Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 17 (2014),
Article 14.2.2

Bernoulli Numbers and a New Binomial Transform Identity

H. W. Gould
Department of Mathematics
West Virginia University
Morgantown, WV 26506
USA
gould@math.wvu.edu

Jocelyn Quaintance
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA
quaintan@math.rutgers.edu

Abstract

Let $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the binomial transform of $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$. We show how a binomial transformation identity of Chen proves a symmetrical Bernoulli number identity attributed to Carlitz. We then modify Chen's identity to prove a new binomial transformation identity.

Carlitz [1] posed as a problem the remarkable symmetric Bernoulli number identity

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} B_{n+k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{m+k}, \tag{1}$$

valid for arbitrary $m, n \geq 0$. The published solution by Shannon [2] used mathematical induction on m and n. The identity was rediscovered recently by Vassilev and Vassilev-Missana [10], but stated in the form

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} B_{n+k} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_{m+k}, \tag{2}$$

valid for arbitrary positive integers m and n. Identity (2) is equivalent to Identity (1) since $[(-1)^m-(-1)^n]\,B_{m+n}=0$. Their proof used the symmetry of a function $f_k(x,y)$ involving Bernoulli numbers introduced in a separate paper [9]. They give no reference to Carlitz's or to Shannon's proof.

An alternative proof of Equation (1) is derived through an application of a binomial transformation identity discovered by Chen [3]. Let (a_n) be any sequence of numbers, and define the binomial transform of (a_n) to be the sequence (b_n), where $b_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k$. A corollary of [3, Thm. 2.1] is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}a_{n+k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}b_{m+k}. \tag{3}$$

The Bernoulli numbers satisfy the recurrence $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}B_k=(-1)^nB_n$ for $n\geq 0$. Setting $a_k=B_k$, we then have $b_n=(-1)^nB_n$, so that Equation (3) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}B_{n+k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^{m+k}B_{m+k},$$

which is precisely Identity (1) of Carlitz.

Chen's proof of Equation (3) relies on certain properties of Seidel matrices. We present a direct proof which relies on the hypergeometric identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\binom{x+k}{r}=(-1)^m\binom{x}{r-m}; \tag{4}$$

see [6, Identity 3.47, p. 27]. In Equation (4) we require that m and r be nonnegative integers and x be a complex number.

Since the binomial transform inverts to give $a_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}b_k$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}a_{n+k}&=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\sum_{j=0}^{n+k}(-1)^{n+k-j}\binom{n+k}{j}b_j\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+m}(-1)^{-j}b_j\sum_{k=j-n}^{m}(-1)^{n+k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{j}\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+m}(-1)^{-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{n+k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{j}\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+m}(-1)^{n+m-j}\binom{n}{j-m}b_j=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\binom{n}{j}b_{j+m}.\end{aligned}$$

A careful analysis of this preceding proof yields a short proof of [3, Thm. 3.2], where Chen relies on lengthy induction arguments. We will instead use Equation (4).

Theorem 1. *[3, Thm. 3.2] Let b_n be the binomial transform of a_n. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s}a_{n+k-s}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\binom{m+k}{s}b_{m+k-s}, \tag{5}$$

for arbitrary nonnegative m, n, and s.

Proof. By definition $b_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k$. This implies that $a_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}b_k$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s}a_{n+k-s}&=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s}\sum_{j=0}^{n+k-s}(-1)^{n+k-s-j}\binom{n+k-s}{j}b_j\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+m-s}(-1)^{n-s-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s}\binom{n+k-s}{j}\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+m-s}(-1)^{n-s-j}\binom{s+j}{s}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s+j}\\&=\sum_{j=m-s}^{n+m-s}(-1)^{m+n-j-s}\binom{s+j}{s}\binom{n}{j+s-m}b_j\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{m+j}{s}b_{m+j-s},\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality follows by Equation (4). □

Equation (5) allows us to establish a generalization of the curious formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{n}x^k=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{n}(1+x)^k, \tag{6}$$

discovered by Simons [8]. A quick proof of this was given by Gould [7] using elementary properties of Legendre polynomials. Instead, choose $a_n=x^n$ for all $n\geq 0$. Then $b_n=(1+x)^n$ and Identity (5) tells us that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{s}x^{n+k-s}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}\binom{m+k}{s}(1+x)^{m+k-s}.$$

Letting $m=s=n$ recovers Identity (6).

Through an induction argument Chen proves

Theorem 2. *[3, Thm. 3.1] Let b_n be the binomial transform of a_n. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}a_{n+k+s}&=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{m+k+s}{s}}b_{m+k+s}\\&\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1-j}\binom{s-1-j}{i}\binom{s-1}{j}\frac{(-1)^{n+1+i}sa_j}{(m+n+1+i)\binom{m+n+i}{n}},\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where m, n, and s are nonnegative integers.

If we use Equation (4) and the following hypergeometric identity attributed to Frisch [4], [5, p. 337],

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\binom{n}{k}\frac{1}{\binom{b+k}{c}}=\frac{c}{n+c}\frac{1}{\binom{n+b}{b-c}},\qquad b\geq c>0, \tag{8}$$

[6, Identity 4.2, p. 46], we are able to prove the following new binomial transformation identity.

Theorem 3. *Let b_n be the binomial transform of a_n. Let m, n, and s be nonnegative integers. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s}\frac{\binom{s}{j}a_j}{(m+n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{m}}=\sum_{j=0}^{s}\frac{(-1)^{s-j}\binom{s}{j}b_j}{(m+n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{n}}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. By definition $b_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k$. Hence $a_n=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}b_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}a_{n+k+s}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}\sum_{j=0}^{n+k+s}(-1)^{n+k+s-j}\binom{n+k+s}{j}b_j\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{m+n+s}(-1)^{n+s-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}\binom{n+k+s}{j}\\
&=\sum_{j=s}^{m+n+s}(-1)^{n+s-j}\frac{b_j}{\binom{j}{s}}\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\binom{n+k}{j-s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}\binom{n+k+s}{j}\\
&=\sum_{j=s+m}^{m+n+s}(-1)^{m+n+s-j}\frac{\binom{n}{j-s-m}}{\binom{j}{s}}b_j+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}\binom{n+k+s}{j}\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{m+j+s}{s}}b_{m+j+s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}\binom{n+k+s}{j}\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{m+j+s}{s}}b_{m+j+s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}\binom{s}{j}b_j\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s-j}{s-j}}\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{m+j+s}{s}}b_{m+j+s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}\frac{(s-j)\binom{s}{j}}{(m+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{n}}b_j\\
&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\frac{\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{m+j+s}{s}}b_{m+j+s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+s-j}\frac{s\binom{s-1}{j}}{(m+n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j-1}{n}}b_j.
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth line follows from Equation (4) while the seventh follows from Equation (8).

In summary, we have shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\binom{m}{k}}{\binom{n+k+s}{s}}a_{n+k+s}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-j}\binom{n}{j}}{\binom{m+j+s}{s}}b_{m+j+s}+\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\frac{(-1)^{n+s-j}s\binom{s-1}{j}}{(m+n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j-1}{n}}b_j, \tag{10}$$

If we compare Identity (7) to Identity (10), we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\frac{(-1)^{n+s-j}s\binom{s-1}{j}}{(m+n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j-1}{n}}b_j$$
$$=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1-j}\binom{s-1-j}{i}\binom{s-1}{j}\frac{(-1)^{n+1+i}sa_j}{(m+n+1+i)\binom{m+n+i}{n}}. \qquad (11)$$

Equation (11) can be furthered simplified by applying Equation (8). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1-j}\binom{s-1-j}{i}\binom{s-1}{j}\frac{(-1)^{n+1+i}sa_j}{(m+n+1+i)\binom{m+n+i}{n}}\\
&\qquad=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{s}{n+1}\binom{s-1}{j}a_j\sum_{i=0}^{s-1-j}(-1)^i\frac{\binom{s-1-j}{i}}{\binom{m+n+1+i}{n+1}}\\
&\qquad=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{s}{n+1}\binom{s-1}{j}a_j\frac{n+1}{n+s-j}\frac{1}{\binom{m+n+s-j}{m}}\\
&\qquad=(-1)^{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\frac{s\binom{s-1}{j}}{(n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{m}}a_j.
\end{aligned}$$

These calculations show that Equation (11) is equivalent to

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\frac{\binom{s-1}{j}}{(n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{m}}a_j=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}(-1)^{s-j}\frac{\binom{s-1}{j}}{(m+n+s-j)\binom{m+n+s-j-1}{n}}b_j. \qquad (12)$$

Set $s\to s+1$ to obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s}\frac{\binom{s}{j}a_j}{(n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s+1-j}{m}}=\sum_{j=0}^{s}\frac{(-1)^{s-j}\binom{s}{j}b_j}{(m+n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{n}}. \qquad (13)$$

Since $(n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s+1-j}{m}=(m+n+s+1-j)\binom{m+n+s-j}{m}$, we see that Equation (13) is equivalent to Equation (9). $\square$

References

[1] L. Carlitz, Problem 795, *Math. Mag.* **44** (1971), 107.

[2] A. G. Shannon, Solution of Problem 795, *Math. Mag.* **45** (1972), 55–56.

[3] K. W. Chen, Identities from the binomial transform, *J. Number Theory* **124** (2007), 142–150.

[4] R. Frisch, Sur les semi-invariants et moments employés dans l'étude des distributions statistiques, *Skrifter utgitt av Det Norske Videnskaps-Akademi i Oslo, II.* Historisk-Filosofisk Klasse, 1926, No. 3, 87 pp.

[5] Eugen Netto, *Lehrbuch der Combinatorik*, 2nd edition, 1927. Reprinted by Chelsea, 1958.

[6] H. W. Gould, *Combinatorial Identities, A Standardized Set of Tables Listing 500 Binomial Coefficient Summations*, revised edition. Published by the author, Morgantown, WV, 1972.

[7] H. W. Gould, A curious identity which is not so curious. *Math. Gaz.* **88** (2004), 87.

[8] S. Simons, A curious identity, *Math. Gaz.* **85** (2001), 296–298.

[9] P. Vassilev and M. Vassilev-Missana, On the sum of equal powers of the first n terms of an arbitrary arithmetic progression, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics* **11** (2005), 15–21.

[10] P. Vassilev and M. Vassilev-Missana, On one remarkable identity involving Bernoulli numbers, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics* **11** (2005), 22–24.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B68; Secondary 05A10, 11B65.
Keywords: Bernoulli number, binomial transform.

(Concerned with sequences A027641 and A027642.)

Received October 2 2013; revised version received January 3 2014. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, January 3 2014.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.