

# BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SECOND-ORDER PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH OPERATOR COEFFICIENTS

KUDRATILLO S. FAYAZOV AND EBERHARD SCHOCK

*Received 9 June 2001*

Let  $\Omega_T$  be some bounded simply connected region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\partial\Omega_T = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_2$ . We seek a function  $u(x, t)$ ,  $((x, t) \in \Omega_T)$  with values in a Hilbert space  $H$  which satisfies the equation  $ALu(x, t) = Bu(x, t) + f(x, t, u, u_t)$ ,  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$ , where  $A(x, t)$ ,  $B(x, t)$  are families of linear operators (possibly unbounded) with everywhere dense domain  $D$  ( $D$  does not depend on  $(x, t)$ ) in  $H$  and  $Lu(x, t) = u_{tt} + a_{11}u_{xx} + a_1u_t + a_2u_x$ . The values  $u(x, t)$ ;  $\partial u(x, t)/\partial n$  are given in  $\Gamma_1$ . This problem is not in general well posed in the sense of Hadamard. We give theorems of uniqueness and stability of the solution of the above problem.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\varphi_i(t) \in C^1$  ( $t \geq t_0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ),  $t \in [t_0, T]$ , and  $|\varphi'_i(t)|(t - t_0)^{1/2} < \mu$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), where  $\mu$  is a constant. Let  $\Omega_T$  be a bounded simply connected region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined as follows:

$$\Omega_T = \{(x, t) : 0 \leq t_0 < t < T, \varphi_1(t) < x < \varphi_2(t), \varphi_1(t_0) = \varphi_2(t_0)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

and  $\partial\Omega_T = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= [(x, t) : x = \varphi_i(t) \ (i = 1, 2), \ t_0 \leq t < T], \\ \Gamma_2 &= [(x, t) : t = T, \ \varphi_1(t) < x < \varphi_2(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $D$  ( $D$  does not depend on  $(x, t)$ ) be an everywhere dense domain in  $H$ , and  $A(x, t)$ ,  $B(x, t)$  are families of linear operators (possibly unbounded) with domain  $D$ , let  $u(x, t)$ ,  $((x, t) \in \Omega_T)$  be a function with values in the space  $H$ . Let  $u(x, t)$  satisfy the equation

$$A(x, t)Lu(x, t) = Bu(x, t) + f(x, t, u, u_t), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $Lu(x, t) \equiv u_{tt} + a_{11}u_{xx} + a_2u_x + a_1u_t$ ,

$$a_{11} \in C^2(\Omega_T) \quad (a_{11} > 0), \quad a_1(x, t), a_2(x, t) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}_T), \quad (1.4)$$

and in the part  $\Gamma_1$  of the bound  $\partial\Omega_T$  are given

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial n} \Big|_{\Gamma_1} = g, \quad u(x, t) \Big|_{\Gamma_1} = f_1, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$f_1 \in C^1(\Gamma_1; H), \quad g \in C(\Gamma_1; H). \quad (1.6)$$

*Definition 1.1.* A solution of (1.3) is called a two times smooth differentiable function which belongs to the domain of operators  $A, B$  for every  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$  and satisfies (1.3).

The Cauchy problem is the problem to find the solution of (1.3) which satisfies condition (1.5) with  $f, g \in D(A) \cap D(B)$ .

The Cauchy problem (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) is not in general well posed in the sense of Hadamard. This type of problems for differential-operator equations were studied by Kreĭn [3], Levine [5], Buchgeim [1], and others. We will prove theorems of uniqueness and stability of the solution of the Cauchy problem using Lavrent'ev's method [2, 4].

## 2. Uniqueness

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A = 1$ ,  $Lu \equiv \Delta u \equiv u_{xx} + u_{tt}$ , and let  $B$  be a selfadjoint constant operator. Suppose  $w(x, t)$  satisfies*

$$\Delta w = Bw + f(t, x, w, w_t), \quad (2.1)$$

$$v \in C^1(\Omega_T; H) \cap C^2(\Omega_T; H) \cap L_2(\Omega_T; D) \quad (2.2)$$

is such that

$$\Delta v = Bv + f(t, x, v, v_t) - \varepsilon(v) \quad (2.3)$$

is defined, and  $w|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ ,  $v|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ . Let  $u = w - v$ , and

$$\|f(t, x, v, v_t) - f(t, x, w, w_t)\|^2 \leq c_1 \int_{t_0}^t \|u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + c_2 \int_{t_0}^t \|u_\tau(\tau)\|^2 d\tau, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are positive constants. Then there exist constants  $\Theta_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that with

$$\gamma = \Theta_1 \left( \max_{\Gamma_1} (\|u_t\|^2 + \|u_x\|^2) \right) + \Theta_2 \int_{t_0}^t \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 d\tau \quad (2.5)$$

the function

$$\Psi(t) = \ln \left( \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u\|^2 ds d\tau + \gamma \right) \quad (2.6)$$

satisfies

$$\Psi''(t) + p\Psi'(t) + q \geq 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for some nonnegative computable constants  $p$  and  $q$  which depend on  $T$ ,  $c_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

*Proof.* From (2.1) and (2.3) we find

$$\Delta u = Bu + \alpha(t, x, u, u_t) + \varepsilon(u). \quad (2.8)$$

We denote the estimating integral by

$$\varphi(t) = \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u(s, \tau)\|^2 ds d\tau. \quad (2.9)$$

We differentiate  $\varphi(t)$ , and take into account the condition  $u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, u) ds d\tau, \\ \varphi''(t) &= 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_{\tau\tau}, u) ds d\tau + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, u_\tau) ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Taking into account (2.1) we receive

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) &= -2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_{ss}, u) ds d\tau + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} (Bu, u) ds d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} (\varepsilon(v), u) ds d\tau + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha(\tau, s, u, u_\tau), u) ds d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, u_\tau) ds d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\alpha(t, s, u, u_t) = f(t, s, w, w_t) - f(t, s, v, v_t)$ .

Using the integration by part and taking into account the condition  $u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ , (2.8) we get from (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) &= -2 \iint_{\Omega_t} [(u_s, u_s) + (u_\tau, u_\tau) + (Bu, u)] ds d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha(\tau, s, u, u_\tau) + \varepsilon(v), u) ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We differentiate

$$p(t) = \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \|u_s(s, t)\|^2 ds \quad (2.13)$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_s, u_s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \varphi'_i(t) \|u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)\|^2 \\ &= - \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} 2 \operatorname{Re} (u_t, u_{ss}) ds + 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \operatorname{Re} (u_t(\varphi_i(t), t) u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \varphi'_i(t) \|u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} [(u_t, u_t) + (Bu, u)] ds - 2 \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha(t, s, u, u_t) + \varepsilon(v), u_t) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \left\{ \varphi'_i(t) [\|u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)\|^2 - \|u_t(\varphi_i(t), t)\|^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \operatorname{Re} (u_t(\varphi_i(t), t), u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

By deducing this formula we use (2.8) and integration by parts. Integrating (2.14) from  $t_0$  till  $t$  we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} (\|u_s\|^2 + (Bu, u)) ds \\ &= \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \|u_t\|^2 ds - 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha(\tau, s, u, u_\tau) + \varepsilon(v), u_\tau) ds d\tau + b_0(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_0(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^i \int_{t_0}^t \varphi'_i(\tau) [\|u_s(\varphi_i(t), t)\|^2 - \|u_t(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)\|^2] \\ &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} (u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), u_s(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Substituting (2.15) in (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) &= 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u_\tau\|^2 ds d\tau - 4 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha + \varepsilon(v), u_\tau) ds d\tau_1 d\tau \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re} (\alpha + \varepsilon(v), u) ds d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

We notice that

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t b_0(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \Theta_0 \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{t_0}^t (t-\tau) |\varphi'_i(\tau)| \left[ \|u_\tau\|^2 + \|u_s\|^2 \right]_{s=\varphi_i(\tau)} d\tau \leq \gamma, \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\gamma$  is defined by (2.3). From (2.17) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u_{\tau_1}(\tau_1, s)\|^2 ds d\tau_1 d\tau \\ & \leq \varphi'(t) + 4 \left| \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} (\alpha + \varepsilon(v), u_{\tau_2}) ds d\tau_2 d\tau_1 d\tau \right| \\ & \quad + 2 \left| \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \varepsilon(v), u) ds d\tau_1 d\tau \right| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^\tau b_0(\tau_1) d\tau_1 d\tau \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Using the Cauchy and Bellman inequalities and the inequality

$$|ab| \leq \frac{a^2}{2} \beta + \frac{b^2}{2\beta}, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (2.20)$$

from (2.19) we receive the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u_{\tau_1}(\tau_1, s)\|^2 ds d\tau_1 d\tau \\ & \leq k_1 \varphi'(t) + k_2 \varphi(t) + k_3 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 ds d\tau_1 d\tau + T\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ , and  $k_3$  are nonnegative constants which depend on  $T$  and the constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Then for  $\varphi''(t)$  we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(t) & \geq 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u_\tau(s, \tau)\|^2 ds d\tau \\ & \quad - k_4 \varphi'(t) - k_5 \varphi(t) - k_6 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 d\tau ds - k_7 \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

We consider now the function  $\psi(t) = \ln[\varphi(t) + \gamma]$ , using the Cauchy inequality,

$$|ab| \leq \frac{a^2}{2} \beta + \frac{b^2}{2\beta}, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (2.23)$$

and (2.22) we transform the second derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi''(t) &= \frac{\varphi''(t) \cdot (\varphi(t) + \gamma) - (\varphi'(t))^2}{(\varphi(t) + \gamma)^2} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{(\varphi(t) + \gamma)^2} \left\{ \left( 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u_\tau(s, \tau)\|^2 ds d\tau - k_4 \varphi'(t) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - k_5 \varphi(t) - k_6 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(u)\|^2 ds d\tau - k_7 \gamma \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left( \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u\|^2 ds d\tau + \gamma \right) - 4 \left( \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, u) ds d\tau \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &\geq -k_8 \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi(t) + \gamma} - k_9,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

or

$$\psi'' + p\psi' + q \geq 0, \tag{2.25}$$

where  $p, q$  are nonnegative constants that depend on  $T$  and the constants  $c_1, c_2$ . [Theorem 2.1](#) is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* It is known from the theory of ordinary differential equations if the function  $\psi(t)$  satisfies the inequality (2.7), then it satisfies the following inequality:

$$\psi(t) \leq \psi_0(t), \tag{2.26}$$

where  $\psi_0(t)$  is a solution of the differential equation

$$\psi_0''(t) + p\psi_0'(t) + q = 0 \tag{2.27}$$

with boundary conditions  $\psi_0(t_0) = \psi(t_0)$ ,  $\psi_0(T) = \psi(T)$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_0(t) &= \ell_1 + \ell_2 \exp(-pt) - q \frac{t}{p}; \\
 \ell_1 &= \frac{\psi(T) \exp(-pt_0) - \psi(t_0) \exp(-pT) + (q/p)(T \exp(-pt_0) - t_0 \exp(-pT))}{\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pT)}; \\
 \ell_2 &= \psi(0) - \psi(T) + \frac{q}{p}(t_0 - T)(\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pT)); \\
 \psi(t) &\leq (1 - \omega(t))\psi(t_0) + \omega(t)\psi(T) + q \frac{\omega(t)(T - t_0) + (t_0 - t)}{p},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$\omega(t) = \frac{\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pt)}{\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pT)}. \quad (2.29)$$

Further, it is not difficult to see, from (2.7), that

$$\iint_{\Omega_t} \|u\|^2 ds d\tau \leq c(t) \gamma^{1-\omega(t)} \left\{ \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u\|^2 ds d\tau + \gamma \right\}^{\omega(t)} - \gamma. \quad (2.30)$$

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *The solution of the Cauchy problem for (1.3) is unique in the space*

$$C^1(\bar{\Omega}_T; H) \cap C^2(\Omega_T; H) \cap L_2(\Omega_T; D). \quad (2.31)$$

*Proof.* Let  $v, w$  be solutions of the Cauchy problem for (2.1) and (2.3), respectively. Then  $u = w - v$  is the solution of the homogeneous Cauchy problem for the equation

$$\Delta u = Bu + \alpha(t, u, u_t) \quad (2.32)$$

and  $\gamma = 0$  and from (2.30) follows  $u \equiv 0$  or  $w \equiv v$ . **Corollary 2.3** is proved.  $\square$

From inequality (2.30) it is easy to see that the following corollary follows.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *The solution of the Cauchy problem for (1.3) is stable in the space*

$$C^1(\bar{\Omega}_T; H) \cap C^2(\Omega_T; H) \cap L_2(\Omega_T; D), \quad (2.33)$$

$$u \in \left\{ u : \iint_{\Omega_t} \|u\|^2 ds d\tau \leq M \right\}.$$

### 3. Stability

Let  $A$  be a constant selfadjoint operator and  $(Au, u) > 0$  for all  $u \neq 0$ ,  $(Au, u) = 0$  if and only if  $u = 0$ . Let  $B(x, t)$  be a selfadjoint operator for every  $(x, y) \in D$  and with

$$c = \max \left[ \max_{(x,t) \in [\Omega_T]} \frac{(|a_{11r}| + |a_1/2| + |a_{11s}/2|)}{a_{11}}; \right. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left. \max \left( \left| \frac{a_{11s}}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{(a_1)}{2} \right| + |(a_2)| + 2c_1 + \beta_9 \right) \right]$$

satisfies

$$(B_t u, u) \geq -c(Bu, u). \quad (3.2)$$

Let  $w$  satisfy

$$ALw = Bw + F(x, t, w, w_t) \quad (3.3)$$

and  $v$  satisfy

$$ALv = Bv + f(x, t, v, v_t) - \varepsilon(v). \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $u = w - v$  and  $\alpha = f(x, t, w, w_t) - f(x, t, v, v_t)$  and

$$\|\alpha\|^2 \leq c_1 \|u\|^2 + c_2 \|u_t\|^2, \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$ALu = Bu + \alpha(x, t, u, u_t) + \varepsilon(v), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let the coefficients  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$  satisfy condition (1.4). If the solution of (3.6) is equal to zero on  $\Gamma_1$  and satisfies the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega_T} (u, Au) ds d\tau &\leq M, \\ \gamma = \Theta \max_{\Gamma_1} [(u_t, Au_t) + (u_x, Au_x)] &+ \iint_{\Omega_T} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 ds d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

then for  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}_T; H) \cap C^2(\Omega_T; H) \cap L_2(\Omega_T; D)$  the following inequality is true

$$\iint_{\Omega_t} (u, Au) ds d\tau \leq \gamma^{1-\omega(t)} (M + \gamma)^{\omega(t)} c(t) - \gamma, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(t) &= \exp \frac{q(\gamma(t)T - t)}{p}, \\ \omega(t) &= \frac{\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pt)}{\exp(-pt_0) - \exp(-pT)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and  $\Theta, p, q$  are constants that depend on the coefficients  $T$  and  $c_i, i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$F(t) = \iint_{\Omega_t} (u, Au) ds d\tau, \quad (3.10)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \iint_{\Omega_t} 2\operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au) ds d\tau, \\ F''(t) &= \iint_{\Omega_t} 2\operatorname{Re}(u_{\tau\tau}, Au) ds d\tau + F(t) \\ &= \iint_{\Omega_t} 2(u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$



because  $u|_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ . We transform the first term in the expression for  $F''(t)$  using (3.6) and integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iint_{\Omega_t} 2 \operatorname{Re}(u_{\tau\tau}, Au) ds d\tau \\
 &= \iint_{\Omega_t} 2(Bu, u) ds d\tau \iint_{\Omega_t} 2a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) ds d\tau \\
 &+ \iint_{\Omega_t} 2 \operatorname{Re}(u, \alpha(s, \tau, u, u_\tau)) ds d\tau + \iint_{\Omega_t} 2 \operatorname{Re}(u, \varepsilon(v)) ds d\tau \\
 &- \iint_{\Omega_t} 2 \operatorname{Re}(-a_{11s} + a_2)(u_s, Au) ds d\tau - \iint_{\Omega_t} 2a_1 \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au) ds d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

After the transform we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F''(t) &= \iint_{\Omega_1} 2((u_\tau, Au_\tau) + a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) + (u, Bu)) ds d\tau \\
 &+ \iint_{\Omega_1} 2[(a_{11s} - a_2)(u_s, Au) + \operatorname{Re}(u, \alpha(s, \tau, u, u_\tau)) \\
 &- \operatorname{Re}(u, \varepsilon(v)) - a_1 \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au)] ds d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From here and from (1.4) using the conditions for the coefficients and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F''(t) &\geq \iint_{\Omega_t} 2((u_\tau, Au_\tau) + a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) + (u, Bu)) ds d\tau \\
 &- 2\beta_1 \left[ \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_s, Au_s) ds d\tau F(t) \right]^{1/2} \\
 &- 2\beta_2 \left[ \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau F(t) \right]^{1/2} \\
 &- 2\beta_3 F(t) - \beta_4 \left[ \iint_{\Omega_1} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \iint_{\Omega_1} (u, Au) ds d\tau \right]^{1/2} \\
 &- \beta_5 \left[ \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\| ds d\tau \iint_{\Omega_t} (u, Au) ds d\tau \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\geq \iint_{\Omega_t} 2((u_\tau, Au_\tau) + a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) + (u, Bu)) ds d\tau \\
 &- \left( \frac{\beta_1^2}{a_{11}} + \left( \frac{2\beta_2 + \beta_4}{2} \right)^2 - 2\beta_3 + \frac{\beta_5^2}{2} \right) F(t) \\
 &- 0.5 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 ds d\tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

From the last inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} ((u_{\tau_1}, Au_{\tau_1}) + a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) + (u, Bu)) ds d\tau_1 d\tau \\ & \leq F'(t) + \beta_6 F(t) + 0.5 \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Differentiating the first integral on the right-hand side of (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\Omega_t} a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) ds d\tau \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\Omega_t} \{(u_\tau, Au_\tau) - (Bu, u)\} ds d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_{t_0}^t \sum_{i=1}^2 \{(-1)^i \varphi_i'(t) [a_{11}(u_s(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_s(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) \\ & \quad \quad \quad - (u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau))] \\ & \quad \quad \quad + a_{11}(u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_s(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau))\} d\tau \\ & \quad + \iint_{\Omega_t} [a_{11_\tau}(u_s, Au_s) - a_{11_s}(u_\tau, Au_s)] ds d\tau \\ & \quad + \iint_{\Omega_t} [(u, Bu_\tau) + a_2(u_\tau, Au_\tau) + a_1(u_\tau, Au_s) \\ & \quad \quad \quad - (u_\tau, \alpha + \varepsilon(v))] ds d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

After this transformation  $F''(t)$  takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) & = 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} [a_{11_\tau}(u_s, Au_s) - a_{11_s} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au_s) \\ & \quad \quad \quad + \operatorname{Re}(u, Bu_\tau) + a_2(u_\tau, Au_\tau) + a_1 \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au_s)] ds d\tau \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, \alpha + \varepsilon(v)) ds d\tau \\ & \quad + \iint_{\Omega_t} \{(a_{11_s} - a_2) \operatorname{Re}(u_s, Au) + 2 \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, \alpha + \varepsilon(v)) \\ & \quad \quad \quad - 2a_1 \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au_\tau)\} ds d\tau + b_0(\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$b_0(\tau) = \int_{t_0}^t (t-\tau) \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\{ (-1)^i \varphi'_i(t) [a_{11}(u_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) \right. \\ \left. - (u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau))] \right. \\ \left. + a_{11} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) \right\} d\tau. \quad (3.18)$$

We remark that

$$|b_0(\tau)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{t_0}^t (t-\tau) \left\{ a_{11} [|\varphi'_i|(u_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) \right. \\ \left. + |2 \operatorname{Re}(u_x(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau))|] \right. \\ \left. + |\varphi'_i|(u_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau), Au_\tau(\varphi_i(\tau), \tau)) \right\} d\tau \\ \leq \gamma_1. \quad (3.19)$$

We denote

$$s^2 = \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \iint_{\Omega_t} (u, Au) ds d\tau - \left( \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \right)^2. \quad (3.20)$$

Then using Hölder's inequality, (1.4), (3.15) we obtain from (3.17)

$$F''(t) \geq 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \\ - 2 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \left\{ \left[ |a_{11r}| + \frac{|a_{11}|}{2} + \frac{|a_{11s}|}{2} \right] (u_s, Au_s) \right. \\ \left. + \left[ \frac{|a_{11s}|}{2} + \frac{|a_1|}{2} + \frac{|a_2|}{2} \right] (u_\tau, Au_\tau) \right. \\ \left. + |\operatorname{Re}(u, B_\tau u)| \right\} ds d\tau d\tau_1 \\ - 2c_2 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_{\tau_1}} (u_{\tau_1}, Au_{\tau_1}) ds d\tau d\tau_1 \\ - 2c_1 \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_{\tau_1}} (u_{\tau_1}, Au_{\tau_1}) ds d\tau d\tau_1 F(t) \right\}^{1/2} \\ - 2 \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_{\tau_1}} (u_{\tau_1}, Au_{\tau_1}) ds d\tau d\tau_1 \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \|\varepsilon(v)\|^2 ds d\tau d\tau_1 \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \iint_{\Omega_t} |a_{11_s} - a_2| \operatorname{Re}(u, Au) ds d\tau - 2c_1 \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u, Au) ds d\tau \\
& - c_2 \left\{ \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \right\}^{1/2} \\
& - 2\beta \left\{ \iint_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{Re}(u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau F(t) \right\}^{1/2} - \gamma \\
& \geq 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \\
& - \int_{t_0}^t \iint_{\Omega_t} \{c a_{11}(u_s, Au_s) + c(u_\tau, Au_\tau au) + c(u, Bu)\} ds d\tau d\tau_1 \\
& - \beta_6 F'(t) - \beta_7 F(t) - \beta_8 s - \gamma \\
& \geq 4 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau - \beta_6 F'(t) - \beta_7 F(t) - \beta_8 s - \gamma, \\
& \left( c = \max \left\{ \frac{2|a_{11_r}| + |a_1| + |a_{11_s}|}{2|a_{11}|}, \left[ \frac{|a_{11_s}|}{2} + \frac{|a_1|}{2} + |a_2| + 2c_1 + \beta_9 \right] \right\} \right). \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi(t) = \ln[F(t) + \gamma]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi''(t) &= \frac{(F''(t)(F(t) + \gamma) - (F'(t))^2)}{(F(t) + \gamma)^2} \\
&\geq \frac{4 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \iint_{\Omega_t} (u, Au) ds d\tau - (2 \iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au) ds d\tau)^2}{(F(t) + \gamma)^2} \\
&\quad + 4 \frac{\iint_{\Omega_t} (u_\tau, Au_\tau) ds d\tau \gamma}{(F(t) + \gamma)^2} - \frac{\beta_9 F'(t) + \beta_{10} F(t) + \beta_{11} S + \gamma}{F(t) + \gamma} \\
&\geq -p \frac{F'(t)}{F(t) + \gamma} - q. \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.8) follows from (3.22), and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* We can obtain similar results for arbitrary second order elliptic operators  $L$ , using the methods of [4].

*Remark 3.3.* For

$$B(x, t) = B_1(x, t) + i B_2(x, t), \tag{3.23}$$

where  $B_1(x, t)$  and  $B_2(x, t)$  are selfadjoint operators for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$  and  $\lambda, \mu, c$  are constants such that  $(Au, u) \geq \lambda(u, u) > 0$ ,  $\|B_2 u\|^2 \leq \mu(Au, u)$ , and  $-(B_1)_t \leq c B_t$ , a similar result is valid.

*Example 3.4.* We consider the equation

$$\operatorname{sgn}(y)(u_{tt}(t, x, y) + u_{xx}(t, x, y)) = u_{yy}(t, x, y) \quad (3.24)$$

in the region  $Q = (-1, 1) \times \Omega_T$  ( $\Omega_T$  is defined as above). This equation is a mixed type equation. We will consider the problem of finding the solution of this equation in  $Q$  ( $y \neq 0$ ) which satisfies the following boundary conditions:

$$u(t, x, y)|_{\Gamma'_i} = f, \quad \frac{\partial u(t, x, y)}{\partial n}|_{\Gamma'_1} = g, \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (-1, 1)$ ,  $\Gamma'_2 = \Gamma_2 \times (-1, 1)$ ;

$$u(t, x, -1) = 0, \quad u(t, x, 1) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \Omega_T; \quad (3.26)$$

$$u(t, x, -0) = u(t, x, +0),$$

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x, -0)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u(t, x, +0)}{\partial y}. \quad (3.27)$$

Here  $B$  is a selfadjoint positive definite in  $L_2(-1, 1)$  operator which is generated by the differential expression

$$Bu = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \quad (3.28)$$

and with boundary conditions  $u|_{y=-1} = u|_{y=1} = 0$ . We define the operator  $A$  as the operator of multiplication with the function  $\operatorname{sgn}(y)$ . This problem is an ill-posed problem in the sense of Hadamard, since continuous dependence of the solution from the data is absent in it.

Using the above described method we can prove the following result.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If a solution of this problem becomes zero on the surface  $\Gamma'_1$  and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \int_{-1}^1 (u_y(t, x, y))^2 dy ds dt \leq M, \\ & \Theta \max_{\Gamma_1} \left( \int_{-1}^1 (u_{yx})^2 dy + \int_{-1}^1 (u_{yt})^2 dy + \int_{-1}^1 |\operatorname{sign}(x)(u_{yy})^2 dy| \right) = \gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

( $\Theta$  is constant that depends on  $T, \Gamma_1$ ), then the inequality

$$\int_0^t \int_{\varphi_1(t)}^{\varphi_2(t)} \int_{-1}^1 (u_y(t, x, y))^2 dy ds dt \leq \gamma^{1-\omega_1(t)} (M + \gamma)^{\omega_1(t)} c_1(t) - \gamma \quad (3.30)$$

is valid, where  $c_1(t) = \exp(t(T-t)/2)$ ,  $\omega_1(t) = (1-t/T)$ .

From this theorem one can easily see that the uniqueness and the conditional stability of the solution of this problem follows.

## References

- [1] A. L. Buchgeim, *Ill-posed problems of the numerical theory and tomography*, Siberian Math. J. **33** (1992), 27–41.
- [2] K. S. Fayazov and M. M. Lavrent'ev, *Cauchy problem for partial differential equations with operator coefficients in space*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **2** (1994), no. 4, 283–296. [MR 95k:35215](#). [Zbl 0820.35133](#).
- [3] S. G. Kreĭn, *Lineikhye Differentsialnye Uravneniya V Banakhovom Prostranstve*. [*Linear Differential Equations in a Banach Space*], Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow, 1967 (Russian). [MR 40#508](#).
- [4] M. M. Lavrent'ev, *On the problem of Cauchy for linear elliptic equations of the second order*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.) **112** (1957), 195–197 (Russian). [MR 19,553i](#). [Zbl 077.30001](#).
- [5] H. A. Levine, *Logarithmic convexity and the Cauchy problem for some abstract second order differential inequalities*, J. Differential Equations **8** (1970), 34–55. [MR 41#3945](#). [Zbl 194.13101](#).

KUDRATILLO S. FAYAZOV: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF USBEKISTAN, TASHKENT, 700090 TASHKENT, VUZGORODOK, USBEKISTAN  
*E-mail address:* [k.fayazov@gmx.co.uk](mailto:k.fayazov@gmx.co.uk)

EBERHARD SCHOCK: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN, P.O. Box 3049, 67653 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* [schock@mathematik.uni-kl.de](mailto:schock@mathematik.uni-kl.de)

## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)