MULTIPLE NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR BVPs OF FOURTH-ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

JIAN-PING SUN

First, existence criteria for at least three nonnegative solutions to the following boundary value problem of fourth-order difference equation $\Delta^4 x(t-2) = a(t)f(x(t))$, $t \in [2, T]$, $x(0) = x(T+2) = 0$, $\Delta^2 x(0) = \Delta^2 x(T) = 0$ are established by using the well-known Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, and then, for arbitrary positive integer m, existence results for at least $2m-1$ nonnegative solutions are obtained.

1. Introduction

Recently, boundary value problems (BVPs) of difference equations have received considerable attention from many authors, see [1–5, 7–9, 12–19] and the references therein. In particular, Zhang et al. [19] established the existence of positive solution to the fourth-order BVP

$$\Delta^4 x(t-2) = \lambda a(t)f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in N, \ 2 \leq t \leq T,$$
$$x(0) = x(T+2) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$
$$\Delta^2 x(0) = \Delta^2 x(T) = 0$$

by using the method of upper and lower solutions, and then Sun [15] obtained the existence of one positive solution for the following fourth-order BVP:

$$\Delta^4 x(t-2) = a(t)f(x(t)), \quad t \in [2, T],$$
$$x(0) = x(T+2) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$
$$\Delta^2 x(0) = \Delta^2 x(T) = 0$$

under the assumption that f is either superlinear or sublinear, where $T > 2$ is a fixed positive integer, Δ^m denotes the mth forward difference operator with stepsize 1, and $[a, b] = \{a, a+1, \ldots, b-1, b\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ the set of all integers. Our main tool was the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem in cone [6, 10].

In this paper we will continue to consider the BVP (1.2). First, existence criteria for at least three nonnegative solutions to the BVP (1.2) are established by using the well-known Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem [11], and then, for arbitrary positive integer m, existence results for at least $2m - 1$ nonnegative solutions to the BVP (1.2) are obtained.

Throughout this paper, we assume that the following two conditions are satisfied.

(C1) $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous.

(C2) $a : [2, T] \to [0, \infty)$ is not identical zero.

In order to obtain our main results, we need the following concepts and Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem.

Let E be a real Banach space with cone P. A map $\alpha : P \to [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P if α is continuous and

$$\alpha(tx + (1-t)y) \geq t\alpha(x) + (1-t)\alpha(y) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Let a, b be two numbers such that $0 < a < b$ and let α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P. We define the following convex sets:

$$P_a = \{x \in P : \|x\| < a\},$$
$$P(\alpha, a, b) = \{x \in P : a \leq \alpha(x), \|x\| \leq b\}. \tag{1.4}$$

THEOREM 1.1 (Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem). *Let $A : \overline{P_c} \to \overline{P_c}$ be completely continuous and let α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P such that $\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in \overline{P_c}$. Suppose there exist $0 < d < a < b \leq c$ such that*

(i) $\{x \in P(\alpha, a, b) : \alpha(x) > a\} \neq \phi$ *and* $\alpha(Ax) > a$ *for* $x \in P(\alpha, a, b)$;

(ii) $\|Ax\| < d$ *for* $\|x\| \leq d$;

(iii) $\alpha(Ax) > a$ *for* $x \in P(\alpha, a, c)$ *with* $\|Ax\| > b$.

Then A has at least three fixed points x_1, x_2, x_3 in $\overline{P_c}$ satisfying

$$\|x_1\| < d, \quad a < \alpha(x_2), \quad \|x_3\| > d, \quad \alpha(x_3) < a. \tag{1.5}$$

2. Main results

For convenience, we denote

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{T} \begin{cases} (t-1)(T+1-s), & 1 \leq t \leq s \leq T, \\ (s-1)(T+1-t), & 2 \leq s \leq t \leq T+1, \end{cases}$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \frac{1}{T+2} \begin{cases} t(T+2-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T+1, \\ s(T+2-t), & 1 \leq s \leq t \leq T+2, \end{cases}$$

$$D = \max_{t \in [0, T+2]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v),$$

$$C = \min_{t \in [2, T]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v).$$

$$(2.1)$$

It is easily seen from the expression of $G_2(t,s)$ that

$$G_2(t,s) \leq G_2(s,s), \quad (t,s) \in [0, T+2] \times [1, T+1],$$

$$G_2(t,s) \geq \frac{1}{T+1} G_2(s,s), \quad (t,s) \in [1, T+1] \times [1, T+1].$$

$$(2.2)$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Assume that there exist numbers d, a, and c with $0 < d < a < (T+1)a < c$ such that*

$$f(x) < \frac{d}{D}, \quad x \in [0, d], \tag{2.3}$$

$$f(x) > \frac{a}{C}, \quad x \in [a, (T+1)a], \tag{2.4}$$

$$f(x) < \frac{c}{D}, \quad x \in [0, c]. \tag{2.5}$$

Then the BVP (1.2) has at least three nonnegative solutions.

Proof. Let the Banach space $E = \{x : [0, T+2] \to R\}$ be equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max_{t \in [0, T+2]} |x(t)|. \tag{2.6}$$

We define

$$P = \{x \in E : x(t) \geq 0,\ t \in [0, T+2]\}, \tag{2.7}$$

then it is obvious that P is a cone in E.

For $x \in P$, we define

$$\alpha(x) = \min_{t \in [2, T]} x(t),$$

$$(Ax)(t) = \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v)), \quad t \in [0, T+2]. \tag{2.8}$$

It is easy to check that α is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P with $\alpha(x) \leq \|x\|$ for $x \in P$ and that $A : P \to P$ is completely continuous and fixed points of A are solutions of the BVP (1.2).

We first assert that if there exists a positive number r such that $f(x) < r/D$ for $x \in [0, r]$, then $A : \overline{P_r} \to P_r$.

Indeed, if $x \in \overline{P_r}$, then for $t \in [0, T+2]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(Ax)(t) &= \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v)) \\
&< \frac{r}{D} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) \\
&\leq \frac{r}{D} \max_{t \in [0, T+2]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) = r.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}
$$

Thus, $\|Ax\| < r$, that is, $Ax \in P_r$.

Hence, we have shown that if (2.3) and (2.5) hold, then A maps $\overline{P_d}$ into P_d and $\overline{P_c}$ into P_c.

Next, we assert that $\{x \in P(\alpha, a, (T+1)a) : \alpha(x) > a\} \neq \phi$ and $\alpha(Ax) > a$ for all $x \in P(\alpha, a, (T+1)a)$.

In fact, the constant function

$$
\frac{(T+2)a}{2} \in \{x \in P(\alpha, a, (T+1)a) : \alpha(x) > a\}.
\tag{2.10}
$$

Moreover, for $x \in P(\alpha, a, (T+1)a)$, we have

$$
(T+1)a \geq \|x\| \geq x(t) \geq \min_{t \in [2,T]} x(t) = \alpha(x) \geq a
\tag{2.11}
$$

for all $t \in [2, T]$. Thus, in view of (2.4), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(Ax) &= \min_{t \in [2,T]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v)) \\
&> \frac{a}{C} \min_{t \in [2,T]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) = a
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

as required.

Finally, we assert that if $x \in P(\alpha, a, c)$ and $\|Ax\| > (T+1)a$, then $\alpha(Ax) > a$.

To see this, suppose $x \in P(\alpha, a, c)$ and $\|Ax\| > (T+1)a$, then in view of (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(Ax) &= \min_{t \in [2,T]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{T+1} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(s,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{T+1} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v) a(v) f(x(v))
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

for $t \in [0, T + 2]$. Thus

$$
\alpha(Ax) \geq \frac{1}{T+1} \max_{t \in [0,T+2]} \sum_{s=1}^{T+1} G_2(t,s) \sum_{v=2}^{T} G_1(s,v)a(v)f(x(v))
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{T+1} \|Ax\| > \frac{1}{T+1}(T+1)a = a. \tag{2.14}
$$

To sum up, all the hypotheses of the Leggett-Williams theorem are satisfied. Hence A has at least three fixed points, that is, the BVP (1.2) has at least three nonnegative solutions u, v, and w such that

$$
\|u\| < d, \quad a < \min_{t \in [2,T]} v(t), \quad \|w\| > d,
$$

$$
\min_{t \in [2,T]} w(t) < a. \tag{2.15}
$$

The proof is complete. □

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Assume that there exist numbers d_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and a_h ($1 \leq h \leq m - 1$) with $0 < d_1 < a_1 < (T+1)a_1 < d_2 < a_2 < (T+1)a_2 < \cdots < d_{m-1} < a_{m-1} < (T+1)a_{m-1} < d_m$ such that*

$$
f(x) < \frac{d_j}{D}, \quad x \in [0, d_j], \; 1 \leq j \leq m, \tag{2.16}
$$

$$
f(x) > \frac{a_h}{C}, \quad x \in [a_h, (T+1)a_h], \; 1 \leq h \leq m - 1. \tag{2.17}
$$

Then, the BVP (1.2) has at least $2m - 1$ nonnegative solutions in $\overline{P_{d_m}}$.

Proof. We prove this conclusion by induction.

First, for $m = 1$, we know from (2.16) that $A : \overline{P_{d_1}} \to P_{d_1} \subset \overline{P_{d_1}}$, then, it follows from Schauder fixed point theorem that the BVP (1.2) has at least one nonnegative solution in $\overline{P_{d_1}}$.

Next, we assume that this conclusion holds for $m = k$. In order to prove that this conclusion also holds for $m = k + 1$, we suppose that there exist numbers d_j ($1 \leq j \leq k + 1$) and a_h ($1 \leq h \leq k$) with $0 < d_1 < a_1 < (T+1)a_1 < d_2 < a_2 < (T+1)a_2 < \cdots < d_k < a_k < (T+1)a_k < d_{k+1}$ such that

$$
f(x) < \frac{d_j}{D}, \quad x \in [0, d_j], \; 1 \leq j \leq k + 1,
$$

$$
f(x) > \frac{a_h}{C}, \quad x \in [a_h, (T+1)a_h], \; 1 \leq h \leq k. \tag{2.18}
$$

By the assumption, (2.18), we know that the BVP (1.2) has at least $2k - 1$ nonnegative solutions x_i ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2k - 1$) in $\overline{P_{d_k}}$. At the same time, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and (2.18) that the BVP (1.2) has at least three nonnegative solutions u, v, and w in $\overline{P_{d_{k+1}}}$

such that

$$\|u\| < d_k, \quad a_k < \min_{t \in [2,T]} v(t), \quad \|w\| > d_k,$$
$$\min_{t \in [2,T]} w(t) < a_k. \tag{2.19}$$

Obviously, v and w are different from x_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2k - 1)$. Therefore, the BVP (1.2) has at least $2k + 1$ nonnegative solutions in $\overline{P_{d_{k+1}}}$, which shows that this conclusion also holds for $m = k + 1$. The proof is complete. $\qquad\square$

Acknowledgment

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 228, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.

[2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and P. J. Y. Wong, *Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of discrete conjugate boundary value problems*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications **38** (1999), no. 3-4, 159–183.

[3] R. P. Agarwal and J. Henderson, *Positive solutions and nonlinear eigenvalue problems for third-order difference equations*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications **36** (1998), no. 10–12, 347–355.

[4] R. P. Agarwal and P. J. Y. Wong, *Advanced Topics in Difference Equations*, Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 404, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.

[5] R. P. Agarwal and F.-H. Wong, *Existence of positive solutions for higher order difference equations*, Applied Mathematics Letters **10** (1997), no. 5, 67–74.

[6] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 5, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1988.

[7] P. Hartman, *Difference equations: disconjugacy, principal solutions, Green's functions, complete monotonicity*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **246** (1978), 1–30.

[8] J. Henderson, *Positive solutions for nonlinear difference equations*, Nonlinear Studies **4** (1997), no. 1, 29–36.

[9] J. Henderson and P. J. Y. Wong, *On multiple solutions of a system of m discrete boundary value problems*, Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik **81** (2001), no. 4, 273–279.

[10] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.

[11] R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, *Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal **28** (1979), no. 4, 673–688.

[12] R.-J. Liang, Y.-H. Zhao, and J.-P. Sun, *A new theorem of existence to fourth-order boundary value problem*, International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications **7** (2003), no. 3, 257–262.

[13] F. Merdivenci, *Green's matrices and positive solutions of a discrete boundary value problem*, Panamerican Mathematical Journal **5** (1995), no. 1, 25–42.

[14] ———, *Two positive solutions of a boundary value problem for difference equations*, Journal of Difference Equations and Applications **1** (1995), no. 3, 263–270.

[15] J.-P. Sun, *Positive solution for BVPs of fourth order difference equations*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **36** (2005), no. 7, 361–370.

[16] J.-P. Sun and W.-T. Li, *Multiple positive solutions of a discrete difference system*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **143** (2003), no. 2-3, 213–221.

[17] P. J. Y. Wong, *Positive solutions of difference equations with two-point right focal boundary conditions*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **224** (1998), no. 1, 34–58.

[18] P. J. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, *Further results on fixed-sign solutions for a system of higher-order difference equations*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications **42** (2001), no. 3–5, 497–514.

[19] B. Zhang, L. Kong, Y. Sun, and X. Deng, *Existence of positive solutions for BVPs of fourth-order difference equations*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **131** (2002), no. 2-3, 583–591.

Jian-Ping Sun: Department of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, China

E-mail address: jpsun@lut.cn

Special Issue on
Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Departamento de Estatística, Matemática Aplicada e Computação, Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil ; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru