

QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS OF RESONANT TYPE AND NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

PABLO L. DE NÁPOLI AND M. CRISTINA MARIANI

Received 20 February 2001

This work is devoted to the study of a quasilinear elliptic system of resonant type. We prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of a related nonlinear eigenvalue problem. Applying an abstract minimax theorem, we obtain a solution of the quasilinear system $-\Delta_p u = F_u(x, u, v)$, $-\Delta_q v = F_v(x, u, v)$, under conditions involving the first and the second eigenvalues.

1. Introduction

1.1. The problem and some previous results. We consider a gradient elliptic system

$$-\Delta_p u = F_u(x, u, v), \quad -\Delta_q v = F_v(x, u, v). \quad (1.1)$$

Elliptic problems involving the p -Laplacian have been studied by several authors (cf. [3, 7, 8, 10, 11]). We recall some results from the work of Boccardo and de Figueiredo [4].

It is well known that the solutions of (1.1) in $W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ are the critical points of the functional

$$\Phi(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) \quad (1.2)$$

under the following three assumptions:

- (1) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $1 < p, q < N$, so that the following continuous embeddings hold:

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega), \quad W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \subset L^{q^*}(\Omega); \quad (1.3)$$

(2) $F : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 and verifies the following growth condition:

$$|F(x, s, t)| \leq c(1 + |s|^{p^*} + |t|^{q^*}) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}; s, t \in \mathbb{R}; \tag{1.4}$$

(3) in order to have $\Phi \in C^1(W, \mathbb{R})$, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} |F_s(x, s, t)| &\leq C(1 + |s|^{p^*-1} + |t|^{q^*(p^*-1)/p^*}) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}; s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |F_t(x, s, t)| &\leq C(1 + |t|^{q^*-1} + |s|^{p^*(q^*-1)/q^*}) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}; s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

The geometry of Φ depends strongly on the values of α and β in the estimate

$$|F(x, s, t)| \leq c(1 + |s|^\alpha + |t|^\beta) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}; s, t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\alpha \leq p^*$, $\beta \leq q^*$. In this work we are interested in the case $\alpha = p$, $\beta = q$ (systems of resonant type).

In our case, it is quite adequate to assume the following condition on F : consider the function

$$L(x, s, t) = \frac{1}{p}F_s(x, s, t)s + \frac{1}{q}F_t(x, s, t)t - F(x, s, t). \tag{1.7}$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{\|(s,t)\| \rightarrow \infty} L(x, s, t) = \pm\infty \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.8}$$

This assumption implies that Φ satisfies the following compactness Cerami condition.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a Banach space and $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. Given $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that Φ satisfies condition (C_c) , if

- (1) any bounded sequence $(u_n) \subset X$ such that $\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ has a convergent subsequence;
- (2) there exist constants $\delta, R, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi'(u)\| \|u\| \geq \alpha \quad \forall u \in \Phi^{-1}([c - \delta, c + \delta]) \text{ with } \|u\| \geq R. \tag{1.9}$$

If $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies condition (C_c) for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that Φ satisfies condition (C) .

Condition (C) was introduced by Cerami [5]. It was shown in [2] that from condition (C) it is possible to obtain a deformation lemma, that is fundamental in order to get minimax theorems.

In order to avoid resonance, Boccardo and de Figueiredo [4] introduced an assumption on F involving an eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - aG_u(u, v) &= \lambda|u|^{p-2}u, \\ -\Delta_q v - aG_v(u, v) &= \lambda|v|^{q-2}v, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where $a = a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and G is a C^1 even function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$G(c^{1/p}s, c^{1/q}t) = cG(s, t) \quad \forall c > 0, \tag{1.11}$$

$$G(s, t) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{p}|s|^p + \frac{1}{q}|t|^q \right). \tag{1.12}$$

We call such a G a (p, q) homogeneous function.

It is easy to see that (1.11) implies (1.12). A (p, q) -homogeneous function satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p}G_s(s, t)s + \frac{1}{q}G_t(s, t)t = G(s, t). \tag{1.13}$$

Examples of (p, q) homogeneous functions are

- (1) $G(s, t) = c_1|s|^p + c_2|t|^q$,
- (2) $G(s, t) = c|s|^\alpha|t|^\beta$ with $\alpha/p + \beta/q = 1$ where c, c_1, c_2 are constants.

The following results are proved in [4].

THEOREM 1.2. *Problem (1.10), with G as above, has a first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(a)$, characterized variationally by*

$$\lambda_1(a) = \inf_{(u,v) \neq (0,0)} \frac{(1/p) \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + (1/q) \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^q - \int_\Omega aG(u, v)}{(1/p) \int_\Omega |u|^p + (1/q) \int_\Omega |v|^q} \tag{1.14}$$

which depends continuously on a in the L^∞ -norm.

THEOREM 1.3. *Assume (1.5), (1.6) with $\alpha = p, \beta = q$, and that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *there exist positive numbers c, R, μ , and ν such that*

$$\frac{1}{p}sF_s(x, s, t) + \frac{1}{q}tF_t(x, s, t) - F(x, s, t) \geq c(|s|^\mu + |t|^\nu) \quad \text{for } |s|, |t| > R, \tag{1.15}$$

- (2) *there exists G as above, such that*

$$\limsup_{|s|, |t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, s, t)}{G(s, t)} \leq a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), \tag{1.16}$$

where $\lambda_1(a) > 0$.

Then the functional Φ is bounded from below and the infimum is achieved.

1.2. The existence of infinitely many eigenfunctions. Let \mathcal{C} be the class of compact symmetric ($C = -C$) subsets of the space W . We recall that for $C \in \mathcal{C}$ the Krasnoselskii genus $\text{gen}(C)$ is defined as the minimum integer n such that there exists an odd continuous mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ (cf. [1]). We note

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \{C \in \mathcal{C} : \text{gen}(C) \geq k\}. \tag{1.17}$$

For an arbitrary symmetric subset S of $W - \{0\}$ the genus over compact sets $\gamma(S)$ is defined by

$$\gamma(S) = \sup \{ \text{gen}(C) : C \subset S, C \in \mathcal{C}, C \text{ compact} \}. \tag{1.18}$$

Now we may state our main result on the eigenvalue problem.

THEOREM 1.4. *The eigenvalue problem (1.10), with G as above, has infinitely many eigenfunctions given by*

$$\lambda_k(a, G) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k} \sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{(1/p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + (1/q) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \int_{\Omega} aG(u, v)}{(1/p) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p + (1/q) \int_{\Omega} |v|^q} \tag{1.19}$$

and $\lambda_k(a, G) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, λ_k depends continuously on a in the L^∞ -norm.

Remark 1.5. Equivalently if we define

$$S = \left\{ (u, v) \in W : \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q = 1 \right\}, \tag{1.20}$$

we have

$$\lambda_k(a, G) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k, C \subset S} \sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q - \int_{\Omega} aG(u, v). \tag{1.21}$$

We will write $\lambda_k(a)$ instead of $\lambda_k(a, G)$, when the dependence on the (p, q) -homogeneous function G is clear from the context.

1.3. The existence result for resonant systems. Applying [Theorem 1.4](#) and an abstract minimax principle from [\[9\]](#), we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.6. *Assume that $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies (1.5), (1.6) with $\alpha = p$, $\beta = q$, (1.8), and that $a_1, a_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfy*

$$a_1(x) \leq \liminf_{|s|, |t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, s, t)}{G_1(s, t)} \leq \limsup_{|s|, |t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, s, t)}{G_2(s, t)} \leq a_2(x) \tag{1.22}$$

with G_1 and G_2 two (p, q) -homogeneous functions and $\lambda_1(a_1, G_1) < 0 < \lambda_2(a_2, G_2)$, where $\lambda_1(a_1, G_1), \lambda_2(a_2, G_2)$ are given by (1.19). Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Remark 1.7. The conditions above could be reformulated in terms of a different eigenvalue problem, for $a \in L^\infty(\Omega), a(x) > 0$

$$-\Delta_p u = \mu a G_u(u, v), \quad -\Delta_q v = \mu a G_v(u, v). \tag{1.23}$$

This problem also has infinitely many eigenvalues given by

$$\mu_k(a) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_k} \sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{(1/p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + (1/q) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p}{\int_{\Omega} aG(u, v)}. \tag{1.24}$$

The condition $\lambda_1(a) < 0$ is equivalent to $\mu_1(a) < 1$, and the condition $\lambda_2(a) > 0$ is equivalent to $\mu_2(a) > 1$.

Remark 1.8. As an example for [Theorem 1.6](#), we may take

$$G_1(s, t) = G_2(s, t) = |s|^\alpha |t|^\beta \tag{1.25}$$

with $\alpha/p + \beta/q = 1$;

$$F(x, s, t) = \lambda |s|^\alpha |t|^\beta + c |s|^\mu |t|^\delta, \tag{1.26}$$

where $c \neq 0$ is a constant, and we assume that

$$\mu_1(1) < \lambda < \mu_2(1) \tag{1.27}$$

and $\mu < \alpha, \delta < \beta$, where $\mu_1(1), \mu_2(1)$ are defined as above (with $a \equiv 1$).

2. The eigenvalue problem

2.1. The functional framework. We apply the following abstract theorem due to Amann [1].

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:*

- X is a real Banach space of infinite dimension, that is uniformly convex;
- $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is an odd potential operator (i.e., A is the Gateaux derivative of $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) which is uniformly continuous on bounded sets, and satisfies condition (S)₁: if $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly in X) and $A(u_j) \rightarrow v$, then $u_j \rightarrow u$ (strongly in X).
- For a given constant $\alpha > 0$, the level set

$$M_\alpha = \{u \in X : \mathcal{A}(u) = \alpha\} \tag{2.1}$$

is bounded and each ray through the origin intersects M_α . Moreover, for every $u \neq 0$, $\langle A(u), u \rangle > 0$ and there exists a constant $\rho_\alpha > 0$ such that $\langle A(u), u \rangle \geq \rho_\alpha$ on M_α .

- The mapping $B : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a strongly sequentially continuous odd potential operator (with potential \mathcal{B}), such that $\mathcal{B}(u) \neq 0$ implies that $B(u) \neq 0$.

Let

$$\beta_k = \sup_{C \in \mathcal{C}, C \subset M_\alpha} \inf_{u \in C} \mathcal{B}(u). \tag{2.2}$$

Then if $\beta_k > 0$, there exists an eigenfunction $u_k \in M_\alpha$ with $\mathcal{B}(u) = \beta_k$. If

$$\gamma(\{u \in M_\alpha : \mathcal{B}(u) \neq 0\}) = \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

then there exist infinitely many eigenfunctions.

We will work in the Banach space

$$W = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \tag{2.4}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_W = \sqrt{\|u\|_p^2 + \|v\|_q^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

As each factor is uniformly convex, we can conclude that W is uniformly convex (see [6]). Given $(u^*, v^*) \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \oplus W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$ we may think of it as an element of W^* :

$$\langle (u^*, v^*), (u, v) \rangle = \langle u^*, u \rangle + \langle v^*, v \rangle. \tag{2.6}$$

Then we have $W^* \cong W^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \oplus W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$ (isometric isomorphism), where the norm in W^* is given by

$$\|(u^*, v^*)\|_{W^*} = \sqrt{\|u^*\|^2 + \|v^*\|^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

With the notations of [Theorem 2.1](#), we define

$$\mathcal{A}_0(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(u, v) = \mathcal{A}_0(u, v) - \int_{\Omega} aG(u, v) + M \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \right), \tag{2.9}$$

with a and G as in the statement of [Theorem 1.4](#), and M a fixed constant such that $M > K \|a\|_{L^\infty}$, where K is the constant in (1.12).

We write \mathcal{A}_a instead of \mathcal{A} when we want to remark the dependence on the weight a

$$\begin{aligned} A(u, v) &= (-\Delta_p u - aG_u(u, v) + M|u|^{p-2}u, -\Delta_q v - aG_v(u, v) + M|v|^{q-2}v), \\ \mathcal{B}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q, \\ B(u, v) &= (|u|^{p-2}u, |v|^{q-2}v). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

In order to apply [Theorem 2.1](#), we prove the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. (1) A is uniformly continuous on bounded sets.

(2) A verifies the $(S)_1$ condition.

Proof. We write $A = A_1 - A_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(u, v) &= (-\Delta_p u, -\Delta_q v), \\ A_2(u, v) &= (aG_u(u, v) - M|u|^{p-2}u, aG_v(u, v) - M|v|^{q-2}v). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We claim that $A_2 : W \rightarrow W^*$ verifies that: if $(u_j, v_j) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$ in W , then $A_2(u_j, v_j) \rightarrow A_2(u, v)$ in W^* .

Indeed, if $(u_j, v_j) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$, then

$$(u_j, v_j) \longrightarrow (u, v) \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega) \tag{2.12}$$

and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} G_u(u_j, v_j) &\longrightarrow G_u(u, v) \quad \text{in } L^{p'}(\Omega), \\ G_v(u_j, v_j) &\longrightarrow G_v(u, v) \quad \text{in } L^q(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Hence, $A_2(u_j, v_j) \rightarrow A_2(u, v)$ in W^* .

Let $(u_j, v_j) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$ in W such that

$$A(u_j, v_j) \longrightarrow (z, w). \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore $A_2(u_j, v_j) \rightarrow A_2(u, v)$ and then $A_1(u_j, v_j) \rightarrow (z, w) + A_2(u, v)$. Since A_1 verifies condition $(S)_1$, it follows that $(u_j, v_j) \rightarrow (u, v)$. \square

LEMMA 2.3. (1) *The set $M_\alpha = \{(u, v) \in W : \mathcal{A} = \alpha\}$ is bounded.*

(2) *Every ray $t \cdot (u, v)$ with $(u, v) \neq 0$ intersects M_α .*

(3) *There exists a constant $\rho_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\langle A(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \leq \rho_\alpha. \tag{2.15}$$

(4) *Condition (2.3) is satisfied.*

Proof. (1) As we have fixed $M > K\|a\|_{L^\infty}$ on M_α , then

$$\alpha = \mathcal{A}(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{q}|\nabla v|^q \tag{2.16}$$

and the proof is complete.

(2) Let $f(c) = \mathcal{A}(c(u, v))$, $f(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(c) &= \frac{c^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{c^q}{q} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^q \\ &\quad - \int_\Omega aG(cu, cv) + M \left(\frac{c^p}{p} \int_\Omega |u|^p + \frac{c^q}{q} \int_\Omega |v|^q \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

From (1.12) and the choice of M , we have

$$f(c) \geq \frac{c^p}{p} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + \frac{c^q}{q} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^q \longrightarrow +\infty \tag{2.18}$$

as $c \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is continuous, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(c) = \alpha$.

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(u, v), (u, v) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} a[G_u(u, v)u + G_v(u, v)v] + M \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Then, using (1.13)

$$\langle A(u, v), (u, v) \rangle \geq \min\{p, q\} \mathcal{A}(u, v) = \min\{p, q\} \alpha. \quad (2.20)$$

(4) In order to see that $\gamma(M_\alpha) \geq k$, it is enough to show that M_α contains subsets homeomorphic to the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^k by an odd homeomorphism. Hence, the proof is completed. \square

2.2. The continuous dependence of $\lambda_k(a)$ on a . In this section we prove that the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ depends continuously on the weight a in the L^∞ -norm. This result will be used for proving Lemma 3.3.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ depends continuously on a in the L^∞ -norm.*

Proof. We have

$$|\mathcal{A}_a(u, v) - \mathcal{A}_b(u, v)| \leq K \|a - b\|_{L^\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v|^q \right), \quad (2.21)$$

where K is given by condition (1.12), with $\mathcal{A}_a, \mathcal{A}_b$ as above. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}_k$, $C \subset S$ such that

$$\sup_{(u, v) \in C} \mathcal{A}_a(u, v) \leq \lambda_k(a) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (2.22)$$

Then for any $(u, v) \in C$, if $\|a - b\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta = \varepsilon/2K$ we get

$$\mathcal{A}_b(u, v) \leq \mathcal{A}_a(u, v) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \lambda_k(a) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.23)$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{(u, v) \in C} \mathcal{A}_b(u, v) \leq \lambda_2(a) + \varepsilon \quad (2.24)$$

and we obtain

$$\lambda_k(b) \leq \lambda_k(a) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.25)$$

By reversing the roles of a and b , we get $|\lambda_k(a) - \lambda_k(b)| \leq \varepsilon$. \square

3. Proof of the existence theorem

3.1. A minimax principle. Our main tool for proving [Theorem 1.6](#) will be an abstract minimax principle due to El Amrouss and Moussaoui [\[9\]](#).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let Φ be a C^1 functional on X satisfying condition (C), let Q be a closed connected subset of X such that $\partial Q \cap \partial(-Q) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that*

- (1) *for every $K \in \mathcal{C}_2$ there exists $v_K \in K$ such that $\Phi(v_K) \geq \beta$ and $\Phi(-v_K) \geq \beta$,*
- (2) *$a = \sup_{\partial Q} \Phi < \beta$,*
- (3) *$\sup_Q \Phi < \infty$.*

Then Φ has a critical value $c \geq \beta$ given by

$$c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \sup_{x \in Q} \Phi(h(x)), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Gamma = \{h \in C(X, X) : h(x) = x \text{ for every } x \in \partial Q\}$.

3.2. Compactness conditions

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that F satisfies [\(1.6\)](#), [\(1.8\)](#), and [\(1.22\)](#). Then the functional Φ , given by [\(1.2\)](#), satisfies the Cerami condition.*

Proof. In a similar way to [\[9, Lemma 3.1\]](#), we see that the first condition in [Definition 1.1](#) holds.

We will prove that the second condition in [Definition 1.1](#) holds, in the case $L(x, s, t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|(s, t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ (the case $L(x, s, t) \rightarrow +\infty$ is similar). To do that, assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W$ such that

$$\Phi(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \varepsilon_n = \|\Phi'(u_n, v_n)\| \|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} \langle \Phi_u(u_n, v_n), u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{q} \langle \Phi_v(u_n, v_n), v_n \rangle - \Phi(u_n, v_n) \right| \rightarrow c \tag{3.3}$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p} F_u(x, u_n, v_n) u_n + \frac{1}{q} F_v(x, u_n, v_n) v_n - F(x, u_n, v_n) \right| = c. \tag{3.4}$$

We define

$$z_n = \alpha_n^{1/p} u_n, \quad w_n = \alpha_n^{1/q} v_n, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_0(u_n, v_n)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}$$

with \mathcal{A}_0 given by definition (2.8). We have that $\mathcal{A}_0(z_n, w_n) = 1$ so (z_n, w_n) is bounded in W . After passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &\rightharpoonup z && \text{in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ w_n &\rightharpoonup w && \text{in } W^{1,q}(\Omega), \\ z_n &\rightarrow z && \text{in } L^p(\Omega), \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \\ w_n &\rightarrow w && \text{in } L^q(\Omega), \text{ a.e. in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now we show that $(z, w) \neq (0, 0)$

$$\frac{\Phi(u_n, v_n)}{\mathcal{A}_0(u_n, v_n)} = 1 - \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\mathcal{A}_0(u_n, v_n)}. \tag{3.8}$$

From (1.22), we get that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$F(x, s, t) \leq (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(s, t) + C_\varepsilon. \tag{3.9}$$

As a consequence

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n) \leq \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(u_n, v_n) + C_\varepsilon|\Omega|, \tag{3.10}$$

then

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n, v_n)}{\mathcal{A}_0(u_n, v_n)} \leq \alpha_n \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(u_n, v_n) + C_\varepsilon|\Omega|\alpha_n. \tag{3.11}$$

Since

$$\alpha_n \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(u_n, v_n) = \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(z_n, w_n) \tag{3.12}$$

in the limit we get

$$0 \geq 1 - \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon)G_2(z, w) \tag{3.13}$$

and we conclude that $G_2(z, w) \neq 0$.

Let

$$L(x, s, t) = \frac{1}{p}F_s(x, s, t)s + \frac{1}{q}F_t(x, s, t)t - F(x, s, t). \tag{3.14}$$

By (1.8) (and since L is continuous), $L(x, s, t) \leq -M$. It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} L(x, u_n, v_n) \leq \int_{\{G_2(z, w) \neq 0\}} L(x, u_n, v_n) + M|\{x : G_2(z(x), w(x)) = 0\}|. \tag{3.15}$$

Note that

$$\alpha_n G_2(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow G_2(z, w). \tag{3.16}$$

So in the set $\{x : G_2(z(x), w(x)) \neq 0\}$, $G_2(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow +\infty$, and then by (1.11), we have that $u_n(x), v_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $L(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ by condition (1.8). Hence the first integral tends to $-\infty$ by Fatou lemma, and we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} L(x, u_n, v_n) = -\infty. \tag{3.17}$$

This contradicts (3.4), and the proof is completed. □

3.3. Geometric conditions. In this section we show that the functional Φ satisfies the geometric conditions of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let F satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.6. Then the functional Φ , given by (1.2), satisfies*

- (1) *there exists $(\varphi, \psi) \in W$ such that $\Phi(c^{1/p}\varphi, c^{1/q}\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $c \rightarrow +\infty$;*
- (2) *for every $K \in \mathcal{C}_2$ there exists $(u_K, v_K) \in K$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi(u_K, v_K) \geq \beta$ and $\Phi(-u_K, -v_K) \geq \beta$.*

Proof. (1) As $\lambda_1(a, G_1) < 0$, we may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda_1(a_1 - \varepsilon, G_1) < 0$. Let (φ, ψ) be the first eigenfunction for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u - (a_1(x) - \varepsilon)G_{1u}(u, v) &= \lambda|u|^{p-2}u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v - (a_1(x) - \varepsilon)G_{1v}(u, v) &= \lambda|v|^{q-2}v && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v &= 0 && \text{in } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

normalized by

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^q = 1. \tag{3.19}$$

Then, using (1.13), we get

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q - \int_{\Omega} (a_1(x) - \varepsilon)G_1(u, v) = \lambda_1(a_1 - \varepsilon, G_1). \tag{3.20}$$

By (1.22), we have

$$F(x, s, t) \geq (a_1(x) - \varepsilon)G_1(s, t) - C_{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.21}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(c^{1/p}\varphi, c^{1/q}\psi) &\leq c \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} (a_1(x) - \varepsilon)G_1(\varphi, \psi) \right) + C_{\varepsilon}|\Omega| \\ &\leq c\lambda_1(a_1 - \varepsilon, G_1) + C_{\varepsilon}|\Omega|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and so $\Phi(c^{1/p}\varphi, c^{1/q}\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $c \rightarrow +\infty$.

(2) Since $\lambda_2(a_2, G_2) > 0$, we may choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\lambda_2(a_2 + \varepsilon, G_2) > 0$. Given $K \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and this $\varepsilon > 0$, we claim that there exists $(u_K, v_K) \in K$ verifying

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(a_2 + \varepsilon, G_2) & \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u_K|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v_K|^q \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_K|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_K|^q - \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon) G_2(u_K, v_K). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

By (1.22), we have

$$F(x, s, t) \leq (a_2(x) + \varepsilon) G_2(s, t) + C_\varepsilon. \tag{3.24}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_K, v_K) & \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_K|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_K|^q \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} (a_2(x) + \varepsilon) G_2(u_K, v_K) - C_\varepsilon |\Omega| \\ & \geq \lambda_2(a_2 + \varepsilon, G_2) \left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u_K|^p + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |v_K|^q \right) - C_\varepsilon |\Omega| \\ & \geq -C_\varepsilon |\Omega| = \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi(-u_K, -v_K) \geq -C_\varepsilon |\Omega| = \beta. \tag{3.26}$$

□

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.6. We apply Theorem 3.1. We take

$$Q = \{ (|c|^{1/p-1} c\varphi, |c|^{1/q-1} c\psi), -R \leq c \leq R \}, \tag{3.27}$$

where (φ, ψ) is given by Lemma 3.3. Q is closed and compact (it is the image of $[-R, R]$ under a continuous mapping). Also $\partial Q = \partial(-Q) = \{ (\pm R^{1/p} \varphi, \pm R^{1/q} \psi) \} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.3 if we choose R big enough, we have

$$\sup_{\partial Q} \Phi < \beta. \tag{3.28}$$

Also $\sup_Q \Phi < +\infty$ since Q is compact and Φ is continuous. The functional Φ verifies condition (C) by Lemma 3.2. Then all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled and the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgment

The authors thank specially Prof. J. P. Gossez and the referee for their careful reading of the manuscript and their fruitful suggestions and remarks.

References

- [1] H. Amann, *Lusternik-Schnirelman theory and non-linear eigenvalue problems*, Math. Ann. **199** (1972), 55–72.
- [2] P. Bartolo, V. Benci, and D. Fortunato, *Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with “strong” resonance at infinity*, Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1983), no. 9, 981–1012.
- [3] J. M. Bezerra do Ó, *Solutions to perturbed eigenvalue problems of the p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n* , Electron. J. Differential Equations **1997** (1997), 1–15.
- [4] L. Boccardo and D. G. de Figueiredo, *Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations*, preprint, 1997, http://www.ime.unicamp.br/rel_pesq/1997/rp51-97.html.
- [5] G. Cerami, *Un criterio di esistenza per i punti critici su varietà illimitate* [An existence criterion for the critical points on unbounded manifolds], Istit. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A **112** (1978), no. 2, 332–336 (Italian).
- [6] M. M. Day, *Some more uniformly convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1941), 504–507.
- [7] P. de Nápoli and M. C. Mariani, *Three solutions for quasilinear equations in \mathbb{R}^n near resonance*, Proceedings of the USA-Chile Workshop on Nonlinear Analysis (Viña del Mar-Valparaíso, 2000), Southwest Texas State Univ., Texas, 2001, pp. 131–140.
- [8] G. Dinca, P. Jebelean, and J. Mawhin, *Variational and Topological Methods for Dirichlet Problems with p -Laplacian*, Recherches de mathématique, Inst. de Math. Pure et Appliquée, Univ. Cath. de Louvain, 1998.
- [9] A. R. El Amrouss and M. Moussaoui, *Minimax principles for critical-point theory in applications to quasilinear boundary-value problems*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2000** (2000), no. 18, 1–9.
- [10] J. Fleckinger, R. F. Manásevich, N. M. Stavrakakis, and F. de Thélin, *Principal eigenvalues for some quasilinear elliptic equations on \mathbf{R}^N* , Adv. Differential Equations **2** (1997), no. 6, 981–1003.
- [11] J.-P. Gossez, *Some remarks on the antimaximum principle*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **41** (1998), no. 1, 79–83.

PABLO L. DE NÁPOLI: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

E-mail address: pdenapo@dm.uba.ar

M. CRISTINA MARIANI: DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

E-mail address: mcmarian@dm.uba.ar

Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Lean Yu, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; yulean@amss.ac.cn

Shouyang Wang, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; sywang@amss.ac.cn

K. K. Lai, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; mssklai@cityu.edu.hk