

# EXISTENCE AND UNIFORM DECAY FOR A NONLINEAR BEAM EQUATION WITH NONLINEARITY OF KIRCHHOFF TYPE IN DOMAINS WITH MOVING BOUNDARY

M. L. SANTOS, J. FERREIRA, AND C. A. RAPOSO

*Received 2 October 2003*

We prove the exponential decay in the case  $n > 2$ , as time goes to infinity, of regular solutions for the nonlinear beam equation with memory and weak damping  $u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - M(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2)\Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + \alpha u_t = 0$  in  $\hat{Q}$  in a noncylindrical domain of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) under suitable hypothesis on the scalar functions  $M$  and  $g$ , and where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant. We establish existence and uniqueness of regular solutions for any  $n \geq 1$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin and having  $C^2$  boundary. Let  $\gamma : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously differentiable function. See hypotheses (1.24), (1.25), and (1.26) on  $\gamma$ . Consider the family of subdomains  $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 \leq t < \infty}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\Omega_t = T(\Omega), \quad T : \gamma \in \Omega \mapsto x = \gamma(t)y, \quad (1.1)$$

whose boundaries are denoted by  $\Gamma_t$ , and let  $\hat{Q}$  be the noncylindrical domain of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  given by

$$\hat{Q} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Omega_t \times \{t\} \quad (1.2)$$

with lateral boundary

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Gamma_t \times \{t\}. \quad (1.3)$$

We consider the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$  endowed with the inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx \quad (1.4)$$

and corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = (u, u). \quad (1.5)$$

We also consider the Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega)$  endowed with the scalar product

$$(u, v)_{H^1(\Omega)} = (u, v) + (\nabla u, \nabla v). \quad (1.6)$$

We define the subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$ , denoted by  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the strong topology of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . By  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , we denote the dual space of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . This space endowed with the norm induced by the scalar product

$$((u, v))_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = (\nabla u, \nabla v) \quad (1.7)$$

is, owing to the Poincaré inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (1.8)$$

a Hilbert space. We define for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx, \quad (1.9)$$

and if  $p = \infty$ ,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|. \quad (1.10)$$

In this work, we study the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions as well as the exponential decay of the energy to the nonlinear beam equation with memory given by

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2\right)\Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + \alpha u_t = 0 \quad \text{in } \hat{Q}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma}, \quad (1.12)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega_0, \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\nu = \nu(\sigma, t)$  is the unit normal at  $(\sigma, t) \in \hat{\Sigma}$  directed towards the exterior of  $\hat{Q}$ . If we denote by  $\eta$  the outer unit normal to the boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\Omega$ , we have, using a parametrization of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$\nu(\sigma, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma}(\eta(\xi), -\gamma'(t)\xi \cdot \eta(\xi)), \quad \xi = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma(t)}, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\gamma = \left(1 + \gamma'(t) |\xi \cdot \eta(\xi)|^2\right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.15)$$

Indeed, fix  $(\sigma, t) \in \hat{\Sigma}$ . Let  $\varphi = 0$  be a parametrization of a part  $\bigcup$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\bigcup$  containing  $\xi = \sigma/\gamma(t)$ . The parametrization of a part  $\bigcup$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is  $\psi(\sigma, t) = \varphi(\sigma/\gamma(t)) = \varphi(\xi) = 0$ . We have

$$\nabla \psi(\sigma, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} (\nabla \varphi(\xi), -\gamma'(t)\xi \cdot \nabla \varphi(\xi)). \quad (1.16)$$

From this and observing that  $\eta(\xi) = \nabla \varphi(\xi)/|\nabla \varphi(\xi)|$ , expression (1.14) follows. Let  $\bar{v}(\cdot, t)$  be the  $x$ -component of unit normal  $v(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $|\bar{v}| \leq 1$ . Then by relation (1.14), one has

$$\bar{v}(\sigma, t) = \eta\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma(t)}\right). \quad (1.17)$$

In this paper, we deal with the nonlinear beam equation with memory in domains with moving boundary. We show the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the initial boundary value problem (1.11)–(1.13). The method we use to prove the result of existence and uniqueness is based on transforming our problem into another initial boundary value problem defined over a cylindrical domain whose sections are not time dependent. This is done using a suitable change of variable. Then we show the existence and uniqueness for this new problem. Our existence result on domains with moving boundary will follow by using the inverse transformation, that is, by using the diffeomorphism

$$\tau: \hat{Q} \longrightarrow Q, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_t \longmapsto (y, t) = \left(\frac{x}{\gamma(t)}, t\right) \quad (1.18)$$

and  $\tau^{-1}: Q \rightarrow \hat{Q}$  defined by

$$\tau^{-1}(y, t) = (x, t) = (\gamma(t)y, t). \quad (1.19)$$

Denoting by  $v$  the function

$$v(y, t) = u \circ \tau^{-1}(y, t) = u(\gamma(t)y, t), \quad (1.20)$$

the initial boundary value problem (1.11)–(1.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_{tt} + \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v - \gamma^{-2} M\left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \Delta v + \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v(s) ds \\ + \alpha v_t - A(t)v + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + a_2 \cdot \nabla v = 0 \quad \text{in } Q, \\ v|_{\Gamma} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \Big|_{\Gamma} = 0, \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0, \quad v_t|_{t=0} = v_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

where

$$A(t)v = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{y_i} (a_{ij} \partial_{y_j} v), \quad (1.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(y, t) &= -(\gamma' \gamma^{-1})^2 y_i y_j \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n) \\ a_1(y, t) &= -\gamma' \gamma^{-1} y, \\ a_2(y, t) &= -\gamma^{-2} y (\gamma'' \gamma + \gamma' (\alpha \gamma + (n-1) \gamma')). \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

To show the existence of strong solution, we will use the following hypotheses:

$$\gamma' \leq 0 \quad n > 2, \quad \gamma' \geq 0 \quad \text{if } n \leq 2, \quad (1.24)$$

$$\gamma \in L^\infty(0, \infty), \quad \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} \gamma(t) = \gamma_0 > 0, \quad (1.25)$$

$$\gamma' \in W^{2,\infty}(0, \infty) \cap W^{2,1}(0, \infty). \quad (1.26)$$

Note that assumption (1.24) means that  $\hat{Q}$  is decreasing if  $n > 2$  and increasing if  $n \leq 2$  in the sense that when  $t > t'$  and  $n > 2$ , then the projection of  $\Omega_{t'}$  on the subspace  $t = 0$  contains the projection of  $\Omega_t$  on the same subspace and contrary in the case  $n \leq 2$ . The above method was introduced by Dal Passo and Ughi [4] to study certain class of parabolic equations in noncylindrical domains. Concerning the function  $M \in C^1[0, \infty[$ , we assume that

$$M(\tau) \geq -m_0, \quad M(\tau)\tau \geq \hat{M}(\tau) \quad \forall \tau \geq 0, \quad (1.27)$$

where  $\hat{M}(\tau) = \int_0^\tau M(s)ds$  and

$$0 \leq m_0 < \lambda_1 \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty}^{-2}, \quad (1.28)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the spectral Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta^2 w = \lambda_1 w \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Gamma. \quad (1.29)$$

We recall also the classical inequality

$$\|\Delta w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq \sqrt{\lambda_1} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (1.30)$$

*Remark 1.1.* The hypotheses (1.27) and (1.30) are classic, as one can see, for instance, in [9, 20, 21] without the term of memory  $\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds$  in fixed domain. In fact, the hypothesis (1.28) was introduced by the second author with some modifications, due to the complexity of working in noncylindrical domains in [1].

Unlike the existing papers on stability for hyperbolic equations in noncylindrical domain, we do not use the penalty method introduced by Lions [16], but work directly in our noncylindrical domain  $\hat{Q}$ . To see the dissipative properties of the system, we have to construct a suitable functional whose derivative is negative and is equivalent to the

first-order energy. This functional is obtained using the multiplicative technique following Komornik [10] or Rivera [18]. We only obtained the exponential decay of solution for our problem for the case  $n > 2$ . The main difficult to obtain the decay for  $n \leq 2$  is due to the geometry of the noncylindrical domain because it affects substantially the problem, since we work directly in  $\hat{Q}$ . Therefore the case  $n \leq 2$  is an important open problem. From the physics point of view, the system (1.11)–(1.13) describes the transverse deflection of a stretched viscoelastic beam fixed in a moving boundary device. The viscoelasticity property of the material is characterized by the memory term

$$\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds. \quad (1.31)$$

The uniform stabilization of plates equations with linear or nonlinear boundary feedback was investigated by several authors, see for example [8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15]. In a fixed domain, it is well known that if the relaxation function  $g$  decays to zero, then the energy of the system also decays to zero, see [3, 12, 19, 22]. But in a moving domain, the transverse deflection  $u(x, t)$  of a beam which changes its configuration at each instant of time increases its deformation, and hence increases its tension. Moreover, the horizontal movement of the boundary yields nonlinear terms involving derivatives in the space variable. To control these nonlinearities, we add in the system a frictional damping, characterized by  $u_t$ . This term will play an important role in the dissipative nature of the problem. In [1, 6], a quite complete discussion about the model of transverse deflection and transverse vibrations can be found, respectively, for the nonlinear beam equation and elastic membranes. This model was proposed by Woinowsky-Krieger [23] for the case of cylindrical domains, without the dissipative term and  $\int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds$ . See also Eisley [5] and Burgreen [2] for physics justification and background of the model. Our results in this paper were more difficult to obtain than the results in [7], due to the introduction of the terms corresponding to the biharmonic operator  $\Delta^2$  and to the nonlinear function of Kirchhoff type  $M(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$ , which generated nontrivial problems that were solved thanks to the hypotheses (1.27), (1.28), and (1.30) and to the hypothesis regarding the “dilation function”. Besides, in [7], we made only two estimates, while here we had to make four estimates that introduce some technical ideas with regard to the existence, uniqueness, and regularity. Regarding the solution decay, we used a similar technical of [7] but we introduced Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 to control the terms of energy and to use with success the technique of multipliers. We use the standard notations which can be found in Lions’ and Magenes’ books [16, 17]. In the sequel by  $C$  (sometimes  $C_1, C_2, \dots$ ), we denote various positive constants which do not depend on  $t$  or on the initial data. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove a basic result on existence, regularity, and uniqueness of regular solutions. We use Galerkin approximation, Aubin-Lions theorem, energy method introduced by Lions [16], and some technical ideas to show existence regularity and uniqueness of regular solution for problem (1.11)–(1.13). Finally, in Section 3, we establish a result on the exponential decay of the regular solution to the problem (1.11)–(1.13). We use the technique of the multipliers introduced by Komornik [10], Lions [16], and Rivera [18] coupled with some technical lemmas and some technical ideas.

## 2. Existence and regularity

In this section, we will study the existence and regularity of solutions for the system (1.11)–(1.13). For this, we assume that the kernel  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is in  $W^{2,1}(0, \infty)$ , and satisfies

$$g, -g' \geq 0, \quad \frac{m_1}{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2} - \int_0^\infty g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds = \beta_1 > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$m_1 = \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2} - m_0 \right) > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

To simplify our analysis, we define the binary operator

$$g \square \frac{\varphi(t)}{\gamma(t)} = \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)|^2 ds dx. \quad (2.3)$$

With this notation, we have the following statement.

LEMMA 2.1. For  $v \in C^1(0, T; H_0^2(\Omega))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \nabla v(s) \cdot \nabla v_t(t) ds dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\nabla v}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ g \square \frac{\nabla v}{\gamma} - \left( \int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{\gamma^2(s)} ds \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx \right], \\ & \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v \Delta v_t ds dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \int_\Omega |\Delta v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\Delta v}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ g \square \frac{\Delta v}{\gamma} - \left( \int_0^t \frac{g(s)}{\gamma^2(s)} ds \right) \int_\Omega |\Delta v|^2 dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The proof of this lemma follows by differentiating the terms  $g \square (\nabla u(t)/\gamma(t))$  and  $g \square (\Delta u(t)/\gamma(t))$ . The well posedness of system (1.21) is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. Take  $v_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)$ ,  $v_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ , and suppose that assumptions (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), and (2.1) hold. Then there exists a unique solution  $v$  of the problem (1.21) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v &\in L^\infty(0, \infty; H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)), \\ v_t &\in L^\infty(0, \infty; H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $B$  the operator

$$Bw = \Delta^2 w, \quad D(B) = H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega). \quad (2.6)$$

It is well known that  $B$  is a positive selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$  for which there exist sequences  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $B$  such that the set of linear combinations of  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is dense in  $D(B)$  and  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We denote by

$$v_0^m = \sum_{j=1}^m (v_0, w_j) w_j, \quad v_1^m = \sum_{j=1}^m (v_1, w_j) w_j. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that for any  $(v_0, v_1) \in D(B) \times H_0^2(\Omega)$ , we have  $v_0^m \rightarrow v_0$  strong in  $D(B)$  and  $v_1^m \rightarrow v_1$  strong in  $H_0^2(\Omega)$ .

We denote by  $V_m$  the space generated by  $w_1, \dots, w_m$ . Standard results on ordinary differential equations imply the existence of a local solution  $v^m$  of the form

$$v^m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t) w_j, \quad (2.8)$$

to the system

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}^m w_j dy + \alpha \int_{\Omega} v_t^m w_j dy + \int_{\Omega} \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v^m w_j dy \\ & - \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \int_{\Omega} \Delta v^m w_j dy \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \nabla v^m(s) ds \cdot \nabla w_j dy + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m w_j dy \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m w_j dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m w_j dy = 0, \quad (j = 1, \dots, m) \\ & v^m(x, 0) = v_0^m, \quad v_t^m(x, 0) = v_1^m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The extension of these solutions to the interval  $[0, \infty[$  is a consequence of the first estimate which we are going to prove below.

*A priori estimate I.* Multiplying (2.9) by  $g'_{jm}(t)$ , summing up the resulting product in  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and after some calculations using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_1^m(t, v^m) + \alpha \|\dot{v}_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{(n-2)\gamma'}{2\gamma^{n+1}} \\ & \times \left[ \gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) - \hat{M}(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \right] \\ & + \int_{\Omega} A(t) v^m v_t^m dy + \int_{\Omega} a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m v_t^m dy + \int_{\Omega} a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m v_t^m dy \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\nabla v^m}{\gamma} - 4 \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma^5} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1^m(t, v^m) &= \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left( - \int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad + \gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-n} \hat{M} \left( \gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + g \square \frac{\nabla v^m}{\gamma}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

From (1.27), (1.28), and (1.30), it follows that

$$\gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-n} \hat{M} \left( \gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \geq \frac{m_1}{\|y\|_{L^\infty}^2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.13)$$

Taking into account (1.24), (1.27), the last inequality, and (2.1), it follows that the equality (2.11) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_1^m(t, v^m) + \alpha \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \mathcal{E}_1^m(t). \quad (2.14)$$

Integrating the inequality (2.14), using Gronwall's lemma, and taking into account (1.26), we get

$$\mathcal{E}_1^m(t, v^m) + \int_0^t \|v_s^m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.15)$$

*A priori estimate II.* Now, if we multiply (2.9) by  $\sqrt{\lambda_j} g'_{jm}(t)$  and summing up in  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we get after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \alpha \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\gamma^{-2}}{2} M \left( \gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\gamma^{-4}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v^m(s) \Delta v_t^m ds dy \\ & \quad + \int_\Omega A(t) v^m \Delta v_t^m dy + \int_\Omega a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m \Delta v_t^m dy + \int_\Omega a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m \Delta v_t^m dy = 0.\end{aligned}\quad (2.16)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_\Omega \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v^m(s) \Delta v_t^m dy \\ & \quad = -\frac{1}{2} g(t) \gamma^{-2}(0) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} \\ & \quad \quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ g \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} - \left( \int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right].\end{aligned}\quad (2.17)$$



Substituting (2.17) into (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \xi_2^m(t) + \alpha \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{g(t)}{\gamma^2(0)} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} - \frac{2\gamma'}{\gamma^5} \|\nabla \Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &+ (A(t)v^m, \Delta v_t^m) + (a_1 \cdot \nabla v_t^m, \Delta v_t^m) + (a_2 \cdot \nabla v^m, \Delta v_t^m) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_2^m(t) &= \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + g \square \frac{\Delta v^m}{\gamma} - \left( \int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &+ \gamma^{-4} \|\nabla \Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

From (1.27), (1.28), and (1.30), we have

$$\gamma^{-4} \|\nabla \Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{m_1}{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty}^2} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{2.20}$$

Using relation (2.18) and taking into account (2.20), we get

$$\xi_2^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla v_s^m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t (|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \xi_2^m(s) ds. \tag{2.21}$$

Using Gronwall's and taking into account (1.24), we get

$$\xi_2^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|\nabla v_s^m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq C \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.22}$$

*A priori estimate III.* Differentiating (2.9) with respect to the time, multiplying by  $g_{jm}''(t)$ , and using similar arguments as (2.22), we obtain, after some calculations and taking into account (2.22),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \xi_3^m(t) + \alpha \|v_{tt}^m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \|v_t^m(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &+ C(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \xi_3^m(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_3^m(t) &= \|v_{tt}^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &+ g \square \frac{\nabla v_t^m}{\gamma} - \left( \int_0^t g(s) \gamma^{-2}(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Using Gronwall's lemma and relations (2.15), (2.22), we get

$$\xi_3^m(t) + \alpha \int_0^t \|\Delta v^m(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.25}$$

It easy to see from (2.9) that

$$\|v_{tt}^m(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \quad \forall m \in N. \quad (2.26)$$

*A priori estimate IV.* Setting  $w = v^m(t)$  in (2.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_4^{(m)}(t) - \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \gamma^{-2} M\left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta v^m(s) v^m(s) ds \leq C(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) \\ & \times \left(\|v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_4^m(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} v^m v_t^m dy + \alpha \|v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \quad (2.28)$$

From (1.27), (1.28), and (1.30), we have

$$\gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-n} \hat{M}\left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \geq \frac{m_1}{\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty}^2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, \quad (2.29)$$

where  $m_1 = (\lambda_1/\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty}^2 - m_0) > 0$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that choosing  $k > 2/\alpha$  (see also (2.29)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k\mathcal{E}_1^m(t) + \mathcal{E}_4^m(t) & \geq \left(k - \frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \left(\|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \\ & + \left(k - \frac{2}{\alpha}\right) \left(\gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-n} \hat{M}\left(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right)\right) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Now, multiplying (2.11) by  $k$  and combining with (2.27), we get, taking into account (2.29),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (k\mathcal{E}_1^m(t) + \mathcal{E}_4^m(t)) + (k\alpha - 1) \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \gamma^{-4} \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ & \leq C(|\gamma'| + |\gamma''|) (k\mathcal{E}_1^m(t) + \mathcal{E}_4^m(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

From (2.31), using Gronwall's lemma, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & k\mathcal{E}_1^m(t) + \mathcal{E}_4^m(t) + \int_0^t \left(\|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) ds \\ & \leq C\left(\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \exp\left(C \int_0^\infty (\gamma'(t) + \gamma''(t)) dt\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

In virtue of (2.29) and (1.26), it follows from (2.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|v_t^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C(\|v_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

From estimates (2.15), (2.22), (2.25), and (2.33), it follows that  $v^m$  converges strongly in  $L^2(0, \infty : H^1(\Omega))$  to some  $v \in L^2(0, \infty : H^1(\Omega))$ . Moreover, since  $M \in C^1[0, \infty)$  and  $\nabla v^m$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega))$ , we have

$$\int_0^t \left| M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) - M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \right| ds \leq C \int_0^t \|v^m - v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 ds, \quad (2.34)$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $m$  and  $t$  so that

$$M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v^m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) (\Delta v^m, w_j) \longrightarrow M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) (\Delta v, w_j). \quad (2.35)$$

Therefore, we have that  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} v & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega)), \\ v_t & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega)), \\ v_{tt} & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.9), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{tt} + \gamma^{-4} \Delta^2 v - \gamma^{-2} M(\gamma^{n-2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \Delta v + \int_0^t g(t-s) \gamma^{-2}(s) \Delta v(s) ds \\ & + \alpha v_t - A(t)v + a_1 \cdot \nabla \partial_t v + a_2 \cdot \nabla v = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

in  $L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega))$ . Therefore, we have

$$v \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega) \cap H^4(\Omega)). \quad (2.38)$$

To prove the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (1.21), we use the method of the energy introduced by Lions [16], coupled with Gronwall's inequality and the hypotheses introduced in the paper about the functions  $M$ ,  $g$ , and the obtained estimates.  $\square$

To show the existence in noncylindrical domains, we return to our original problem in the noncylindrical domains by using the change variable given in (1.18) by  $(y, t) = \tau(x, t)$ ,  $(x, t) \in \hat{Q}$ . Let  $v$  be the solution obtained from Theorem 2.2 and  $u$  defined by (1.20), then  $u$  belongs to the classes

$$\begin{aligned} u & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^2(\Omega_t) \cap H^4(\Omega_t)), \\ u_t & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : H_0^1(\Omega_t)), \\ u_{tt} & \in L^\infty(0, \infty : L^2(\Omega_t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Denoting that

$$u(x, t) = v(y, t) = (v \circ \tau)(x, t), \quad (2.40)$$

then from (1.20) follows that

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2\right)\Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + \alpha u_t = 0 \quad (2.41)$$

in  $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega_t))$ . If  $u_1, u_2$  are two solutions obtained through the diffeomorphism  $\tau$  given by (1.18), then  $v_1, v_2$  are the solutions to (1.20). By uniqueness result of Theorem 2.2, we have  $v_1 = v_2$ , so  $u_1 = u_2$ . Therefore, we have the following result.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0)$ ,  $u_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0)$ , and suppose that assumptions (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), and (2.1) hold. Then there exists a unique solution  $u$  of the problem (1.11)–(1.13) satisfying (2.39) and the equation*

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2\right)\Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + \alpha u_t = 0 \quad (2.42)$$

in  $L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega_t))$ .

### 3. Exponential decay

In this section, we show that the solution of system (1.11)–(1.13) decays exponentially. To this end, we will assume that the memory  $g$  satisfies

$$g'(t) \leq -C_1 g(t), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left(m_0 - \int_0^\infty g(s)ds\right) = \beta_1 > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  with positive constants  $C_1$ . Additionally, we assume that the function  $\gamma(\cdot)$  satisfies the conditions

$$\gamma' \leq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n > 2, \quad (3.3)$$

$$0 < \max_{0 \leq t < \infty} |\gamma'(t)| \leq \frac{1}{d}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$ . The condition (3.4) (see also (1.17)) implies that our domain are “time-like” in the sense that

$$|\underline{y}| < |\bar{v}|, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\underline{y}$  and  $\bar{v}$  denote the  $t$ -component and  $x$ -component of the outer unit normal of  $\hat{\Sigma}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* It is important to observe that to prove the main theorem of this section, that is, Theorem 3.8 as well as Lemma 3.6, we use the following substantial hypothesis:

$$M(s) \geq m_0 > 0, \quad \forall s \in [0, \infty[. \quad (3.6)$$

This is because we worked directly in our domain with moving boundary, where the geometry of our domain influences directly the problem, which generated several technical difficulties in limiting some terms in Lemma 3.6, and consequently in proving Theorem 3.8. To facilitate our calculations, we introduce the following notation:

$$(g \square \nabla u)(t) = \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds dx. \quad (3.7)$$

First of all, we will prove the following three lemmas that will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the smooth function defined in  $\Omega_t \times [0, \infty[$ . Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} F(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} F(x, t) dx + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} F(x, t) (x \cdot \bar{\nu}) d\Gamma_t, \quad (3.8)$$

where  $\bar{\nu}$  is the  $x$ -component of the unit normal exterior  $\nu$ .

For the proof, see for example [7].

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} = \eta_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* We consider  $r \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$r = \nu \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (3.10)$$

(It is possible to choose such a field  $r(\cdot)$  if we consider that the boundary  $\Gamma$  is sufficiently smooth.) Let  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  and  $\varphi \in H^m(\Omega)$  with  $m > \max(n/2, 2)$  such that  $\varphi|_{\Gamma} = \theta$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \subset H^{m-1/2}(\Gamma)$ , such function  $\varphi$  exists and we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (vr_j \varphi) dy = \int_{\Gamma} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (vr_j \varphi) d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma} \theta v_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} d\Gamma \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n). \quad (3.11)$$

Note that  $\Omega$  is regular, we also obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j \partial y_i} (vr_j \varphi) dy = \int_{\Gamma} v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} (vr_j \varphi) d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma} \theta v_j^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma} \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} d\Gamma. \quad (3.12)$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} d\Gamma = \int_{\Gamma} \theta \left( v_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_i} \right) d\Gamma \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma), \quad (3.13)$$

which implies (3.9). □

From Lemma 3.3, it is easy to see that

$$\nabla u \cdot \bar{\nu} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\nu}} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t, \quad (3.14)$$

and for  $u \in H_0^2(\Omega_t) \cap H^4(\Omega_t)$  (see Komornik [10, page 26]), we have

$$|\nabla u| = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{\nu}^2} = \Delta u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\nu}} \operatorname{div} \bar{\nu} = \Delta u \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t. \quad (3.15)$$

LEMMA 3.4. *For any function  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $u \in C^1(0, \infty; H_0^2(\Omega_t) \cap H^4(\Omega_t))$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u_t(t) ds dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} g' \square \nabla u \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ g \square \nabla u - \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

*Proof.* Differentiating the term  $g \square \nabla u$  and applying Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} g \square \nabla u &= \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \int_{\Gamma_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 (x \cdot \bar{\nu}) ds d\Gamma_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Using (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} g \square \nabla u &= \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g'(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds dx \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u_t(t) \cdot \nabla u(s) ds dx \\ & \quad + \left( \int_0^t g(t-s) ds \right) \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

from where it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u_t(t) \cdot \nabla u(s) ds dx \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ g \square \nabla u - \int_0^t g(t-s) ds \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx \right\} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g'(t-s) |\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)|^2 ds dx - g(t) \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla u(t)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The proof is now complete.  $\square$

We introduce the functional

$$E(t) = \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \hat{M}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) - \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + g \square \nabla u. \quad (3.20)$$

We observe that  $E(t) > 0$  since the hypotheses (2.1), (3.2), and (3.6) are satisfied.

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0)$ ,  $u_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0)$ , and suppose that assumptions (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), (2.1), and (3.6) hold. Then there exists a unique strong global solution of (1.11)–(1.13) satisfying*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + 2\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq - \int_{\Omega_t} g(t) |\nabla u|^2 dx + g' \square \nabla u. \quad (3.21)$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (1.11) by  $u_t$ , performing an integration by parts over  $\Omega_t$ , and using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{M}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) + \alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u_t ds dx \\ & - \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} M(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u|^2 (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) - \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) (|u_t|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) d\Gamma_t = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Using (3.3), we obtain

$$- \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} M(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u|^2 (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) - \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{\gamma'}{2\gamma} (\bar{\nu} \cdot x) (|u_t|^2 + |\Delta u|^2) d\Gamma_t \geq 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Taking into account the above inequality and (3.14) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain the conclusion of the lemma.  $\square$

We consider the following functional:

$$\psi(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega_t} u_t u dx + \alpha \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2. \quad (3.24)$$

**LEMMA 3.6.** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0)$ ,  $u_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0)$ , and suppose that assumptions (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), (2.1), and (3.6) hold. Then there exists a unique strong global solution of (1.11)–(1.13) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) & \leq \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - M(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ & - \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

*Proof.* Multiplying (1.11) by  $u$  and integrating over  $\Omega_t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) &= \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2\right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u \, ds \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u \, ds \, dx &= \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)) \cdot \nabla u \, ds \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_t} \left( \int_0^t g(s) \, ds \right) |\nabla u|^2 \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

and taking into account that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_t} \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)) \cdot \nabla u \, ds \, dx \right| \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \left( \int_0^t g(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2}, \quad (3.28)$$

there follows the conclusion of lemma.  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* We used the hypotheses (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), (2.1), and (3.6) because we are interested in strong global solution for our problem (1.11)–(1.13), which was obtained by the existence and uniqueness in Section 2.

We introduce the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = NE(t) + \psi(t), \quad (3.29)$$

with  $N > 0$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  verifies

$$k_0 E(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(t) \leq k_1 E(t), \quad (3.30)$$

for  $k_0$  and  $k_1$  positive constants. Now we are in a position to show the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Take  $u_0 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0) \cap H^4(\Omega_0)$ ,  $u_1 \in H_0^2(\Omega_0)$ , and suppose that assumptions (1.24), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28), (1.30), (2.1), (3.1), (3.2), (3.4), and (3.6) hold. Also, suppose that  $g \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$ . Then the strong solution of the system (1.11)–(1.13) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq C e^{-\xi t} E(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (3.31)$$

where  $C$  and  $\xi$  are positive constants.



*Proof.* Using Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) &\leq -2N\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - C_1 N g \square \nabla u + \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad - \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \widehat{M}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) + \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right)^{1/2} (g \square \nabla u)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Using (3.1) and Young inequality, we obtain for  $\epsilon > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) &\leq -2N\alpha \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - C_1 N g \square \nabla u + \|u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad - \|\Delta u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 - \widehat{M}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2) + \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \frac{\|g\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}}{2\epsilon} g \square \nabla u. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Choosing  $N$  large enough,  $\epsilon$  small, and using hypothesis (3.6), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}(t) \leq -\lambda_0 E(t), \quad (3.34)$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is a positive constant independent of  $t$ . From (3.30) and (3.34), it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \mathcal{L}(0) e^{-(\lambda_0/k_1)t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.35)$$

From equivalence relation (3.30) our conclusion follows. The proof now is completed.  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* The techniques in this paper may be used to study the problem (1.11)–(1.13) without the term weak dissipative  $\alpha u_t$ . In this case, we define other appropriate functionals to prove the exponential and polynomial decay rates of the energy of regular solutions for the nonlinear beam equations with memory

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u - M(\|\nabla u\|_2^2) \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds = 0 \quad \text{in } \widehat{Q}, \quad (3.36)$$

where the functions  $M$ ,  $g$ , and  $\gamma$  satisfy some appropriate conditions. Results concerning the above equations in domains with moving boundary will appear in a forthcoming paper.

## Acknowledgments

This research was started while the second author was visiting the Federal University of Pará (UFPA)(Pará, Brazil), during March 2003 and the Federal University of São João del Rei (UFSJ)(Minas Gerais, Brazil) during July 2003. He was partially supported by CNPq-Brasília under Grant no. 301025/2003-7. The authors are thankful to the referee of this paper for valuable suggestions which improved this paper. Also, the authors would like to

thank Professor Dr. Djairo G. de Figueiredo for his valuable attention to our paper. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Dr. Jaime E. Muñoz Rivera for the fruitful discussions concerning this paper.

## References

- [1] R. Benabidallah and J. Ferreira, *Asymptotic behaviour for the nonlinear beam equation in non-cylindrical domains*, Commun. Appl. Anal. **6** (2002), no. 2, 219–234.
- [2] D. Burgreen, *Free vibrations of a pinended column with constant distance between pinendes*, J. Appl. Mech. **18** (1951), 135–139.
- [3] M. M. Cavalcanti, *Existence and uniform decay for the Euler-Bernoulli viscoelastic equation with nonlocal boundary dissipation*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **8** (2002), no. 3, 675–695.
- [4] R. Dal Passo and M. Ughi, *Problème de Dirichlet pour une classe d'équations paraboliques non linéaires dégénérées dans des ouverts non cylindriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **308** (1989), no. 19, 555–558 (French).
- [5] J. G. Easley, *Nonlinear vibration of beams and rectangular plates*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **15** (1964), 167–175.
- [6] J. Ferreira, R. Benabidallah, and J. E. Muñoz Rivera, *Asymptotic behaviour for the nonlinear beam equation in a time-dependent domain*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **19** (1999), no. 2, 177–193.
- [7] J. Ferreira and M. L. Santos, *Asymptotic behaviour for wave equations with memory in a non-cylindrical domains*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **2** (2003), no. 4, 511–520.
- [8] M. A. Horn, *Uniform decay rates for the solutions to the Euler-Bernoulli plate equation with boundary feedback acting via bending moments*, Differential Integral Equations **5** (1992), no. 5, 1121–1150.
- [9] G. Ji and I. Lasiecka, *Nonlinear boundary feedback stabilization for a semilinear Kirchhoff plate with dissipation acting only via moments-limiting behavior*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **229** (1999), no. 2, 452–479.
- [10] V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization. The Multiplier Method*, RAM: Research in Applied Mathematics, Masson, Paris, 1994.
- [11] ———, *On the nonlinear boundary stabilization of Kirchhoff plates*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **1** (1994), no. 4, 323–337.
- [12] J. E. Lagnese, *Asymptotic energy estimates for Kirchhoff plates subject to weak viscoelastic damping*, Control and Estimation of Distributed Parameter Systems (Vorau, 1988), Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., vol. 91, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, pp. 211–236.
- [13] ———, *Boundary Stabilization of Thin Plates*, SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, vol. 10, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Pennsylvania, 1989.
- [14] I. Lasiecka, *Exponential decay rates for the solutions of Euler-Bernoulli equations with boundary dissipation occurring in the moments only*, J. Differential Equations **95** (1992), no. 1, 169–182.
- [15] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, *Sharp trace estimates of solutions of Kirchhoff and Euler-Bernoulli equations*, Appl. Math. Optim. **28** (1993), no. 3, 277–306.
- [16] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969 (French).
- [17] J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications. Vol. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [18] J. E. Muñoz Rivera, *Energy decay rates in linear thermoelasticity*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **35** (1992), no. 1, 19–30.
- [19] J. E. Muñoz Rivera, E. C. Lapa, and R. Barreto, *Decay rates for viscoelastic plates with memory*, J. Elasticity **44** (1996), no. 1, 61–87.

- [20] D. C. Pereira, *Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior for solutions of the nonlinear beam equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **14** (1990), no. 8, 613–623.
- [21] O. Ramos Ch., *Regularity property for the nonlinear beam operator*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. **61** (1989), no. 1, 15–25.
- [22] M. L. Santos and F. Junior, *A boundary condition with memory for Kirchhoff plates equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. **148** (2004), no. 2, 475–496.
- [23] S. Woinowsky-Krieger, *The effect of an axial force on the vibration of hinged bars*, J. Appl. Mech. **17** (1950), 35–36.

M. L. Santos: Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Pará, Campus Universitário do Guamá, Rua Augusto Corrêa 01, CEP 66075-110, Pará, Brazil  
*E-mail address:* ls@ufpa.br

J. Ferreira: Departamento de Matemática, Estatística e Ciências da Computação, Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei (UFSJ), Praça Frei Orlando 170, CEP 36300-000, São João del-Rei, Minas Gerais, Brazil  
*E-mail address:* jf@ufs.edu.br

C. A. Raposo: Departamento de Matemática, Estatística e Ciências da Computação, Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei (UFSJ), Praça Frei Orlando 170, CEP 36300-000, São João del-Rei, Minas Gerais, Brazil  
*E-mail address:* raposo@ufs.edu.br

## Special Issue on Intelligent Computational Methods for Financial Engineering

### Call for Papers

As a multidisciplinary field, financial engineering is becoming increasingly important in today's economic and financial world, especially in areas such as portfolio management, asset valuation and prediction, fraud detection, and credit risk management. For example, in a credit risk context, the recently approved Basel II guidelines advise financial institutions to build comprehensible credit risk models in order to optimize their capital allocation policy. Computational methods are being intensively studied and applied to improve the quality of the financial decisions that need to be made. Until now, computational methods and models are central to the analysis of economic and financial decisions.

However, more and more researchers have found that the financial environment is not ruled by mathematical distributions or statistical models. In such situations, some attempts have also been made to develop financial engineering models using intelligent computing approaches. For example, an artificial neural network (ANN) is a nonparametric estimation technique which does not make any distributional assumptions regarding the underlying asset. Instead, ANN approach develops a model using sets of unknown parameters and lets the optimization routine seek the best fitting parameters to obtain the desired results. The main aim of this special issue is not to merely illustrate the superior performance of a new intelligent computational method, but also to demonstrate how it can be used effectively in a financial engineering environment to improve and facilitate financial decision making. In this sense, the submissions should especially address how the results of estimated computational models (e.g., ANN, support vector machines, evolutionary algorithm, and fuzzy models) can be used to develop intelligent, easy-to-use, and/or comprehensible computational systems (e.g., decision support systems, agent-based system, and web-based systems)

This special issue will include (but not be limited to) the following topics:

- **Computational methods:** artificial intelligence, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy inference, hybrid learning, ensemble learning, cooperative learning, multiagent learning

- **Application fields:** asset valuation and prediction, asset allocation and portfolio selection, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk management
- **Implementation aspects:** decision support systems, expert systems, information systems, intelligent agents, web service, monitoring, deployment, implementation

Authors should follow the Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**Lean Yu**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [yulean@amss.ac.cn](mailto:yulean@amss.ac.cn)

**Shouyang Wang**, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; [sywang@amss.ac.cn](mailto:sywang@amss.ac.cn)

**K. K. Lai**, Department of Management Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong; [mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:mskkklai@cityu.edu.hk)