

Wiener amalgams and summability of Fourier series^{*}

Ferenc Weisz

Department of Numerical Analysis
Eötvös L. University
e-mail: weisz@ludens.elte.hu

Abstract

Some recent results on a general summability method, on the so-called θ -summability is summarized. New spaces, such as Wiener amalgams, Feichtinger's algebra and modulation spaces are investigated in summability theory. Sufficient and necessary conditions are given for the norm and a.e. convergence of the θ -means.

Key Words: Wiener amalgam spaces, Feichtinger's algebra, homogeneous Banach spaces, Besov-, Sobolev-, fractional Sobolev spaces, modulation spaces, Herz spaces, Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, θ -summability of Fourier series, Lebesgue points.

AMS Classification Number: Primary 42B08, 46E30, Secondary 42B30, 42A38.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a general method of summation, the so called θ -summation, which is generated by a single function θ . A natural choice of θ is a function from the Wiener algebra $W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. All concrete summability methods investigated in the literature satisfy this condition.

We shall investigate some function spaces known from other topics of analysis, for example Wiener amalgam spaces, Feichtinger's Segal algebra $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, modulation and Herz spaces. Feichtinger's algebra and modulation spaces are very intensively investigated in Gabor analysis (see e.g. Feichtinger and Zimmermann [6] and Gröchenig [13]). $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the minimal (non-trivial) Banach space which is isometrically invariant under translation, modulation and Fourier transform.

^{*}This research was supported by Lise Meitner fellowship No M733-N04 and the Hungarian Scientific Research Funds (OTKA) No T043769, T047128, T047132.

In Sections 4 and 5 we deal with norm convergence of the θ -means of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Fourier transforms. We will show that if θ is in the Wiener algebra then the θ -means $\sigma_n^\theta f$ of the Fourier series of $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ converge to f in L_2 norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $\sigma_n^\theta f \rightarrow f$ uniformly (resp. at each point) for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if and only if $\sigma_n^\theta f \rightarrow f$ in L_1 norm for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if and only if $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If B is a homogeneous Banach space on \mathbb{T}^d and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\sigma_n^\theta f \rightarrow f$ in B norm for all $f \in B$. If θ is continuous and has compact support then the uniform convergence of the θ -means is equivalent to the L_1 norm convergence of the θ -means and this is equivalent to the condition $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In all cases we investigate convergence over the diagonal.

In Sections 7 and 8 the a.e. convergence of the θ -means is considered. We show that $\hat{\theta}$ is in the homogeneous Herz space $\dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 < q \leq \infty$ if and only if the maximal operator of the θ -means of the Fourier transform of f can be estimated by the modified Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_p f$, where p is the dual index to q . Since M_p is of weak type (p, p) we obtain $\sigma_T^\theta f \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $T \rightarrow \infty$ for all $f \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p \leq r < \infty$. Under the condition $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ this convergence holds also for functions from the Wiener amalgam space $W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The set of convergence is also characterized, the convergence holds at every p -Lebesgue point of f . The converse holds also, more exactly, $\sigma_T^\theta f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ at each p -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. of $f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$) if and only if $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In Sections 6 and 9 we give some sufficient conditions for θ such that $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, or $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$. More exactly, if θ is in a suitable Besov, Sobolev, fractional Sobolev, weighted Wiener amalgam or modulation space then all convergence results above hold.

Most of the proofs of the results of this survey paper can be found in Feichtinger and Weisz [5, 4]. This paper was the base of my talk given at the Fejér-Riesz Conference, June 2005, in Eger (Hungary).

2. Wiener amalgams and Feichtinger's algebra

Let us fix $d \geq 1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For a set $\mathbb{Y} \neq \emptyset$ let \mathbb{Y}^d be its Cartesian product $\mathbb{Y} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Y}$ taken with itself d -times. We shall prove results for \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{T}^d , therefore it is convenient to use sometimes the symbol \mathbb{X} for either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{T} , where \mathbb{T} is the torus. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ set

$$u \cdot x := \sum_{k=1}^d u_k x_k, \quad \|x\|_p := \left(\sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad |x| := \|x\|_2.$$

We briefly write L_p or $L_p(\mathbb{X}^d)$ instead of $L_p(\mathbb{X}^d, \lambda)$ space equipped with the norm (or quasi-norm) $\|f\|_p := (\int_{\mathbb{X}^d} |f|^p d\lambda)^{1/p}$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$), where $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{T} and λ is the Lebesgue measure. We use the notation $|I|$ for the Lebesgue measure of the set I .

The *weak* L_p space, $L_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} := \sup_{\rho>0} \rho \lambda(|f| > \rho)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

while we set $L_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{X}^d) = L_\infty(\mathbb{X}^d)$. Note that $L_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ is a quasi-normed space (see Bergh and Löfström [1]). It is easy to see that for each $0 < p \leq \infty$,

$$L_p(\mathbb{X}^d) \subset L_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{X}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \leq \|\cdot\|_p.$$

The space of continuous functions with the supremum norm is denoted by $C(\mathbb{X}^d)$ and we will use $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of continuous functions having compact support.

A measurable function f belongs to the *Wiener amalgam space* $W(L_p, \ell_q^{v_s})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$) if

$$\|f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_q^{v_s})} := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|f(\cdot + k)\|_{L_p[0,1)^d}^q v_s(k)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

with the obvious modification for $q = \infty$, where the weight function v_s is defined by $v_s(\omega) := (1 + |\omega|)^s$ ($\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$). If $s = 0$ then we write simply $W(L_p, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $W(L_p, c_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined analogously, where c_0 denotes the space of sequences of complex numbers having 0 limit, equipped with the supremum norm. If we replace the space $L_p[0,1)^d$ by $L_{p,\infty}[0,1)^d$ then we get the definition of $W(L_{p,\infty}, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The closed subspace of $W(L_\infty, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ containing continuous functions is denoted by $W(C, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$). The space $W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called *Wiener algebra*. It is used quite often in Gabor analysis, because it provides a convenient and general class of windows (see e.g. Walnut [33] and Gröchenig [12]). As we can see later, it plays an important rule in summability theory, too.

It is easy to see that $W(L_p, \ell_p)(\mathbb{R}^d) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$W(L_\infty, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

For more about amalgam spaces see e.g. Heil [14].

Translation and *modulation* of a function f are defined, respectively, by

$$T_x f(t) := f(t - x) \quad \text{and} \quad M_\omega f(t) := e^{2\pi i \omega \cdot t} f(t) \quad (x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Recall that the *Fourier transform* and the *short-time Fourier transform* (STFT) with respect to a window function g are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(x) := \hat{f}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot t} dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \imath = \sqrt{-1})$$

and

$$S_g f(x, \omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t) \overline{g(t - x)} e^{-2\pi i \omega \cdot t} dt = \langle f, M_\omega T_x g \rangle \quad (x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

respectively, whenever the integrals do exist.

Feichtinger's algebra $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the modulation spaces $M_1^{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see e.g. Feichtinger [7] and Gröchenig [13]) are introduced by

$$M_1^{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{M_1^{v_s}} := \|S_{g_0}f \cdot v_s\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < \infty \right\} \quad (s \geq 0),$$

where $g_0(x) := e^{-\pi|x|^2}$ is the Gauss function and $v_s(x, \omega) := (1 + |\omega|)^s$ ($x, \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$). In case $s = 0$ we write $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) := M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

It is known that $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is isometrically invariant under translation, modulation and Fourier transform. Actually, \mathbf{S}_0 is the minimal space having this property (see Feichtinger [7]). Moreover, the embeddings $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow M_1^{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($s \geq 0$) and $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are dense and continuous (see e.g. Feichtinger and Zimmermann [6] and Gröchenig [13]), where \mathcal{S} denotes the Schwartz functions.

A Banach space B consisting of Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{X}^d is called a *homogeneous Banach space*, if

- (i) for all $f \in B$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}^d$, $T_x f \in B$ and $\|T_x f\|_B = \|f\|_B$,
- (ii) the function $x \mapsto T_x f$ from \mathbb{X}^d to B is continuous for all $f \in B$,
- (iii) the functions in B are uniformly locally integrable, i.e. for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{X}^d$ there exists a constant C_K such that

$$\int_K |f| d\lambda \leq C_K \|f\|_B \quad (f \in B).$$

If furthermore B is a dense subspace of $L_1(\mathbb{X}^d)$ it is called a *Segal algebra* (cf. Reiter [20]). Note that the continuous embedding into $L_1(\mathbb{X}^d)$ is a consequence of the closed graph theorem. For an introduction to homogeneous Banach spaces see Katznelson [16] or Shapiro [24]. It is easy to see that the spaces $L_p(\mathbb{X}^d)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Lorentz spaces $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ ($1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$), Hardy spaces $H_1(\mathbb{X}^d)$ (for the definitions see e.g. Weisz [37]), Wiener amalgams $W(L_p, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p, q < \infty$), $W(L_p, c_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$), $W(C, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq q < \infty$) and $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are homogeneous Banach spaces. Note that if B is a homogeneous Banach space on \mathbb{R}^d then $B \hookrightarrow W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Katznelson [16]).

3. θ -summability of Fourier series

The θ -summation was considered in a great number of papers and books, such as Butzer and Nessel [3], Trigub and Belinsky [32], Bokor, Schipp, Szili and Vértesi [22, 2, 23, 28, 29], Natanson and Zuk [18], Weisz [35, 36, 37, 38] and Feichtinger and Weisz [5, 4]. We assume that the function θ is from the Wiener algebra $W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have seen in Feichtinger and Weisz [5, 4] that this is a natural choice of θ and all summability methods considered in Butzer and Nessel [3] and Weisz [37] satisfy this condition.

Recall that for a distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the n th *Fourier coefficient* is defined by $\hat{f}(n) := f(e^{-2\pi i n \cdot x})$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$). In special case, if $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ then

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(t) e^{-2\pi i n \cdot t} dt \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}^d).$$

Given a function $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the θ -means of a distribution f are defined by

$$\sigma_n^\theta f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{-k_1}{n_1+1}, \dots, \frac{-k_d}{n_d+1}\right) \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x-t) K_n^\theta(t) dt,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and the θ -kernels K_n^θ are given by

$$K_n^\theta(t) := \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \theta\left(\frac{-k_1}{n_1+1}, \dots, \frac{-k_d}{n_d+1}\right) e^{2\pi i k \cdot t} \quad (t \in \mathbb{T}^d).$$

Under $\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ we mean the sum $\sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_d=-\infty}^{\infty}$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \theta\left(\frac{k_1}{n_1+1}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n_d+1}\right) \right| &\leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(\prod_{j=1}^d (n_j+1) \right) \sup_{x \in [0,1]^d} |\theta(x+l)| \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^d (n_j+1) \right) \|\theta\|_{W(C, \ell_1)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $K_n^\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We will always suppose that $\theta(0) = 1$.

Now we present some well known one-dimensional summability methods as special cases of the θ -summation. For more examples see Feichtinger and Weisz [5, 4].

Example 3.1 (Fejér summation). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &:= \begin{cases} 1 - |x| & \text{if } 0 \leq |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases} \\ \sigma_n^\theta f(x) &:= \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n+1}\right) \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.2 (Riesz summation). Let

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} (1 - |x|^\gamma)^\alpha & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases}$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha, \gamma < \infty$. The Riesz operators are given by

$$\sigma_n^\theta f(x) := \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \left|\frac{k}{n+1}\right|^\gamma\right)^\alpha \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}.$$

Example 3.3 (Weierstrass summation). Let

$$\theta(x) = e^{-|x|^\gamma} \quad (0 < \gamma < \infty),$$

$$\sigma_n^\theta f(x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\frac{|k|}{n+1})^\gamma} \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}.$$

The most known form of the Weierstrass means are

$$W_r^\theta f(x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|k|^\gamma} \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x} \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

Example 3.4 (Generalized Picar and Bessel summations). Let

$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^\gamma)^\alpha}$$

for some $0 < \alpha, \gamma < \infty$ such that $\alpha\gamma > 1$. The θ -means are given by

$$\sigma_n^\theta f(x) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1 + (\frac{|k|}{n+1})^\gamma\right)^\alpha} \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}.$$

Example 3.5 (de La Vallée-Poussin summation). Let

$$\theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1/2, \\ -2x + 2 & \text{if } 1/2 < x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Example 3.6. Let $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m$ and β_0, \dots, β_m ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) be real numbers, $\beta_0 = 1$, $\beta_m = 0$. Suppose that $\theta(\alpha_j) = \beta_j$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, m$), $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x \geq \alpha_m$, θ is a polynomial on the interval $[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j]$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$).

4. Norm Convergence of the θ -means of Fourier series

In this section we collect some results about the norm convergence of $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^\theta f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proofs of the theorems can be found in Feichtinger and Weisz [5]. Note that \mathbf{x} denotes the vector $(x, \dots, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$).

Theorem 4.1. *If $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then for all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^\theta f = f \quad \text{in } L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \text{ norm.}$$

If the Fourier transform of θ is integrable then the θ -means can be written as a singular integral of f and the Fourier transform of θ in the following way.

Theorem 4.2. *If $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f(x) = (n+1)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-t) \hat{\theta}((n+1)t) dt$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

For the uniform and L_1 norm convergence of $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ a sufficient and necessary condition can be given.

Theorem 4.3. *If $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- (ii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ uniformly for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ norm for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

One part of the preceding result is generalized for homogeneous Banach spaces.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that B is a homogeneous Banach space on \mathbb{T}^d . If $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$ and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then for all $f \in B$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f = f \quad \text{in } B \text{ norm.}$$

Since $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\theta} \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the next corollary follows from Theorems 4.3 and 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. *If $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then*

- (i) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ uniformly for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ norm for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ in B norm for all $f \in B$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if B is a homogeneous Banach space.

If θ has compact support then $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is equivalent to the conditions $\theta, \hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Feichtinger and Zimmermann [6]). This implies

Corollary 4.6. *If $\theta \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact support and $\theta(0) = 1$ then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- (ii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ uniformly for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iii) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (iv) $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ norm for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5. Norm convergence of the θ -means of Fourier transforms

All the results above can be shown for non-periodic functions $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose first that $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$. The Fourier inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(u) e^{2\pi i x \cdot u} du \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d)$$

holds if $\hat{f} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In the investigation of Fourier transforms we can take a larger space than $W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will assume that $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The θ -means of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq 2$) are defined by

$$\sigma_T^\theta f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta\left(\frac{-t_1}{T_1}, \dots, \frac{-t_d}{T_d}\right) \hat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x \cdot t} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-t) K_T^\theta(t) dt$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, T \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ and

$$K_T^\theta(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta\left(\frac{-t_1}{T_1}, \dots, \frac{-t_d}{T_d}\right) e^{2\pi i x \cdot t} dt = \left(\prod_{j=1}^d T_j\right) \hat{\theta}(T_1 x_1, \dots, T_d x_d),$$

($x \in \mathbb{R}^d$). Thus the θ -means can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_T^\theta f(x) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^d T_j\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-t) \hat{\theta}(T_1 t_1, \dots, T_d t_d) dt \quad (5.1)$$

which is the analogue to Theorem 4.2. Note that $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies $\theta \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Now we formulate Theorem 4.1 for Fourier transforms.

Theorem 5.1. *If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then for all $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^\theta f = f \quad \text{in } L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ norm.}$$

Since σ_T^θ is defined only for $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq 2$), instead of Theorem 4.3 we have

Theorem 5.2. *If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- (ii) $\sigma_T^\theta f \rightarrow f$ in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

If θ has compact support then $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is also an equivalent condition.

If $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the definition of the θ -means extends to $f \in W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\sigma_T^\theta f := f * K_T^\theta \quad (T \in \mathbb{R}_+^d),$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. Note that $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ imply $\theta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The analogue of Theorem 4.4 follows in the same way:

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that B is a homogeneous Banach space on \mathbb{R}^d . If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$ and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (e.g. $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$) then for all $f \in B$*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta f = f \quad \text{in } B \text{ norm.}$$

Since the space $C_u(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of uniformly continuous bounded functions endowed with the supremum norm is also a homogeneous Banach space, we have

Corollary 5.4. *If f is a uniformly continuous and bounded function, $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$ and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta f = f \quad \text{uniformly.}$$

6. Sufficient conditions

In this section we give some sufficient conditions for a function θ , which ensures that $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, resp. $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As mentioned before $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ implies also that $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Recall that $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all Schwartz functions. If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\theta}$ has compact support or if $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact support and $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Sufficient conditions can be given with the help of Sobolev, fractional Sobolev and Besov spaces, too. For a detailed description of these spaces see Triebel [31], Runst and Sickel [21], Stein [26] and Grafakos [11].

A function $\theta \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is in the Sobolev space $W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty, k \in \mathbb{N}$) if $D^\alpha \theta \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $|\alpha| \leq k$ and

$$\|\theta\|_{W_p^k} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha \theta\|_p < \infty,$$

where D denotes the distributional derivative.

This definition is extended to every real s in the following way. The fractional Sobolev space $\mathcal{L}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$) consists of all tempered distribution θ for which

$$\|\theta\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^s} := \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\cdot|^2)^{s/2} \hat{\theta})\|_p < \infty.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{L}_p^s(\mathbb{R}^d) = W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $s = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p < \infty$ with equivalent norms.

In order to define the Besov spaces take a non-negative Schwartz function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with support $[1/2, 2]$ which satisfies $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-k}s) = 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let

$$\phi_k(x) := \psi(2^{-k}|x|) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_0(x) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k(x).$$

The Besov space $B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($0 < p, r \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$) is the space of all tempered distributions f for which

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,r}^s} := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksr} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi_k) * f\|_p^r \right)^{1/r} < \infty.$$

The Sobolev, fractional Sobolev and Besov spaces are all quasi Banach spaces and if $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ then they are Banach spaces. All these spaces contain the Schwartz functions. The following facts are known: in case $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^d), B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) &\hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) && \text{if } s > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ W_p^{m+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) &\hookrightarrow B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^d) && \text{if } m < s < m+1, \\ B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) &\hookrightarrow B_{p,r+\epsilon}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), B_{p,\infty}^{s+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B_{p,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) && \text{if } \epsilon > 0, \\ B_{p_1,1}^{d/p_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) &\hookrightarrow B_{p_2,1}^{d/p_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^d) && \text{if } 1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1.

(i) If $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\theta \in B_{p,1}^{d/p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|\hat{\theta}\|_1 \leq C \|\theta\|_{B_{p,1}^{d/p}}.$$

(ii) If $s > d$ then $\mathcal{L}_1^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(iii) If d' denotes the smallest even integer which is larger than d and $s > d'$ then

$$B_{1,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W_1^{d'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The embedding $W_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R})$ follows from (iii). With the help of the usual derivative we give another useful sufficient condition for a function to be in $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

A function θ is in $V_1^k(\mathbb{R})$ ($k \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$), if there are numbers $-\infty = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} = \infty$ such that $n = n(\theta)$ is depending on θ and

$$\theta \in C^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \theta \in C^k(a_i, a_{i+1}), \quad \theta^{(j)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, \dots, k$. Here C^k denotes the set of k -times continuously differentiable functions. The norm of this space is introduced by

$$\|\theta\|_{V_1^k} := \sum_{j=0}^k \|\theta^{(j)}\|_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\theta^{(k-1)}(a_i + 0) - \theta^{(k-1)}(a_i - 0)|$$

where $\theta^{(k-1)}(a_i \pm 0)$ denote the right and left limits of $\theta^{(k-1)}$. These limits do exist and are finite because $\theta^{(k)} \in C(a_i, a_{i+1}) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R})$ implies

$$\theta^{(k-1)}(x) = \theta^{(k-1)}(a) + \int_a^x \theta^{(k)}(t) dt$$

for some $a \in (a_i, a_{i+1})$. Since $\theta^{(k-1)} \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ we establish that $\lim_{-\infty} \theta^{(k-1)} = \lim_{\infty} \theta^{(k-1)} = 0$. Similarly, $\theta^{(j)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-2$.

Of course, $W_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ are not identical. For $\theta \in V_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\theta' = D\theta$, however, $\theta'' = D^2\theta$ only if $\lim_{a_i+0} \theta' = \lim_{a_i-0} \theta'$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

We generalize the previous definition for the d -dimensional case as follows. For $d > 1$ and $k \geq 2$ let $\theta \in V_1^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if θ is even in each variable and

$$\theta \in C^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \theta \in C^k([0, \infty)^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}), \quad \partial_1^{i_1} \dots \partial_d^{i_d} \theta(t) \in L_1([0, \infty)^d)$$

for each $i_j = 0, \dots, k$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$) and fixed $0 < t_{m_1}, \dots, t_{m_{d-l}} < \infty$ ($1 \leq m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{d-l} \leq d$) and $1 \leq l \leq d$.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\theta \in V_1^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

The next Corollary follows from the definition of $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Corollary 6.3. *If each $\theta_j \in V_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$) then $\theta := \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

7. A.e. convergence of the θ -means of Fourier transforms

For the a.e. convergence we will investigate first Fourier transforms rather than Fourier series, because the theorems for Fourier transforms are more complicated. The proofs of the results can be found in Feichtinger and Weisz [4].

$L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) denotes the space of measurable functions f for which $|f|^p$ is locally integrable, resp. f is locally bounded if $p = \infty$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ let us define a generalization of the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function* by

$$M_p f(x) := \sup_{x \in I} \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f|^p d\lambda \right)^{1/p} \quad (x \in \mathbb{X}^d)$$

with the usual modification for $p = \infty$, where the supremum is taken over all cubes with sides parallel to the axes. If $p = 1$, this is the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. The following inequalities follow easily from the case $p = 1$, which can be found in Stein [27] or Weisz [37]:

$$\|M_p f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \leq C_p \|f\|_p \quad (f \in L_p(\mathbb{X}^d)) \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$\|M_p f\|_r \leq C_r \|f\|_r \quad (f \in L_r(\mathbb{X}^d), p < r \leq \infty). \quad (7.2)$$

The first inequality holds also if $p = \infty$.

The space $\dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all functions $f \in L_q^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_q} := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{kd(1-1/q)} \|f \mathbf{1}_{P_k}\|_q < \infty,$$

where $P_k := \{2^{k-1} \leq |x| < 2^k\}$, $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$. These spaces are special cases of the Herz spaces [15] (see also Garcia-Cuerva and Herrero [9]). The non-homogeneous version of the space $\dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ was used by Feichtinger [8] to prove some Tauberian theorems. It is easy to see that

$$L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) = \dot{E}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookleftarrow \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookleftarrow \dot{E}_{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookleftarrow \dot{E}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad 1 < q < q' < \infty.$$

To prove pointwise convergence of the θ -means we will investigate the *maximal operator*

$$\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f := \sup_{T>0} |\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^{\theta} f|.$$

If $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then (5.1) implies

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f\|_{\infty} \leq \|\hat{\theta}\|_1 \|f\|_{\infty} \quad (f \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

In the one-dimensional case Torchinsky [30] proved that if there exists an even function η such that η is non-increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ , $|\hat{\theta}| \leq \eta$, $\eta \in L_1$ then $\sigma_{\square}^{\theta}$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and a.e. convergence holds. Under similar conditions we will generalize this result for the multi-dimensional setting. First we introduce an equivalent condition.

Theorem 7.1. *For $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ let $\eta(x) := \sup_{\|t\|_r \geq \|x\|_r} |\hat{\theta}(t)|$ for some $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $\eta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$C^{-1} \|\eta\|_1 \leq \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_{\infty}} \leq C \|\eta\|_1.$$

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \leq C_p \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_p$$

for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for every $p < r \leq \infty$,

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f\|_r \leq C_r \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_r \quad (f \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

The proof of this theorem follows from the pointwise inequality

$$\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f(x) \leq C \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} M_p f(x) \tag{7.3}$$

and from (7.1) and (7.2). Inequality (7.3) is proved in Feichtinger and Weisz [4].

Theorem 7.2 and the usual density argument due to Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [17] imply

Corollary 7.3. *If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta f = f \quad a.e.$$

if $f \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $p \leq r < \infty$ or $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that $\dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset \dot{E}_{q'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whenever $q < q'$. If $\hat{\theta}$ is in a smaller space (say in $\dot{E}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$) then we get convergence for a wider class of functions (namely for $f \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$).

In order to generalize the last theorem and corollary for the larger space $W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have to define the *local Hardy-Littlewood maximal function* by

$$m_p f(x) := \sup_{0 < r \leq 1} \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f|^p d\lambda \right)^{1/p} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $f \in L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball with center x and radius r . It is easy to see that inequalities (7.1) and (7.2) imply

$$\|m_p f\|_{W(L_{p, \infty}, \ell_s)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_s)} \quad (f \in W(L_p, \ell_s)(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\|m_p f\|_{W(L_r, \ell_s)} \leq C_r \|f\|_{W(L_r, \ell_s)} \quad (f \in W(L_r, \ell_s)(\mathbb{R}^d)) \quad (7.5)$$

for all $p < r \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq s \leq \infty$. Recall that

$$\|f\|_{W(L_{p, \infty}, \ell_\infty)} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{\rho > 0} \rho \lambda(|f| > \rho, [k, k+1))^{1/p}.$$

Theorem 7.4. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\theta f\|_{W(L_{p, \infty}, \ell_\infty)} \leq C_p \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_\infty)}$$

for all $f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for every $p < r \leq \infty$,

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\theta f\|_{W(L_r, \ell_\infty)} \leq C_r \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_{W(L_r, \ell_\infty)} \quad (f \in W(L_r, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

It is easy to see that

$$M_p f \leq C m_p f + C_p \|f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_\infty)} \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

The proof of Theorem 7.4 follows from (7.3)–(7.5).

Corollary 7.5. *If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta f = f \quad a.e.$$

if $f \in W(L_p, c_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that $W(L_p, c_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all $W(L_r, c_0)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ spaces for $p \leq r \leq \infty$.

We can characterize the set of convergence in the following way. Lebesgue differentiation theorem says that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B(0, h)|} \int_{B(0, h)} f(x + u) du = f(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}^d$, where $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$, $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{T}$ or $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}$. A point $x \in \mathbb{X}^d$ is called a *p-Lebesgue point* (or a Lebesgue point of order p) of $f \in L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{|B(0, h)|} \int_{B(0, h)} |f(x + u) - f(x)|^p du \right)^{1/p} = 0 \quad (1 \leq p < \infty)$$

resp.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{u \in B(0, h)} |f(x + u) - f(x)| = 0 \quad (p = \infty).$$

Usually the 1-Lebesgue points, called simply Lebesgue points are considered (cf. Stein and Weiss [25] or Butzer and Nessel [3]). One can show that almost every point $x \in \mathbb{X}^d$ is a p -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$, which means that almost every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a p -Lebesgue point of $f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $x \in \mathbb{X}^d$ is an ∞ -Lebesgue point of $f \in L_\infty^{loc}(\mathbb{X}^d)$ if and only if f is continuous at x . Moreover, all r -Lebesgue points are p -Lebesgue points, whenever $p < r$.

Stein and Weiss [25, p. 13] (see also Butzer and Nessel [3, pp. 132-134]) proved that if $\eta(x) := \sup_{|t| \geq |x|} |\hat{\theta}(t)|$ and $\eta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then one has convergence at each Lebesgue point of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$). Using the \dot{E}_q spaces we generalize this result.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^\theta f(x) = f(x)$$

for all p -Lebesgue points of $f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that $W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ contains all $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ spaces and amalgam spaces $W(L_p, \ell_q)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the full range $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$.

If f is continuous at a point x then x is a p -Lebesgue point of f for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Corollary 7.7. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous at a point x then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_T^\theta f(x) = f(x).$$

Recall that $\dot{E}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) = L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $W(L_\infty, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d) = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If f is uniformly continuous then we have uniform convergence (see Corollary 5.4).

Let us consider converse-type problems. The partial converse of Theorem 7.2 is given in the next result.

Theorem 7.8. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If*

$$\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f(x) \leq CM_p f(x) \quad (7.6)$$

for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ then $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The converse of Theorem 7.6 reads as follows.

Theorem 7.9. *Suppose that $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^{\theta} f(x) = f(x) \quad (7.7)$$

for all p -Lebesgue points of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Corollary 7.10. *Suppose that $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^{\theta} f(x) = f(x)$$

for all p -Lebesgue points of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. of $f \in W(L_p, \ell_{\infty})(\mathbb{R}^d)$) if and only if $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

If we take the supremum in the maximal θ -operator over a cone, say over $\{T \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : 2^{-\tau} \leq T_i/T_j \leq 2^{\tau}; i, j = 1, \dots, d\}$ for some fixed $\tau \geq 0$:

$$\sigma_c^{\theta} f := \sup_{\substack{2^{-\tau} \leq T_i/T_j \leq 2^{\tau} \\ i, j = 1, \dots, d}} |\sigma_T^{\theta} f|,$$

then all the results above can be shown for σ_c^{θ} . In this case, under the conditions above we obtain the convergence $\sigma_T^{\theta} f \rightarrow f$ a.e. as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $2^{-\tau} \leq T_i/T_j \leq 2^{\tau}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, d$). This convergence has been investigated in a great number of papers (e.g. in Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [17], Zygmund [39], Weisz [34, 36, 37]). For more details see Feichtinger and Weisz [4]. The unrestricted convergence of $\sigma_T^{\theta} f$, i.e. as $T_j \rightarrow \infty$ for each $j = 1, \dots, d$, is also investigated in that paper.

8. A.e. convergence of the θ -means of Fourier series

All the results of Section 7 holds also for Fourier series. In this case we define the *maximal operator* of the θ -means by

$$\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f|.$$

Similarly to Theorem 7.4 we have

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then*

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \leq C_p \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_p$$

for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Moreover, for every $p < r \leq \infty$,

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^{\theta} f\|_r \leq C_r \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \|f\|_r \quad (f \in L_r(\mathbb{T}^d)).$$

The analogue of Theorems 7.6, 7.9 and Corollary 7.10 reads as follows.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\theta \in W(C, \ell_1)(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $\hat{\theta} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f(x) = f(x)$$

for all p -Lebesgue points of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if and only if $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Corollary 8.3. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is continuous at a point $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\theta} f(x) = f(x).$$

9. Besov, modulation and Sobolev spaces

The next theorem was proved in Herz [15], Peetre [19] and Girardi and Weis [10].

Theorem 9.1. *If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\theta \in B_{p,1}^{d/p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_q} \leq C_p \|\theta\|_{B_{p,1}^{d/p}}.$$

Theorem 9.1 implies the following result.

Corollary 9.2. *If $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap B_{p,1}^{d/p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then Theorems 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 and Corollaries 7.3, 7.5 and 7.7 hold.*

For the connection between the $\dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and amalgam spaces we have proved the following result.

Theorem 9.3. *If $f \in W(L_q, \ell_1^{v_{d/p}})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ then $f \in \dot{E}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_q} \leq C_q \|f\|_{W(L_q, \ell_1^{v_{d/p}})}.$$

Corollary 9.4. *Let $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$. If $\hat{\theta} \in W(L_q, \ell_1^{v_{d/p}})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then Theorems 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 and Corollaries 7.3, 7.5 and 7.7 hold.*

In particular, if $q = \infty$ then we get the condition $\hat{\theta} \in W(C, \ell_1^{v_d})(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that if $\theta \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\hat{\theta}$ has compact support then Corollary 9.4 holds. Actually $\theta \in \mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in this case (see e.g. Feichtinger and Zimmerman [6]).

If θ is in a suitable modulation space then the θ -means converge a.e. to f . Indeed, using Theorem 9.3 we can show that $\hat{\theta} \in W(L_\infty, \ell_1^{v_d})(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \dot{E}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\theta \in M_1^{v_d}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$C^{-1} \|\hat{\theta}\|_{\dot{E}_\infty} \leq \|\hat{\theta}\|_{W(L_\infty, \ell_1^{v_d})} \leq C \|\theta\|_{M_1^{v_d}}.$$

Theorem 9.5. *If $\theta \in M_1^{v_d}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\theta(0) = 1$ then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^\theta f(x) = f(x)$$

for all Lebesgue points of $f \in W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover,

$$\sup_{\rho > 0} \rho \lambda(\sigma_{\square}^\theta > \rho) \leq C \|\theta\|_{M_1^{v_d}} \|f\|_1 \quad (f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\theta f\|_{W(L_1, \ell_\infty)} \leq C \|\theta\|_{M_1^{v_d}} \|f\|_{W(L_1, \ell_\infty)} \quad (f \in W(L_1, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and, for every $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\theta f\|_p \leq C_p \|\theta\|_{M_1^{v_d}} \|f\|_p \quad (f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

$$\|\sigma_{\square}^\theta f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_\infty)} \leq C_p \|\theta\|_{M_1^{v_d}} \|f\|_{W(L_p, \ell_\infty)} \quad (f \in W(L_p, \ell_\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Similarly to Theorem 6.2 we give sufficient conditions for θ to be in the modulation space $\theta \in M_1^{v_d}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 9.6. *If $\theta \in V_1^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($k \geq 2$) then $\theta \in M_1^{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 \leq s < k - 1$.*

Corollary 9.7. *If each $\theta_j \in V_1^k(\mathbb{R})$ ($k \geq 2, j = 1, \dots, d$) then $\theta := \prod_{j=1}^d \theta_j \in M_1^{v_s}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $0 \leq s < k - 1$.*

$V_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ is not contained in $M_1^{v_1}(\mathbb{R})$, however, the same results hold as in Theorem 9.5.

Corollary 9.8. *If $\theta \in V_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ then $\hat{\theta} \in \dot{E}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and Theorem 9.5 hold.*

References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [2] J. Bokor and F. Schipp, Approximate identification in Laguerre and Kautz bases, *Automatica*, 34:463–468, 1998.

- [3] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1971.
- [4] H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz, Wiener amalgams and pointwise summability of Fourier transforms and Fourier series, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 140, 2006. (to appear).
- [5] H. G. Feichtinger and F. Weisz, The Segal algebra $\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and norm summability of Fourier series and Fourier transforms, *Monatshefte Math.* (to appear).
- [6] H. G. Feichtinger and G. Zimmermann, A Banach space of test functions for Gabor analysis, In H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, editors, *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms. Theory and Applications.*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, pages 123–170, Boston, MA, 1998. Birkhäuser. chap. 3.
- [7] H. G. Feichtinger, On a new Segal algebra, *Monatsh. Math.*, 92:269–289, 1981.
- [8] H. Feichtinger, An elementary approach to Wiener’s third Tauberian theorem for the Euclidean n -space, In *Symposia Math.*, volume XXIX of *Analisa Armonica*, pages 267–301, Cortona, 1988.
- [9] J. Garcia-Cuerva and M.-J. L. Herrero, A theory of Hardy spaces associated to the Herz spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 69:605–628, 1994.
- [10] M. Girardi and L. Weis, Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems on Besov spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, 251:34–51, 2003.
- [11] L. Grafakos, *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis*, Pearson Education, New Jersey, 2004.
- [12] K. Gröchenig and C. Heil, Gabor meets Littlewood–Paley: Gabor expansions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *Studia Math.*, 146:15–33, 2001.
- [13] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [14] C. Heil, An introduction to weighted Wiener amalgams, In M. Krishna, R. Radha, and S. Thangavelu, editors, *Wavelets and their Applications*, pages 183–216. Allied Publishers Private Limited, 2003.
- [15] C. Herz, Lipschitz spaces and Bernstein’s theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transforms, *J. Math. Mech.*, 18:283–324, 1968.
- [16] Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 1976.
- [17] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, On the summability of double Fourier series, *Fund. Math.*, 32:122–132, 1939.
- [18] G. M. Natanson and V. V. Zuk, *Trigonometric Fourier Series and Approximation Theory*, Izdat. Leningrad Unta, Leningrad, 1983. (in Russian).
- [19] J. Peetre, Applications de la théorie des espaces d’interpolation dans l’analyse harmonique, *Ricerche Mat.*, 15:1–37, 1966.

- [20] H. Reiter, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1968.
- [21] T. Runst and W. Sickel, *Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1996.
- [22] F. Schipp and J. Bokor, L^∞ system approximation algorithms generated by φ summations, *Automatica*, 33:2019–2024, 1997.
- [23] F. Schipp and L. Szili, Approximation in \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm, In *Approximation Theory and Function Series*, volume 5, pages 307–320. Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies, Budapest, 1996.
- [24] H. S. Shapiro, *Topics in Approximation Theory*, volume 187 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [25] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [26] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [27] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.
- [28] L. Szili and P. Vértési, On uniform convergence of sequences of certain linear operators, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 91:159–186, 2001.
- [29] L. Szili, On the summability of trigonometric interpolation processes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 91:131–158, 2001.
- [30] A. Torchinsky, *Real-variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [31] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces II*, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [32] R. M. Trigub and E. S. Belinsky, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation of Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2004.
- [33] D. Walnut, Continuity properties of the Gabor frame operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 165(2):479–504, 1992.
- [34] F. Weisz, Cesàro summability of multi-dimensional trigonometric-Fourier series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 204:419–431, 1996.
- [35] F. Weisz, θ -summation and Hardy spaces, *J. Appr. Theory*, 107:121–142, 2000.
- [36] F. Weisz, Several dimensional θ -summability and Hardy spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, 230:159–180, 2001.
- [37] F. Weisz, *Summability of Multi-dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces*, Mathematics and Its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2002.

- [38] F. Weisz, θ -summability of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 103:139–176, 2004.
- [39] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Press, London, 3th edition, 2002.

Ferenc Weisz

Department of Numerical Analysis
Eötvös L. University
Pázmány P. sétány 1/C.
H-1117 Budapest
Hungary