that this type of problems has been considered by many authors, using different approaches. Such authors as Lavrentiev [8], Lattès and Lions [7], Miller [10], Payne [11], and Showalter [12] have approximated (FVP) by perturbing the operator A .

In [1, 4, 13] a similar problem is treated in a different way. By perturbing the final value condition, they approximated the problem (1.1), (1.2), with

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(T) + \alpha u(0) = f. \quad (1.4)$$

2 Regularization of parabolic ill-posed problems

A similar approach known as the method of auxiliary boundary conditions was given in [6, 9]. Also, we have to mention that the non standard conditions of the form (1.4) for parabolic equations have been considered in some recent papers [2, 3].

In this paper, we perturb the final condition (1.2) to form an approximate nonlocal problem depending on a small parameter, with boundary condition containing a derivative of the same order than the equation, as follows:

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1.5)$$

$$u(T) - \alpha u'(0) = f. \quad (1.6)$$

Following [4], this method is called quasi-boundary value method, and the related approximate problem is called quasi-boundary value problem (QBVP). We show that the approximate problems are well posed and that their solutions u_α converge in $C^1([0, T], H)$ if and only if the original problem has a classical solution. We show that this method gives a better approximation than many other quasi reversibility type methods, for example, [1, 4, 7]. Finally, we obtain several other results, including some explicit convergence rates. The case where the operator A has discrete spectrum has been treated in [5].

2. The approximate problem

Definition 2.1. A function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$ is called a classical solution of the (FVP) problem (resp., (QBVP) problem) if $u \in C^1([0, T], H)$, $u(t) \in D(A)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$ and satisfies (1.1) and the final condition (1.2) (resp., the boundary condition (1.6)).

Now, let $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$ be a spectral measure associated to the operator A in the Hilbert space H , then for all $f \in H$, we can write

$$f = \int_0^\infty dE_\lambda f. \quad (2.1)$$

If the (FVP) problem (resp., (QBVP) problem) admits a solution u (resp., u_α), then this solution can be represented by

$$u(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda(T-t)} dE_\lambda f, \quad (2.2)$$

respectively,

$$u_\alpha(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T}} dE_\lambda f. \quad (2.3)$$

THEOREM 2.2. *For all $f \in H$, the functions u_α given by (2.3) are classical solutions to the (QBVP) problem and we have the following estimate*

$$\|u_\alpha(t)\| \leq \frac{T}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} \|f\|, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (2.4)$$

where $\alpha < eT$.

Proof. If we assume that the functions u_α given in (2.3) are defined for all $t \in [0, T]$, then, it is easy to show that $u_\alpha \in C^1([0, T], H)$ and

$$u'_\alpha(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{-\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T}} dE_\lambda f. \quad (2.5)$$

From

$$\|Au_\alpha(t)\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-2\lambda t}}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \int_0^\infty d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \|f\|^2, \quad (2.6)$$

we get $u_\alpha(t) \in D(A)$ and so $u_\alpha \in C([0, T], D(A))$. This shows that the function u_α is a classical solution to the (QBVP) problem.

Now, using (2.3), we have

$$\|u_\alpha(t)\|^2 \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.7)$$

if we put

$$h(\lambda) = (\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^{-1}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

then,

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} h(\lambda) = h\left(\frac{\ln(T/\alpha)}{T}\right), \quad (2.9)$$

and this yields

$$\|u_\alpha(t)\|^2 \leq \left[\frac{T}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} \right]^2 \int_0^\infty d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 = \left[\frac{T}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} \right]^2 \|f\|^2. \quad (2.10)$$

This shows that the integral defining $u_\alpha(t)$ exists for all $t \in [0, T]$ and we have the desired estimate. \square

Remark 2.3. One advantage of this method of regularization is that the order of the error, introduced by small changes in the final value f , is less than the order given in [4].

Now, we give the following convergence result.

THEOREM 2.4. *For every $f \in H$, $u_\alpha(T)$ converges to f in H , as α tends to zero.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\eta > 0$ for which

$$\int_\eta^\infty d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.3), we have

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 \leq \alpha^2 \int_0^\eta \frac{\lambda^2}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (2.12)$$

4 Regularization of parabolic ill-posed problems

so by choosing α such that

$$\alpha^2 < \varepsilon \left(2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^2 e^{2\lambda T} \|E_\lambda f\|^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.13)$$

we obtain the desired result. \square

THEOREM 2.5. *For every $f \in H$, the (FVP) problem has a classical solution u given by (2.2), if and only if the sequence $(u'_\alpha(0))_{\alpha>0}$ converge in H . Furthermore, we then have that $u_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ in $C^1([0, T], H)$ as α tends to zero.*

Proof. If we assume that the (FVP) problem has a classical solution u , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'_\alpha(0) - u'(0)\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^4 e^{2\lambda T}}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} \|dE_\lambda f\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^4 e^{4\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 + \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^4 e^{2\lambda T}}{\alpha^2 \lambda^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \\ &< \alpha^2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^4 e^{4\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

so by choosing α such that $\alpha^2 < \varepsilon (2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^4 e^{4\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2)^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\|u'_\alpha(0) - u'(0)\|^2 < \varepsilon, \quad (2.15)$$

this shows that $\|u'_\alpha(0) - u'(0)\|$ tends to zero as α tends to zero. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'_\alpha(t) - u'(t)\|^2 &\leq \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T}} - e^{\lambda T} \right)^2 d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \\ &= \|u'_\alpha(0) - u'(0)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

then $u'_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u'(t)$ uniformly in $[0, T]$ as α tends to zero.

Since

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u(0)\|^2 \leq \alpha^2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^2 e^{4\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad (2.17)$$

for η quite large. Then by choosing α such that $\alpha^2 < (2 \int_0^\eta \lambda^2 e^{4\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2)^{-1}$, we get

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u(0)\|^2 < \varepsilon. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus $u_\alpha(0)$ converges to $u(0)$, which in turn gives that $u_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ uniformly in $[0, T]$ as α tends to zero. Combining all these convergence results, we conclude that $u_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ in $C^1([0, T], H)$.

Now, assume that $(u'_\alpha(0))_{\alpha>0}$ converges in H . Since u_α is a classical solution to the (QBVP) problem, then we have

$$\|u'_\alpha(0)\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^2}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.19)$$

and it is easy to show that

$$\left\| \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} u'_\alpha(0) \right\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 e^{2\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.20)$$

and so the function $u(t)$ defined by

$$u(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda(T-t)} dE_\lambda f, \quad (2.21)$$

is a classical solution to the (FVP) problem. This ends the proof of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *If the function u given by (2.2) is a classical solution of the (FVP) problem, and u_α^δ is a solution of the (QBVP) problem for $f = f_\delta$, such that $\|f - f_\delta\| < \delta$, then we have*

$$\|u(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\| \leq c \left(1 + \ln \frac{T}{\delta}\right)^{-1}, \quad (2.22)$$

where $c = T(1 + \|Au(0)\|)$.

Proof. Suppose that the function u given by (2.2) is a classical solution to the (FVP) problem, and let's denote by u_α^δ a solution of the (QBVP) problem for $f = f_\delta$, such that

$$\|f - f_\delta\| < \delta. \quad (2.23)$$

Then, $u_\alpha^\delta(t)$ is given by

$$u_\alpha^\delta(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T}} dE_\lambda f_\delta, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.24)$$

From (2.2) and (2.24), we have

$$\|u(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\| \leq \Delta_1 + \Delta_2, \quad (2.25)$$

where $\Delta_1 = \|u(0) - u_\alpha(0)\|$, and $\Delta_2 = \|u_\alpha(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\|$. Using (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &\leq \frac{T}{(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} \left(\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 e^{2\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \\ \Delta_2 &\leq \frac{T}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} \|f - f_\delta\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &\leq \frac{T\|Au(0)\|}{1 + \ln(T/\alpha)}, \\ \Delta_2 &\leq \frac{T\delta}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

From (2.27), we obtain

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\|^2 \leq \frac{T\|Au(0)\|}{(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))} + \frac{T\delta}{\alpha(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))}, \quad (2.28)$$

6 Regularization of parabolic ill-posed problems

then, for the choice $\alpha = \delta$, we get

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\|^2 \leq \frac{T(1 + \|Au(0)\|)}{(1 + \ln(T/\alpha))}. \quad (2.29)$$

□

Remark 2.7. From (2.22), for $T > e^{-1}$ we get

$$\|u(0) - u_\alpha^\delta(0)\| \leq c \left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.30)$$

Remark 2.8. Under the hypothesis of the above theorem, if we denote by U_α^δ the solution of the approximate (FVP) problem for $f = f_\delta$, using the quasireversibility method [7], we obtain the following estimate

$$\|u(0) - U_\alpha^\delta(0)\| \leq c_1 \left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{-2/3}. \quad (2.31)$$

Proof. A proof can be given in a similar way as in [9]. □

THEOREM 2.9. *If there exists an $\varepsilon \in]0, 2[$ so that*

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon\lambda T} \|dE_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.32)$$

converges, then $u_\alpha(T)$ converges to f with order $\alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2}$ as α tends to zero.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in]0, 2[$ such that $\int_0^\infty \lambda^\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon\lambda T} \|dE_\lambda f\|^2$ converges, and let $\beta \in]0, 2[$. For a fix $\lambda > 0$, and if we define a function $g_\lambda(\alpha) = \alpha^\beta / (\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2$. Then we can show that

$$g_\lambda(\alpha) \leq g_\lambda(\alpha_0), \quad \forall \alpha > 0, \quad (2.33)$$

where $\alpha_0 = \beta e^{-\lambda T} / (2 - \beta)\lambda$. Furthermore, from (2.3), we have

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 = \alpha^{2-\beta} \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 g_\lambda(\alpha) dE_\lambda f. \quad (2.34)$$

Hence from (2.33) and (2.34) we obtain

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 \leq \alpha^{2-\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2-\beta} \right)^\beta \int_0^\infty \lambda^{2-\beta} e^{(2-\beta)\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2. \quad (2.35)$$

If we choose $\beta = (2 - \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 \leq \alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2} \left(4 \int_0^\infty \lambda^\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \right), \quad (2.36)$$

hence

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 \leq c_\varepsilon \alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2} \quad (2.37)$$

with $c_\varepsilon = 4 \int_0^\infty \lambda^\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2$. □

Now, we give the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.10. *If there exists an $\varepsilon \in]0, 2[$ so that*

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\varepsilon+2\gamma)} e^{(\varepsilon+2)\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.38)$$

where $\gamma = \overline{0, 1}$, converges, then u_α converges to u in $C^1([0, T], H)$ with order of convergence $\alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2}$.

Proof. If we assume that (2.38) is satisfied, then

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^2 e^{2\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \quad (2.39)$$

converges, and so the function $u(t)$ given by (2.2) is a classical solution of the (FVP) problem. Let $u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}$, $u^{(\gamma)}$ denote the derivatives of order γ ($\gamma = \overline{0, 1}$) of the functions u_α and u , respectively. Using the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}(0) - u^{(\gamma)}(0) \right\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\alpha^2 \lambda^{(2+2\gamma)} e^{2\lambda T}}{(\alpha\lambda + e^{-\lambda T})^2} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^{2-\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2-\beta} \right)^\beta \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(2+2\gamma-\beta)} e^{(4-\beta)\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

and setting $\beta = 2 - \varepsilon$, in (2.40), we obtain

$$\left\| u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}(0) - u^{(\gamma)}(0) \right\|^2 \leq c_{\varepsilon, \gamma} \alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2}, \quad (2.41)$$

where $c_{\varepsilon, \gamma} = 4 \int_0^\infty \lambda^{(\varepsilon+2\gamma)} e^{(\varepsilon+2)\lambda T} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2$.

And since

$$\left\| u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}(t) - u^{(\gamma)}(t) \right\|^2 \leq \left\| u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}(0) - u^{(\gamma)}(0) \right\|^2, \quad (2.42)$$

then $u_\alpha^{(\gamma)}(t)$ converges to $u^{(\gamma)}(t)$ uniformly in $[0, T]$, with order of convergence $\alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2}$, and so u_α converges to u in $C^1([0, T], H)$, with order $\alpha^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{-2}$. \square

References

- [1] M. Ababna, *Regularization by nonlocal conditions of the problem of the control of the initial condition for evolution operator-differential equations*, Vestnik Belorusskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta. Seriya 1. Fizika, Matematika, Informatika (1998), no. 2, 60–63, 81 (Russian).
- [2] K. A. Ames and L. E. Payne, *Asymptotic behavior for two regularizations of the Cauchy problem for the backward heat equation*, Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences **8** (1998), no. 1, 187–202.
- [3] K. A. Ames, L. E. Payne, and P. W. Schaefer, *Energy and pointwise bounds in some non-standard parabolic problems*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics **134** (2004), no. 1, 1–9.
- [4] G. W. Clark and S. F. Oppenheimer, *Quasireversibility methods for non-well-posed problems*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **1994** (1994), no. 8, 1–9.

8 Regularization of parabolic ill-posed problems

- [5] M. Denche and K. Bessila, *A modified quasi-boundary value method for ill-posed problems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **301** (2005), no. 2, 419–426.
- [6] V. K. Ivanov, I. V. Mel'nikova, and A. I. Filinkov, *Operator-Differential Equations and Ill-Posed Problems*, Fizmatlit "Nauka", Moscow, 1995.
- [7] R. Lattès and J.-L. Lions, *Méthode de Quasi-Réversibilité et Applications*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, no. 15, Dunod, Paris, 1967.
- [8] M. M. Lavrentiev, *Some Improperly Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, vol. 11, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [9] I. V. Mel'nikova, *Regularization of ill-posed differential problems*, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal **33** (1992), no. 2, 125–134, 221 (Russian), translated in Siberian Math. J. **33** (1992), no. 2, 289–298.
- [10] K. Miller, *Stabilized quasi-reversibility and other nearly-best-possible methods for non-well-posed problems*, Symposium on Non-Well-Posed Problems and Logarithmic Convexity (Heriot-Watt Univ., Edinburgh, 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 316, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 161–176.
- [11] L. E. Payne, *Some general remarks on improperly posed problems for partial differential equations*, Symposium on Non-Well-Posed Problems and Logarithmic Convexity (Heriot-Watt Univ., Edinburgh, 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 316, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 1–30.
- [12] R. E. Showalter, *The final value problem for evolution equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **47** (1974), no. 3, 563–572.
- [13] ———, *Cauchy problem for hyperparabolic partial differential equations*, Trends in the Theory and Practice of Nonlinear Analysis (Arlington, Tex, 1984), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 110, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 421–425.

M. Denche: Laboratoire Equations Differentielles, Département de Mathématiques,
Faculté des Sciences, Université Mentouri Constantine, 25000 Constantine, Algeria
E-mail address: denech@wissal.dz

S. Djeddar: Laboratoire Equations Differentielles, Département de Mathématiques,
Faculté des Sciences, Université Mentouri Constantine, 25000 Constantine, Algeria
E-mail address: salah_djeddar@yahoo.fr

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be