

# ON MODULI OF $k$ -CONVEXITY

TECK-CHEONG LIM

*Received 11 October 1999*

We establish the continuity of some moduli of  $k$ -convexity. Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We denote by  $X^*$  the dual space of  $X$  and by  $B_X$  the unit ball of  $X$ . Several moduli of convexity for the norm of  $X$  have been defined; the last two definitions in the following are valid for spaces having dimension  $\geq k$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_X(\epsilon) &= \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x+y\|}{2} : x, y \in B_X, \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \right\} \quad (\text{see [2]}), \\ \delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) &= \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1}\|}{k+1} : x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in B_X, A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \geq \epsilon \right\} \quad (\text{see [10]}), \\ \Delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) &= \inf_{\|x\|=1} \inf_{\substack{Y \subset X \\ \dim(Y)=k}} \sup_{\substack{\|y\|=1 \\ y \in Y}} \{ \|x + \epsilon y\| - 1 \} \quad (\text{see [9]}),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sup \left\{ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ f_1(x_1) & \dots & f_1(x_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_k(x_1) & \dots & f_k(x_{k+1}) \end{vmatrix} : f_1, \dots, f_k \in B_{X^*} \right\}.\tag{2}$$

Evidently, by subtracting the first column from the other columns, the determinant can be replaced by

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_1(x_2 - x_1) & \dots & f_1(x_{k+1} - x_1) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_k(x_2 - x_1) & \dots & f_k(x_{k+1} - x_1) \end{vmatrix}.\tag{3}$$

Also  $A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$  can be thought of as the “volume” of the convex hull of  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}$  since that is the case in Euclidean spaces.

Copyright © 1999 Hindawi Publishing Corporation

Abstract and Applied Analysis 4:4 (1999) 243–247

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20, 47H10

URL: <http://aaa.hindawi.com/volume-4/S1085337599000202.html>

$X$  is called uniformly convex if  $\delta_X(\epsilon) > 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $k$ -uniformly convex if  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) > 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that  $\delta_X(\epsilon) = \delta_X^{(1)}(\epsilon)$ ; so 1-uniform convexity coincides with uniform convexity. Lin [8] proved that  $\Delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon) > 0$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  is equivalent to  $k$ -uniform convexity. Gurarii [5] proved that  $\delta_X(\epsilon)$  is continuous on  $[0, 2)$  and there exist spaces of which  $\delta_X(\epsilon) = 0$  for  $0 \leq \epsilon < 2$  and  $\delta_X(2) = 1$ . The continuity problem of  $\delta_X^{(k)}$  was mentioned in Kirk [6]. Let  $\mu_X^{(k)} = \sup\{A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) : x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in B_X\}$ . Note that  $\mu_X^{(1)} = 2$ . In this paper, we prove that  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon)$  is continuous on  $[0, \mu_X^{(k)})$ . It is quite evident that  $\Delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon)$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition with constant 1.

*Definition 1.* Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$ . A function  $f(\epsilon)$  on  $(a, b)$  is called  $k$ -convex if

$$f\left(\left(\lambda\epsilon_2^{1/k} + (1-\lambda)\epsilon_1^{1/k}\right)^k\right) \leq \lambda f(\epsilon_2) + (1-\lambda)f(\epsilon_1) \quad (4)$$

for every  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (a, b)$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ .

Obviously 1-convexity is simply the ordinary convexity.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$  and let  $f$  be a nondecreasing  $k$ -convex function on  $(a, b)$  with  $M = \sup_{a < x < y < b} (f(y) - f(x)) < \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$ ,  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (a, b)$ . Then

$$\frac{f(c) - f(\epsilon_1)}{c - \epsilon_1} \leq \frac{M}{k(\epsilon_2^{1/k} - \epsilon_1^{1/k})\epsilon_1^{1-1/k}} \quad (5)$$

for every  $\epsilon_1 < c < \epsilon_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z(x)$ ,  $\epsilon_1 \leq x \leq \epsilon_2$  be the function whose graph is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left(\lambda\epsilon_2^{1/k} + (1-\lambda)\epsilon_1^{1/k}\right)^k \\ y &= \lambda f(\epsilon_2) + (1-\lambda)f(\epsilon_1) \end{aligned} \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

By direct computations, we have

$$z'(x) = \frac{f(\epsilon_2) - f(\epsilon_1)}{k(\epsilon_2^{1/k} - \epsilon_1^{1/k})(\lambda\epsilon_2^{1/k} + (1-\lambda)\epsilon_1^{1/k})^{k-1}} \leq \frac{M}{k(\epsilon_2^{1/k} - \epsilon_1^{1/k})\epsilon_1^{1-1/k}}. \quad (7)$$

If  $\epsilon_1 < c < \epsilon_2$ , then by the  $k$ -convexity of  $f$  and the mean-value theorem,

$$\frac{f(c) - f(\epsilon_1)}{c - \epsilon_1} \leq \frac{z(c) - z(\epsilon_1)}{c - \epsilon_1} = z'(\psi) \leq \frac{M}{k(\epsilon_2^{1/k} - \epsilon_1^{1/k})\epsilon_1^{1-1/k}}. \quad (8)$$

□

The inequality in the following lemma is a consequence of a more general result proved in Bernal-Sullivan [1].

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in X$ . Then

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \leq \frac{1}{k!} k^{k/2} \|x_2 - x_1\| \cdots \|x_{k+1} - x_1\|. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Hadamard inequality says that if  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k$  are the rows (or columns) of a  $k \times k$  matrix, then

$$\det(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k) \leq \|r_1\|_2 \|r_2\|_2 \cdots \|r_k\|_2. \quad (10)$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Since the Euclidean norm of the  $j$ th column of the determinant in (3) is  $\leq k^{1/2} \|x_{j-1} - x_1\|$ , the inequality follows.  $\square$

The inequality in the next theorem for the case  $k = 1$  improves the one obtained in [5]. The general idea is similar to that in Goebel [3]. However, the reader should be aware that the assertion of Lemma 1 in that paper (that  $\delta(\epsilon)$  is convex) is incorrect; a counterexample can be found in [7] or [4].

THEOREM 4. Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then

$$\frac{\delta_X^{(k)}(c) - \delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon_1)}{c - \epsilon_1} \leq \frac{1}{k(\epsilon_2^{1/k} - \epsilon_1^{1/k})\epsilon_1^{1-1/k}} \quad (11)$$

for every  $0 < \epsilon_1 < c < \epsilon_2 < \mu_X^{(k)}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, in the following we will consider  $k = 2$  and will indicate how to generalize to general  $k$ . Note that if  $A(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ , then  $x_2 - x_1$  and  $x_3 - x_1$  are linearly independent.

For unit vectors  $u, u_{21}, u_{31}$ , and  $u_{32}$  in  $X$ , with  $\{u_{21}, u_{31}\}$  linearly independent, consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} N(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon) = \Big\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^3 : & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = \lambda u, x_2 - x_1 = \lambda_{21} u_{21}, \\ & x_3 - x_1 = \lambda_{31} u_{31}, x_3 - x_2 = \lambda_{32} u_{32} \\ & \text{for some } \lambda, \lambda_{21}, \lambda_{31}, \lambda_{32} \geq 0 \text{ and } A(x_1, x_2, x_3) \geq \epsilon \Big\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and define

$$\delta(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{\|x_1 + x_2 + x_3\|}{3} : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in N(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon) \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Obviously,  $\delta(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon)$  is nondecreasing and has values in  $[0, 1]$ .

If  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in N(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon_1)$ ,  $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \in N(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon_2)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= \lambda u, & x_2 - x_1 &= \lambda_{21} u_{21}, & x_3 - x_1 &= \lambda_{31} u_{31}, & x_3 - x_2 &= \lambda_{32} u_{32}, \\ y_1 + y_2 + y_3 &= \alpha u, & y_2 - y_1 &= \alpha_{21} u_{21}, & y_3 - y_1 &= \alpha_{31} u_{31}, & y_3 - y_2 &= \alpha_{32} u_{32} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for some  $\lambda, \lambda_{ij}, \alpha, \alpha_{ij} \geq 0$ , then by linear independence of  $\{u_{21}, u_{31}\}$ , there exists  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$\alpha_{21} = c\lambda_{21}, \quad \alpha_{31} = c\lambda_{31}, \quad \alpha_{32} = c\lambda_{32}. \quad (15)$$

Indeed,  $\lambda_{32}u_{32} = x_3 - x_2 = (x_3 - x_1) - (x_2 - x_1) = \lambda_{31}u_{31} - \lambda_{21}u_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{32}u_{32} = \alpha_{31}u_{31} - \alpha_{21}u_{21}$  imply

$$(\alpha_{32}\lambda_{31} - \lambda_{32}\alpha_{31})u_{31} - (\alpha_{32}\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{32}\alpha_{21})u_{21} = 0 \quad (16)$$

from which it follows that  $\alpha_{31}/\lambda_{31} = \alpha_{32}/\lambda_{32} = \alpha_{21}/\lambda_{21}$ .

Let

$$C(u_{21}, u_{31}) = \sup \left\{ \left| \begin{matrix} f_1(u_{21}) & f_1(u_{31}) \\ f_2(u_{21}) & f_2(u_{31}) \end{matrix} \right| : f_1, f_2 \in B_{X^*} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Then  $A(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \lambda_{21}\lambda_{31}C(u_{21}, u_{31}) \geq \epsilon_1$  and  $A(y_1, y_2, y_3) = c^2\lambda_{21}\lambda_{31}C(u_{21}, u_{31}) \geq \epsilon_2$ .

For  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ , let  $z_i = \zeta x_i + (1 - \zeta)y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 - z_1 &= (\zeta\lambda_{21} + (1 - \zeta)c\lambda_{21})u_{21} = (\zeta + (1 - \zeta)c)\lambda_{21}u_{21}, \\ z_3 - z_1 &= (\zeta + (1 - \zeta)c)\lambda_{31}u_{31}, \\ z_3 - z_2 &= (\zeta + (1 - \zeta)c)\lambda_{32}u_{32}, \\ z_1 + z_2 + z_3 &= (\zeta\lambda + (1 - \zeta)\alpha)u, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$A(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (\zeta + (1 - \zeta)c)^2\lambda_{21}\lambda_{31}C(u_{21}, u_{31}) \geq \left(\zeta\epsilon_1^{1/2} + (1 - \zeta)\epsilon_2^{1/2}\right)^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{\|z_1 + z_2 + z_3\|}{3} &= 1 - \frac{\|\zeta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + (1 - \zeta)(y_1 + y_2 + y_3)\|}{3} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\|\zeta\lambda u + (1 - \zeta)\alpha u\|}{3} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\zeta\lambda + (1 - \zeta)\alpha}{3} \\ &= \zeta\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\right) + (1 - \zeta)\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{3}\right) \\ &= \zeta\left(1 - \frac{\|x_1 + x_2 + x_3\|}{3}\right) + (1 - \zeta)\left(1 - \frac{\|y_1 + y_2 + y_3\|}{3}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta\left(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \left(\zeta\epsilon_1^{1/2} + (1 - \zeta)\epsilon_2^{1/2}\right)^2\right) \\ &\leq \zeta\delta(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon_1) + (1 - \zeta)\delta(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon_2). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_X^{(2)}(\epsilon) &= \inf \left\{ \delta(u, u_{21}, u_{31}, u_{32}; \epsilon) : \|u\| = \|u_{21}\| = \|u_{31}\| = \|u_{32}\| = 1, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \{u_{21}, u_{31}\} \text{ linearly independent} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and the inequality in Lemma 2 is preserved under passing to infimum, inequality (11) for  $k = 2$  follows.

For general  $k$ , we have  $\binom{k+1}{2} + 1$  unit vectors  $u, u_{21}, \dots$  and the proof is similar to the one above.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon)$  is continuous on  $[0, \mu_X^{(k)})$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\|x_1\| = 1$  and  $x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}$  in a small ball centered at  $x_1$ . Then, by Lemma 3,  $A(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$  is small. Since  $1 - \|x_1 + \dots + x_{k+1}\|/(k+1)$  is close to 0, we see that  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon)$  is continuous at 0.

Continuity of  $\delta_X^{(k)}(\epsilon)$  on  $(0, \mu_X^{(k)})$  follows immediately from the inequality (11).  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for his comments that led to a better formulation of the results in this paper.

## References

- [1] J. Bernal and F. Sullivan, *Multidimensional volumes, super-reflexivity and normal structure in Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983), no. 3, 501–513. [MR 84g:46021](#). [Zbl 526.46023](#).
- [2] J. A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), no. 3, 396–414. [CMP 1 501 880](#). [Zbl 015.35604](#).
- [3] K. Goebel, *Convexity of balls and fixed-point theorems for mappings with nonexpansive square*, Compositio Math. **22** (1970), 269–274. [MR 42#8355](#). [Zbl 202.12802](#).
- [4] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. [MR 92c:47070](#). [Zbl 708.47031](#).
- [5] V. I. Gurarii, *Differential properties of the convexity moduli of Banach spaces*, Mat. Issled. **2** (1967), no. 1, 141–148 (Russian). [MR 35#2127](#). [Zbl 232.46024](#).
- [6] W. A. Kirk, *Nonexpansive mappings in product spaces, set-valued mappings and  $k$ -uniform rotundity*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Part 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1983) (F. E. Browder, ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 45, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986, pp. 51–64. [MR 87i:47068](#). [Zbl 594.47048](#).
- [7] T. C. Lim, *The asymptotic center and fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings*, Ph.D. thesis, Dalhousie University, 1974.
- [8] P.-K. Lin,  *$k$ -uniform rotundity is equivalent to  $k$ -uniform convexity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **132** (1988), no. 2, 349–355. [MR 89i:46018](#). [Zbl 649.46014](#).
- [9] V. D. Milman, *Geometric theory of Banach spaces. II. Geometry of the unit ball*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **26** (1971), no. 6(162), 73–149 (Russian). [MR 54#8240](#). [Zbl 229.46017](#).
- [10] F. Sullivan, *A generalization of uniformly rotund Banach spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **31** (1979), no. 3, 628–636. [MR 80h:46023](#). [Zbl 422.46011](#).

TECK-CHEONG LIM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, 4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, FAIRFAX, VA 22030, USA

## Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

### Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2009
Publication Date	November 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Juan J. Nieto**, Departamento de Análisis Matemático,  
Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;  
[juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es](mailto:juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Donal O'Regan**, Department of Mathematics, National  
University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;  
[donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie](mailto:donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie)