

*Research Article*

## Meromorphic Functions Sharing a Small Function

Songmin Wang and Zongsheng Gao

Received 25 September 2006; Revised 18 January 2007; Accepted 26 March 2007

Recommended by Y. Giga

We will study meromorphic functions that share a small function, and prove the following result: let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two transcendental meromorphic functions in the complex plane and let  $n \geq 11$  be a positive integer. Assume that  $a(z)(\neq 0)$  is a common small function with respect to  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share  $a(z)$  CM, then either  $f^n(z)f'(z)g^n(z)g'(z) \equiv a^2(z)$ , or  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant satisfying  $t^{n+1} = 1$ . As applications, we give several examples.

Copyright © 2007 S. Wang and Z. Gao. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### 1. Introduction and main result

In this paper, a meromorphic function always means a function which is meromorphic in the whole complex plane. Let  $f(z)$  be a nonconstant meromorphic function. We use the following standard notations of value distribution theory:

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \overline{N}(r, f), N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right), \Theta(a, f) \\ = 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\overline{N}(r, 1/(f-a))}{T(r, f)}, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

(see [1–3]). We use  $S(r, f)$  to denote any function satisfying

$$S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}, \tag{1.2}$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , possibly outside of a set  $E$  with finite measure.

## 2 Abstract and Applied Analysis

Let  $a(z)$  be a meromorphic function in the complex plane. If  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ , then  $a(z)$  is called a small function related to  $f(z)$ .

Let  $b$  be a finite complex number. We denote by  $N_2(r, 1/(f - b))$  the counting function for zeros of  $f(z) - b$  (or poles of  $1/(f(z) - b)$ ) with multiplicity at most 2, and by  $\bar{N}_2(r, 1/(f - b))$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let  $N_{(2)}(r, 1/(f - b))$  be the counting function for zeros of  $f(z) - b$  with multiplicity at least 2 and  $\bar{N}_{(2)}(r, 1/(f - b))$  the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - b}\right). \quad (1.3)$$

Suppose that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are two meromorphic functions, and  $a(z)$  is a small function related to both of them. We say that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share the small function  $a(z)$  CM, if  $f(z) - a(z)$  and  $g(z) - a(z)$  assume the same zeros with the same multiplicities. We say that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share the value  $a$  CM if  $a(z) \equiv a(\in \bar{C})$  is constant.

In the 1920's, Nevanlinna [2] proved his famous four-valued theorem, which is an important result about uniqueness of meromorphic functions. Then many results about meromorphic functions that share more than or equal to two values have been obtained (see [4]). In 1997, Yang and Hua [5] studied meromorphic functions sharing one value.

**THEOREM 1.1** (see [5]). *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and let  $n \geq 11$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n(z)f'(z)$  and  $g^n(z)g'(z)$  share 1 CM, then either  $f(z) = c_1e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c$  are three constants satisfying  $(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$ , or  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

Fang and Qiu [6] investigated meromorphic functions sharing fixed point later.

**THEOREM 1.2** (see [6]). *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two nonconstant meromorphic(entire) functions and let  $n \geq 11$  ( $n \geq 6$ ) be a positive integer. If  $f^n(z)f'(z)$  and  $g^n(z)g'(z)$  share  $z$  CM, then either  $f(z) = c_1e^{cz^2}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2e^{-cz^2}$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c$  are three constants satisfying  $4(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$ , or  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

Recently, Banerjee [7] also studied meromorphic functions sharing one value, generating Theorem 1.1. In this paper, we extend the results above as follows.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two transcendental meromorphic functions, and let  $a(z)(\neq 0)$  be a common small function with respect to them, and let  $n \geq 11$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n(z)f'(z)$  and  $g^n(z)g'(z)$  share  $a(z)$  CM, then either  $f^n(z)f'(z)g^n(z)g'(z) \equiv a^2(z)$ , or  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .*

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1 (see [4]). *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane, and  $k$  is a positive integer. Then*

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (2.1)$$

LEMMA 2.2 (see [3, 4]). *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane, and  $a(\in C \cup \infty)$  is any complex number. Then,*

$$\sum_a \Theta(a, f) \leq 2. \quad (2.2)$$

LEMMA 2.3 (see [3, 4, 8]). *Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two meromorphic functions in the complex plane. If  $f$  and  $g$  share 1 CM, then one of the following cases must occur:*

- (i)  $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq 2\{N_2(r, 1/f) + N_2(r, 1/g) + N_2(r, f) + N_2(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$ ,
- (ii) either  $f \equiv g$  or  $fg \equiv 1$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $F(z) = f^n(z)f'(z)/a(z)$  and  $G(z) = g^n(z)g'(z)/a(z)$ . Then we know that  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  share 1 CM. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \quad (3.1)$$

By  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$  and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f'}\right) + N_2(r, a) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + \bar{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \left( n\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \right) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f'}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n+1} \left[ nN\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + n\bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \right] + S(r, f) \\ &= \frac{3}{n+1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f'}\right) + \frac{n-2}{n+1} \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

## 4 Abstract and Applied Analysis

Thus we have, by elementary Nevanlinna theory,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) &\leq \frac{3}{n+1}N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f'}\right) + \frac{n-2}{n+1}\overline{N}(r, f) + N_2(r, f^n f') + S(r, f) \\
&= \frac{3}{n+1}N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f'}\right) + \frac{n-2}{n+1}\overline{N}(r, f) + 2\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&= \frac{3}{n+1}N\left(r, \frac{1}{Fa}\right) + \frac{3n}{n+1}\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq \frac{3}{n+1}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \frac{3n}{(n+1)(n+2)}N(r, f^n f') + S(r, f) \\
&\leq \frac{3}{n+1}N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \frac{3n}{(n+1)(n+2)}N(r, F) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{3}{n+1} + \frac{3n}{(n+1)(n+2)}\right)T(r, F) + S(r, f) \\
&= \frac{6}{n+2}T(r, F) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, since

$$nT(r, f) = T\left(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a} \frac{a}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F) + 2T(r, f) + S(r, f), \tag{3.4}$$

we have  $S(r, f) = S(r, F)$ , and therefore,

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) \leq \frac{6}{n+2}T(r, F) + S(r, F). \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we have

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G) \leq \frac{6}{n+2}T(r, G) + S(r, G). \tag{3.6}$$

Combining with Lemma 2.3, suppose first that

$$T(r, F) + T(r, G) \leq 2\left\{N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, G)\right\} + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.5)–(3.7), we obtain

$$\frac{n-10}{n+2}\{T(r, F) + T(r, G)\} \leq S(r, F) + S(r, G). \tag{3.8}$$

Since both  $F$  and  $G$  are transcendental meromorphic functions and  $n \geq 11$ , then we deduce a contradiction from (3.8).

Therefore, we deduce that either  $F(z)G(z) \equiv 1$  or  $F(z) \equiv G(z)$  from Lemma 2.3, that is, either  $f^n(z)f'(z)g^n(z)g'(z) \equiv a^2(z)$  or  $f^{n+1}(z) \equiv g^{n+1}(z) + c$ , where  $c$  is a constant.

Suppose that  $c \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\infty, f^{n+1}) + \Theta(0, f^{n+1}) + \Theta(c, f^{n+1}) &= \Theta(\infty, f^{n+1}) + \Theta(0, f^{n+1}) + \Theta(0, g^{n+1}) \\ &\geq 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \geq \frac{33}{12},\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

which contradicts Lemma 2.2. Thus,  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ . The proof is complete.

*Remark 3.1.* At this time, it is not easy to obtain the representation of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  like in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Suppose that either  $a(z)$  is an entire function or all of its poles are simple. Set

$$\frac{f'}{f} = m(z), \quad \frac{g'}{g} = n(z), \tag{3.10}$$

then we get  $f(z) = c_1 e^{\int_{z_0}^z m(z) dz}$  and  $g(z) = c_2 e^{\int_{z_0}^z n(z) dz}$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are two nonzero constants, and the integral path  $[z_0, z](z_0 \neq z)$  does not pass the poles of either  $m(z)$  or  $n(z)$ . Combining with the equality in Theorem 1.3

$$f^n(z) f'(z) g^n(z) g'(z) \equiv a^2(z), \tag{3.11}$$

we have

$$(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} e^{(n+1)(\int_{z_0}^z m(z) dz + \int_{z_0}^z n(z) dz)} m(z) n(z) \equiv a^2(z). \tag{3.12}$$

In particular,

$$f(z) = c_1 e^{c \int_{z_0}^z a(z) dz}, \quad g(z) = c_2 e^{-c \int_{z_0}^z a(z) dz}, \tag{3.13}$$

if  $m(z) = -n(z) = ca(z)$ , where  $c$  is a constant such that  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ . Hence (3.13) is one of the representations of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  which can be obtained from (3.11) under the condition.

*Example 3.2.* If  $a(z) = e^z$ , then by Theorem 1.3 and the Remark 3.1, we can obtain two representations of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ :  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ ;  $f(z) = c_1 e^{ce^z}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-ce^z}$ , where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c$  are three constants satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ .

*Example 3.3.* Suppose that

$$a(z) = \frac{2z^3 - 2z^2 + 1}{z^2 - z}, \tag{3.14}$$

then by Remark 3.1, we have

$$f(z) = c_1 e^{c \int_{z_0}^z a(z) dz} = c_1 \left( \frac{z-1}{z} \right)^c e^{cz^2}, \quad g(z) = c_2 e^{-c \int_{z_0}^z a(z) dz} = c_2 \left( \frac{z}{z-1} \right)^c e^{-cz^2}, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c$  are three constants satisfying  $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ . This is one of the relations of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ .

## 6 Abstract and Applied Analysis

*Example 3.4.* If  $a(z)$  has a pole of order  $m (> 1)$ , then we cannot get (3.13). Suppose that

$$a(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{z^2}, \quad (3.16)$$

then  $f(z) = c_1 e^{-c/z} e^{cz}$  and  $g(z) = c_2 e^{c/z} e^{-cz}$  are not meromorphic functions in the complex plane.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the unknown referee for careful examination and valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper. The project is supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, Grant no. 2005CB321902).

### References

- [1] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1964.
- [2] R. Nevanlinna, *Les Théorèmes de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes*, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, France, 1929.
- [3] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [4] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, vol. 557 of *Mathematics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [5] C.-C. Yang and X. Hua, “Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions,” *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Mathematica*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 395–406, 1997.
- [6] M. L. Fang and H. L. Qiu, “Meromorphic functions that share fixed-points,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 268, no. 2, pp. 426–439, 2002.
- [7] A. Banerjee, “Meromorphic functions sharing one value,” *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2005, no. 22, pp. 3587–3598, 2005.
- [8] E. Mues and M. Reinders, “Meromorphic functions sharing one value and unique range sets,” *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 515–522, 1995.

Songmin Wang: LMIB and Department of Mathematics, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China  
*Email address:* wsmin@ss.buaa.edu.cn

Zongsheng Gao: LMIB and Department of Mathematics, Beihang University, Beijing 100083, China  
*Email address:* zshgao@buaa.edu.cn

## Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

### Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Edson Denis Leonel**, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; [edleonel@rc.unesp.br](mailto:edleonel@rc.unesp.br)

**Alexander Loskutov**, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; [loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru](mailto:loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru)