

# ON THE METHOD OF PSEUDOPOTENTIAL FOR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

YURIY VALENTINOVICH ZASORIN

*Received 7 July 2001*

For stationary Schrödinger equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the finite potential the singular pseudopotential is constructed in the form allowing us to find wave functions. The method does not require the knowledge of the explicit form of a potential and assumes only knowledge of the scattering amplitude for fixed level of energy.

## 1. Introduction

The stationary Schrödinger equation

$$(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + \lambda_0^2) \Psi(\vec{r}) - q(\vec{r}, \Psi, \vec{\nabla} \Psi) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.1)$$

with the finite potential  $q$  and nonlocal boundary condition (some spectral characteristics can be considered, scattering amplitude, for example) appears in certain problems of theoretical, nuclear, and quantum physics, using semiclassical Hartry-Fock-Slater model (cf. [1]), in inverse problem of scattering theory (see [4, 5]), and so forth. The method of pseudopotential, often used for study of these problems, is contained in replacement of potential  $q$  by pseudopotential  $\hat{q}$  (which does not depend explicitly on  $\Psi, \vec{\nabla} \Psi$ ), of such form that the solution  $\hat{\Psi}$  of the reduced problem coincides with  $\Psi$  in exterior to effective area of the potential  $q$ . In contrast to methods of pseudopotential used up to now (cf. [1, 4, 5]), the new method, proposed in this article, does not require the knowledge of the explicit form of the potential  $q$ .

## 2. Basic notation and preliminary results

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the Euclidean space of vectors  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and let  $r = |x|$  be the Euclidean length of the vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\theta = x/r$  be a point of the unit

Copyright © 2001 Hindawi Publishing Corporation

Abstract and Applied Analysis 6:6 (2001) 329–338

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J10, 35Q40, 35Q51, 35Q55

URL: <http://aaa.hindawi.com/volume-6/S1085337501000689.html>

sphere  $\omega = \{|x| = 1\}$  and let  $|\omega|$  be the area of  $\omega$ . Let  $D = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ , where  $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ ;  $\Delta = D \cdot D$  is the Laplace operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

As usual (cf. [6]) we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , the space of degree  $k$  homogeneous harmonic polynomials  $Y_k(x)$  and by  $\mathcal{A}_k(\omega)$  the space of their restrictions,  $Y_k(\theta) = r^{-k} Y_k(x)$ , to the unit sphere  $\omega$ . These polynomials  $Y_k(x)$ ,  $Y_k(\theta)$  are called spherical harmonics of order  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_k(x, y)$  be a zonal harmonic of order  $k$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_k(x, y) = (C_k^v(1))^{-1} |x|^k |y|^k C_k^v(|x|^{-1} |y|^{-1} (x \cdot y)), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $C_k^v(z)$  is the Gegenbauer polynomial (see [3]),  $v = (n-2)/2$ .

The following equalities hold:

$$\mathcal{L}_k(x, y) = \mathcal{L}_k(y, x); \quad \mathcal{L}_k(\lambda x, y) = \mathcal{L}_k(x, \lambda y) = \lambda^k \mathcal{L}_k(x, y); \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} \mathcal{L}_k(x, y) = \Delta_{(y)} \mathcal{L}_k(x, y) = 0; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_{\omega} Y_m(\theta') \mathcal{L}_k(\theta, \theta') d\omega(\theta') = \begin{cases} 0; & m \neq k, \\ Y_k(\theta), & m = k. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

As usual (cf. [6])  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Schwartz space of test rapidly decreasing functions  $\varphi(x)$  and  $Z(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of Fourier images  $(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(x)$  of functions  $\varphi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the Schwartz space of tempered distributions dual to  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of analytic functionals dual to  $Z(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $E'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of compactly supported distributions dual to  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** *For each distribution  $T \in E'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for each radial function  $f(x) \equiv f_0(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it holds that*

$$\langle T(y); \mathcal{L}_k(x, y) f_0(|y|) \rangle \in \mathcal{A}_k(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from relations (2.3) and (2.4).  $\square$

In  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + \lambda_0^2) u(x) &= f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i\lambda_0 \right) u(x) &= o(r^{(1-n)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\lambda_0 = \text{const} > 0$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ,  $u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $f \in E'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , moreover,

$$\text{supp}(f) \subset V_0 = \{|x| \leq R_0\}, \quad R_0 > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Problem (2.6) and (2.7) is well posed in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (as well as in  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ), its solution  $u(x)$  has the form

$$u(x) = \langle f(y), T_0(|x-y|) \rangle, \quad (2.8)$$

where the radial distribution

$$T_0(|x|) = -i4^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi r} \right)^\nu H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r), \quad r = |x|, \quad \nu = \frac{n-2}{2}, \quad (2.9)$$

is the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation

$$(\Delta + \lambda_0^2) T_0(|x|) = \delta(x). \quad (2.10)$$

Here  $H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = J_\nu(z) + iN_\nu(z)$  is the Hankel function (cf. [3]) and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac  $\delta$ -measure.

In the capacity of preliminary results we formulate the problem of construction of the singular multipolar pseudosource for problems (2.6) and (2.7). By the given source  $f(x)$ , construct a singular pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$  with support concentrated at the point  $\{x = 0\}$ , such that the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + \lambda_0^2) \hat{w}(x) &= \hat{q}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i\lambda_0 \right) \hat{w}(x) &= o(r^{(1-n)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

is well posed *simultaneously with problems (2.6) and (2.7)* in some space of distributions, and, in addition, satisfies the identity

$$\hat{w}(x) \equiv u(x), \quad |x| > R_0. \quad (2.12)$$

The following assertion is valid.

LEMMA 2.2. *The singular pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$  and the corresponding solution  $\hat{w}(x)$  of problems (2.11) and (2.12) can be represented in the form*

$$\hat{q}(x) = \sum_k \hat{q}_k(x) = \sum_k (-1)^k A_k Y_k(D) \delta(x), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\hat{w}(x) = \sum_k \hat{w}_k(x) = \sum_k (-1)^k A_k Y_k(D) T_0(|x|) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\equiv \sum_k (-i) C_k Y_k(x) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{r} \right)^{\nu+k} H_{\nu+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r), \quad r = |x|; \quad (2.15)$$

$$Y_k(x) = \langle f(y); \mathcal{Y}_k(x, y) j_{\nu+k}(\lambda_0 |y|) \rangle; \quad (2.16)$$

$$A_k = \frac{\pi^{\nu+1}}{2^{k-1} \Gamma(\nu+k+1)}, \quad C_k = \frac{A_k}{2^{\nu+2} \pi^\nu}, \quad \nu = \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $j_\nu(z) = (2/z)^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1) J_\nu(z)$  is the normalized Bessel function and  $T_0(|x|)$  is the fundamental solution of Helmholtz equation (2.10), defined by equality (2.9).

*Remark 2.3.* In the special case of  $f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  Lemma 2.2 is proved in [7].

*Remark 2.4.* From [7, 8], it follows that series (2.13) and (2.15) converge in the weak topology of  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , but do not converge in the weak topology of  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; nevertheless their  $N$ th partial sums  $\hat{q}_N(x)$ ,  $\hat{w}_N(x)$  are distributions of  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; moreover, the following equality is valid:

$$\langle \hat{w}_N; \varphi \rangle = \text{v.p.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{w}_N(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (2.18)$$

where v.p. is the Cauchy principal value

$$\text{v.p.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) dx \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_0^{+\infty} r^{n-1} dr \int_{\omega} h(r\theta) d\omega(\theta). \quad (2.19)$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* First, note that (see [3])  $j_{v+k}(\lambda_0 r) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $r = |x|$ , therefore (see Lemma 2.1) the right part of equality (2.16) is well defined.

Second, we prove the well-posedness of the right part of equality (2.15). Using the following property of Hankel functions (see [3]):

$$\left( \frac{d}{z dz} \right)^k (z^{-v} H_v^{(1)}(z)) = (-1)^k z^{-v-k} H_{v+k}^{(1)}(z), \quad (2.20)$$

and the fact (see [7]) that for each radial distribution (or function)  $T_0(|x|)$  and for each polynomial  $Y_k(x) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the following equality is valid:

$$Y_k(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \right)^k T_0(r) = Y_k(D) T_0(|x|), \quad r = |x|, \quad (2.21)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -i C_k Y_k(x) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{r} \right)^{v+k} H_{v+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} i C_k Y_k(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \right)^k \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{r} \right)^v H_v^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} i C_k Y_k(D) \left[ (\lambda_0 r)^v H_v^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r) \right] \\ &= (-1)^k A_k Y_k(D) T_0(|x|) = \hat{w}_k(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where the radial distribution  $T_0$  and coefficients  $C_k, A_k$  are defined by equalities (2.9) and (2.17), respectively. The well-posedness of formula (2.15) is proved.

Third, on the basis of equalities (2.10) and (2.15) we immediately obtain that the series (2.15) solves problem (2.11) with the singular pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$ , defined by equality (2.13).

Finally, we prove the identity (2.12). From equality (2.9) it follows that  $\text{sing supp}(T_0) = \{x = 0\}$ , hence, on the basis of equalities (2.7) and (2.8) we have that the distribution  $u(x)$  is some real holomorphic function  $H(x)$  at  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$ . Next, using the properties of Hankel function (see [3, formulas (7.15.28), (7.15.29), (10.9.3), (10.9.5), (11.2.8)]) and formulas (2.1) and (2.9), we obtain

$$T_0(|x - y|) = \sum_k (-i) C_k \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{r} \right)^{v+k} \mathcal{L}_k(x; y) j_{v+k}(\lambda_0|y|) H_{v+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0|x|), \quad (2.23)$$

$$|y| < |x|, \quad v = \frac{n-1}{2},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_k(\cdot; \cdot)$  is a zonal harmonic, defined by equality (2.1) and coefficients  $C_k$  are defined by formula (2.17). From here and from formulas (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$u(x) = \sum_k (-i) C_k Y_k(x) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{r} \right)^{v+k} H_{v+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r), \quad r = |x| > R_0, \quad (2.24)$$

where harmonics  $Y_k(x)$  are defined by equality (2.16).

Comparing equalities (2.15) and (2.24), we can see that these series are convergent simultaneously and uniformly to real holomorphic function  $H(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$ . Thus, identity (2.12) holds.  $\square$

### 3. Classical and quantum cases: pseudosource and pseudopotential

At first, consider the classical (nonquantum) case when the wave function  $u(x)$  does not create bound states, that is, the potential  $q$  does not depend on  $u$ ,  $Du$  and actually is a source. But then we have a problem (2.6), (2.7), however the explicit form of the source  $f(x)$  is *unknown a priori* (see [4, 5]). Assume that only the scattering amplitude for the fixed level of energy  $\lambda_0^2$  is known:

$$\langle f(x); \exp[i\lambda_0(x \cdot \theta)] \rangle = F(\theta), \quad \theta \in \omega, \quad (3.1)$$

or that the same

$$\text{Res}_{z=\lambda_0} \langle u(x); \exp[iz(x \cdot \theta)] \rangle = -(2\lambda_0)^{-1} F(\theta), \quad \theta \in \omega, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $F(\theta)$  is a real holomorphic function on  $\omega$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent, that is immediately proved by Fourier transform of (2.6).

*Remark 3.2.* On the other hand, condition (3.1) is insufficient in order to restore the distribution  $f(x)$  (that can be easily verified in case of  $n = 1$ ). Moreover, for each  $F(\theta)$  there are indefinite number of sources  $f(x)$  and solutions  $u(x)$

of problems (2.6) and (2.7), satisfying conditions (3.1) and (3.2), respectively. Nevertheless, construct the pseudosource  $\hat{q}$  for problems (2.6) and (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)) satisfying the condition

$$\langle \hat{q}(x); \exp[i\lambda_0(x \cdot \theta)] \rangle = F(\theta), \quad \theta \in \omega. \quad (3.3)$$

*Remark 3.3.* It is necessary to note that the statement of the problem for the construction of the pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$  is well posed by itself if condition (3.1) (or (3.2)) provides uniqueness of the restriction of all solutions  $u(x)$  for problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)) to the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$ . Later we will prove that this hypothesis is valid.

Construct the pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$  in the form (2.13). On the basis of equality (3.1) we have

$$\sum_k A_k Y_k(i\lambda_0 \theta) = F(\theta). \quad (3.4)$$

Represent the function  $F(\theta)$  as a series

$$F(\theta) = \sum_k \hat{Y}_k(\theta), \quad \hat{Y}_k \in \mathcal{A}_k(\omega). \quad (3.5)$$

Comparing formulas (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$Y_k(\theta) = (i\lambda_0)^{-k} A_k^{-1} \hat{Y}_k(\theta) = A_k^{-1} \hat{Y}_k(-i\lambda_0^{-1} \theta), \quad (3.6)$$

or that the same

$$Y_k(x) = A_k^{-1} \hat{Y}_k(-i\lambda_0^{-1} x). \quad (3.7)$$

Consequently,

$$\hat{q}(x) = \sum_k \hat{Y}_k(-i\lambda_0^{-1} D) \delta(x), \quad (3.8)$$

and, on the basis of equality (2.15) we have

$$\hat{w}(x) = \sum_k (4i)^{-1} \hat{Y}_k(-i\theta) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi r} \right)^\nu H_{\nu+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r), \quad x = r\theta, \quad \nu = \frac{n-2}{2}. \quad (3.9)$$

We establish the relationship between the distribution  $\hat{w}(x)$  (defined by equality (3.9)) and the solution  $u(x)$  of problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)), satisfying conditions (2.7), (3.1) and using the equality (see [7, 8])

$$\exp[i\lambda_0(x \cdot \theta)] = \sum_k (i\lambda_0)^k A_k \mathcal{L}_k(x, \theta) j_{\nu+k}(\lambda_0|x|), \quad (3.10)$$

where the coefficients  $A_k$  are defined by equality (2.17), we have

$$F(\theta) = \sum_k (i\lambda_0)^k A_k \langle f(x); \mathcal{L}_k(x, \theta) j_{\nu+k}(\lambda_0|x|) \rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

From here and from equalities (3.5) and (2.16) it follows that

$$\hat{Y}_k(\theta) = (i\lambda_0)^k A_k \langle f(x); \mathcal{L}_k(x, \theta) j_{v+k}(\lambda_0|x|) \rangle, \quad (3.12)$$

or, denoting  $x$  by  $y$  and  $\theta$  by  $x$ :

$$\hat{Y}_k(x) = (i\lambda_0)^k A_k \langle f(y); \mathcal{L}_k(x, y) j_{v+k}(\lambda_0|y|) \rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

Comparing this equality with equalities (2.16) and (2.17) we obtain

$$u(x) = \sum_k (4i)^{-1} \hat{Y}_k(-i\theta) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{2\pi r} \right)^v H_{v+k}^{(1)}(\lambda_0 r), \quad r = |x| > R_0. \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.9), (3.14) it follows that all solutions  $u(x)$  of problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)) coincide in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$  among themselves and with the distributions  $\hat{w}(x)$ . Thus, the following assertion holds.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *The pseudosource  $\hat{q}(x)$  for problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)) and the corresponding solution  $\hat{w}(x)$  of problems (2.11), (2.12), and (3.3) can be represented by equalities (3.8), (3.9), and (3.5), respectively. Besides, in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$  each solution of problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1) (or (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2)) can be represented by equality (3.14).*

Now consider the quantum case. The corresponding semiclassical Hartree-Fock-Slater model can be represented (see [1, 5]) by the following problem:

$$(\Delta + \lambda_0^2)u(x) - q(x, u, Du) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \quad (3.15)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i\lambda_0 \right) u(x) = o(r^{(1-n)/2}), \quad r = |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (3.16)$$

with condition (3.2).

Assume that the explicit form of the potential  $q(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is unknown. Suppose that

$$\text{supp}(q(x, u(x), Du(x))) \subset V_0 = \{|x| \leq R_0\}, \quad R_0 > 0, \quad (3.17)$$

and, in addition

$$q(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad q(x, u(x), \vec{v}(x)) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_x^n) \quad (3.18)$$

for all  $u, \vec{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Further, we will intentionally ignore questions connected with the existence and uniqueness of solutions of problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), and (3.2), because they are completely investigated in [2, 4]. However, it is necessary to explain in what sense equation (3.16) in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is being understood.

Note that on the basis of the restrictions (3.17) it follows (see [2, 4]) that any solution  $u(x)$  of problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) and  $Du(x)$  are summable functions (i.e., regular distributions). But then we have that  $q(x, u(x), Du(x)) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and it generates the regular distribution  $f(x) = q(x, u(x), Du(x)) \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Therefore, we will understand (3.16) in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as the following equality:

$$\langle u, (\Delta + \lambda_0^2)\varphi \rangle - \langle q; \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad (3.19)$$

for all test functions  $\varphi(x)$ .

Assuming that problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), and (3.2) are solvable, we fix any solution  $u_0(x)$  and denote

$$f_0(x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} q(x, u_0(x), Du_0(x)). \quad (3.20)$$

But then problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), and (3.2) are reduced to problems (2.6), (2.7), and (3.2) or (see Remark 3.1)—to problem (2.6), (2.7), and (3.1). Therefore, the following assertion is valid.

**LEMMA 3.6.** *If problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), and (3.2) are solvable, then the pseudopotential  $\hat{q}(x)$  and the corresponding solution  $\hat{w}(x)$  of problems (2.11), (2.12), and (3.3) can be represented by equalities (3.8), (3.9), and (3.5), respectively. Any solution  $u(x)$  of problems (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), and (3.2) can be represented in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$  by equality (3.14).*

#### 4. Final result: classes of well-posedness of (2.11) and (3.3)

We derive some simple but important estimates. Using equality (2.4) and other well-known properties of zonal harmonics (see [6]) we have

$$|\hat{Y}_k(\theta)| \leq |\omega|^{-1} a_k \|F\|_{2,\omega}, \quad a_k = \frac{n+2k-2}{2} \binom{cn+k-1}{k-1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{2,\omega}$  denotes the  $L^2(\omega)$ -norm.

On the other hand, using relations (2.7), (3.1) we have (see [7])

$$|\hat{Y}_k(\theta)| \leq M \lambda_0^{2N} R_0^{N+k} A_k, \quad (4.2)$$

for some constants  $M, N \geq 0$ ; coefficients  $A_k$  are defined by equality (2.17).

Also we have (see [3])

$$|H_v^{(1)}(z)| \leq 4\pi^{-1} [2^v \Gamma(v+1)z^{-v} + z^{-1/2}]. \quad (4.3)$$

Combining estimates (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3) with equality (3.9), we obtain

$$|\hat{w}_k(x)| \leq b_k(r) \equiv 2|\omega|^{-1} a_k R_0^k \left[ r^{1-n-k} + \frac{\lambda_0^{v+k-1/2}}{2^{v+k} \Gamma(v+k+1) r^{1/2}} \right] \cdot \|F\|_{2,\omega}. \quad (4.4)$$

Estimate (4.4) directly leads to the following assertion.

LEMMA 4.1. *The series (3.9) constructed the solution  $\hat{w}(x)$  of problems (2.11) and (3.3) is uniformly convergent on each sphere  $\omega_r = \{|x| = r\}$ ,  $r > R_0$ , and is majorized by a numerical series  $\sum_k b_k(r)$ , where the coefficients  $b_k(r)$  are defined by the relation (4.4).*

Finally, the following assertion is valid.

THEOREM 4.2. (1) *The  $N$ th partial sums  $\hat{q}_N, \hat{w}_N$  of series (3.8) and (3.9) are distributions of  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , moreover, equalities (2.18) and (2.19) are valid.*

(2) *The series (3.8), (3.5), and (3.9) constructed the pseudopotential (pseudo-source)  $\hat{q}(x)$  and corresponding to it solution  $\hat{w}(x)$  of problems (2.11) and (3.3) are convergent in weak topology of  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

(3) *The problems (2.11) and (3.3) are well posed in  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion (1) of Theorem 4.2 follows directly from Remark 3.2.

Finally, it follows from [8, Theorem 3 and Proposition 12], it is sufficient to prove that the series (3.8) is the multiplicator in  $Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{F}\hat{q})(y)$  be a Fourier image of  $\hat{q}(x)$

$$(\mathcal{F}\hat{q})(y) = \sum_k \lambda_0^{-k} \hat{Y}_k(y). \quad (4.5)$$

On the basis of relations (4.2) and (2.17) it follows that series (4.5) converges in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to a certain function  $\mathcal{F}\hat{q} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\varphi \in Z'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{F}\hat{q} \cdot \mathcal{F}\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , hence,  $(\hat{q} * \varphi) \in Z(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$

Combining Lemmas 3.4, 3.6, 4.1, and Theorem 4.2, we can make the main conclusion:

*In the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus V_0$ , the structure of the wave function  $u(x)$  does not depend on the choice of the potential  $q$  and is completely defined by the scattering amplitude  $F(\theta)$ .*

## References

- [1] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, F. Gesztesy, and H. Holden, *Some exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics and the low energy expansions*, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals, and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics (Leipzig, 1983), Teubner-Texte Math., vol. 67, Teubner, Leipzig, 1984, pp. 12–28. [MR 85i:81015](#). [Zbl 0562.47009](#).
- [2] F. A. Berezin and M. A. Shubin, *Schrödinger Equation*, Moscow State University, Moscow, 1993.
- [3] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions. Vol. III*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955. [MR 16,586c](#). [Zbl 0064.06302](#).
- [4] R. G. Novikov and G. M. Khenkin, *The  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in the multidimensional inverse scattering problem*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **42** (1987), no. 3(255), 93–152, 255. [MR 89d:35043](#). [Zbl 0674.35085](#).
- [5] M. Rasolt and R. Taylor, *Pseudopotential from the variable phase approach to scattering theory*, J. Phys. F **2** (1972), no. 2, 270–276.
- [6] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1971. [MR 46#4102](#). [Zbl 0232.42007](#).
- [7] Yu. V. Zasorin, *Harmonic analysis in Schwartz spaces of distributions and some applications to nonclassical problems of mathematical physics*, Siberian Math. J. **38** (1997), no. 6, 1112–1129, translated from Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **38** (1997), no. 6, 1282–1299. [MR 99c:46038](#). [Zbl 0935.43004](#).
- [8] ———, *On the Newton principle for the Helmholtz equation*, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **37** (1997), no. 7, 804–816, translated from Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz. **37** (1997), no. 7, 828–840. [MR 98d:35038](#). [Zbl 0940.35060](#).

YURIY VALENTINOVICH ZASORIN: RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VORONEZH STATE UNIVERSITY, 1, UNIVERSITY SQ., VORONEZH, 394693, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* [mathins@main.vsu.ru](mailto:mathins@main.vsu.ru)

# Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

### Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2009
Publication Date	November 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Juan J. Nieto**, Departamento de Análisis Matemático,  
Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;  
[juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es](mailto:juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Donal O'Regan**, Department of Mathematics, National  
University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;  
[donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie](mailto:donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie)