

THE INTEGRAL WAVELET TRANSFORM IN WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

NGUYEN MINH CHUONG AND TA NGOC TRI

Received 27 September 2001

The integral wavelet transform is defined in weighted Sobolev spaces, in which some properties of the transform as well as its asymptotical behaviour for small dilation parameter are studied.

1. Preliminaries and notations

It is well known that the integral wavelet transform is a very powerful tool to study sciences and technology. In [5] wavelet theory has been investigated in very much functional spaces, even in BMO, VMO (for further details of those spaces, please refer to [5] and the references therein) even for pseudodifferential operators, however, the integral wavelet transform in weighted Sobolev spaces has not been studied yet neither in [5] nor in any other work.

The aim of this paper is to study this unsolved problem.

Let $\omega_\mu(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\omega_\mu(x) > 0$, for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for each x ,

$$\omega_\mu(x+y) \leq C_{1,\mu} \omega_\mu(x), \quad (1.1)$$

for almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where μ is a multi-index.

We use the Sobolev space with weighted norm defined as follows:

$$W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \partial^k f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), |k| \leq m\} \quad (1.2)$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{m,p,\omega} = \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |\partial^\mu f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, $|\mu| = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n$, $\mu_i \geq 0$.

Copyright © 2002 Hindawi Publishing Corporation

Abstract and Applied Analysis 7:3 (2002) 135–142

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42Cxx, 42C40, 65Txx, 65T60

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S1085337502000775>

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space of all differentiable functions φ on \mathbb{R}^n such that for all multi-indices α and β

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha (D^\beta \varphi(x))| < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : f \mapsto \hat{f}$ is given by

$$\hat{f}(y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-i(x,y)} dx, \quad (1.5)$$

where $(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ (see [1, 2, 4]).

As traditionally, it is not difficult to prove that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we recall that a basic wavelet is a nontrivial function $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that its integral on \mathbb{R}^n is 0 and its Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}(\xi)$ satisfies the condition

$$(2\pi)^n \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{\psi}(a\xi)|^2}{a} da, \quad (1.6)$$

denoted by C_ψ which is a constant for every $\xi \neq 0$ and $C_\psi \neq 0$.

With a basic wavelet ψ and a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the following integral:

$$(L_\psi f)(b, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|a|^n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) f(t) dt, \quad (1.7)$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (see [3, 5, 6]).

2. Some properties

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then*

$$\|(L_\psi f)(\cdot, a)\|_{m,p,\omega} \leq C \|f\|_{m,p,\omega}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$ and fixed, C is a constant independent of f .

Proof. Obviously we have

$$(L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} (f * D^{-a} \bar{\psi})(\cdot), \quad (2.2)$$

where $D^a : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(D^a \psi)(a) = |a|^{-n/2} \psi(x/a)$; $a \neq 0$.

Since $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the differentiation and integration can be interchanged

$$\partial^\mu (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} (D^{-a} \bar{\psi} * \partial^\mu f)(\cdot). \quad (2.3)$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) \|_{m,p,\omega} \\
&= \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\partial^\mu (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a)|^p d(\cdot) \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial^\mu f)(x-y) (D^{-a} \bar{\psi})(y) dy \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\partial^\mu f)(x-y)|^p |(D^{-a} \bar{\psi})(y)|^p \omega_\mu(x) dx \right)^{1/p} dy \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^{-a} \bar{\psi}(y)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x-y)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x-y)|^p dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(u+y) |(\partial^\mu f)(u)|^p du \\
&\leq C_{1,\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(u) |(\partial^\mu f)(u)|^p du.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\partial^\mu (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a)|^p d(\cdot) \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \frac{(C_{1,\mu})^{1/p}}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^{-a} \bar{\psi}(y)| dy \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C_\mu \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$C_\mu = \frac{(C_{1,\mu})^{1/p}}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} |a|^{n/2} \|\psi\|_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore,

$$\| (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) \|_{m,p,\omega} \leq C \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$C = \max_{|\mu| \leq m} C_\mu, \tag{2.9}$$

that is,

$$\| (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) \|_{m,p,\omega} \leq C \| f \|_{m,p,\omega}. \quad (2.10)$$

□

By [Proposition 2.1](#), we extend $(L_\psi f(\cdot, a))$ for fixed a to a continuous mapping from $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself. It is called the *integral wavelet transform in weighted Sobolev space*.

THEOREM 2.2. *If ψ and φ are basic wavelets and f, g belong to $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the following estimate holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) - (L_\varphi g)(\cdot, a) \|_{m,p,\omega} \\ & \leq C^1 |a|^{n/2} \left(\left\| \frac{\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right\|_1 \|f\|_{m,p,\omega} + \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \|f - g\|_{m,p,\omega} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where C^1 is a constant independent of f and g .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the case $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\partial^\mu [L_\psi f - L_\varphi g](\cdot, a)|^p d(\cdot) \right)^{1/p} \\ & = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) \left| \partial^\mu f * \left(\frac{D^{-a}\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{D^{-a}\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right)(\cdot) \right|^p d(\cdot) \right\}^{1/p} \\ & = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\partial^\mu f)(x-y) \left(\frac{D^{-a}\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{D^{-a}\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right)(y) dy \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left(\frac{D^{-a}\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{D^{-a}\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right)(y) \right| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) \left| (\partial^\mu f)(x-y) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dy \\ & \leq C_{2,\mu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(x) |(\partial^\mu f)(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$C_{2,\mu} = |a|^{n/2} \left\| \frac{\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right\|_1 (C_{1,\mu})^{1/p}. \quad (2.13)$$

So

$$\|(L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) - (L_\varphi f)(\cdot, a)\|_{m,p,\omega} \leq C^1 |a|^{n/2} \left\| \frac{\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right\|_1 \|f\|_{m,p,\omega}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$C^1 = \max_{|\mu| \leq m} (C_{1,\mu})^{1/p}. \quad (2.15)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\|(L_\varphi f)(\cdot, a) - (L_\varphi g)(\cdot, a)\|_{m,p,\omega} \leq C^1 |a|^{n/2} \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \|f - g\|_{m,p,\omega}. \quad (2.16)$$

By the triangle inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(L_\psi f)(\cdot, a) - (L_\varphi g)(\cdot, a)\|_{m,p,\omega} \\ & \leq C^1 |a|^{n/2} \left(\left\| \frac{\psi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\psi}} - \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \right\|_1 \|f\|_{m,p,\omega} + \frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_\varphi}} \|f - g\|_{m,p,\omega} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

□

3. Symptotical behaviour for small dilation parameter

From [Theorem 2.2](#) the following proposition follows immediately.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If ψ is a basic wavelet and $f \in W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\|(L_\psi f)(\cdot, a)\|_{m,p,\omega} = O(|a|^{n/2}). \quad (3.1)$$

Now consider the operator

$$(\Lambda_\psi f)(b, a) = (\psi_a * f)(b) = \frac{1}{a^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \psi\left(\frac{b-t}{a}\right) dt, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and

$$\psi_a(x) = \frac{1}{a^n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right), \quad a \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

In the sequel, the following lemma is needed.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $f \in W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(t) dt = 1, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Then

- (i) $(\Lambda_\psi f)(\cdot, a) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ in $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $a \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (ii) $[\partial^\mu(\Lambda_\psi f)](\cdot, a) = [\Lambda_\psi(\partial^\mu f)](\cdot, a) = a^{-|\mu|}(\Lambda_{\partial^\mu \psi} f)(\cdot, a)$ if $\partial^\mu \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|\mu| < m$, $a > 0$.

Proof. Since $(\Lambda_\psi f)(\cdot, a) = (\psi_a * f)(\cdot)$, and for each multi-index α , $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq m$ such that $\partial^\mu f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial^\mu(\psi_a * f)](\cdot) = (\psi_a * \partial^\mu f)(\cdot), \\ & \|(\Lambda_\psi f)(\cdot, a) - f(\cdot)\|_{m,p,\omega} \\ &= \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\partial^\mu[(\Lambda_\psi f)(\cdot, a) - f(\cdot)]|^p d(\cdot) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sum_{|\mu| \leq m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\Lambda_\psi(\partial^\mu f)(\cdot, a) - (\partial^\mu f)(\cdot)|^p d(\cdot) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Taking into account that $\partial^\mu f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_\mu(\cdot) |\Lambda_\psi(\partial^\mu f)(\cdot, a) - (\partial^\mu f)(\cdot)|^p d(\cdot) \\ & \leq \|\omega_\mu\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Lambda(\partial^\mu f)(\cdot, a) - (\partial^\mu f)(\cdot)|^p d(\cdot) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently, $(\Lambda_\psi f)(\cdot, a) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ in $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $a \rightarrow 0^+$, that is, (i) is proved.

To check (ii) take $f_r \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f_r \rightarrow f$ in $W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is obvious that in $W_\omega^{m-|\mu|,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$[\partial^\mu(\Lambda_\psi f_r)](\cdot, a) = [\Lambda_\psi(\partial^\mu f_r)](\cdot, a) = a^{-|\mu|}(\Lambda_{\partial^\mu \psi} f_r)(\cdot, a). \quad (3.7)$$

By the continuity of the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\psi : W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\longrightarrow W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \partial^\mu : W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) &\longrightarrow W_\omega^{m-|\mu|,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for $\psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|\mu| < m$, letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we get (ii). \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $f \in W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(t) dt = 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover, assume that $\partial^\mu \psi$ is a basic wavelet for each multi-index μ , $|\mu| \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and $\partial^\mu \psi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Suppose additionally that f and ψ are real-valued functions and $a > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{1}{a^{|\mu|+n/2}} (L_{\partial^\mu \psi} f)(\cdot, -a) - \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} \partial^\mu f(\cdot) \right\|_{m-|\mu|,p,\omega} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned}
 (L_{\partial^\mu \psi} f)(\cdot, -a) &= \frac{a^{n/2}}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} \left[(\partial^\mu \psi)_a * f \right](\cdot) \\
 &= \frac{a^{n/2}}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} (\psi_a * \partial^\mu f)(\cdot) = \frac{a^{|\mu|+n/2}}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} [\partial^\mu (\psi_a * f)](\cdot).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Under the assumptions of the theorem, the differentiation and integration can be interchanged, and furthermore by the continuity of the operator

$$\partial^\mu : W_\omega^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow W_\omega^{m-|\mu|,p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{3.12}$$

for $|\mu| < m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \frac{1}{a^{|\mu|+n/2}} (L_{\partial^\mu \psi} f)(\cdot, -a) - \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} (\partial^\mu f)(\cdot) \right\|_{m-|\mu|,p,\omega} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} \| [\partial^\mu (\psi_a * f)](\cdot) - (\partial^\mu f)(\cdot) \|_{m-|\mu|,p,\omega} \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt[2n]{C_{\partial^\mu \psi}}} \| (\psi_a * f)(\cdot) - f(\cdot) \|_{m,p,\omega}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

[Lemma 3.2](#) implies now that the last term in (3.13) tends to 0 as $a \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

Acknowledgment

This paper was supported by the National Fundamental Research Fund Grant for Natural Science, Vietnam.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 65, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] N. M. Chuong, N. M. Tri, and L. Q. Trung, *Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Science and Technology Publishing House, Hanoi, 1995.
- [3] N. M. Chuong and T. N. Tri, *The integral wavelet transform in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **3** (2000), no. 2, 133–140.
- [4] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. I. Distribution Theory and Fourier Analysis*, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [5] Y. Meyer, *Ondelettes et Opérateurs. I [Wavelets and Operators. I]*, Hermann, Paris, 1990.
- [6] A. Rieder, *The wavelet transform on Sobolev spaces and its approximation properties*, Numer. Math. **58** (1991), no. 8, 875–894.

NGUYEN MINH CHUONG: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 631, Bo Ho, 10 000
HANOI, VIETNAM

E-mail address: nmchuong@thevinh.ncst.ac.vn

TA NGOC TRI: UNIVERSITY OF PEDAGOGY HANOI II, ME LINH, VINH PHU, VIETNAM

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk