Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Boundary Value Problems
Volume 2007, Article ID 56945, 10 pages
doi:10.1155/2007/56945

Research Article

A Note on the Relaxation-Time Limit of the Isothermal Euler Equations

Jiang Xu and Daoyuan Fang

Received 3 July 2007; Accepted 30 August 2007

Recommended by Patrick J. Rabier

This work is concerned with the relaxation-time limit of the multidimensional isothermal Euler equations with relaxation. We show that Coulombel-Goudon's results (2007) can hold in the *weaker* and *more general* Sobolev space of fractional order. The method of proof used is the Littlewood-Paley decomposition.

1. Introduction

The multidimensional isothermal Euler equation with relaxation describing the perfect gas flow is given by

$$\begin{gathered} n_t + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ (n\mathbf{u})_t + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p(n) = -\frac{1}{\tau} n\mathbf{u} \end{gathered} \tag{1.1}$$

for $(t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, where n, $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^d)^\top$ ($\top$ represents transpose) denote the density and velocity of the flow, respectively, and the constant τ is the momentum relaxation time for some physical flow. Here, we assume that $0 < \tau \leq 1$. The pressure $p(n)$ satisfies $p(n) = An$, and $A > 0$ is a physical constant. The symbols ∇, $\otimes$ are the gradient operator and the symbol for the tensor products of two vectors, respectively. The system is supplemented with the initial data

$$(n, \mathbf{u})(x, 0) = (n_0, \mathbf{u}_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.2}$$

To be concerned with the small relaxation-time analysis, we define the scaled variables

$$(n^\tau, \mathbf{u}^\tau)(x,s) = (n, \mathbf{u})\left(x, \frac{s}{\tau}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Then the new variables satisfy the following equations:

$$\begin{gathered} n_s^\tau + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{n^\tau \mathbf{u}^\tau}{\tau}\right) = 0, \\ \tau^2 \left(\frac{n^\tau \mathbf{u}^\tau}{\tau}\right)_s + \tau^2 \left(\frac{n^\tau \mathbf{u}^\tau \otimes \mathbf{u}^\tau}{\tau^2}\right) + \frac{n^\tau \mathbf{u}^\tau}{\tau} = -A \nabla n^\tau \end{gathered} \tag{1.4}$$

with initial data

$$(n^\tau, \mathbf{u}^\tau)(x,0) = (n_0, \mathbf{u}_0). \tag{1.5}$$

Let $\tau \to 0$, formally, we obtain the heat equation

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{N}_s - A\Delta \mathcal{N} = 0, \\ \mathcal{N}(x,0) = n_0. \end{gathered} \tag{1.6}$$

The above formal derivation of heat equation has been justified by many authors, see [1–3] and the references therein. In [2], Junca and Rascle studied the convergence of the solutions to (1.1) towards those of (1.6) for arbitrary large initial data in $BV(\mathbb{R})$ space. Marcati and Milani [3] showed the derivation of the porous media equation as the limit of the isentropic Euler equations in one space dimension. Recently, Coulombel and Goudon [1] constructed the uniform smooth solutions to (1.1) in the multidimensional case and proved this relaxation-time limit in some Sobolev space $H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($k > 1 + d/2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$). In this paper, we *weaken* the regularity assumptions on the initial data and establish a similar relaxation result in the more general Sobolev space of fractional order ($H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma = 1 + d/2$, $\varepsilon > 0$) with the aid of Littlewood-Paley decomposition theory.

If fixed $\tau > 0$, there are some efforts on the global existence of smooth solutions to the system (1.1)-(1.2) for the isentropic gas or the general hyperbolic system, the interested readers can refer to [4–7]. Now, we state main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\bar{n}$ be a constant reference density. Suppose that $n_0 - \bar{n}$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist two positive constants δ_0 and C_0 independent of τ such that if*

$$\|(n_0 - \bar{n}, \mathbf{u}_0)\|^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \delta_0, \tag{1.7}$$

then the system (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique global solution $(n, \mathbf{u})$ satisfying

$$(n - \bar{n}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{C}([0,\infty), H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)). \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, the uniform energy inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(n-\bar{n},\mathbf{u})(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}+\frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}(\cdot,\varsigma)\|^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}d\varsigma+\tau\int_0^t\|(\nabla n,\nabla\mathbf{u})(\cdot,\varsigma)\|^2_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}d\varsigma \\ \le C_0\|(n_0-\bar{n},\mathbf{u}_0)\|^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)},\quad t\ge 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1.9}$$

Based on Theorem 1.1, using the standard weak convergence method and compactness theorem [8], we can obtain the following relaxation-time limit immediately.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(n,\mathbf{u})$ be the global solution of Theorem 1.1, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&n^\tau-\bar{n}\text{ is uniformly bounded in }\mathscr{C}([0,\infty),H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)),\\ &\frac{n^\tau\mathbf{u}^\tau}{\tau}\text{ is uniformly bounded in }L^2([0,\infty),H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)).\end{aligned}\tag{1.10}$$

Furthermore, there exists some function $\mathcal{N}\in\mathscr{C}([0,\infty),\bar{n}+H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ which is a global weak solution of (1.6). For any time $T>0$, we have $n^\tau(x,s)$ strongly converges to $\mathcal{N}(x,s)$ in $\mathscr{C}([0,T],(H^{\sigma'+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d))_{\mathrm{loc}})$ $(\sigma'<\sigma)$ as $\tau\to 0$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

On the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the definitions of Besov space, for brevity, we omit the details, see [9] or [7]. Here, we only present some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([9, 7]). *Let $s>0$ and $1\le p,r\le\infty$. Then $B^s_{p,r}\cap L^\infty$ is an algebra and one has*

$$\|fg\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\lesssim\|f\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{B^s_{p,r}}+\|g\|_{L^\infty}\|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\quad \text{if } f,g\in B^s_{p,r}\cap L^\infty.\tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2 [9, 7]. *Let $1\le p,r\le\infty$, and I be open interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $s>0$ and ℓ be the smallest integer such that $\ell\ge s$. Let $F:I\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfy $F(0)=0$ and $F'\in W^{\ell,\infty}(I;\mathbb{R})$. Assume that $v\in B^s_{p,r}$ takes values in $J\subset\subset I$. Then $F(v)\in B^s_{p,r}$ and there exists a constant C depending only on s, I, J, and d such that*

$$\|F(v)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\le C(1+\|v\|_{L^\infty})^\ell\|F'\|_{W^{\ell,\infty}(I)}\|v\|_{B^s_{p,r}}.\tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3 [7]. *Let $s>0$, $1<p<\infty$, the following inequalities hold.*

(I) $q\ge -1$*:*

$$2^{qs}\|[f,\Delta_q]\mathcal{A}g\|_{L^p}\le\begin{cases}Cc_q\|f\|_{B^s_{p,2}}\|g\|_{B^s_{p,2}}, & f,g\in B^s_{p,2},\ s=1+\dfrac{d}{p}+\varepsilon\ (\varepsilon>0),\\ Cc_q\|f\|_{B^s_{p,2}}\|g\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,2}}, & f\in B^s_{p,2},\ g\in B^{s+1}_{p,2},\ s=\dfrac{d}{p}+\varepsilon\ (\varepsilon>0),\\ Cc_q\|f\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,2}}\|g\|_{B^s_{p,2}}, & f\in B^{s+1}_{p,2},\ g\in B^s_{p,2},\ s=\dfrac{d}{p}+\varepsilon\ (\varepsilon>0).\end{cases}\tag{2.3}$$

If $f=g$, then

$$2^{qs}\|[f,\Delta_q]\mathcal{A}g\|_{L^p} \le Cc_q\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}\|g\|_{B^s_{p,2}}, \quad s>0. \tag{2.4}$$

(II) $q=-1$*:*

$$2^{-s}\|[f,\Delta_q]\mathcal{A}g\|_{L^{2d/(d+2)}} \le Cc_{-1}\|f\|_{B^s_{2,2}}\|g\|_{B^s_{2,2}}, \quad f,g\in B^s_{2,2},\ s=1+\frac{d}{2}+\varepsilon\ (\varepsilon>0), \tag{2.5}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{div}$ *or* ∇*, the commutator* $[f,h]=fh-hf$*, C is a harmless constant, and c_q denotes a sequence such that* $\|(c_q)\|_{l^1}\le 1$*. (In particular, Besov space* $B^s_{2,2}\equiv H^s$*.)*

3. Reformulation and local existence

Let us introduce the enthalpy $\mathcal{H}(\varrho)=A\ln\varrho$ $(\varrho>0)$, and set

$$m(t,x)=A^{-1/2}\big(\mathcal{H}(n(t,x))-\mathcal{H}(\bar{n})\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Then (1.1) can be transformed into the symmetric hyperbolic form

$$\partial_t U+\sum_{j=1}^{d}A_j(\mathbf{u})\partial_{x_j}U=-\frac{1}{\tau}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \mathbf{u}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$U=\begin{pmatrix}m\\ \mathbf{u}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_j(\mathbf{u})=\begin{pmatrix}u^j & \sqrt{A}e_j^\top\\ \sqrt{A}e_j & u^j\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

The initial data (1.2) become into

$$U_0=\big(\sqrt{A}(\ln n_0-\ln\bar{n}),\mathbf{u}_0\big)^\top. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 1. The variable change is from the open set $\{(n,\mathbf{u})\in(0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\}$ to the whole space $\{(m,\mathbf{u})\in\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\}$. It is easy to show that the system (1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to (3.2)–(3.4) for classical solutions $(n,\mathbf{u})$ away from vacuum.

First, we recall a local existence and uniqueness result of classical solutions to (3.2)–(3.4) which has been obtained in [7].

Proposition 3.1. *For any fixed relaxation time $\tau>0$, assume that $U_0\in B^\sigma_{2,1}$, then there exist a time $T_0>0$ (only depending on the initial data U_0) and a unique solution $U(t,x)$ to (3.2)–(3.4) such that $U\in\mathcal{C}^1([0,T_0]\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $U\in\mathcal{C}([0,T_0],B^\sigma_{2,1})\cap\mathcal{C}^1([0,T_0],B^{\sigma-1}_{2,1})$.*

4. A priori estimate and global existence

In this section, we will establish a uniform a priori estimate, which is used to derive the global existence of classical solutions to (3.2)–(3.4). Defining the energy function

$$E_\tau(T)^2 := \sup_{0\le t\le T} ||U(t)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^T ||\mathbf{u}(t)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} dt + \tau \int_0^T ||\nabla_x U(t)||^2_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}} dt, \tag{4.1}$$

then we have the following a priori estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *For any given time $T>0$, if $U \in \mathscr{C}([0,T],H^{\sigma+\varepsilon})$ is a solution to the system (3.2)–(3.4), then the following inequality holds:*

$$E_\tau(T)^2 \le C(S(T))\left(E_\tau(0)^2 + E_\tau(T)^2 + E_\tau(T)^4\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where $S(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|U(\cdot,t)\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}$, $C(S(T))$ denotes an increasing function from $\mathbb{R}^+$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$, which is independent of τ, T, U.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 4.1 is divided into two steps. First, we estimate the $L^\infty([0,T],H^{\sigma+\varepsilon})$ norm of U, and the $L^2([0,T],H^{\sigma+\varepsilon})$ one of $\mathbf{u}$. Then, we estimate the $L^2([0,T],H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon})$ norm of ∇U.

Step 1. Applying the operator Δ_q to (3.2), multiplying the resulting equations by $\Delta_q m$ and $\Delta_q \mathbf{u}$, respectively, and then integrating them over $\mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(||\Delta_q m||^2_{L^2} + ||\Delta_q \mathbf{u}||^2_{L^2}\right)\Big|_0^t + \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^t ||\Delta_q \mathbf{u}(\varsigma)||^2_{L^2} d\varsigma \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}\left(|\Delta_q m|^2 + |\Delta_q \mathbf{u}|^2\right) dx\, d\varsigma \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{[\mathbf{u},\Delta_q]\cdot\nabla m \Delta_q m + [\mathbf{u},\Delta_q]\cdot\nabla \mathbf{u}\Delta_q \mathbf{u}\}\, dx\, d\varsigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In what follows, we first deal with the low-frequency case. By performing integration by parts, then using Hölder- and Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality, we have ($d \ge 3$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(||\Delta_{-1} m||^2_{L^2} + ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||^2_{L^2}\right)\Big|_0^t + \frac{2}{\tau}\int_0^t ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}(\varsigma)||^2_{L^2} d\varsigma \\
&\quad \le \int_0^t \left(2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^d} ||\Delta_{-1} m||_{L^{2d/(d-2)}} ||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||^2_{L^2}\right) d\varsigma \\
&\qquad + 2\int_0^t \left(||[\mathbf{u},\Delta_{-1}]\cdot\nabla m||_{L^{2d/(d+2)}} ||\Delta_{-1} m||_{L^{2d/(d-2)}} + ||[\mathbf{u},\Delta_{-1}]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}||_{L^2} ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}\right) d\varsigma \\
&\quad \le \int_0^t \left(2||\mathbf{u}||_{L^d} ||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||^2_{L^2} + ||\nabla \mathbf{u}||_{L^\infty} ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||^2_{L^2}\right) d\varsigma \\
&\quad + 2\int_0^t \left(||[\mathbf{u},\Delta_{-1}]\cdot\nabla m||_{L^{2d/(d+2)}} ||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2} + ||[\mathbf{u},\Delta_{-1}]\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}||_{L^2} ||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}\right) d\varsigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Multiplying the factor $2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}$ on both sides of (4.4), from Lemma 2.3 and Young inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}\left(||\Delta_{-1}m||_{L^2}^2+||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)\Big|_0^t+\frac{2}{\tau}\int_0^t 2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}(\varsigma)||_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma\\
&\quad\leq\int_0^t\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^d}2^{-2(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\left(c_{-1}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}2^{-(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2}+c_{-1}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2 2^{-(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}\right)d\varsigma\\
&\quad\leq\int_0^t\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^d}2^{-2(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}\|\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}c_{-1}^2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\tau 2^{-2(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\nabla m||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}c_{-1}^2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\frac{1}{\tau}2^{-2(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_{-1}\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\quad\left(\tau\leq\frac{1}{\tau}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.5}
$$

where C is some positive constant independent of τ. For the high-frequency case, we can also achieve the similar inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2^{2q(\sigma+\varepsilon)}\left(||\Delta_q m||_{L^2}^2+||\Delta_q\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)\Big|_0^t+\frac{2}{\tau}\int_0^t 2^{2q(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_q\mathbf{u}(\varsigma)||_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma\\
&\quad\leq C\int_0^t\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\left(2^{2q(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_q\nabla m||_{L^2}^2+2^{2q(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_q\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}c_q^2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\tau 2^{2q(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_q\nabla m||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}c_q^2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\frac{1}{\tau}2^{2q(\sigma+\varepsilon)}||\Delta_q\mathbf{u}||_{L^2}^2\right)d\varsigma\quad\left(\tau\leq\frac{1}{\tau}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.6}
$$

where we have taken the advantage of the fact $\|\Delta_q\nabla m\|_{L^2}\approx 2^q\|\Delta_q m\|_{L^2}$ $(q\geq 0)$.

By summing (4.6) on $q\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$ and adding (4.5) together, then according to the imbedding property in Sobolev space, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2\right)\Big|_0^t+\frac{2}{\tau}\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2 d\varsigma\\
&\quad\leq C\int_0^t\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\tau\|\nabla m\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}^2\right)d\varsigma+C\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{\tau}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2 d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|m\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\tau\|\nabla m\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}^2\right)d\varsigma\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2+\frac{1}{\tau}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2\right)d\varsigma.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}
$$

Therefore, for any $t \in [0,T]$, the following inequality holds:

$$||U(t)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} + \frac{2}{\tau}\int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} d\varsigma \le C(S(t))\left(E_\tau(0)^2 + E_\tau(t)^2\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Step 2. Thanks to the important skew-symmetric lemma developed in [1, 6, 10], we are going to estimate the $L^2([0,T],H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon})$ norm of ∇U.

Lemma 4.2 (Shizuta-Kawashima). *For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\xi \neq 0$, the system (3.2) admits a real skew-symmetric smooth matrix $K(\xi)$ which is defined in the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^{d-1}$:*

$$K(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dfrac{\xi^\top}{|\xi|} \\ -\dfrac{\xi}{|\xi|} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.9}$$

then

$$K(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j A_j(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{A}|\xi| & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{A}\dfrac{\xi\otimes\xi}{|\xi|} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.10}$$

The system (3.2) can be written as the linearized form

$$\partial_t U + \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(0)\partial_{x_j} U = \sum_{j=1}^d \{A_j(0) - A_j(\mathbf{u})\}\partial_{x_j} U - \frac{1}{\tau}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.11}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{j=1}^d \{A_j(0) - A_j(\mathbf{u})\}\partial_{x_j} U. \tag{4.12}$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|\mathcal{G}\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}} \le C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}\|\nabla U\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Apply the operator Δ_q to the system (4.11) to get

$$\partial_t \Delta_q U + \sum_{j=1}^d A_j(0)\partial_{x_j}\Delta_q U = \Delta_q \mathcal{G} - \frac{1}{\tau}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta_q \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.14}$$

By performing the Fourier transform with respect to the space variable x for (4.14) and multiplying the resulting equation by $-i\tau(\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi)$, "$*$" represents transpose and conjugator, then taking the real part of each term in the equality, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau\,\mathrm{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi)\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{\Delta_q U}\right) + \tau(\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi)\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j A_j(0)\right)\widehat{\Delta_q U} \\ &\quad = -\,\mathrm{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q m})^* \frac{\xi^\top}{|\xi|}\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{u}}\right) + \tau\,\mathrm{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi)(\widehat{\Delta_q \mathcal{G}})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Using the skew-symmetry of $K(\xi)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

Substituting (4.10) into the second term on the left-hand side of (4.15), it is not difficult to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau \operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right) + \tau (\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j A_j(0)\right) \widehat{\Delta_q U} \\ &\quad \geq \frac{\tau}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right) + \tau \sqrt{A} |\xi| \left|\widehat{\Delta_q U}\right|^2 - 2\sqrt{A} |\xi| \left|\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{u}}\right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

With the help of Young inequality, the right-hand side of (4.15) can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &-\operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q m})^* \frac{\xi^\top}{|\xi|} \widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{u}}\right) + \tau \operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) (\widehat{\Delta_q \mathcal{G}})\right) \\ &\quad \leq \tau \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2} |\xi| \left|\widehat{\Delta_q U}\right|^2 + \frac{C}{\tau |\xi|} \left|\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{u}}\right|^2 + \frac{C\tau}{|\xi|} \left|(\widehat{\Delta_q \mathcal{G}})\right|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where the positive constant C is independent of τ. Combining with the equality (4.15) and the inequalities (4.17)-(4.18), we deduce

$$\tau \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2} |\xi| \left|\widehat{\Delta_q U}\right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\tau} \left(|\xi| + \frac{1}{|\xi|}\right) \left|\widehat{\Delta_q \mathbf{u}}\right|^2 + \frac{C\tau}{|\xi|} \left|(\widehat{\Delta_q \mathcal{G}})\right|^2 - \frac{\tau}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Im}\left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right). \tag{4.19}$$

Multiplying (4.19) by $|\xi|$ and integrating it over $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, from Plancherel's theorem, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \int_0^t \|\Delta_q \nabla U\|_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma &\leq \frac{C}{\tau} \int_0^t \left(\|\Delta_q \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_q \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2\right) d\varsigma + C\tau \int_0^t \|\Delta_q \mathcal{G}\|_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma \\ &\quad - \frac{\tau}{2} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi| \left((\widehat{\Delta_q U})^* K(\xi) \widehat{\Delta_q U}\right) d\xi \Big|_0^t \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tau} \int_0^t 2^{2q} \|\Delta_q \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma + C\tau \int_0^t \|\Delta_q \mathcal{G}\|_{L^2}^2 d\varsigma \\ &\quad + C\tau 2^{2q} \left(\|\Delta_q U(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_q U(0)\|_{L^2}^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where we have used the uniform boundedness of the matrix $K(\xi)$ ($\xi \neq 0$).

Multiplying the factor $2^{2q(\sigma-1+\varepsilon)}$ ($q \geq -1$) on both sides of (4.20) and summing it on q, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \int_0^t \|\nabla U\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}^2 d\varsigma &\leq \frac{C}{\tau} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2 d\varsigma + C\tau \int_0^t \|\mathcal{G}\|_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}}^2 d\varsigma + C\tau \left(\|U(t)\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2 + \|U(0)\|_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}^2\right) \\ &\leq C(S(t)) \left(E_\tau(0)^2 + E_\tau(t)^2 + E_\tau(t)^4\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Together with the inequalities (4.8) and (4.21), (4.2) follows immediately, which completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, Proposition 3.1 also holds on the framework of the functional space $H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}(\equiv B^{\sigma+\varepsilon}_{2,2})$. There exists a sufficiently small number ϵ_0 independent of τ such that $E_\tau(T) \le \epsilon_0 \le 1$ from (4.1), we have

$$E_\tau(T)^2 \le \widetilde{C}\left(E_\tau(0)^2 + E_\tau(T)^3\right), \tag{4.22}$$

where the constant $\widetilde{C}$ is independent of τ. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\widetilde{C} \ge 1$. Similar to that in [1], we achieve that

$$E_\tau(t) \le \min\left\{\epsilon_0, \frac{1}{2\widetilde{C}}, \sqrt{2\widetilde{C}}E_\tau(0)\right\} \tag{4.23}$$

for any $t \ge 0$ if

$$||U_0||_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \le \frac{1}{2(2\widetilde{C})^{3/2}}. \tag{4.24}$$

Note that the density

$$n - \overline{n} = \overline{n}\{\exp\left(A^{-1/2}m\right) - 1\}; \tag{4.25}$$

from Lemma 2.2, the definition of $E_\tau(t)$, and the standard continuity argument, we can obtain the following result: there exist two positive constants δ_0, C_0 independent of τ if the initial data satisfy

$$||n_0 - \overline{n}||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} + ||\mathbf{u}_0||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} \le \delta_0, \tag{4.26}$$

then the system (1.1)-(1.2) exists as a unique global solution $(n, \mathbf{u})$. Moreover, the uniform energy estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &||(n-\overline{n},\mathbf{u})(\cdot,t)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{\tau}\int_0^t ||\mathbf{u}(\cdot,\varsigma)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}} d\varsigma + \tau\int_0^t ||(\nabla n, \nabla\mathbf{u})(\cdot,\varsigma)||^2_{H^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}} d\varsigma \\ &\quad \le C_0||(n_0-\overline{n},\mathbf{u}_0)||^2_{H^{\sigma+\varepsilon}}, \quad t \ge 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

The proof of Corollary 1.2 is similar to that in [1]; here, we omit the details, the interested readers can refer to [1].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NUAA's Scientic Fund for the Introduction of Qualified Personnel (S0762-082), NSFC 10571158, and Zhejiang Provincial NSF of China (Y605076).

References

[1] J.-F. Coulombel and T. Goudon, "The strong relaxation limit of the multidimensional isothermal Euler equations," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 359, no. 2, pp. 637–648, 2007.

[2] S. Junca and M. Rascle, "Strong relaxation of the isothermal Euler system to the heat equation," *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 239–264, 2002.

[3] P. Marcati and A. Milani, "The one-dimensional Darcy's law as the limit of a compressible Euler flow," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 129–147, 1990.

[4] B. Hanouzet and R. Natalini, "Global existence of smooth solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems with a convex entropy," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 169, no. 2, pp. 89–117, 2003.

[5] T. C. Sideris, B. Thomases, and D. Wang, "Long time behavior of solutions to the 3D compressible Euler with damping," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 28, no. 3-4, pp. 795–816, 2003.

[6] W.-A. Yong, "Entropy and global existence for hyperbolic balance laws," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 172, no. 2, pp. 247–266, 2004.

[7] D. Y. Fang and J. Xu, "Existence and asymptotic behavior of C^1 solutions to the multidimensional compressible Euler equations with damping," http://arxiv.org/abs/math.AP/0703621.

[8] J. Simon, "Compact sets in the space $L^p(0,T;B)$," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 65–96, 1987.

[9] J.-Y. Chemin, *Perfect Incompressible Fluids*, vol. 14 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1998.

[10] Y. Shizuta and S. Kawashima, "Systems of equations of hyperbolic-parabolic type with applications to the discrete Boltzmann equation," *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 249–275, 1985.

Jiang Xu: Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China
Email address: jiangxu_79@nuaa.edu.cn

Daoyuan Fang: Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
Email address: dyf@zju.edu.cn

Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods**: artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning
- **Application fields**: asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects**: decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mskklai@cityu.edu.hk