

CONVERGENCE AND PERIODICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF DIFFERENCE SYSTEMS

HONGHUA BIN, LIHONG HUANG, AND GUANG ZHANG

Received 16 January 2006; Revised 27 July 2006; Accepted 28 July 2006

A class of difference systems of artificial neural network with two neurons is considered. Using iterative technique, the sufficient conditions for convergence and periodicity of solutions are obtained in several cases.

Copyright © 2006 Honghua Bin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Consider the following difference system of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \lambda x_n + f(y_n), \\ y_{n+1} &= \lambda y_n + f(x_n), \end{aligned} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ is a constant, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \in [a, b], \\ 0, & u \notin [a, b]. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The system (1.1) can be viewed as the discrete version of the following two-neuron network model:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= -\alpha x + \beta f(y([t])), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= -\alpha y + \beta f(x([t])), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function, $\alpha > 0$ represents the internal decay rate,

2 Convergence and periodicity

$\beta > 0$ measures the synaptic strength, $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ denote the activations of the corresponding neurons, respectively, and f is the activation function defined by (1.2).

In recent years, many research efforts have been made in neural modelling and analysis since one of the neural networks models with electronic circuit implementation was proposed by Hopfield in [6]. System (1.3) describes the evolution of a network of two identical neurons with excitatory interactions, which has found interesting applications in image processing of moving objects and has been investigated in [7].

In fact, we can rewrite system (1.3) as the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t)e^{\alpha t}) &= \beta e^{\alpha t} f(y([t])), \\ \frac{d}{dt}(y(t)e^{\alpha t}) &= \beta e^{\alpha t} f(x([t])). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Let n be a positive integer. We integrate (1.4) from n to $t \in [n, n+1]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t)e^{\alpha t} - x(n)e^{\alpha n} &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(e^{\alpha t} - e^{\alpha n}) f(y(n)), \\ y(t)e^{\alpha t} - y(n)e^{\alpha n} &= \frac{\beta}{\alpha}(e^{\alpha t} - e^{\alpha n}) f(x(n)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

For any nonnegative integer k , we denote $x(k)$ and $y(k)$ by x_k and y_k , respectively. Let $t \rightarrow n+1$ in (1.5), then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{e^\alpha} x_n + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^\alpha}\right) f(y_n), \\ y_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{e^\alpha} y_n + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^\alpha}\right) f(x_n), \end{aligned} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.6)$$

In view of system (1.6), we consider the following variables:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(u) &= f\left(\frac{\beta(e^\alpha - 1)}{\alpha e^\alpha} u\right), & a^* &= \frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\beta(e^\alpha - 1)} a, & b^* &= \frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\beta(e^\alpha - 1)} b, \\ x_n^* &= \frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\beta(e^\alpha - 1)} x_n, & y_n^* &= \frac{\alpha e^\alpha}{\beta(e^\alpha - 1)} y_n, & n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

and then drop the $*$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{e^\alpha} x_n + f(y_n), \\ y_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{e^\alpha} y_n + f(x_n), \end{aligned} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.8)$$

Obviously, system (1.8) is a special form of system (1.1) with $\lambda = 1/e^\alpha$. Thus, we may say that (1.1) includes the discrete version of an artificial neural network of two neurons with piecewise constant argument.

On the other hand, the dynamics of the systems (1.1) and (1.3) have been extensively studied in the literature. However, most of the existing results are concentrated on the case where the function f is piecewise linear or a smooth sigmoid, see [2–5] and references therein. Huang and Wu [7] and Meng et al. [9] studied the dynamics of system (1.3). Yuan et al. [10] considered system (1.1), where the signal function f is of the following piecewise constant McCulloch-Pitts nonlinearity: $f(u) = 1$ if $u \leq \sigma$, $f(u) = -1$ if $u > \sigma$, for some constant $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the convergence and periodicity of solutions for system (1.1) as f is of the digital nature (1.2), which describes the input-output relation of a neuron.

For simplicity, let \mathbb{N} denote the set of all nonnegative integers, and define $N(m) = \{m, m+1, m+2, \dots\}$, $N(m, n) = \{m, m+1, \dots, n\}$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \leq n$. Moreover, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} &= \{(x, y); x < a, y < a\}, & I_{12} &= \{(x, y); x < a, y \in [a, b]\}, \\
I_{13} &= \{(x, y); x < a, y > b\}, & I_{21} &= \{(x, y); x \in [a, b], y < a\}, \\
I_{22} &= \{(x, y); x \in [a, b], y \in [a, b]\}, & I_{23} &= \{(x, y); x \in [a, b], y > b\}, \\
I_{31} &= \{(x, y); x > b, y < a\}, & I_{32} &= \{(x, y); x > b, y \in [a, b]\}, \\
I_{33} &= \{(x, y); x > b, y > b\}, & \gamma_k &= \frac{b}{\lambda^k} \quad (b > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}), \\
\Theta &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}] \times (\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}]), & \Lambda &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((\gamma_{k+1}, +\infty) \times (\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}]), \\
\Omega &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ((\gamma_k, \gamma_{k+1}] \times (\gamma_{k+1}, +\infty)).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

Obviously,

$$\bigcup_{i,j=1}^3 I_{ij} = \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma_k = +\infty, \quad \Theta \cup \Lambda \cup \Omega = I_{33}. \tag{1.10}$$

By a solution of the system (1.1), we mean a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of points in \mathbb{R}^2 that is defined for all $n \in N(1)$ and satisfies (1.1) for $n \in N(1)$. Clearly, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, system (1.1) has a unique solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ satisfying the initial condition $(x_n, y_n)|_{n=0} = (x_0, y_0)$.

For the general background of difference equations, one can refer to [1, 8].

This paper is divided into three parts. The main results and their proofs will be given in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

2. Main results

Throughout this paper, $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ denotes the unique solution of the system (1.1) with initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

4 Convergence and periodicity

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If either $b < 0$ or $a > 1/(1 - \lambda)$, then $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Remark 2.2. When $0 \leq a < b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$, solutions of system (1.1) are convergent and periodic. Moreover, if we restrict $a \leq \lambda b$, then the convergence and periodicity are similar to the case as $a < 0 < b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$. Therefore, applying Proposition 2.1, we only consider the case $a < 0 < b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$ in this paper.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If $a < 0 < b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$, then*

- (1) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 1/(1 - \lambda))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in I_{23} \cup \Omega$;
- (2) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (1/(1 - \lambda), 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in I_{32} \cup \Lambda$.

Remark 2.4. By a simple analysis, if $a < 0 < b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$, we can find that the solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ will be in the region $I_{23} \cup I_{32} \cup I_{33}$ eventually. Note that $\Theta \cup \Lambda \cup \Omega = I_{33}$, by Proposition 2.3, it remains to consider the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in \Theta$.

THEOREM 2.5. *For $m \in N(1)$, define*

$$\delta_m = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{m-1}}{1 - \lambda^{m+1}}, \quad \epsilon_m = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{\lambda^m}{1 - \lambda^{m+1}}. \quad (2.1)$$

If $a < 0 < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2) \leq b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$ and $b \in [\delta_m, \epsilon_m]$, then the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value (ϵ_m, ϵ_m) is periodic with minimal period $m + 1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.6. *For $m \in N(2)$, define*

$$\zeta_m = \frac{\lambda^m}{1 - \lambda^{m+1}}, \quad \eta_m = \frac{\lambda^{m-1}}{1 - \lambda^{m+1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

If $a < 0 < b < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2)$ and $b \in [\zeta_m, \eta_m]$, then the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of the system (1.1) with the initial value (η_m, η_m) is periodic with minimal period $m + 1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$.

Remark 2.7. By the formulations in Theorems 2.5-2.6, it is easy to see that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_m = 1/(1 - \lambda)$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \eta_m = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda^2} &= \delta_1 < \epsilon_1 < \delta_2 < \epsilon_2 < \delta_3 < \cdots < \delta_m < \epsilon_m < \cdots, \quad m \in N(1), \\ \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda^2} &= \zeta_1 > \eta_2 > \zeta_2 > \cdots > \eta_{m-1} > \zeta_{m-1} > \eta_m > \cdots, \quad m \in N(2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Corresponding to Theorems 2.5-2.6, we have the following two results.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $x^* = [b - (1 - \lambda^m)/(1 - \lambda)]/\lambda^m$, and $a < 0 < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2) \leq b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$. For $m \in N(1)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{m,l} &= \frac{\lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-2}(1 - \lambda) + (1 - \lambda^m)(1 + \lambda^{(m+2)(l+1)-1})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-1})} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^{m+1}(1 - \lambda^{m+1})(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)l})/(1 - \lambda^{m+2})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-1})}, \\ \mu_{m,l} &= \frac{1 - \lambda^m + \lambda^{m+1}(1 - \lambda^{m+1})(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+1)})/(1 - \lambda^{m+2})}{(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-1})}, \\ \xi_{m,l} &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^m)(1 + \lambda^{m+1} - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-1}) + \lambda^{2m+2}(1 - \lambda^{m+1})(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+1)})/(1 - \lambda^{m+2})}{(1 - \lambda^{(m+2)(l+2)-1})(1 - \lambda)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

- (1) If $b \in [\theta_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l}]$, then there exists a $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$ such that the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) is periodic with minimal period $(m+2)(l+2)-1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$.
- (2) If $b \in [\xi_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l}]$, then there exists a $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) \in (b, x^*] \times (b, x^*]$ such that the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) is periodic with minimal period $(m+2)(l+2)-1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, x^*] \times (b, x^*]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.9. Let $\bar{x}^* = (b - \lambda^m)/\lambda^{m+2}$, and let $a < 0 < b < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2)$. For $m \in N(2)$, $l \in N(1)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{m,l} &= \frac{\lambda^m[1 + \lambda^{(m+1)(l+1)+1} + \lambda^{m+1}(1 - \lambda^{(m+1)l})/(1 - \lambda^{m+1})]}{1 - \lambda^{(m+1)(l+2)+1}}, \\ \tau_{m,l} &= \frac{\lambda^m[1 + \lambda^{m+1}(1 - \lambda^{(m+1)(l+1)})/(1 - \lambda^{m+1})]}{1 - \lambda^{(m+1)(l+2)+1}}, \\ \omega_{m,l} &= \lambda^m + \frac{\lambda^{2m+2}[1 + \lambda^{m+1}(1 - \lambda^{(m+1)(l+1)})/(1 - \lambda^{m+1})]}{1 - \lambda^{(m+1)(l+2)+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

- (1) If $b \in [\rho_{m,l}, \tau_{m,l}]$, then there exists a $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0) \in (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda] \times (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda]$ such that the solution $\{(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ is periodic with minimal period $(m+1)(l+2)+1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda] \times (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \tilde{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \tilde{y}_n) = 0$.
- (2) If $b \in [\omega_{m,l}, \tau_{m,l}]$, then there exists a $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0) \in (b, \bar{x}^*] \times (b, \bar{x}^*]$ such that the solution $\{(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{y}_0)$ is periodic with minimal

6 Convergence and periodicity

period $(m+1)(l+2) + 1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, \bar{x}^*] \times (b, \bar{x}^*]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \tilde{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \tilde{y}_n) = 0$.

Remark 2.10. Obviously, $[\theta_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l}] \subseteq (\epsilon_m, \delta_{m+1})$, $[\xi_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l}] \subseteq (\epsilon_m, \delta_{m+1})$, $[\rho_{m,l}, \tau_{m,l}] \subseteq (\eta_{m+1}, \zeta_m)$, $[\omega_{m,l}, \tau_{m,l}] \subseteq (\eta_{m+1}, \zeta_m)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_m &< \theta_{m,0} < \mu_{m,0} < \theta_{m,1} < \dots < \mu_{m,l} < \theta_{m,l+1} < \mu_{m,l+1} < \dots < \delta_{m+1}, \\ \epsilon_m &< \xi_{m,0} < \mu_{m,0} < \xi_{m,1} < \dots < \xi_{m,l} < \mu_{m,l} < \dots < \delta_{m+1}, \\ \eta_{m+1} &< \rho_{m,0} < \tau_{m,0} < \rho_{m,1} < \tau_{m,1} < \dots < \rho_{m,l} < \tau_{m,l} < \dots < \zeta_m, \\ \eta_{m+1} &< \omega_{m,0} < \tau_{m,0} < \omega_{m,1} < \dots < \omega_{m,l} < \tau_{m,l} < \dots < \zeta_m. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

It is easy to see that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{m,l} = \delta_{m+1}$, and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{m,l} = \zeta_m$.

Furthermore, we have the following results.

PROPOSITION 2.11. Let $a < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2) \leq b < 1/(1 - \lambda)$, and let $b \in (\epsilon_m, \delta_{m+1})$ for $m \in N(1)$, then

(1) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (1/(1 - \lambda), 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, x^*]$;

(2) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 1/(1 - \lambda))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, x^*] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$,

where ϵ_m and δ_{m+1} are given in Theorem 2.5, and x^* is given in Theorem 2.8.

PROPOSITION 2.12. Let $a < 0 < b < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2)$ and let $b \in (\eta_{m+1}, \zeta_m)$ for $m \in N(1)$, then

(1) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (1/(1 - \lambda), 0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda] \times (b, \bar{x}^*]$;

(2) $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 1/(1 - \lambda))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, \bar{x}^*] \times (\bar{x}^*, b/\lambda]$.

Here η_{m+1} and ζ_m are given in Theorem 2.6, and \bar{x}^* is given in Theorem 2.9.

Remark 2.13. It is easy to see that Theorems 2.5–2.9 and Propositions 2.3–2.12 are valid as $a = -\infty$.

3. Proofs of main results

By (1.1) and (1.2), it is easy to see that system (1.1) has an obvious connection with the following linear difference systems:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \lambda x_n + 1, & x_{n+1} &= \lambda x_n + 1, & x_{n+1} &= \lambda x_n, & x_{n+1} &= \lambda x_n, \\ y_{n+1} &= \lambda y_n + 1, & y_{n+1} &= \lambda y_n, & y_{n+1} &= \lambda y_n + 1, & y_{n+1} &= \lambda y_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, we first consider the following relating equations:

$$u_{n+1} = \lambda u_n + 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u_{n+1} = \lambda u_n. \tag{3.3}$$

By induction, it is easy to check that, for $n \in N(n_0)$, the solution of (3.2) with the initial value $u_{n_0} = c$ is given by

$$u_n = \lambda^{n-n_0} c + \frac{1 - \lambda^{n-n_0}}{1 - \lambda}, \quad n \in N(n_0 + 1), \tag{3.4}$$

and the solution of (3.3) with the initial value $u_{n_0} = c$ is given by

$$u_n = \lambda^{n-n_0} c, \quad n \in N(n_0+1). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, by formulations (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 1/(1-\lambda)$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0$, respectively.

By a direct iterative method, we can prove Propositions 2.1–2.12 and the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $a < 0 < b < 1/(1-\lambda)$. Then, for every solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that one of the following results holds:*

- (1) $(x_k, y_k) \in I_{23}$;
- (2) $(x_k, y_k) \in I_{32}$;
- (3) $(x_k, y_k) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1] \cap (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda] \subseteq I_{33}$.

Now we give the proofs of our main results.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. By $\lambda/(1-\lambda^2) \leq b < 1/(1-\lambda)$, it follows that $\lambda b < b < \lambda b + 1 \leq b/\lambda$. If $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1] \subseteq I_{33}$, then

$$x_1 = \lambda x_0 < b, \quad y_1 = \lambda y_0 < b, \quad (x_1, y_1) \in (\lambda b, b] \times (\lambda b, b] \subseteq I_{22}. \quad (3.6)$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_n, y_n) \in I_{22} \quad \text{for } n \in N(1, n_1), \quad (x_{n_1+1}, y_{n_1+1}) \notin I_{22}, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$x_{n_1} = \lambda^{n_1} x_0 + \frac{1 - \lambda^{n_1-1}}{1 - \lambda} \leq b, \quad y_{n_1} = \lambda^{n_1} y_0 + \frac{1 - \lambda^{n_1-1}}{1 - \lambda} \leq b. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $b \in [\delta_m, \epsilon_m)$, we have

$$(x_m, y_m) \in I_{22}, \quad (x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1] \subseteq I_{33}, \quad (3.9)$$

then $n_1 = m$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in N(1, m)$, repeating the above proceeding, we have

$$(x_{(m+1)l}, y_{(m+1)l}) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1], \quad (x_{(m+1)l+k}, y_{(m+1)l+k}) \in I_{22}. \quad (3.10)$$

In terms of (3.2) and (3.3), we define

$$f_1(x) = \lambda x + 1, \quad f_2(x) = \lambda x, \quad (3.11)$$

and for $(x, y) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1]$, we define

$$P_{m+1}(x) = (f_1^{(m)} \circ f_2)(x), \quad R_{m+1}(x, y) = (P_{m+1}(x), P_{m+1}(y)), \quad R_{m+1}^{(n+1)} = R_{m+1} \circ R_{m+1}^{(n)}. \quad (3.12)$$

8 Convergence and periodicity

It follows that

$$R_{m+1}(x, y) = \left(\lambda^{m+1}x + \frac{1-\lambda^m}{1-\lambda}, \lambda^{m+1}y + \frac{1-\lambda^m}{1-\lambda} \right),$$

$$R_{m+1}^{(n)}(x, y) = \left(\lambda^{n(m+1)}x + \frac{1-\lambda^m}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{1-\lambda^{n(m+1)}}{1-\lambda^{m+1}}, \lambda^{n(m+1)}y + \frac{1-\lambda^m}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{1-\lambda^{n(m+1)}}{1-\lambda^{m+1}} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{m+1}^{(n)}(x, y) = (\epsilon_m, \epsilon_m)$.

In fact, (ϵ_m, ϵ_m) is the unique fixed point of $R_{m+1}(x, y)$, and the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value (ϵ_m, ϵ_m) is periodic with minimal period $m+1$. By (3.13), it follows that

$$(x_{(m+1)l}, y_{(m+1)l}) = R_{m+1}^{(l)}(x_0, y_0) \quad \text{for } (x_0, y_0) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1]. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1]$, we can get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. By $0 < b < \lambda/(1 - \lambda^2)$, we have $(b - 1)/\lambda < \lambda b < b < b/\lambda < \lambda b + 1$. If $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda] \subseteq I_{33}$, then $x_1 = \lambda x_0$, $y_1 = \lambda y_0$, $x_2 = \lambda^2 x_0 + 1$, $y_2 = \lambda^2 y_0 + 1$, where $(x_1, y_1) \in I_{22}$, $(x_2, y_2) \in (b, \lambda b + 1] \times (b, \lambda b + 1] \subseteq I_{33}$, and

$$x_n = \lambda^{n-2} x_2 = \lambda^n x_0 + \lambda^{n-2}, \quad y_n = \lambda^{n-2} y_2 = \lambda^n y_0 + \lambda^{n-2}, \quad n \in N(2). \quad (3.15)$$

Since $b \in [\zeta_m, \eta_m]$, we have

$$(x_n, y_n) \in \left(\frac{b}{\lambda}, \lambda b + 1 \right] \times \left(\frac{b}{\lambda}, \lambda b + 1 \right], \quad n \in N(2, m), \quad (3.16)$$

$$(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}) \in \left(b, \frac{b}{\lambda} \right] \times \left(b, \frac{b}{\lambda} \right], \quad (x_{m+2}, y_{m+2}) \in I_{22}, \quad m \in N(2).$$

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, repeating the above proceeding, it follows that

$$(x_{(m+1)l+k}, y_{(m+1)l+k}) \in \left(\frac{b}{\lambda}, \lambda b + 1 \right] \times \left(\frac{b}{\lambda}, \lambda b + 1 \right], \quad k \in N(2, m), \quad (3.17)$$

$$(x_{(m+1)l+1}, y_{(m+1)l+1}) \in I_{22}, \quad (x_{(m+1)l}, y_{(m+1)l}) \in \left(b, \frac{b}{\lambda} \right] \times \left(b, \frac{b}{\lambda} \right].$$

In view of (3.11), for $(x, y) \in (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda]$, we define

$$G_{p+1}(x, y) = \left(f_2^{(p-1)} \circ f_1 \circ f_2(x), f_2^{(p-1)} \circ f_1 \circ f_2(y) \right), \quad (3.18)$$

and set $G_{p+1}^{(n+1)} = G_{p+1} \circ G_{p+1}^{(n)}$. Thus, we have

$$G_{p+1}(x, y) = (\lambda^{p+1}x + \lambda^{p-1}, \lambda^{p+1}y + \lambda^{p-1}),$$

$$G_{p+1}^{(n)}(x, y) = \left(\lambda^{n(p+1)}x + \frac{\lambda^{p-1}(1 - \lambda^{n(p+1)})}{1 - \lambda^{p+1}}, \lambda^{n(p+1)}y + \frac{\lambda^{p-1}(1 - \lambda^{n(p+1)})}{1 - \lambda^{p+1}} \right), \quad (3.19)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{m+1}^{(n)}(x, y) = (\eta_m, \eta_m)$. In view of (3.19), for $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda]$, we have $(x_{(m+1)l}, y_{(m+1)l}) = G_{m+1}^{(l)}(x_0, y_0)$.

Obviously, (η_m, η_m) is the unique fixed point of G_{m+1} and the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value (η_m, η_m) is periodic with minimal period $m+1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (b, b/\lambda] \times (b, b/\lambda]$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. We only prove the first claim, the other is similar.

For $x \in (b, \lambda b + 1]$, we set $P_{m+1}(x) = (f_1^{(m)} \circ f_2)(x)$, where f_1 and f_2 have been given in (3.11), and we have

$$P_{m+1}(x) = \lambda^{m+1}x + \frac{1 - \lambda^m}{1 - \lambda}, \quad m \in N(1). \quad (3.20)$$

Note $b \in (\epsilon_m, \delta_{m+1})$, we have $0 < x < 1/(1 - \lambda)$, $P_m(x) < P_{m+1}(x)$, and $P_{m+1}(x^*) = b$, $P_{m+2}(x^*) = \lambda b + 1$. Moreover $P_{m+1}(x) \in (b, \lambda b + 1]$ for $x \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$, and $P_{m+2}(x) \in (b, \lambda b + 1]$ for $x \in (b, x^*]$.

Since $b \geq \theta_{m,0}$, we have

$$P_{m+1}(\lambda b + 1) \leq x^*, \quad P_{m+1}((x^*, \lambda b + 1]) \subseteq (b, x^*]. \quad (3.21)$$

Furthermore, by $b \in [\theta_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l})$, it follows that

$$P_{m+2}^{(l)} \circ P_{m+1}(\lambda b + 1) \leq x^*, \quad P_{m+2}^{(l+1)} \circ P_{m+1}(x^*) > x^*. \quad (3.22)$$

If the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$, then, for $b \in [\theta_{m,l}, \mu_{m,l})$ and $n \in N(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{m+1+(m+2)n}, y_{m+1+(m+2)n}) &= (P_{m+2}^{(n)} \circ P_{m+1}(x_0), P_{m+2}^{(n)} \circ P_{m+1}(y_0)), \\ (x_{m+1+(m+2)k}, y_{m+1+(m+2)k}) &\in (b, x^*] \times (b, x^*] \quad \text{for } k \in N(0, l), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and $(x_{m+1+(m+2)(l+1)}, y_{m+1+(m+2)(l+1)}) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$.

In view of (3.22), for $(x, y) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$, we denote

$$H(x, y) = (P_{m+2}^{(l+1)} \circ P_{m+1}(x), P_{m+2}^{(l+1)} \circ P_{m+1}(y)), \quad (3.24)$$

and it follows that $(x_{(m+2)(l+2)-1}, y_{(m+2)(l+2)-1}) = H(x_0, y_0)$.

Obviously, there exists a $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^{(n)}(x, y) = (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1], \quad (3.25)$$

10 Convergence and periodicity

where (\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) is the unique fixed point of H . Therefore, the solution $\{(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{y}_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$ is periodic with minimal period $(m + 2)(l + 2) - 1$. Moreover, for any solution $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ of system (1.1) with the initial value $(x_0, y_0) \in (x^*, \lambda b + 1] \times (x^*, \lambda b + 1]$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - \bar{x}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n - \bar{y}_n) = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9 is similar to that of Theorem 2.8 and is omitted.

Acknowledgment

This project is supported by Yuyan Foundation of Jimei University.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods, and Applications*, 2nd ed., Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 228, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] Y. Chen and J. Wu, *Minimal instability and unstable set of a phase-locked periodic orbit in a delayed neural network*, *Physica D* **134** (1999), no. 2, 185–199.
- [3] Y. Chen, J. Wu, and T. Krisztin, *Connecting orbits from synchronous periodic solutions in phase-locked periodic solutions in a delay differential system*, *Journal of Differential Equations* **163** (2000), no. 1, 130–173.
- [4] A. Destexhe and P. Gaspard, *Bursting oscillations from a homoclinic tangency in a time delay system*, *Physics Letters A* **173** (1993), no. 4-5, 386–391.
- [5] K. Gopalsamy and I. Leung, *Delay induced periodicity in a neural netlet of excitation and inhibition*, *Physica D* **89** (1996), no. 3-4, 395–426.
- [6] J. J. Hopfield, *Neurons with graded response have collective computational properties like those of two-state neurons*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **81** (1984), no. 10, 3088–3092.
- [7] L. Huang and J. Wu, *Dynamics of inhibitory artificial neural networks with threshold nonlinearity*, *Fields Institute Communications* **29** (2001), 235–243.
- [8] W. G. Kelley and A. C. Peterson, *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1991.
- [9] Y. M. Meng, L. Huang, and K. Y. Liu, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of neural network models of two neurons with two thresholds*, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica* **26** (2003), no. 1, 158–175.
- [10] Z. Yuan, L. Huang, and Y. Chen, *Convergence and periodicity of solutions for a discrete-time network model of two neurons*, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **35** (2002), no. 9-10, 941–950.

Honghua Bin: School of Sciences, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, China
E-mail address: binhonghua@163.com

Lihong Huang: College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, China
E-mail address: lhhuang@hnu.net.cn

Guang Zhang: Department of Mathematics, Qingdao Institute of Architecture and Engineering, Qingdao, Shandong 266033, China
E-mail address: dtgzhang@yahoo.com.cn

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk