# EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTION FOR SECOND-ORDER IMPULSIVE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS ON INFINITY INTERVALS

JIANLI LI AND JIANHUA SHEN

Received 8 January 2006; Revised 2 September 2006; Accepted 4 September 2006

We deal with the existence of positive solutions to impulsive second-order differential equations subject to some boundary conditions on the semi-infinity interval.

Copyright © 2006 J. Li and J. Shen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years, impulsive differential equations have become a very active area of research and we refer the reader to the monographs [8] and the articles [6, 9, 10, 14, 15], where properties of their solutions are studied and extensive bibliographies are given. In consequence, it is very important to develop a complete basic theory of impulsive differential equations. Also, infinite interval problems have been extensive studied, see [1–5, 11, 12].

In this paper we study the existence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem (BVP) with impulses:

$$y'' + g(t, y, y') = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty, \ t \neq t_k,$$
  
 $\Delta y'(t_k) = b_k y'(t_k), \quad \Delta y(t_k) = a_k y(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$   
 $y(0) = 0, \quad y \text{ bounded on } [0, \infty),$  (1.1)

where  $t_k < t_{k+1}$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$ ,  $\Delta y'(t_k) = y'(t_k^+) - y'(t_k^-)$ ,  $\Delta y(t_k) = y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-)$ , and g is continuous except  $\{t_k\} \times R \times R$ ; we assume that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, ...\}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist the limits

$$\lim_{t \to t_k^-} g(t, x, y) = g(t_k, x, y), \qquad \lim_{t \to t_k^+} g(t, x, y).$$
 (1.2)

The problems of the above type without impulses have been discussed by several authors in the literature, we refer the reader to the pioneer works of Agarwal and O'Regan [1, 2, 4] and Ma [12] and Constantin [11]. But as far as we know the publication on solvability of infinity interval problems with impulses is fewer [15]. In this paper we want to

fill in this gap and extend the existence results on the case of infinity interval problems with impulses.

Motivated by works of [2, 12], we use the well-known Leray-Schauder continuation theorem [13] to establish new results on finite intervals [0,n] and use a diagonalization argument to get positive solutions on infinity intervals.

Let J = [0, a], a is a constant or  $a = +\infty$ , in order to define the concept of solution for BVP (1.1), we introduce the following spaces of functions:

 $PC(J) = \{u: J \to \mathbb{R}, u \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_k, u(t_k^+), u(t_k^-) \text{ exist, and } u(t_k^-) = u(t_k)\};$  $PC^1(J) = \{u \in PC(J): u \text{ is continuously differentiable at } t \neq t_k, u'(0^+), u'(t_k^+), u'(t_k^-) \text{ exist, and } u'(t_k^-) = u'(t_k)\};$ 

 $PC^2(J) = \{u \in PC^1(J) : u \text{ is twice continuously differentiable at } t \neq t_k\}.$ 

Note that PC(I) and  $PC^{1}(I)$  are Banach spaces with the norms

$$||u||_{\infty} = \sup\{|u(t)| : t \in J\}, \qquad ||u||_{1} = \max\{||u||_{\infty}, ||u'||_{\infty}\},$$
 (1.3)

respectively.

Definition 1.1. By a positive solution of BVP (1.1), one means a function y(t) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $y \in PC^1[0, \infty)$ ;
- (ii) y(t) > 0 for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and satisfies boundary condition y(0) = 0, y bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ ;
- (iii) y(t) satisfies each equality of (1.1).

*Definition 1.2.* The set  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be quasi-equicontinuous in [0,c] if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t^*, t^{**} \in (t_{k-1}, t_k] \cap [0,c]$ , and  $|t^* - t^{**}| < \delta$ , then  $|x(t^*) - x(t^{**})| < \varepsilon$ .

Lemma 1.3 (compactness criterion [8]). The set  $\mathcal{F} \subset PC([0,c],R^n)$  is relatively compact if and only if

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is bounded;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-equicontinuous in [0,c].

#### 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let  $g:[0,\infty)\times[0,\in b=0,L^{-1}]$  exist and is continuous. On the other hand, solving (8) is equivalent to finding a fixed point of

$$L^{-1}Ni: PC(I) \longrightarrow PC(I)$$
 (2.1)

with  $i: PC^1(I) \to PC(I)$  the compact inclusion of  $PC^1(I)$  in PC(I). Now, Schauder's fixed point theorem guarantees the existence of at least a fixed point since  $L^{-1}Ni$  is continuous and compact.

Next, prove that every solution u of (8) satisfies

$$\alpha(t) \le u(t) \le \beta(t)$$
 on I. (2.2)

By the definition of p(t,x),  $\infty$ )  $\times$   $[0,\infty)$   $\to$   $[0,\infty)$ . Assume that the following hypothesis hold.

 $(A_1)$  For any constant H > 0, there exists a function  $\psi_H$  continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and positive on  $(0, \infty)$ , and a constant  $\gamma$ ,  $0 \le \gamma < 1$ , with  $g(t, u, v) \ge \psi_H(t)v^{\gamma}$  on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, H]^2$ . (A<sub>2</sub>) There exist functions  $p,r:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  such that

$$g(t, u, v) \le p(t)v + r(t) \quad on [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)^{2},$$

$$P_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} sp(s)ds < \infty, \qquad R_{1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} sr(s)ds < \infty,$$

$$P = \int_{0}^{\infty} p(s)ds < 1, \qquad R = \int_{0}^{\infty} r(s)ds < \infty.$$

$$(2.3)$$

(A<sub>3</sub>)  $b_k \ge 0$ ,  $a_k \ge -1$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \le A < 1$ . Then BVP (1.1) has at least one solution.

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. Let  $e(t) \in \mathbb{C}[0,\infty)$ ,  $e(t) \ge 0$ ,  $b_k \ge 0$ ,  $x \in PC^1[0,\infty) \cap PC^2[0,\infty)$  be such that

$$x''(t) + e(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,b), \ t \neq t_k,$$
  

$$\Delta x'(t_k) = b_k x'(t_k), \tag{2.4}$$

and x(0) = 0, x'(b) = 0. Then

$$\|x'\|_{\infty} \le \int_{0}^{b} e(s)ds.$$
 (2.5)

*Proof.* Since -x''(t) = e(t), x'(b) = 0, then  $x'(t) \ge 0$ . Integrating from t to b we obtain

$$x'(t) = \int_{t}^{b} e(s)ds - \sum_{t < t_{k} < b} b_{k}x'(t_{k}) \le \int_{t}^{b} e(s)ds \le \int_{0}^{b} e(s)ds.$$
 (2.6)

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $g:[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  and conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_3)$  hold. Let n be a positive integer and consider the boundary value problem

$$y'' + g(t, y, y') = 0, \quad 0 < t < n, \ t \neq t_k,$$
  
 $\Delta y'(t_k) = b_k y'(t_k), \qquad \Delta y(t_k) = a_k y(t_k),$   
 $y(0) = 0, \qquad y'(n) = 0.$  (2.2<sub>n</sub>)

Then  $(2.2_n)$  has at least one positive solution  $y_n \in PC^1[0,n]$  and there is a constant M > 0

#### 4 Existence of positive solution for IBVP on infinity intervals

independent of n such that

$$\left( (1 - \gamma) \int_{t}^{n} \prod_{t < t_k < s} (1 + b_k)^{\gamma - 1} \psi_M(s) ds \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} \le y'_n(t) \le M, \quad t \in [0, n], \tag{2.7}$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \prod_{s < t_k < t} (1 + a_k) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_{s}^{n} \prod_{s < t_k < \tau} (1 + b_k)^{\gamma - 1} \psi_M(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds \le y_n(t) \le M, \quad t \in [0, n].$$
(2.8)

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  be fixed and  $Y = X = PC^1[0,n]$ . We first show that

$$y'' + g^*(t, y, y') = 0, \quad 0 < t < n, \ t \neq t_k,$$

$$\Delta y'(t_k) = b_k y'(t_k), \qquad \Delta y(t_k) = a_k y(t_k),$$

$$y(0) = 0, \qquad y'(n) = 0$$
(2.9)

has at least one solution, here

$$g^{*}(t, y, v) = \begin{cases} g(t, y, v), & y \ge 0, v \ge 0, \\ g(t, y, 0), & y \ge 0, v < 0, \\ g(t, 0, v), & y < 0, v \ge 0, \\ g(t, 0, 0), & y < 0, v < 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.10)

Define a linear operator  $L_n : D(L_n) \subset X \to Y$  by setting

$$D(L_n) = \{ x \in PC^2[0, n] : x(0) = x'(n) = 0 \},$$
(2.11)

and for  $y \in D(L_n)$ :  $L_n y = (-y'', \Delta y'(t_k), \Delta y(t_k))$ . We also define a nonlinear mapping  $F: X \to Y$  by setting

$$(Fy)(t) = (g^*(t, y(t), y'(t)), b_k y'(t_k), a_k y(t_k)).$$
(2.12)

From the assumption of g, we see that F is a bounded mapping from X to Y. Next, it is easy to see that  $L_n : D(L_n) \to Y$  is one-to-one mapping. Moreover, it follows easily using Lemma 1.3 that  $(L_n)^{-1}F : X \to X$  is a compact mapping.

We note that  $y \in PC^1[0,n]$  is a solution of (2.9) if and only if y is a fixed point of the equation

$$y = (L_n)^{-1} F y. (2.13)$$

We apply the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem to obtain the existence of a solution for  $y = (L_n)^{-1} F y$ .

To do this, it suffices to verify that the set of all possible solutions of the family of equations

$$y'' + \lambda g^*(t, y, y') = 0, \quad 0 < t < n, \ t \neq t_k,$$
  
 $\Delta y'(t_k) = \lambda b_k y'(t_k), \quad \Delta y(t_k) = \lambda a_k y(t_k),$   
 $y(0) = y'(n) = 0$  (2.5<sub>\delta</sub>)

is a prior bounded in  $PC^1[0,n]$  by a constant independent of  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

Let  $y \in PC^1[0,n]$  be any solutions of  $(2.5_{\lambda})$ , then  $y' \ge 0$  and  $y \ge 0$  on [0,n]. Applying Lemma 2.2 and using  $(2.5_{\lambda})$ , we can get that

$$y'(t) \le \int_0^n g^*(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds \le \int_0^n p(s)y'(s) ds + \int_0^n r(s) ds \le P \|y'\|_{\infty} + R, \qquad (2.14)$$

so

$$\|y'\|_{\infty} \le \frac{R}{1-P} := M_1.$$
 (2.15)

From  $(2.5_{\lambda})$  and  $b_k \ge 0$ , we have

$$y'(t) = \lambda \int_{t}^{n} g^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds - \lambda \sum_{t \le t, s = n} b_{k} y'(t_{k}) \le \int_{t}^{n} g^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds.$$
 (2.16)

Integrate (2.16) from 0 to t to obtain

$$y(t) \leq t \int_{t}^{n} g^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds + \int_{0}^{t} sg^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds + \lambda \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} \Delta y(t_{k})$$

$$\leq \int_{t}^{n} sg^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds + \int_{0}^{t} sg^{*}(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds + \lambda \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} a_{k} y(t_{k})$$

$$\leq \|y'\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{n} sp(s) ds + \int_{0}^{n} sr(s) ds + \|y\|_{\infty} \sum_{0 < t_{k} < t} |a_{k}|$$

$$\leq P_{1} M_{1} + R_{1} + A \|y\|_{\infty}.$$

$$(2.17)$$

Hence we have

$$\|y\|_{\infty} \le \frac{PM_1 + R_1}{1 - A} := M_2.$$
 (2.18)

Let

$$M = \max\{M_1, M_2\},\tag{2.19}$$

it follows that

$$||y||_1 \le M. \tag{2.20}$$

Note that M is independent of  $\lambda$ .

Therefore (2.20) implies that (2.5 $_{\lambda}$ ) has a solution  $y_n$  with  $||y_n||_1 \le M$ . In fact,

$$0 \le y_n(t) \le M, \quad 0 \le y'_n(t) \le M \quad \text{for } t \in [0, n],$$
 (2.21)

and  $y_n$  satisfies  $(2.2_n)$ .

Finally, it is easy to see from (2.19) that M is independent of  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Now  $(A_1)$  guarantees the existence of a function  $\psi_M(t)$  continuous on  $[0,\infty)$  and positive on  $(0,\infty)$ , a constant  $\gamma \in [0,1)$ , with  $g(t,y_n(t),y_n'(t)) \ge \psi_M(t)(y_n'(t))^{\gamma}$  for  $(t,y_n(t),y_n'(t)) \in [0,n] \times [0,M]^2$ .

From  $(2.2_n)$  we have

$$-y_n''(t) \ge \psi_M(t) \left(y_n'(t)\right)^{\gamma},\tag{2.22}$$

integrate the above inequality from t to n to obtain

$$y'_n(t) \ge \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_t^n \prod_{t \le t_k \le s} (1 + b_k)^{\gamma - 1} \psi_M(s) ds \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)}, \quad t \in [0, n],$$
 (2.23)

and so

$$y_{n}(t) \geq \int_{0}^{t} \prod_{s < t_{k} < t} (1 + a_{k}) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_{s}^{n} \prod_{s < t_{k} < \tau} (1 + b_{k})^{\gamma - 1} \psi_{M}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds, \quad t \in [0, n],$$

$$(2.24)$$

which completes the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* From  $(2.2_n)$  and (2.21), we know that

$$0 \le -y_n'' \le \phi(t), \quad t \in [0, n],$$
 (2.25)

where  $\phi(t) := p(t)M + r(t)$ , and M is given by (2.19). In addition, we have by  $b_k \ge 0$  that

$$y'_n(t) \le \int_t^n \phi(s)ds \le \int_t^\infty \phi(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, n].$$
 (2.26)

To show that BVP (1.1) has a solution, we will apply the diagonalization argument. Let

$$u_n(t) = \begin{cases} y_n(t), & t \in [0, n], \\ y_n(n), & t \in [n, \infty). \end{cases}$$
 (2.27)

Notice that  $u_n \in PC^1[0, \infty)$  with

$$0 \le u_n(t) \le M, \quad 0 \le u'_n(t) \le M \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty).$$
 (2.28)

From the definition of  $u_n$ , we get for  $s_1, s_2 \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$  that

$$|u'_n(s_1) - u'_n(s_2)| \le \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \phi(s) ds \right|.$$
 (2.29)

In addition

$$u'_n(t) \le \int_t^\infty \phi(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty),$$
 (2.30)

$$u_{n}(t) \geq \int_{0}^{t} \prod_{s < t_{k} < t} (1 + a_{k}) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_{s}^{n} \prod_{s < t_{k} < \tau} (1 + b_{k})^{\gamma - 1} \psi_{M}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds, \quad t \in [0, n].$$

$$(2.31)$$

In particular

$$u_n(t) \ge \int_0^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} (1 + a_k) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_s^1 \prod_{s < t_k < \tau} (1 + b_k)^{\gamma - 1} \psi_M(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds$$

$$\equiv a_1(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.32}$$

Lemma 1.3 guarantees the existence of a subsequence  $N_1$  of  $\mathbb{N}^+$  and a function  $z_1 \in$  $PC^{1}[0,1]$  with  $u_n^{(j)}$  converging uniformly on [0,1] to  $z_1^{(j)}$  as  $n \to \infty$  through  $N_1$ , here j = 0, 1. Also from (2.32),  $z_1(t) \ge a_1(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  (in particular,  $z_1 > 0$  on (0, 1]). Let  $N_1^+ = N_1 \setminus \{1\}$ , notice from (2.31) that

$$u_n(t) \ge \int_0^t \prod_{s < t_k < t} (1 + a_k) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_s^2 \prod_{s < t_k < \tau} (1 + b_k)^{\gamma - 1} \psi_M(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds$$

$$\equiv a_2(t), \quad t \in [0, 2]. \tag{2.33}$$

Lemma 1.3 guarantees the existence of a subsequence  $N_2$  of  $N_1^+$  and a function  $z_2 \in$  $PC^{1}[0,2]$  with  $u_{n}^{(j)}$  converging uniformly on [0,2] to  $z_{2}^{(j)}$  as  $n \to \infty$  through  $N_{2}$ , here j = 0, 1. Also from (2.41),  $z_2(t) \ge a_2(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 2]$  (in particular,  $z_2 > 0$  on (0,2]). Note that  $z_2 = z_1$  on [0, 1], since  $N_2 \subset N_1^+$ . Let  $N_2^+ = N_2 \setminus \{2\}$ , proceed inductively to obtain for k = 1, 2, ..., a subsequence  $N_k$  of  $N_{k-1}^+$  and a function  $z_k \in PC^1[0, k]$  with  $u_n^{(j)}$  converging uniformly on [0,k] to  $z_k^{(j)}$  as  $n \to \infty$  through  $N_k$ , here j = 0,1. Also

$$z_{k}(t) \geq a_{k}(t)$$

$$\equiv \int_{0}^{t} \prod_{s < t_{k} < t} (1 + a_{k}) \left( (1 - \gamma) \int_{s}^{k} \prod_{s < t_{k} < \tau} (1 + b_{k})^{\gamma - 1} \psi_{M}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/(1 - \gamma)} ds, \quad t \in [0, k]$$
(2.34)

(so in particular,  $z_k > 0$  on (0, k]). Note that  $z_k = z_{k-1}$  on [0, k-1].

Define a function y as follows: fix  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and let  $k \in N^+$  with t < k. Define  $y(t) = z_k(t)$ . Note that y is well defined and  $y(t) = z_k(t) > 0$ , we can do this for each  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and so  $y \in PC^1[0, \infty)$ . In addition,  $0 \le y(t) \le M$ ,  $0 \le y'(t) \le M$ , and

$$y'(t) \le \int_{t}^{\infty} \phi(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty).$$
 (2.35)

Fix  $x \in [0, \infty)$  and choose  $k \ge x$ ,  $k \in N^+$ . Then for each  $n \in N_k^+ = N_k \setminus \{k\}$ , we have

$$y_{n}(x) = y'_{n}(k)x + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{s}^{k} g(\tau, y_{n}(\tau), y'_{n}(\tau)) d\tau ds - \sum_{0 < t_{i} < k} b_{i} y'_{n}(t_{i}) x$$

$$+ \sum_{0 < t_{i} \le x} b_{i} y'_{n}(t_{i}) (x - t_{i}) + \sum_{0 < t_{i} < x} a_{i} y_{n}(t_{i}).$$
(2.36)

Let  $n \to \infty$  through  $N_k^+$  to obtain

$$z_{k}(x) = z'_{k}(k)x + \int_{0}^{x} \int_{s}^{k} g(\tau, z_{k}(\tau), z'_{k}(\tau)) d\tau ds$$

$$- \sum_{0 \le t_{i} \le k} b_{i} z'_{k}(t_{i})x + \sum_{0 \le t_{i} \le x} b_{i} z'_{k}(t_{i}) (x - t_{i}) + \sum_{0 \le t_{i} \le x} a_{i} z_{k}(t_{i}).$$
(2.37)

Thus

$$y(x) = y'(k)x + \int_0^x \int_s^k g(\tau, y(\tau), y'(\tau)) d\tau ds - \sum_{0 \le t_i \le k} b_i y'(t_i)x + \sum_{0 \le t_i \le x} b_i y'(t_i)(x - t_i) + \sum_{0 \le t_i \le x} a_i y(t_i).$$
(2.38)

Consequently  $y \in PC^2(0, \infty)$  with

$$y''(t) + g(t, y(t), y'(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty, \ t \neq t_k,$$
  

$$\Delta y'(t_k) = b_k y'(t_k), \qquad \Delta y(t_k) = a_k y(t_k).$$
(2.39)

Thus *y* is a solution of (1.1) with y > 0 on  $(0, \infty)$ . The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $g:[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ . Assume that  $(A_1),(A_3)$  of Theorem 2.1 and the following condition hold.

(B<sub>1</sub>)  $g(t,x,v) \le q(t)w(\max\{x,v\})$  on  $[0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)$  with w > 0 continuous and nondecreasing on  $[0,\infty)$ ,  $q(t) \in \mathbb{C}[0,\infty)$ . (B<sub>2</sub>)

$$Q = \int_0^\infty q(s)ds < \infty, \qquad Q_1 = \int_0^\infty sq(s)ds < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{c}{w(c)} > T = \max\left\{\frac{Q_1}{1-A}, Q\right\}.$$
(2.40)

Then BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution.

*Proof.* Choose M > 0 with

$$\frac{M}{w(M)} > T. \tag{2.41}$$

We first show that (2.9) has at least one solution. To the end, we consider the operator

$$y = \lambda (L_n)^{-1} F y, \quad \lambda \in (0,1),$$
 (2.42)

which is equivalent to  $(2.5_{\lambda})$ . Let  $y \in PC^1[0,n]$  be any solution of  $(2.5_{\lambda})$ , then  $y \ge 0$ ,  $y' \ge 0$  on [0,n]. From  $(B_1)$  we have

$$-y''(t) \le q(t)w(||y||_1) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, n]. \tag{2.43}$$

Integrate (2.43) from t to n to obtain

$$y'(t) \le w(\|y\|_1) \int_t^n q(s)ds - \sum_{t \le t_k \le n} b_k y'(t_k) \le w(\|y\|_1) \int_t^n q(s)ds$$
 (2.44)

so

$$y'(t) \le Qw(||y||_1).$$
 (2.45)

Integrate (2.44) from 0 to t to obtain

$$y(t) \le w(\|y\|_1) \int_0^t \int_s^n q(\tau)d\tau \, ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} a_k y(t_k) \le w(\|y\|_1) \int_0^t sq(s)ds + A\|y\|_{\infty}.$$
(2.46)

Combine (2.45) and (2.46) to find

$$||y||_1 \le Tw(||y||_1).$$
 (2.47)

Now (2.41) together with (2.47) implies  $||y||_1 \neq M$ . Set

$$U = \{ u \in PC^{1}[0, n] : ||u||_{1} < M \}, \qquad K = E = PC^{1}[0, n].$$
 (2.48)

Now the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type [7] guarantees that  $(L_n)^{-1}N$  has a fixed point, that is, (2.9) has a solution  $y_n \in PC^1[0,n]$ , and

$$0 \le y_n \le M, \qquad 0 \le y_n' \le M.$$
 (2.49)

The other proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, here we omit it.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Examples

Example 3.1. Consider the boundary value problem

$$y'' + \eta(y')^{\beta} e^{-t} + \mu e^{-t} = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$\Delta y'(t_k) = \frac{1}{k} y'(t_k), \quad \Delta y(t_k) = \frac{2}{3k(k+1)} y(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y \text{ bounded on } [0, \infty)$$
(3.1)

with  $\beta \in [0,1)$ ,  $\eta \in (0,1)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ . Set  $g(t,u,v) = \eta e^{-t}(y')^{\beta} + \mu e^{-t}$ . Take  $p(t) = \eta e^{-t}$ ,  $r(t) = \mu e^{-t}$ , then g satisfies  $(A_2)$  and  $P = \eta < 1$ . For each H > 0, take  $\psi_H(t) = \eta e^{-t}$  and  $\gamma = \beta$ , then  $(A_1)$  is satisfied. Furthermore,

$$b_k = \frac{1}{k} > 0,$$
  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3k(k+1)} = \frac{2}{3} < 1.$  (3.2)

Therefore, Theorem 2.1 now guarantees that (3.1) has a solution  $y \in PC^1[0, \infty)$  with y > 0 on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Example 3.2. Consider the boundary value problem

$$y'' + (y^{\alpha} + (y')^{\beta})e^{-t} + \mu e^{-t} = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$\Delta y'(t_k) = y'(t_k), \quad \Delta y(t_k) = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}y(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y \text{ bounded on } [0, \infty)$$
(3.3)

with  $\alpha \in [0,1)$ ,  $\beta \in [0,1)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ . We will apply Theorem 2.4 with  $q(t) = e^{-t}$ ,  $w(s) = s^{\alpha} + s^{\beta} + \mu$ . Clearly (A<sub>1</sub>), (A<sub>3</sub>), and (B<sub>1</sub>) hold. Also,

$$\sup_{c \ge 0} \frac{c}{w(c)} = \sup_{c \ge 0} \frac{c}{c^{\alpha} + c^{\beta} + \mu} = \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

so  $(B_2)$  is true. Theorem 2.4 shows that (3.3) has a solution  $y \in PC^1[0, \infty)$  with y > 0 on  $(0, \infty)$ .

*Remark 3.3.* We cannot apply the results of [12] even if (3.3) has no impulses, since [12, condition (2.3) of Theorem 2.1] is not satisfied.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the NNSF of China (no. 10571050), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education, and the Key project of Education Department of Hunan Province.

#### References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Boundary value problems of nonsingular type on the semi-infinite interval, Tohoku Mathematical Journal 51 (1999), no. 3, 391–397.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Infinite Interval Problems for Differential, Difference and Integral Equations, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Infinite interval problems arising in non-linear mechanics and non-Newtonian fluid flows, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 38 (2003), no. 9, 1369–1376.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Infinite interval problems modeling phenomena which arise in the theory of plasma and electrical potential theory, Studies in Applied Mathematics 111 (2003), no. 3, 339–358.
- An infinite interval problem arising in circularly symmetric deformations of shallow membrane caps, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 39 (2004), no. 5, 779–784.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_\_, A multiplicity result for second order impulsive differential equations via the Leggett Williams fixed point theorem, Applied Mathematics and Computation 161 (2005), no. 2, 433-439.
- [7] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and P. J. Y. Wong, Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [8] D. Baĭnov and P. Simeonov, Impulsive Differential Equations: Periodic Solutions and Applications, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 66, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993.
- [9] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, Impulsive functional differential equations with variable times, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 47 (2004), no. 10-11, 1659–1665.
- [10] M. Benchohra, S. K. Ntouyas, and A. Ouahab, Existence results for second order boundary value problem of impulsive dynamic equations on time scales, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 296 (2004), no. 1, 65-73.
- [11] A. Constantin, On an infinite interval boundary value problem, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Serie Quarta 176 (1999), 379-394.
- [12] R. Ma, Existence of positive solutions for second-order boundary value problems on infinity intervals, Applied Mathematics Letters 16 (2003), no. 1, 33–39.
- [13] J. Mawhin, Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1979.
- [14] J. J. Nieto, Impulsive resonance periodic problems of first order, Applied Mathematics Letters 15 (2002), no. 4, 489–493.
- [15] B. Yan, Boundary value problems on the half-line with impulses and infinite delay, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 259 (2001), no. 1, 94-114.

Jianli Li: Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China E-mail address: ljianli@sina.com

Jianhua Shen: Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China; Department of Mathematics, College of Huaihua, Huaihua, Hunan 418008, China E-mail address: jhshen2ca@yahoo.com

### **Mathematical Problems in Engineering**

## **Special Issue on**

# Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### **Call for Papers**

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

#### **Guest Editors**

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira,** Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

**Elbert E. Neher Macau,** Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; elbert@lac.inpe.br

**Celso Grebogi,** Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk