

SEMITILINEAR PROBLEMS WITH BOUNDED NONLINEAR TERM

MARTIN SCHECHTER

Received 17 August 2004

We solve boundary value problems for elliptic semilinear equations in which no asymptotic behavior is prescribed for the nonlinear term.

1. Introduction

Many authors (beginning with Landesman and Lazer [1]) have studied resonance problems for semilinear elliptic partial differential equations of the form

$$-\Delta u - \lambda_\ell u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , λ_ℓ is an eigenvalue of the linear problem

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

and $f(x, t)$ is a bounded Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x, t) \rightarrow f_\pm(x) \quad \text{a.e. as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Sufficient conditions were given on the functions f_\pm to guarantee the existence of a solution of (1.1). (Some of the references are listed in the bibliography. They mention other authors as well.)

In the present paper, we consider the situation in which (1.3) does not hold. In fact, we do not require any knowledge of the asymptotic behavior of $f(x, t)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. As an example, we have the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that*

$$\sup_{v \in E(\lambda_\ell)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v) dx < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where $E(\lambda_\ell)$ is the eigenspace of λ_ℓ and

$$F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds. \quad (1.5)$$

2 Semilinear problems with bounded nonlinear term

Assume also that if there is a sequence $\{u_k\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\ell u_k\| &\rightarrow \infty, & \|(I - P_\ell)u_k\| &\leq C, \\ 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx &\rightarrow b_0, \\ f(x, u_k) &\rightharpoonup f(x) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $f(x) \perp E(\lambda_\ell)$ and P_ℓ is the projection onto $E(\lambda_\ell)$, then

$$b_0 \leq (f, u_1) - B_0, \tag{1.7}$$

where $B_0 = \int_{\Omega} W_0(x) dx$, $W_0(x) = \sup_t [(\lambda_{\ell-1} - \lambda_\ell)t^2 - 2F(x, t)]$, and u_1 is the unique solution of

$$-\Delta u - \lambda_\ell u = f, \quad u \perp E(\lambda_\ell). \tag{1.8}$$

Then (1.1) has at least one solution. In particular, the conclusion holds if there is no sequence satisfying (1.6).

A similar result holds if (1.4) is replaced by

$$\inf_{v \in E(\lambda_\ell)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v) dx > -\infty. \tag{1.9}$$

In proving these results we will make use of the following theorem [2].

THEOREM 1.2. *Let N be a closed subspace of a Hilbert space H and let $M = N^\perp$. Assume that at least one of the subspaces M, N is finite dimensional. Let G be a C^1 -functional on H such that*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &:= \inf_{w \in M} \sup_{v \in N} G(v + w) < \infty, \\ m_0 &:= \sup_{v \in N} \inf_{w \in M} G(v + w) > -\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Then there are a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset H$ such that

$$m_0 \leq c \leq m_1, \quad G(u_k) \rightarrow c, \quad G'(u_k) \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.11}$$

2. The main theorem

We now state our basic result. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and let A be a selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ such that the following hold.

(A)

$$\sigma_e(A) \subset (0, \infty). \tag{2.1}$$

(B) There is a function $V(x) > 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that multiplication by V is a compact operator from $D := D(|A|^{1/2})$ to $L^1(\Omega)$.

(C) If $u \in N(A) \setminus \{0\}$, then $u \neq 0$ a.e. in Ω .

Let $f(x, t)$ be a Carathéodory function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying
(D)

$$|f(x, t)| \leq V(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\underline{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda})$ be the largest (smallest) negative (positive) point in $\sigma(A)$, and define

$$W_0(x) := \sup_t [\underline{\lambda}t^2 - 2F(x, t)], \quad (2.3)$$

$$W_1(x) := \sup_t [2F(x, t) - \bar{\lambda}t^2], \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that (D) implies

$$-V(x)^2 \underline{\lambda} \leq W_0(x), \quad W_1(x) \leq \frac{V(x)^2}{\bar{\lambda}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We also assume

(E)

$$\sup_{v \in N(A)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v) dx < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

(F) If there is a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset D$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_0 u_k\| &\rightarrow \infty, & \|(I - P_0) u_k\| &\leq \text{const}, \\ 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_k) dx &\rightarrow b_0, & f(x, u_k) &\rightharpoonup f(x) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $f(x) \in R(A)$ and P_0 is the projection of D onto $N(A)$, then $b_0 \leq (f, u_1) - B_0$, where $B_0 = \int_{\Omega} W_0(x) dx$ and u_1 is the unique solution of

$$Au = f, \quad u \in R(A). \quad (2.9)$$

We have the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Under hypotheses (A)–(F), there is at least one solution of*

$$Au = f(x, u), \quad u \in D. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. We begin by letting

$$N' = \oplus_{\lambda < 0} N(A - \lambda), \quad N = N' \oplus N(A), \quad M = N^{\perp} \cap D, \quad M = M' \oplus N(A). \quad (2.11)$$

By hypothesis (A), N' , $N(A)$, and N are finite dimensional, and

$$D = M \oplus N' = M' \oplus N. \quad (2.12)$$

4 Semilinear problems with bounded nonlinear term

It is easily verified that the functional

$$G(u) := (Au, u) - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \quad (2.13)$$

is continuously differentiable on D . We take

$$\|u\|_D^2 := (|A|u, u) + \|P_0 u\|^2 \quad (2.14)$$

as the norm squared on D . We have

$$(G'(u), v) = 2(Au, v) - 2(f(x, u), v), \quad u, v \in D. \quad (2.15)$$

Consequently (2.10) is equivalent to

$$G'(u) = 0, \quad u \in D. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that

$$(Av, v) \leq \underline{\lambda} \|v\|^2, \quad v \in N', \quad (2.17)$$

$$\bar{\lambda} \|w\|^2 \leq (Aw, w), \quad w \in M'. \quad (2.18)$$

By hypothesis (D), (2.5), and (2.13),

$$G(v) \leq \underline{\lambda} \|v\|^2 + 2\|V\| \cdot \|v\| \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } \|v\| \rightarrow \infty, v \in N'. \quad (2.19)$$

For $w \in M$, we write $w = y + w'$, $y \in N(A)$, $w' \in M'$. Since $|F(x, w) - F(x, y)| \leq V(x)|w'|$ by (D) and (2.5), we have

$$G(w) \geq \bar{\lambda} \|w'\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) dx - 2\|V\| \cdot \|w'\|. \quad (2.20)$$

In view of (E), (2.19) and (2.20) imply

$$\inf_M G > -\infty, \quad \sup_{N'} G < \infty. \quad (2.21)$$

We can now apply Theorem 1.2 to conclude that there is a sequence satisfying (1.11). Let

$$u_k = v_k + w_k + \rho_k y_k, \quad v_k \in N', w_k \in M', y_k \in N(A), \|y_k\| = 1, \rho_k \geq 0. \quad (2.22)$$

We claim that

$$\|u_k\|_D \leq C. \quad (2.23)$$

To see this, note that (1.11) and (2.15) imply

$$(Au_k, h) - (f(x, u_k), h) = o(\|h\|). \quad (2.24)$$

Taking $h = v_k$, we see that $\|v_k\|^2 = O(\|v_k\|)$ in view of (2.17) and (D). Thus $\|v_k\|_D$ is bounded. Similarly, taking $h = w_k$, we see that $\|w_k\|_D \leq C$. Suppose

$$\rho_k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.25)$$

There is a renamed subsequence such that $y_k \rightarrow y$ in $N(A)$. Clearly $\|y\| = 1$. Thus by hypothesis (D), $y \neq 0$ a.e. This means that $\|\rho_k y_k\| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (2.8) holds. Let $u'_k = v_k + w_k \in N(A)^\perp = R(A)$. Then $\|u'_k\|_D \leq C$. Thus there is a renamed subsequence such that $u'_k \rightarrow u_1$ weakly in D . By hypothesis (B), there is a renamed subsequence such that $Vu'_k \rightarrow Vu_1$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $V(x) > 0$, there is another renamed subsequence such that $u'_k \rightarrow u_1$ a.e. in Ω . On the other hand, since $f_k(x) = f(x, u_k(x))$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ by hypothesis (D), there is an $f(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that for a subsequence

$$f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (2.26)$$

Since

$$(Au'_k, h) - (f_k(x), h) = o(\|h\|_D), \quad h \in D, \quad (2.27)$$

we see in the limit that u_1 is a solution of (2.9), and consequently that $f \in R(A)$. Moreover, we see by (2.27) that

$$(A[u'_k - u_1], h) - (f_k - f, h) = o(\|h\|_D), \quad h \in D. \quad (2.28)$$

Write $u_1 = v_1 + w_1$, and take h successively equal to $v_k - v_1$ and $w_k - w_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_k - v_1\|_D^2 &\leq 2\|V[v_k - v_1]\|_1 + o(\|v'_k - v_1\|_D), \\ \|w_k - w_1\|_D^2 &\leq 2\|V[w_k - w_1]\|_1 + o(\|w'_k - w_1\|_D). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Hence $u'_k \rightarrow u_1$ in D . Consequently,

$$(Au_k, u_k) = (Au'_k, u'_k) = (f_k, u'_k) + o(\|u'_k\|) \rightarrow (f, u_1), \quad (2.30)$$

$$2 \int F(x, u_k) dx = (Au_k, u_k) - G(u_k) \rightarrow (f, u_1) - c, \quad (2.31)$$

where $m_0 \leq c \leq m_1$. By (2.3)

$$G(v) \leq (Av, v) - \underline{\lambda}\|v\|^2 + B_0, \quad v \in N'. \quad (2.32)$$

Thus $m_1 \leq B_0$. Consider first the case $m_1 < B_0$. Then (2.31) implies $b_0 = (f, u_1) - c$, and consequently, $m_0 \leq (f, u_1) - b_0 \leq m_1 < B_0$. Thus $b_0 > (f, u_1) - B_0$, contradicting (1.7). This shows that the assumption (2.25) is not possible. Consequently (2.23) holds, and we have a renamed subsequence such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in D and a.e. in Ω . It now follows from (2.27) that

$$(Au, h) = (f(x, u), h), \quad h \in D, \quad (2.33)$$

6 Semilinear problems with bounded nonlinear term

showing that (2.10) indeed has a solution. Assume now that $m_1 = B_0$. Let v_k be a maximizing sequence in N' such that $G(v_k) \rightarrow m_1$. By (2.19), $\|v_k\|_D \leq C$, and there is a renamed subsequence such that $v_k \rightarrow v_0$ in N' . By continuity $G(v_k) \rightarrow G(v_0)$. Hence $G(v_0) = m_1 = B_0$. Thus

$$\underline{\lambda} \|v_0\|^2 \leq 2 \int F(x, v_0) dx + B_0 = (Av_0, v_0) \leq \underline{\lambda} \|v\|^2. \quad (2.34)$$

Consequently, $(Av_0, v_0) = \underline{\lambda} \|v_0\|^2$ and $Av_0 = \underline{\lambda} v_0$. We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} [2F(x, v_0) - \underline{\lambda} v_0^2 + W_0(x)] dx = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

In view of (2.3), the integrand is nonnegative. Hence

$$2F(x, v_0) \equiv \underline{\lambda} v_0^2 - W_0(x). \quad (2.36)$$

Let

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} [2F(x, u) - \underline{\lambda} u^2] dx. \quad (2.37)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \Phi(v_0), \quad u \in D, \\ (\Phi'(u), y) &= 2(f(x, u), h) - 2\underline{\lambda}(u, h). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Thus

$$\Phi'(v_0) = 2f(x, v_0) - 2\underline{\lambda} v_0 \equiv 0. \quad (2.39)$$

This implies

$$Av_0 = \underline{\lambda} v_0 = f(x, v_0), \quad (2.40)$$

and v_0 is a solution of (2.10). This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *In Theorem 2.1, replace hypotheses (E), (F) by (E')*

$$\inf_{v \in N(A)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, v) dx > -\infty, \quad (2.41)$$

(F') if (2.8) hold with $f(x) \in R(A)$, then

$$b_0 \geq (f, u_1) + B_1. \quad (2.42)$$

Then (2.10) has at least one solution.

Proof. We modify the proof of Theorem 2.1. This time we use the second decomposition in (2.12). For $v \in N$ we write $v = v' + v_0$, where $v' \in N'$ and $v_0 \in N(A)$. By (D) and (2.5),

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, v_0) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} F(x, v) dx + \|V\| \cdot \|v'\|. \quad (2.43)$$

Hence

$$G(v) \leq \underline{\lambda} \|v'\|^2 + 2\|V\| \cdot \|v'\| - 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, v_0) dx, \quad v \in N. \quad (2.44)$$

Consequently,

$$m_1 = \sup_N G < \infty. \quad (2.45)$$

On the other hand

$$G(w) \geq \bar{\lambda} \|w\|^2 - 2\|V\| \cdot \|w\|, \quad w \in M', \quad (2.46)$$

so that

$$m_0 = \inf_{M'} G > -\infty. \quad (2.47)$$

It now follows from Theorem 1.2 that there is a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset D$ satisfying (1.11). We now follow the proof of Theorem 2.1 from (2.22) to (2.31). By (2.4),

$$G(w) \geq (Aw, w) = \bar{\lambda} \|w\|^2 - B_1, \quad w \in M', \quad (2.48)$$

where $B_1 = \int_{\Omega} W_1(x) dx$. Thus $m_0 \geq -B_1$. Assume first that $m_0 > -B_1$. Then (1.11) and (2.31) imply

$$-B_1 < m_0 \leq (f, u_1) - b_0, \quad (2.49)$$

contradicting (2.42). Thus (2.25) cannot hold, and we obtain a solution of (2.10) as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. If $m_0 = -B_1$, let $\{w_k\} \subset M'$ be a minimizing sequence such that $w_k \rightarrow w_0$ weakly in D , $Vw_k \rightarrow Vw_0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . By hypothesis (D),

$$\int_{\Omega} [F(x, w_k) - F(x, w_0)] dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 f(x, w_0 + \theta(w_k - w_0)) (w_k - w_0) d\theta dx \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.50)$$

Thus G is weakly lower semicontinuous, and

$$G(w_0) \leq \lim G(w_k) = m_0 - B_1. \quad (2.51)$$

Hence

$$\bar{\lambda} w_0 = f(x, w_0) \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} F(x, w_0) - B_1 \leq \bar{\lambda} \|w_0\|^2, \quad (2.52)$$

8 Semilinear problems with bounded nonlinear term

and we proceed as before to show that

$$Aw_0 = \bar{\lambda}w_0 = f(x, w_0). \quad (2.53)$$

The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] E. M. Landesman and A. C. Lazer, *Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1969/1970), 609–623.
- [2] M. Schechter, *A generalization of the saddle point method with applications*, Ann. Polon. Math. **57** (1992), no. 3, 269–281.

Martin Schechter: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875,
USA

E-mail address: mschecht@math.uci.edu

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru